



Judicial Council of California

Please visit
courts website to
access the recording
of the July 12, 2024,
meeting.

Subject Details (With Text)

Meeting materials
are available through

File #: 16-250 **Version:** 1
Type: Budget Proposal **Status:** Passed
File created: 11/10/2016 **In control:** Judicial Council
On agenda: 12/16/2016 **Final action:** 12/16/2016
Title: Juvenile Dependency: Proposed Allocation for Fiscal Year 2016-2017 for Juvenile Dependency Counsel Collections Program (Action Required)

Sponsors:

Indexes:

Code sections:

Attachments: 1. 20161216-16-250

| Date | Ver. | Action By | Action | Result |
|------------|------|------------------|----------|--------|
| 12/16/2016 | 1 | Judicial Council | approved | Pass |

Title

Juvenile Dependency: Proposed Allocation for Fiscal Year 2016-2017 for Juvenile Dependency Counsel Collections Program (Action Required)

Summary

Under the Juvenile Dependency Counsel Collections Program and as directed in Welfare and Institutions Code section 903.1, courts collect reimbursements from parents and other responsible persons liable for the cost of dependency-related legal services to the extent that those persons are able to pay. The Trial Court Budget Advisory Committee recommends allocating \$629,077, the fiscal year 2015-2016 statutorily restricted funds remitted in excess of dependency counsel program administrative costs, to the trial courts calculated according to the methodology adopted by the Judicial Council at its August 23, 2013, business meeting.

Recommendation

The Trial Court Budget Advisory Committee (TCBAC) recommends that the Judicial Council allocate \$629,077, the fiscal year (FY) 2015-2016 Juvenile Dependency Counsel Collections Program (JDCCP) funds remitted in excess of dependency counsel program administrative costs, to the trial courts calculated according to the methodology adopted by the Judicial Council at its August 23, 2013, business meeting. (Attachment A shows projected allocations based on information received at the time of this report.) The recommended allocation outlined in Attachment A has been determined using the methodology approved by the council at its August 23, 2013, meeting.