

Judicial Council of California

455 Golden Gate Ave.

San Francisco, CA 94102-3688

Meeting materials are available through

> the hyperlinks in this document.

Meeting Minutes

Judicial Council

Open to the Public Unless Indicated as Closed (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 10.6(a))

Requests for ADA accommodation should be directed to JCCAccessCoordinator@jud.ca.gov		
Thursday, May 24, 2018	8:30 AM	San Francisco

CLOSED SESSION (RULE 10.6(B))-PLANNING, PERSONNEL, AND **DISCUSSION PROTECTED BY THE ATTORNEY-CLIENT PRIVILEGE**

Chief Justice Tani G. Cantil-Sakauye, Chair of the Judicial Council, called the closed session to order at 8:00 a.m.

OPEN SESSION (RULE 10.6(A)) — MEETING AGENDA

Attendance

Present:	28 -	Chief Justice Tani G. Cantil-Sakauye, Justice Ming W. Chin, Justice Harry E. Hull Jr., Justice James M. Humes, Justice Marsha G. Slough, Presiding Judge C. Todd Bottke, Presiding Judge Patricia M. Lucas, Presiding Judge Gary Nadler, Assistant Presiding Judge Kevin C. Brazile, Judge Marla O. Anderson, Judge Stacy Boulware Eurie, Judge Kyle S. Brodie, Judge Samuel K. Feng, Judge Scott M. Gordon, Judge Harold W. Hopp, Judge Dalila Corral Lyons, Judge Stuart M. Rice, Judge David M. Rubin, Judge Kenneth K. So, Commissioner Rebecca Wightman, Mr. Jake Chatters, Ms. Kimberly Flener, Mr. Michael M. Roddy, Ms. Andrea K. Wallin-Rohmann, Ms. Rachel W. Hill, Ms. Audra Ibarra, Mr. Patrick M. Kelly, and Ms. Gretchen Nelson	
Absent:	3 -	Justice Douglas P. Miller, Senator Hannah-Beth Jackson, and Assembly Member Richard Bloom	
Media Representative			
	Ms. Maria Dinzeo, Courthouse News Service		
Others Present			
Call to Order	Ms. Zeny Agullana, Ms. Liz Erickson, Mr. Chad Finke, Mr. Steve Schwartz, and Ms. Diana Weiss.		
Call to Order		f Justice Tani G. Cantil-Sakauye, chair of the Judicial Council, called the open on to order at 9:10 a.m. in the Judicial Council Board Room.	

Swearing in of New Judicial Council Member

The Chief Justice administered the oath of office to new council member Hon. Rebecca L. Wightman, Commissioner of the Superior Court of California, County of San Francisco.

Public Comment

Ms. Catherine Campbell, Mr. Eric Christen, Mr. Stacy King, Ms. Alison Madden, and Mr. Edward Noble presented comments on general judicial administration. Presiding Judge Daniel J. Buckley, Superior Court of Los Angeles County, presented comment on item 18-082, Judicial Administration: Public Disclosure of Settlement Agreements.

Approval of Minutes

<u>18-066</u>

Minutes of the March 2, 2018, Judicial Council meeting.

A motion was made by Ms. Ibarra, seconded by Justice Chin, Judge Brodie, and Mr. Kelly, that the minutes be approved. The motion carried by a unanimous vote.

Chief Justice's Report

Chief Justice Tani G. Cantil-Sakauye summarized her engagements and outreach on behalf of the branch since the council's last meeting on March 2. On March 19, she delivered her State of the Judiciary address to a joint session of the California Legislature. The Chief discussed the budget and the branch's accomplishments, as well as her civil justice reform initiative. New Senate President pro Tempore Toni Atkins, the first woman and an openly gay Californian to hold that position, invited the Chief Justice to swear her into office in a ceremony held the following day. The Chief reported that more than 70 public schools statewide were honored with this year's Civic Learning Awards through a partnership with the Superintendent of Public Instruction, Tom Torlakson. She visited three top schools that received Awards of Excellence. The first recipient was El Camino Creek Elementary School in San Diego County, which built a mock courtroom on campus to hold mock trials. As a result of their participation, the Chief remarked, many of the school's students now aspire to be involved in the administration of justice. Another award winner, Oak Grove Middle School, also in San Diego County, held simulated congressional hearings. Students prepared questions and also received a series of questions from local superior court judges. The third recipient the Chief visited was Marshall Fundamental Secondary School in Los Angeles County. There, the students propose legislation to tackle specific problems as well as the funding to enact that legislation. In April, the Chief Justice attended the Foundation for Democracy and Justice's annual reception benefiting civics education. The foundation, she noted, has a strong and unique focus on educating the public on the three branches of government but

with an emphasis on the need for an independent and adequately funded judiciary. Also in April, the Chief was honored to receive the Woman of the Year Award from the Women of Stanford Law, and participated in an event that celebrates women's accomplishments in law. The Chief reported that in May she stood in for U.S. Supreme Court Associate Justice Sonia Sotomayor to deliver the commencement address at the University of California, Davis, School of Law. Lastly, the Chief joined Governor Brown, Attorney General Xavier Becerra, Senator Cathleen Galgiani (D-Stockton), and Wayne Quint, Jr., executive director of the California Peace Officers' Memorial Foundation, for the annual peace officers' memorial service honoring those who died in the line of duty in 2017.

Administrative Director's Report

<u>18-067</u>

Administrative Director's Report

Administrative Director Martin Hoshino reported on his engagements since the last council meeting. The Governor proposed his May Revise on May 11, he noted, which is a revision of the budget proposal that the Governor makes in January. Mr. Hoshino emphasized that there were no changes monetarily or language-wise; however, there were three additional increases. The Governor allocated another \$4 million in ongoing money to implement the Language Access Plan, another \$100 million in one-time money to address deferred maintenance issues in court facilities, and an additional \$972 million in lease revenue bond authority for the balance of the projects that are in the next phase of construction. Mr. Hoshino noted that the Senate has approved all items as proposed, but has added minor trailer bill language associated with the increases in funding. The Assembly approved most of the proposals, he said, but there are some differences that remain, referred to as open items, between the two houses. These include the construction bond authority, the \$47.8 million in Trial Court Operations that is tagged to be allocated according to the WAFM formula, and another \$75 million in Trial Court Operations still to be allocated. Mr. Hoshino reported that the Senate has taken action to approve allocation of that \$75 million according to WAFM, while the Assembly is poised to recommend it be allocated in a different way. This may result in two separate actions related to these items, he explained, which would then go into the budget conference committee for resolution.

Mr. Hoshino also noted that the Senate converted a \$10 million one-time expenditure for the Equal Access Fund and made it ongoing; the Assembly is poised to take a similar action that increases that money, which may result in another open item. Mr. Hoshino reported that there has also been action to provide \$16.5 million for county law libraries and for increasing the funding for court reporters in family law. The Legislature has acted on and closed out a total of \$185 million for the judicial branch in other items and activities. Included in that figure, he noted, is \$19.1 million for the self-help proposals that were approved. He explained that \$48 million in discretionary spending for WAFM for the trial courts remains open, as does the \$1.3 billion in construction authority. Mr. Hoshino reported that the next phase is full budget conference committees; teams will then be chosen from the members of each house to conduct budget reconciliation for the open items, and a final budget is due June 15. While monitoring this process, Mr. Hoshino said, judicial branch leadership will also be working on the budget for the next fiscal year as well as beginning the planning for fiscal year 2019-20.

Judicial Council Committee Presentations

<u>18-068</u> Judicial C	ouncil Committee Reports
--------------------------	--------------------------

<u>Summary:</u> Executive and Planning Committee

Hon. Douglas P. Miller, Chair
Policy Coordination and Liaison Committee
Hon. Kenneth K. So, Chair
Rules and Projects Committee
Hon. Harry E. Hull, Jr., Chair
Judicial Council Technology Committee
Hon. Marsha G. Slough, Chair
Judicial Branch Budget Committee
Hon. David M. Rubin, Chair

Executive and Planning Committee

Judge Marla O. Anderson, vice-chair of the Executive and Planning Committee (E&P), provided a brief summary of the committee's activities since the March business meeting. She explained that one of the tasks of E&P is to recommend candidates to the Chief Justice for appointment to the Judicial Council and its advisory bodies. To date this year, E&P has recruited for 140 pending vacancies on the Judicial Council and 21 advisory bodies. Judge Anderson reported that E&P reviewed almost 400 applications, and the committee is working to ensure diversity in experience, gender, ethnic background, and geography when making their recommendations. She noted that the committee will forward those recommendations to the Chief Justice and candidates will be notified later on this summer.

Policy Coordination and Liaison Committee

Judge Kenneth K. So, chair of the Policy Coordination and Liaison Committee (PCLC), reported that the committee met four times since their last report to the council in March and have taken positions on 14 pieces of legislation. In addition to the legislation, one proposal was approved for council sponsorship, and one invitation to comment was approved for circulation. At the March 15 meeting, he reported, the committee took a support position on Senate Bill 1011 regarding conservatorships. At the March 29 meeting PCLC approved one circulation for public comment and one legislative proposal from the Civil and Small Claims Advisory Committee regarding limited civil cases and unlawful detainers. The committee also supported Assembly Bill 2240 related to the Trial Jury Selection and Management Act, and took

an opposed position on AB 2438, which deals with the automatic withdrawal of pleas. Judge So reported that PCLC approved sponsorship of the legislative proposal on the disposition of the Los Angeles County Mental Health Courthouse facility at their April 26 meeting. The committee also acted to support three bills that are on the upcoming agenda: AB 2373, dealing with dissolution of marriage; AB 3076, related to the Indian Child Welfare Act; and AB 3248, which deals with mandatory expedited jury trials in limited civil cases. PCLC opposed AB 2274, he added, which deals with the sole or joint ownership of pet animals in dissolution or legal separation proceedings.

Rules and Projects Committee

Justice Harry E. Hull, Jr., chair of the Rules and Projects Committee (RUPRO), reported that the committee met four times since the last council meeting. On April 5 RUPRO met by telephone to consider 35 rules and forms proposals to circulate for public comment; they approved circulation of the proposals, which are posted for public comment until June 8. The proposals are expected to come before the council at the September 21 business meeting. Justice Hull reported that they met by telephone on April 26 to consider 4 additional proposals to circulate for comment through June 8 as well as a proposal to circulate on a special cycle. On May 1, RUPRO met by telephone to consider 9 proposals, 5 of which circulated during the winter public comment cycle. The remaining 4 proposals include 3 that recommended only technical changes to rules and forms and a proposal that made minor revisions to civil jury instructions, approval of which has been delegated to RUPRO by the council. The committee recommends approval of all of the proposals, which are items 18-075 through 18-078, 18-088 through 18-090, and 18-097 on the agenda. Justice Hull added that they met by phone on May 16 to consider the proposal to amend rule 10.500 on public disclosure of settlement agreements (item 18-082 on the discussion agenda); RUPRO recommends approval, he reported.

Judicial Council Technology Committee

Justice Marsha G. Slough, chair of the Judicial Council Technology Committee (JCTC), reported that the committee met five times since the last council meeting: three open meetings by teleconference, one in person, and one action by e-mail. She thanked the Chief for assigning Commissioner Rebecca Wightman to the committee and added that the commissioner offered great insight and suggestions. At the March 12 meeting, JCTC received an update from the Placer Court Hosting Consortium. Jake Chatters, court executive officer of the Superior Court of Placer County, provided the final report informing the committee of the successful "go-live" of six courts (Sierra, Plumas, Trinity, Lake, Modoc, and San Benito) that migrated to the Placer Court hosting center. JCTC also received updates regarding the remaining case management system replacement efforts, which continue to move forward, Justice Slough added. Also at the March 12 meeting, she reported, JCTC approved allocations to 21 courts for 26 different projects through the jury management system

grant program for fiscal year 2017-18. Funding for the program is provided from the royalties that are generated by the publication of the Judicial Council-developed jury instructions. Justice Slough stated that they are pleased to continue to oversee the distribution of the grant money and to observe the modernization of courts' jury systems throughout the state.

Justice Slough reported that JCTC has been intimately involved in the development and prioritization of technology-related budget change proposal concepts that are being considered for fiscal year 2019-20. In consultation with the appellate courts, trial court presiding judges, court executives, the court information officer community, and the Information Technology Advisory Committee (ITAC), JCTC gathered a list of the 10 most important technology topics for development of initial funding requests. JCTC presented their initial funding requests to the Judicial Branch Budget Committee and, based on the feedback they received, pared down their list of requests and ranked them in order of priority.

JCTC also receives regular reports on the work being completed by ITAC, Justice Slough reported. JCTC heard the final recommendations of the intelligent forms workstream, which is charged with investigating options for modernizing the delivery of Judicial Council forms to the public. The workstream proposed solutions to the problems faced by the courts and the Judicial Council, including issues of integrating the forms into new case management systems, general accessibility, mobile device accessibility, and the security of the forms. JCTC approved their recommendations, the justice reported, and Robert Oyung, Chief Operating Officer, Information Technology, will report back with next steps on implementation. JCTC received updates on the Video Remote Interpreting Pilot Project, which is a

Commission on the Future of California's Court System.

Judicial Branch Budget Committee

Judge David M. Rubin, chair of the Judicial Branch Budget Committee (JBBC), reported on the activities of the committee since the last council meeting. Judge Rubin noted that the committee is charged with administering a \$10 million branch emergency fund to coordinate budget change proposal (BCP) requests that go to the state Department of Finance, and to administer the \$25 million Court Innovations Grant Program, as well as and any other budget tasks assigned to the committee. The committee met in person three times to discuss the budget change proposal process and to prepare for presentations to the council in July for the 2019-20 fiscal year. Overall, the committee received 28 BCP requests and has pared that down to 14, he reported, and those will proceed to further development into BCPs to be presented to the council in July. He added that Justice Cuéllar came down to share with the committee a little bit about the Language Access Plan as the JBBC considered BCPs relevant to the program. Judge Rubin reported that the committee also awarded \$22.2 million and distributed almost \$11 million to 47 projects for the Court Innovations Grant Program. Judge Rubin noted that although the committee will report on the projects in more detail in July, he wanted to highlight several of them. The Superior Court of Inyo County has engaged with difficult-to-reach populations in the postrelease community and mandatory supervision, he noted, and the Superior Court of San Bernardino County has reported success with their videoconferencing remediation sessions, which have been implemented in three courthouses. San Bernardino County is a massive 21,000 square miles, he remarked, the largest county in the 48 contiguous United States, and the videoconferencing mediation capability is a great enhancement with safety benefits and the ability to reach remote locations. Lastly, he added that the Superior Court of San Mateo County reports good success in avoidance of jail costs and increased collections of restitution.

Judicial Council Members' Liaison Reports

```
<u>18-069</u>
```

Judicial Council Members' Liaison Reports

<u>Summary</u>: Judicial Council members report on their visits to the superior courts.

Judge Patricia M. Lucas reported on her visit to the Superior Court of Monterey County. Judge Kenneth K. So reported on his visits to the Superior Courts of Mariposa and Merced Counties.

CONSENT AGENDA

Approval of the Consent Agenda

A motion was made by Justice Chin, seconded by Mr. Kelly, to approve all of the following items on the Consent Agenda. The motion carried by a unanimous vote.

<u>18-051</u> Trial Courts: Revise Workload Measurement of Infractions Cases in Resource Assessment Study Model (Action Required)

Summary: The Workload Assessment Advisory Committee recommends that the Judicial Council adopt a technical adjustment to the Resource Assessment Study (RAS) model for measuring court workload in infractions cases. This change will ensure that the measurement of this workload reflects the resources and technology that courts employ to process these cases. If adopted, this change would take place immediately and would be used to calculate the RAS workload need for budget allocations starting with FY 2018-19.

Recommendation: The Workload Assessment Advisory Committee recommends that the Judicial

Council adopt a methodological adjustment to the Resource Assessment Study (RAS) model for measuring court workload in infractions cases. The RAS model uses a weighted caseload methodology to measure trial court staff need, with different weights assigned to infractions workload for courts with more than and fewer than 100,000 filings. The infractions workload of courts whose average annual infractions workload was previously higher than 100,000, but has since dropped below that threshold, will continue to be measured using the weight assigned to large courts. This change will ensure that the measurement of this workload reflects the resources and technology that courts employ to process these cases. If adopted, this change would take place immediately and would be used to calculate the RAS workload need for budget allocations starting with fiscal year 2018-19.

18-070Trial Courts: Trial Court Financial Policies and ProceduresManual (9th ed.) (Action Required)

Summary:Judicial Council staff recommends adoption of the Trial Court Financial
Policies and Procedures Manual (TCFPPM), ninth edition. The manual was last
updated in 2016. The TCFPPM requires both substantive and nonsubstantive
revisions to maintain clarity and update and improve the existing system of internal
fiscal controls in accordance with California Rules of Court, rule 10.804.

Recommendation: Judicial Council staff recommend the Judicial Council, effective May 25, 2018, adopt the ninth edition of the *Trial Court Financial Policies and Procedures Manual*, which:

- Replaced antiquated internal control definitions with new concise definitions based on the framework from the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO);
- 2. Eliminated state appropriations limit definitions;
- 3. Added intangible assets to the General Fixed Asset Account Group;
- Replaced "Judicial Administration Efficiency and Modernization Fund" with "State Trial Court Improvement and Modernization Fund" throughout;
- 5. Added language to clarify when to follow the trial court financial policy versus the policy in the *Judicial Branch Contracting Manual*;
- 6. Clarified court and Judicial Council of California responsibilities during audits and added a section about the Advisory Committee for Audits and Financial Accountability for the Judicial Branch and its scope; and
- 7. Resolved grammatical and formatting issues and updated external website links and the glossary.

18-072 Report to the Legislature: Trial Court Interpreters Program Expenditure Report for Fiscal Year 2016-17 (Action Required)

Summary: The Judicial Council's Court Interpreters Program recommends approving the annual report on trial court interpreter expenditures for submission to the

Legislature and the Department of Finance. This report is required by the Budget Act of 2016 (Stats. 2016, ch. 23).

- **Recommendation:** The Judicial Council's Court Interpreters Program respectfully recommends that the Judicial Council, effective May 24, 2018:
 - 1. Approve the report to the Legislature summarizing the fiscal year (FY) 2016-17 trial court interpreter expenditures as per the requirements of the Budget Act of 2016; and
 - 2. Direct staff to submit the report to the Legislature and the Department of Finance.

18-073Judicial Branch Administration: Judicial Branch Workers'Compensation Program (Action Required)

Summary: The Judicial Branch Workers' Compensation Program Advisory Committee recommends approval of the workers' compensation cost allocation for fiscal year 2018-19, and implementation of various initiatives to increase program outreach and educate members on workers' compensation programs.

Recommendation: The Judicial Branch Workers' Compensation Program (JBWCP) Advisory Committee recommends that the Judicial Council, effective May 24, 2018:

- Approve the workers' compensation premium for fiscal year (FY) 2018-19 for participating trial courts and the state judiciary (Attachment A: Member Premium Allocation for Fiscal Year 2018-2019 (Draft)).
- 2. Approve the JBWCP Advisory Committee's recommendations to improve the administration of the workers' compensation program. These improvements include the development of quarterly progress reports and mentor court programs, and the modification of the existing settlement authority policy.
- 3. Approve the JBWCP Advisory Committee's recommendation to research the fiscal impact of increasing member premiums and raising funding confidence levels.

18-075 Forms: Enforcement of Judgment Exemption (Action Required)

Summary: Assembly Bill 688 (Calderon; Stats. 2017, ch. 529) amended Welfare and Institutions Code section 4880 to provide an exemption from enforcement of judgments for funds in special savings accounts for persons with disabilities. The amount exempted is \$100,000. To assist court users and to help implement this legislation, the exemption must be added to the Judicial Council form that lists exemptions to enforcement of judgments.

Recommendation: The Civil and Small Claims Advisory Committee recommends that the Judicial Council, effective September 1, 2018, revise *Exemptions From the Enforcement of Judgments* (form EJ-155) to add an exemption from enforcement of judgments, not to exceed \$100,000, for funds in savings accounts established under the federal Stephen Beck, Jr., Achieving a Better Life Experience Act of 2014 (ABLE Act); to update the information box on amounts of exemptions; and to make the form a mandatory form.

18-076 Forms: Civil Name Change and Gender Change Forms (Action Required)

Summary: The Civil and Small Claims Advisory Committee recommends adopting, revising, or revoking various Judicial Council name change forms to reflect recently enacted legislative amendments. Senate Bill 179 changed the process for seeking name changes to conform to gender (new Code Civ. Proc., § 1277.5); changed the process for adults seeking recognition of a gender change, including by adding "nonbinary" as one of the genders that can be recognized (amended Health & Saf. Code, §§ 103425 and 103430(a)-(b)); and added a new process for minors seeking recognition of gender changes (new Health & Saf. Code, § 103430(e)). In addition, Senate Bill 310 eliminated the prohibition on name changes for persons under the jurisdiction of the Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation (those in state prison or on parole) and those in county jail, while at the same time adding a service requirement for such petitions.

- **Recommendation:** In order to implement statutory changes enacted in Senate bills 179 and 310, the Civil and Small Claims Advisory Committee recommends the following actions be taken, effective September 1, 2018.
 - 1. The advisory committee recommends that the following forms be revised:
 - *a. Petition for Change of Name* (form NC-100), to reflect the change in procedures for name changes to conform to gender identity and update some of the language on the form;
 - *b.* Attachment to Petition for Change of Name (form NC-110), to update certain language on the form;
 - c. Decree Changing Name (form NC-130) and Decree Changing Name of Minor (By Guardian) (form NC-130G), to reflect the elimination of the prohibition on name changes for persons under the jurisdiction of the Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation and those in county jail,
 - d. Petition for Change of Name, Recognition of Change of Gender, and Issuance of New Birth Certificate (form NC-200), to reflect the change in procedures for name changes to conform to gender identity and the change of procedures for recognition of gender change, and to update some of the language on the form;
 - e. Decree Changing Name and Order Recognizing Change of Gender and for Issuance of New Birth Certificate (form NC-230), to reflect the change in procedures for name changes to conform to gender identity and the change of procedures for recognition of gender change, to reflect the elimination of the prohibition on name changes for persons under the jurisdiction of

the Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation and those in county jail, and to update some of the language on the form; and

- f. Petition for Recognition of Change of Gender and for Issuance of New Birth Certificate (form NC-300) and Order Recognizing Change of Gender and for Issuance of New Birth Certificate (form NC-330), to reflect the change in procedures for recognition of gender change, and to update some of the language on the forms.
- 2. The advisory committee recommends that the following forms be adopted:
 - a. Order to Show Cause for Change of Name to Conform to Gender Identity (form NC-125/NC-225), to implement the change in procedures for name changes to conform to gender identity; and
 - b. Petition for Recognition of Minor's Change of Gender and Issuance of New Birth Certificate (Name Change) (form NC-500) and Order to Show Cause for Recognition of Minor's Change of Gender and Issuance of New Birth Certificate (and Change of Name) (form NC-520), to implement the change in procedures for name changes to conform to gender identity and the change of procedures for recognition of gender change by a minor.
- 3. The advisory committee proposes that the following forms be approved:
 - a. Instructions for Filing a Petition for Change of Name (form NC-100-INFO), moving the current instructions from the back of the petition for change of name to a new form, and revising them to reflect the change in procedures for name changes to conform to gender, to reflect the elimination of the prohibition on name changes for persons under the jurisdiction of the Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation and those in county jail, and to update some of the language on the form;
 - *b.* Notice of Hearing on Petition (form NC-150), a new form to implement the change in procedures for name changes to conform to gender; and
 - c. Instructions for Filing Petition for Recognition of Minor's Change of Gender and Issuance of New Birth Certificate (and Change of Name) (form NC-500-INFO), a new information sheet to implement the change in procedures for name changes to conform to gender identity and the change of procedures for recognition of gender change by minors;
- 4. The advisory committee proposes that the following forms be revoked:
 - a. Declaration of Physician-Attachment to Petition (form NC-210/NC-310) and Setting of Hearing on Petition for Change

of Gender and Issuance of New Birth Certificate (form NC-320), which are no longer required under the new procedures for recognition of gender change; and

 Order to Show Cause for Change of Name (form NC-220), which will be replaced by form NC-150 to implement the changes in the procedures for name changes to conform to gender identity.

<u>18-077</u> Juvenile Law: Sealing of and Access to Records (Action Required)

- **Summary:** The Family and Juvenile Law Advisory Committee recommends new and amended rules and new and revised forms to conform to recently enacted statutory provisions concerning the sealing of juvenile records. The proposal would update recently adopted rules and forms to implement sealing of records under Welfare and Institutions Code section 786 to include recent changes to that section, modify forms to reflect the authority of the court to seal records for section 707(b) offenses, and adopt a new rule and optional form for use by probation to seal records under newly enacted section 786.5.
- **Recommendation:** The Family and Juvenile Law Advisory Committee recommends that the Judicial Council, effective September 1, 2018:
 - 1. Adopt rule 5.850 of the California Rules of Court to implement new statutory provisions providing for the sealing of juvenile records for probation diversion programs;
 - Approve Probation Department Notice on Sealing of Records After Diversion Program (Welf. & Inst. Code, § 786.5) (form JV-597) and Petition to Review Denial of Sealing of Records After Diversion Program (form JV-598) as optional forms for use in implementation of new provisions on the sealing of juvenile records for probation diversion programs;
 - 3. Amend rule 5.552 of the California Rules of Court to include new statutory and procedural requirements for accessing juvenile case file information for research purposes;
 - 4. Amend rule 5.840 of the California Rules of Court to incorporate recent statutory changes on the sealing of juvenile delinquency records for serious and violent offenses;
 - Revise Order to Seal Juvenile Records-Welfare and Institutions Code Section 781 (form JV-590) to clarify that some sealed records should not be destroyed to implement recent changes to Welfare and Institutions Code section 781;
 - 6. Revise *Dismissal and Sealing of Records-Welfare and Institutions Code Section 786* (form JV-596) to allow the court to find that the petition was dismissed before wardship as an alternative to finding that

probation was satisfactorily completed consistent with recent changes in section 786.

 Revise How to Ask the Court to Seal Your Records (form JV-595 -INFO) and Sealing of Records for Satisfactory Completion of Probation (form JV-596-INFO) to include information about the expansion of section 786 and the possibility of record sealing by probation under new section 786.5, and to clarify the much narrower constraints on record sealing by request under section 781 for 707(b) offenses.

18-078Jury Instructions: New, Revised, and Revoked Civil JuryInstructions and Verdict Forms (Release 32) (Action Required)

- Summary: The Advisory Committee on Civil Jury Instructions recommends approving for publication the new, revised, and revoked civil jury instructions and verdict forms prepared by the committee. These revisions bring the instructions up to date with developments in the law over the previous six months. On Judicial Council approval, the instructions will be published in the official 2018 midyear supplement to the 2018 edition of the *Judicial Council of California Civil Jury Instructions (CACI)*.
- **Recommendation:** The Advisory Committee on Civil Jury Instructions recommends that the Judicial Council, effective May 25, 2018, approve for publication the following civil jury instructions and verdict forms prepared by the committee:
 - Revisions to 24 instructions and verdict forms: CACI Nos. 206, 430, 435, 470, 1004,1005, 1500, 1503, VF-1500, 1730, 1731, 1802, 2021, 2521A, 2521B, 2521C, 2522A,2522B, 2522C, 2620, 2800, 3244, 4208, and 4605;
 - Addition of 8 new instructions: CACI Nos. 2630, 2740, 2741, 2742, 2743, and 5022, and a new series on the California False Claims Act (CACI Nos. 4800 and 4801); and
 - 3. Revocation of CACI No. 4010.

18-083Judicial Council-Sponsored Legislation (Court Facilities):Disposition of Mental Health Courthouse (Action Required)

Summary: The Los Angeles County Mental Health Courthouse facility has been permanently closed and is unsuitable to the needs of the judicial branch. The local court supports disposition of this facility. To eliminate the Judicial Council's continuing liability and expense in holding this facility and to realize its value in a fair market value sales transaction, the Policy Coordination and Liaison Committee and the Facilities Policies Working Group recommend that the council approve the sale of this facility as nonsurplus property and direct council staff to take all actions necessary to dispose of it.

Recommendation: The Policy Coordination and Liaison Committee and the Facilities Policies

Working Group recommend that the Judicial Council, effective May 24, 2018:

- 1. Approve the sale of the Los Angeles County Mental Health Courthouse as nonsurplus property in a fair market value transaction subject to obtaining statutory authorization for the disposition of the facility;
- 2. Direct council staff to take all actions necessary to:
 - a. Obtain statutory authorization to dispose of the facility with the proceeds to be directed to the Immediate and Critical Needs Account of the State Court Facilities Construction Fund established by Senate Bill 1407 (Perata; Stats. 2008, ch. 311) or any other Judicial Council facilities fund authorized by the Legislature; and
 - b. Draft and negotiate a real property disposition agreement and any other related necessary documents for the disposition of this facility, which agreement and documents may be contingent on legislative authorization for the disposition of the property; and
- 3. Delegate to the Administrative Director or his designee the authority to sign a real property disposition agreement and any other related necessary document for the facility, which agreement and documents may be contingent on legislative authorization for the disposition of the property.

<u>18-085</u> Juvenile Law: Information for Parents (Action Required)

Summary: The Family and Juvenile Law Advisory Committee recommends revising two Judicial Council forms to update advisements to parents of a child who is the subject of juvenile court wardship proceedings, in order to provide these parents with accurate information about the limits of their responsibility to pay for the costs of services and support provided to their child by the court and county.

- **Recommendation:** The Family and Juvenile Law Advisory Committee recommends that the Judicial Council, effective September 1, 2018, revise two optional Judicial Council forms to update statutorily required legal advisements, as follows:
 - Revise Juvenile Wardship Petition (form JV-600) to update the advisement required by Welfare and Institutions Code section 656(j) to reflect the elimination of parents' liability for fees and costs of services provided by the court or county to their children who are subject to juvenile wardship proceedings by Senate Bill 190 (Stats. 2017, ch. 678, § 11).
 - Revise Notice of Hearing-Juvenile Delinquency Proceeding (form JV-625) to update the advisements required by section 659(e), (f), and (g) to reflect the elimination of parents' liability for fees and costs of services provided by the court or county to their children who are subject to juvenile wardship proceedings by SB 190 (Stats. 2017, ch. 678, § 12) and to make technical changes.

<u>18-088</u>

Rules and Forms: Miscellaneous Technical Changes (Action

	Required)
<u>Summary:</u>	Various members of the judicial branch, members of the public, and Judicial
	Council staff have identified errors in the California Rules of Court and Judicial
	Council forms resulting from typographical errors and changes resulting from
	legislation and previous rule amendments and form revisions. Judicial Council staff
	recommend making the necessary corrections to avoid causing confusion for court
	users, clerks, and judicial officers.
Recommendation:	Judicial Council staff recommend that the council, effective September 1, 2018:
	1. Revise form CR-112/JV 792, Instructions: Order for Victim
	<i>Restitution</i> , to insert the image of the new version of form CR-110/JV-
	790 on the first page.
	2. Revise optional form JV-216, Order Delegating Judicial Authority
	Over Psychotropic Medication, as it inadvertently contains the signature
	block, "Type or print name of person completing this form," but should
	contain the standard signature block for judicial officers. The form must
	be signed by a judicial officer. Under Welfare and Institutions Code
	sections 369.5 and 739.5, only the juvenile court can issue an order
	delegating the authority to make decisions about psychotropic
	medications for children removed from the custody of a parent.
	3. Revise form JV-750, Determination of Eligibility: Deferred Entry of
	Judgment-Juvenile. Welfare and Institutions Code section 790(a)(7)
	was added to the criteria for Deferred Entry of Judgment (Sen. Bill 838
	[Beall]; Stats. 2014, ch. 919), but inadvertently was not added to form
	JV-750. Staff recommend adding "or 790(a)(7)" at the end of item 1.c
	after "section707(b)" and before the period.
	4. Revise form MC-012, Memorandum of Costs After Judgment,
	Acknowledgement of Credit, and Declaration of Accrued Interest, to
	correct the Code of Civil Procedure reference in item 2.b.
	5. Revise all code references in the Transitional Housing forms to reflect the
	recasting of the relevant statutory provisions from Health and Safety
	Code, section 50580 et seq. to Civil Code section 1954.10 et seq. The
	forms to be revised are Petition for Order Prohibiting Abuse or
	Program Misconduct (form TH-100); Order to Show Cause and
	Temporary Restraining Order (form TH-110); Participant's Response
	(form TH-120); Order After Hearing (form TH-130); Proof of
	Personal Service (form TH-140); Restatement of Transitional
	Housing Misconduct Act (form TH-190); Instructions for Program
	Operators (form TH-200); and Instructions for Participants (form TH-
	210).

18-089Forms: Technical Changes-Redesignate and Renumber 41Miscellaneous (MC) Forms and Amend One Rule of Court

(Action Required)

- Summary: There are currently over 75 Judicial Council forms (including a few foreign language versions) that bear a subject-area identifier of MC (Miscellaneous). "Miscellaneous" is not a very helpful term to a user who is searching for a particular form from the "Select a Category" drop-down list on the California Courts website. Many of the MC forms actually fit into discrete subject areas that could be assigned unique category-identifying labels. Others could fit within an existing category-identifying label. Judicial Council staff recommend redesignating and renumbering 41 of these MC forms (plus four foreign language forms) to give them a more specific identifying label.
- **Recommendation:** Judicial Council staff recommend that the council, effective September 1, 2018:
 - 1. Create new forms category Birth, Marriage, Death (BMD) and renumber forms as follows:
 - a. MC-361 to BMD-001
 - b. MC-361A to BMD-001A
 - c. MC-362 to BMD-002
 - d. MC-362A to BMD-002A
 - e. MC-360 to BMD-003
 - f. MC-360A to BMD-003A
 - 2. Create new forms category Emancipation of Minors (EM) and renumber forms as follows:
 - a. MC-300 to EM-100
 - MC-301 (and foreign language versions MC-301-C, MC-301 -K, MC-301-S, and MC-301-V) to EM-100-INFO (and corresponding C, K, S, and V versions)
 - c. MC 305 to EM-109
 - d. MC-306 to EM-115
 - e. MC-310 to EM-130
 - f. MC-315 to EM-140
 - 3. Create new forms category Habeas Corpus (HC) and renumber forms as follows:
 - a. MC-275 to HC-001
 - b. MC-265 to HC-002
 - c. MC-270 to HC-003
 - d. CR-175 to HC-004
 - 4. Create new forms category Jury Selection (JURY) and renumber forms as follows:
 - a. MC-001 to JURY-001
 - b. MC-002 to JURY-002
 - c. MC-003 to JURY-003
 - d. MC-070 to JURY-010
 - 5. Create new forms category Menacing Dog (MD) and renumber forms as follows:

- a. MC-600 to MD-100
- b. MC-601 to MD-109
- c. MC-602 to MD-130
- d. MC-603 to MD-140
- 6. Create new forms category Vexatious Litigants (VL) and renumber forms as follows:
 - a. MC-700 to VL-100
 - b. MC-701 to VL-110
 - c. MC-702 to VL-115
 - d. MC-703 to VL-120
 - e. MC-704 to VL-125
- 7. Move the following forms to the Civil (CIV) category as follows:
 - a. MC-950 to CIV-150
 - b. MC-955 to CIV-151
 - c. MC-956 to CIV-152
 - d. MC-958 to CIV-153
 - e. MC-100 to CIV-160
 - f. MC-101 to CIV-161
 - g. MC-095 to CIV-170
- 8. Move the following forms to the Criminal (CR) category as follows:
 - a. MC-210 to CR-105
 - b. MC-280 to CR-173
 - c. MC-281 to CR-174
 - d. MC-400 to CR-220
- 9. Move form MC-060 to the Case Management (CM) category and renumber as CM-011.
- 10. Amend rule 3.36 of the California Rules of Court to conform the internal references to forms to the new form designations and numbers.

<u>18-090</u> Juvenile Law: Presumptive Transfer of Specialty Mental Health Services (Action Required)

- Summary: The Family and Juvenile Law Advisory Committee proposes the adoption of one statewide rule and four juvenile law (JV) forms, including an information sheet. The rule and forms implement a procedural framework and are intended to provide procedural clarity for a juvenile court review hearing created by recent legislation involving foster children's access to specialty mental health services under federal early and periodic screening, diagnosis and treatment services. The committee also recommends renumbering a JV form to keep the JV forms related to this proposal in sequential order with other JV forms related to mental health treatment for foster children, including the administration of a foster child's psychotropic medications.
- **Recommendation:** The Family and Juvenile Law Advisory committee recommends that the Judicial Council,

effective September 1, 2018:

- 1. Adopt the following rule and forms:
 - a. Rule 5.647 of the California Rules of Court;
 - Request for Hearing on Waiver of Presumptive Transfer (form JV-214);
 - c. Notice of and Order on Request for Hearing on Waiver of Presumptive Transfer (form JV-214(A));
 - Instructions for Requesting a Hearing to Review Waiver of Presumptive Transfer of Specialty Mental Health Services (form JV-214-INFO); and
 - e. Order After Hearing on Waiver of Presumptive Transfer (form JV-215); and
- 2. Renumber Application to Review Decision by Social Worker Not to Commence Proceedings from JV-215 to JV-212.

18-091Judicial Council Budget: Reporting Requirement for Trial
Court Trust Fund and the State Trial Court Improvement and
Modernization Fund Encumbrances (Action Required)

Summary: The Trial Court Budget Advisory Committee (TCBAC) proposes changing the required reporting of the encumbrance report for all programs funded from the Trial Court Trust Fund (TCTF) and/or State Trial Court Improvement and Modernization Fund (IMF) from semiannual to annual. Reducing the reporting requirement will increase Judicial Council staff efficiencies.

Recommendation: The Trial Court Budget Advisory Committee unanimously recommends that the Judicial Council, effective May 24, 2018, change reporting requirements on the encumbrances for all programs funded from the TCTF and IMF from semiannual to annual.

18-092Trial Court Allocations: Trial Court Trust Fund Funds Held on
Behalf of the Trial Courts (Action Required)

Summary: The Fiscal Planning Subcommittee of the Trial Court Budget Advisory Committee recommends that the Judicial Council approve three new requests and eight amended requests for Trial Court Trust Fund funds to be held on behalf of the trial courts. Under the Judicial Council-adopted process, a court may request that funding reduced as a result of a court exceeding its 1 percent fund balance cap be retained in the TCTF for the benefit of that court.

- **Recommendation:** Based on actions taken at its April 5 and April 20, 2018, meetings, the Fiscal Planning Subcommittee of the Trial Court Budget Advisory Committee recommends that the Judicial Council, effective May 24, 2018: Approve the following, new requests totaling \$301,759 (Attachment A):
 - 1. \$50,350 request of the Superior Court of Placer County (Attachment C);

- 2. \$1,409 request of the Superior Court of Yuba County (Attachment D); and
- 3. \$250,000 new request of the Superior Court of San Mateo County (Attachment E).

Approve the following, amended requests resulting in a reduction of \$1,158,239 from the original requests (Attachment B):

- 4. \$713,693 request of the Superior Court of Alameda County, which reduces its original request of \$859,203 by \$145,510 (Attachment F).
- 5. Approve the Superior Court of Glenn County's request to amend the fiscal year of expending the remaining \$29,000 from 2017-18 to 2018-19 (Attachment G).
- 6. \$53,151 request of the Superior Court of Butte County, which reduces its original request of \$53,561 by \$410 (Attachment H).
- 7. \$2,253,419 request of the Superior Court of Los Angeles County, which reduces its original request of \$3,200,000 by \$946,581 (Attachment I).
- 8. \$385,693 request of the Superior Court of San Francisco County, which reduces its original request of \$447,147 by \$61,454 (Attachment J).
- 9. \$39,716 request of the Superior Court of Siskiyou County, which reduces its original request of \$44,000 by \$4,284 (Attachment K).
- 10. Request of the Superior Court of Sutter County, which amends the fiscal year to expend \$60,840 in 2017-18 to \$13,958 in 2017-18 and the remaining \$46,882 in 2018-19 (Attachment L).
- 11. Request of the Superior Court of Tulare County, which amends the fiscal year to expend \$45,020 from 2017-18 to 2018-19 (Attachment M).

18-093Juvenile Dependency: Fiscal Year 2017-18 Allocation for
Juvenile Dependency Counsel Collections Program and
Court-Appointed Counsel Expected Unspent Funding
Reallocation (Action Required)

Summary: The Trial Court Budget Advisory Committee recommends two redistributions of funding for court-appointed juvenile dependency counsel for fiscal year (FY) 2017-18: (1) The recommendation is made to allocate \$542,892 to the trial courts calculated according to the methodology adopted by the Judicial Council at its August 23, 2013, business meeting; and (2) reallocate unspent dependency counsel funding from courts that have identified funds they do not intend to spend to courts funded at below the average statewide funding level.

Recommendation: The Trial Court Budget Advisory Committee recommends that the Judicial Council, effective May 24, 2018:

 Allocate \$542,892, the FY 2016-17 Juvenile Dependency Counsel Collections Program (JDCCP) funds remitted in excess of dependency counsel program administrative costs, to the trial courts calculated according to the methodology adopted by the Judicial Council at its August 23, 2013, business meeting (Attachment A shows projected allocations based on information received at the time of this report).

2. Allocate \$679,351, the FY 2017-18 Court-Appointed Counsel expected unspent funding, to the trial courts calculated according to the methodology adopted by the Judicial Council at January 22, 2015, business meeting. Attachment B (column J) shows projected allocations based on information obtained from trial courts at the time of this report.

18-097 Rules and Forms: Technical Change to Criminal Form (Action Required)

- **Summary:** The Criminal Law Advisory committee, based upon a public comment, has identified a formatting error in Judicial Council form CR-101. The Criminal Law Advisory Committee recommends making the necessary correction to avoid causing confusion for court users, clerks, and judicial officers.
- **Recommendation:** The Criminal Law Advisory committee recommends that the council, effective May 25, 2018, revise form CR-101, Plea Form with Explanations and Waiver of Rights-Felony, by inserting a box for the defendant's initials following item 1.

<u>18-099</u> Judicial Council: Nonvoting Council Position (Action Required)

- Summary: The chair of the Executive and Planning Committee recommends the Judicial Council approve one advisory nonvoting Judicial Council position for appointment to the council for a three-year term with the new 2018 Judicial Council appointments. The Judicial Council has the authority to add nonvoting positions under article VI, section 6 of the California Constitution and rule 10.2 of the California Rules of Court. This position will provide the council with an additional source of court-based knowledge and statewide perspective and additional geographic and gender diversity among its membership.
- **Recommendation:** The chair of the Executive and Planning Committee recommends that the Judicial Council approve the creation of one additional advisory nonvoting council position for a three-year term from September 15, 2018, through September 14, 2021.

DISCUSSION AGENDA

<u>18-082</u>

Judicial Administration: Public Disclosure of Settlement Agreements (Action Required)

Summary: On April 10, 2018, Chief Justice Tani G. Cantil-Sakauye asked the Judicial Council to take immediate action to revise the court rule on public records to clarify that settlement agreements to resolve sexual harassment and discrimination complaints against judicial officers must be publicly disclosed in response to records requests. She created a working group to review and make recommendations to modify the rule to achieve this goal. The working group recommends that the Judicial Council amend California Rules of Court, rule 10.500, on public access to judicial administrative records, to clarify that settlement agreements must be disclosed in

response to public records requests and that the names of judicial officers may not be redacted from those agreements.

Recommendation: The Rule 10.500 Working Group recommends that the Judicial Council, effective June 1, 2018, amend rule 10.500(f)(7), to clarify that:

- 1. Judicial branch entities, in response to judicial administrative records requests, must disclose any settlement agreement for which public funds were spent in payment of the settlement, including any settlement agreement arising from claims or complaints of sexual harassment or sexual discrimination;
- 2. The names of judicial officers may not be redacted from the settlement agreement produced; and
- 3. The names of complainants and witnesses, and any other information that would identify complainants or witnesses, may be redacted.

A motion was made by Presiding Judge Nadler, seconded by Judge Lyons and Mr. Kelly, that this proposal be approved. The motion carried by a unanimous vote.

18-094 Trial Court Budget: Trial Court Trust Fund Revenue Shortfall (Action Requested)

Summary: The continued decline of fine, fee, and forfeiture revenues that support trial court base allocations, and the lack of an adjustment to the revenue backfill through the state budget process, has resulted in a projected current-year revenue shortfall of no more than \$7 million in the Trial Court Trust Fund (TCTF). As a result, the Trial Court Budget Advisory Committee recommends approving coverage of the current-year revenue shortfall from the TCTF's fund balance. The TCTF has a structural deficit but sufficient unrestricted funds in the fund balance to cover this shortfall.

Recommendation: The Trial Court Budget Advisory Committee unanimously recommends that the Judicial Council, effective May 24, 2018, approve that the current-year revenue shortfall, estimated at or below \$7 million, be covered from the Trial Court Trust Fund's fund balance.

A motion was made by Judge Gordon, seconded by Justice Chin, that this proposal be approved. The motion carried by a unanimous vote.

 18-081
 Judicial Council Budget: Adjustments to Judicial

 Council-Approved FY 2017-18 Allocations from the State Trial

 Court Improvement and Modernization Fund (Action Required)

Summary:The Judicial Council's Court Operations Services, Information Technology, and Legal
Services offices have identified funding needs of \$1,292,000 in addition to the fiscal
year (FY) 2017-18 Judicial Council-approved allocations from the State Trial Court
Improvement and Modernization Fund (IMF). The Trial Court Budget Advisory
Committee recommends approving the augmentation requests for the current fiscal
year.

Recommendation: The Trial Court Budget Advisory Committee unanimously recommends that the

Judicial Council approve the total FY 2017-18 augmentation request from the State Trial Court Improvement and Modernization Fund of \$1,292,000 for Court Operations Services, Information Technology, and Legal Services, effective May 24, 2018.

A motion was made by Ms. Ibarra, seconded by Mr. Kelly, that this proposal be approved. The motion carried by a unanimous vote.

18-095Trial Court Budget: Minimum Operating and Emergency FundBalance Policy (Action Required)

- **Summary:** The Judicial Council's suspension of the minimum operating and emergency fund balance policy expires as of June 30, 2018. The minimum operating and emergency fund balance policy, established by the Judicial Council in October 2006 and revised in April 2009, requires trial courts to set aside a percentage of funds for use in emergency situations or when revenue shortages or budgetary imbalances may exist. This policy has been suspended by the Judicial Council since August 31, 2012, due to changes in statute and to provide for the opportunity to seek repeal. The Trial Court Budget Advisory Committee recommends that the Judicial Council approve an extension to the suspension of the minimum operating and emergency fund balance policy.
- **Recommendation:** The Trial Court Budget Advisory Committee unanimously recommends that the Judicial Council extend the suspension of the minimum operating and emergency fund balance policy for two fiscal years until June 30, 2020-or earlier if Government Code section 77203 is repealed or amended-while in the interim the council continues to seek repeal or amendment of Government Code section 77203.

A motion was made by Judge Rubin, seconded by Judge Brodie, that this proposal be approved. The motion carried by a unanimous vote.

INFORMATION ONLY ITEMS (NO ACTION REQUIRED)

<u>18-071</u>	Judicial Branch Budget: Quarterly Report on the Judicial Council's Court Innovations Grant Program, Fiscal Year 2017-18, Quarter 3
<u>Summary:</u>	This report summarizes fiscal year 2017-18 third quarter Judicial Council Court Innovations Grant program activity.
<u>18-074</u>	Judicial Branch Administration: Release of Demographic Data on California Justices and Judges
<u>Summary:</u>	This informational report to the Judicial Council is of aggregate demographic information concerning the gender, race/ethnicity, sexual orientation, gender identity, and veteran and disability status of California's justices and judges by specific jurisdiction, which council staff is required by statute to collect and release annually. In general, findings indicate that the California bench has become more diverse over time.

18-079Report to the Legislature: Purchase or Lease of Electronic
Recording Equipment by Superior Courts (July 1-December 31,
2017)

Summary: Government Code section 69958 requires that the Judicial Council report to the Legislature semiannually on all purchases and leases of electronic recording equipment that will be used to record superior court proceedings.

18-080Trial Courts: Quarterly Investment Report for First Quarter of
2018

Summary: This *Trial Courts: Quarterly Investment Report for First Quarter of 2018* covers the period of January 1, 2018, through March 31, 2018, and provides the financial results for the funds invested by the Judicial Council on behalf of the trial courts as part of the judicial branch treasury program. The report is submitted under agenda item 10, Resolutions Regarding Investment Activities for the Trial Courts, approved by the Judicial Council on February 27, 2004.

18-084Court Facilities: Trial Court Facility Modifications Report for
Quarter 3 of Fiscal Year 2017-18

Summary: This informational report to the Judicial Council outlines the allocations of facility modification funding made to improve trial court facilities in the third quarter (January through March) of fiscal year (FY) 2017-18. To determine allocations, the Trial Court Facility Modification Advisory Committee (TCFMAC) reviews and approves facility modification requests from across the state in accordance with the council's *Trial Court Facility Modifications Policy*.

There have been no Circulating Orders since the last business meeting.

Appointment Orders

18-098 Appointment orders since last business meeting.

In Memoriam

The Chief Justice concluded the meeting with a remembrance of the following judicial colleagues recently deceased, honoring their service to their courts and to the cause of justice:

- Hon. Jacob Adajian (Ret.), Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles
- Hon. Armand Arabian (Ret.), Supreme Court of California
- Hon. Philip R. Castellucci (Ret.), Superior Court of California, County of Merced
- Hon. Gene M. Gomes (Ret.), Court of Appeal, Fifth Appellate District
- Hon. John L. Henning (Ret.), Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles

- Hon. Paul R. Haerle (Ret.), Court of Appeal, First Appellate District, Division Five
- Hon. Samuel H. Mesnick (Ret.), Superior Court of California, County of Contra Costa
- Hon. Bernard E. Revak (Ret.), Superior Court of California, County of San Diego
- Hon. Christopher J. Sheldon (Ret.), Superior Court of California, County of Riverside
- Hon. Bruce W. Sumner (Ret.), Superior Court of California, County of Orange
- Hon. Donald A. Thomas (Ret.), Superior Court of California, County of Monterey

Adjournment

With the meeting's business completed, the Chief Justice adjourned the meeting at approximately 1:05 p.m.

Respectfully submitted by Administrative Director Martin Hoshino, Secretary to the Judicial Council, on July 19. 2018.