

JUDICIAL COUNCIL OF CALIFORNIA

455 Golden Gate Avenue • San Francisco, California 94102-3688 www.courts.ca.gov

REPORT TO THE JUDICIAL COUNCIL

Item No.: 21-148
For business meeting on October 1, 2021

Title

Child Support: Midyear Funding Reallocation for Fiscal Year 2020–21

Recommended by

Judicial Council Staff
Martin Hoshino, Administrative Director

Agenda Item Type

Information Only

Date of Report

September 13, 2021

Contact

Anna L. Maves, 916-263-8624 anna.maves@jud.ca.gov

Executive Summary

Effective January 17, 2020, the Judicial Council approved the Family and Juvenile Law Advisory Committee's recommendation to combine the previous two Assembly Bill 1058 midyear funding reallocation processes into one administrative process to maximize program efficiencies. The new administrative process for midyear reallocation delegates ongoing authority to the Administrative Director on an annual basis. This report details the midyear reallocation of funding for the Child Support Commissioner and Family Law Facilitator Program for fiscal year 2020–21.

Relevant Previous Council Action

The Judicial Council is required annually to allocate non–Trial Court Trust Fund funds to the Child Support Commissioner (CSC) and Family Law Facilitator (FLF) program and has done so since 1997. Funds for this program are provided through a cooperative agreement between the California Department of Child Support Services (DCSS) and the Judicial Council. Any funds unspent during the fiscal year revert to the state General Fund and cannot be used in subsequent years.

Under an established procedure described in the standard agreement with each superior court, historically at midyear the Judicial Council redistributed both base funding and federal

drawdown funds to courts that indicated a need for additional funds from any unallocated funds and any available funds from courts that projected not to spend their full grants.

In February 2016, the Judicial Council approved an additional reallocation of AB 1058 funds as recommended by the AB 1058 Funding Allocation Joint Subcommittee to take place in April of each year. This second reallocation process authorized the Administrative Director to continue to monitor spending patterns and adjust the midyear reallocations by redistributing funds based on projected expenditures or savings information gathered by conducting a financial analysis of invoices submitted by the courts and working with courts to identify their financial needs through the end of the fiscal year.¹

At its meeting on January 17, 2020, the Judicial Council adopted the recommendation from the Family and Juvenile Law Advisory Committee to combine the two AB 1058 midyear funding reallocation processes into one administrative process. Effective January 17, 2020, this recommendation delegated authority, on an ongoing annual basis, to the Administrative Director to ensure program efficiencies and maximize the use of program funding by moving funds from courts that voluntarily return funds to courts that will exhaust their annually allocated funds before the end of the fiscal year.²

Analysis/Rationale

The midyear reallocation process is a one-time adjustment in each fiscal year and is intended to maximize the funding for the AB 1058 trial court programs. Each court completes a questionnaire to indicate whether it anticipates having additional funds that can be reallocated to courts that have demonstrated a need for additional funds. The historical purpose of the midyear reallocation is to meet one-time, nonrecurring special needs, such as equipment purchases or temporary help to clear work backlogs. However, because AB 1058 program funding has not increased since 2008, courts indicated a need for additional funds just to maintain current service levels resulting from the increased costs of providing services.

Funds available for reallocation are from courts that did not take their full allocation at the beginning of 2020–21 and courts that volunteered to return funds not anticipated to be spent before the end of the fiscal year. Based on a reallocation methodology approved by the Judicial Council, available funds were proportionally reallocated to courts requesting additional funds based on their share of the total base funding.

This year, for the CSC program, a total of \$1,060,281 was reallocated. Eight courts returned \$216, 943 in base funds, and 11 courts returned \$843,338 in federal drawdown funds. Seventeen

¹ For more details, see Judicial Council of Cal., Advisory Com. Rep., *Child Support: Child Support Commissioner and Family Law Facilitator Program Funding Allocation* (Feb. 1, 2016), https://jcc.legistar.com/View.ashx?M=F&ID=4250437&GUID=98FC98F3-0679-40FA-B131-22432724CC27.

² For more details, see Judicial Council of Cal., Advisory Com. Rep., *Child Support: Midyear Funding Reallocation Process for the Child Support Commissioner and Family Law Facilitator Programs* (Dec. 20, 2019), https://jcc.legistar.com/View.ashx?M=F&ID=7982101&GUID=519545BC-7D0B-4FA0-88F9-3AA25965315B.

courts requested additional base funds, and 5 courts requested additional federal drawdown funds. Fifteen courts requested additional federal drawdown funds if base funds were unavailable. See Attachment A for details.

For the FLF program, a total of \$551,323 was reallocated. Six courts returned \$114,939 in base funds, and 8 courts returned \$436,384 in federal drawdown funds. Twenty courts requested additional base funds, and 5 courts requested additional federal drawdown funds. Seventeen courts requested additional federal drawdown funds if base funds were unavailable. See Attachment B for details.

Fiscal Impact and Policy Implications

The midyear process allows for the maximization of AB 1058 program funding to best support courts in meeting their mandates under Family Code sections 4251 and 10002 to hire sufficient program staff to provide AB 1058 services to the public.

Attachments and Links

- 1. Attachment A: Child Support Commissioner (CSC) Program Midyear Reallocation, 2020–21
- 2. Attachment B: Family Law Facilitator (FLF) Program Midyear Reallocation, 2020–21

| | | A | В | С | D | E | F | G | Н | I | ı |
|----|----------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|---|---|---|---|---|----------------------------|----------------------------|
| 1 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | CSC Court | Beginning Base Funding Allocation | Beginning Federal Drawdown Option | Mid-Year Changes to Base Allocation | Mid-Year Changes to Federal Drawdown Option | Recommended Base Funding Allocation (A + C) | Recommended Federal Drawdown Option Allocation (B + D) | Federal Share 66% (Column F * .66) | Court Share 34% (Column F * .34) | Total Allocation (E +F) | Contract Amount (E + G) |
| | Alameda | 941,935 | 549,815 | | | 941,935 | 549,815 | 362,878 | 186,937 | 1,491,750 | 1,304,81 |
| | Alpine (see El Dorado) | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Amador | 128,434 235,804 | 45,736 | -45,804 | | 128,434 190,000 | 45,736 0 | 30,186 | 15,550 | 174,170 190,000 | 158,62 190,00 |
| | Butte Calaveras | 121,490 | 10,000 | -45,804 | -10,000 | 190,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 111,490 | 111,49 |
| | Colusa | 41,841 | 20,809 | -10,000 | -10,000 | 41,841 | 20,809 | 13,734 | 7,075 | 62,650 | 55,57 |
| | Contra Costa | 686,188 | 0 | 16,228 | 63,941 | 702,416 | 63,941 | 42,201 | 21,740 | 766,357 | 744,61 |
| 8 | Del Norte | 46,158 | 29,023 | | · | 46,158 | 29,023 | 19,155 | 9,868 | 75,181 | 65,31 |
| 9 | El Dorado | 166,902 | 100,382 | | -20,284 | 166,902 | 80,098 | 52,865 | 27,233 | 247,000 | 219,70 |
| | Fresno | 1,271,489 | 843,800 | 30,071 | 70,127 | 1,301,560 | 913,927 | 603,192 | 310,735 | 2,215,487 | 1,904,75 |
| | Glenn | 109,917 | 63,012 | | -39,945 | 109,917 | 23,067 | 15,224 | 7,843 | 132,984 | 125,14 |
| | Humboldt | 97,979 | 59,801 | | | 97,979 | 59,801 | 39,469 | 20,332 | 157,780 | 137,44 |
| | Imperial | 146,110 72,586 | 99,977 45,640 | 3,455 -13,441 | 15,075 -45,640 | 149,565 59,145 | 115,052 | 75,934 | 39,118 | 264,617 59,145 | 225,49 59,14 |
| | Inyo Kern | 72,586 592,432 | 45,640 | -13,441 -52,432 | -45,640 | 59,145 540,000 | 350,000 | 231,000 | 119,000 | 890,000 | 771,00 |
| | Kings | 237,854 | 166,716 | 3,146 | -33,377 | 241,000 | 166,716 | 110,033 | 56,683 | 407,716 | 351,03 |
| | Lake | 121,931 | 37,000 | 2,884 | 12,580 | 124,815 | 49,580 | 32,723 | 16,857 | 174,395 | 157,53 |
| | Lassen | 54,945 | 0 | ,,,,,, | , | 54,945 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 54,945 | 54,94 |
| 19 | Los Angeles | 4,674,071 | 3,198,270 | | -254,341 | 4,674,071 | 2,943,929 | 1,942,993 | 1,000,936 | 7,618,000 | 6,617,06 |
| 20 | Madera | 171,281 | 83,000 | 4,051 | 17,672 | 175,332 | 100,672 | 66,444 | 34,228 | 276,004 | 241,77 |
| | Marin | 99,201 | 34,980 | 2,346 | 10,235 | 101,547 | 45,215 | 29,842 | 15,373 | 146,762 | 131,38 |
| | Mariposa | 68,879 | 0 | -35,472 | | 33,407 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 33,407 | 33,40 |
| | Mendocino | 133,833 | 51,250 | 3,165 | 5,300 | 136,998 | 56,550 | 37,323 | 19,227 | 193,548 | 174,33 |
| | Merced | 424,236 | 297,354 | | | 424,236 | 297,354 | 196,254 | 101,100 | 721,590 | 620,49 |
| | Modoc Mono | 42,101 | 5,000 | -10,000 | -5,000 | 32,101 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 32,101 | 32,10 |
| | Monterey | 312,440 | 100,556 | 7,389 | 13,876 | 319,829 | 114,432 | 75,525 | 38,907 | 434,261 | 395,35 |
| | Napa | 82,531 | 100,550 | -19,337 | 15,570 | 63,194 | 0 | 75,525 | 0 | 63,194 | 63,19 |
| | Nevada | 270,153 | 0 | | | 270,153 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 270,153 | 270,15 |
| | Orange | 1,807,134 | 326,142 | | -120,000 | 1,807,134 | 206,142 | 136,054 | 70,088 | 2,013,276 | 1,943,18 |
| 31 | Placer | 270,074 | 51,092 | | | 270,074 | 51,092 | 33,721 | 17,371 | 321,166 | 303,79 |
| | Plumas | 87,708 | 0 | | | 87,708 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 87,708 | 87,70 |
| 33 | Riverside* | 888,304 | 244,375 | | -186,081 | 888,304 | 58,294 | 38,474 | 19,820 | 946,598 | 926,77 |
| | Sacramento | 922,891 | 500,000 | | | 922,891 | 500,000 | 330,000 | 170,000 | 1,422,891 | 1,252,89 |
| | San Benito | 123,978 | 30,000 | | | 123,978 | 30,000 | 19,800 | 10,200 | 153,978 | 143,7 |
| | San Bernardino | 2,270,632 | 1,393,318 | 53,701 | 234,270 150,615 | 2,324,333 | 1,627,588 | 1,074,208 | 553,380 | 3,951,921 2,655,863 | 3,398,54 |
| | San Diego San Francisco | 1,459,818 709,338 | 1,010,905 441,796 | 34,525 16,776 | 50,034 | 1,494,343 726,114 | 1,161,520 491.830 | 766,603 324.608 | 394,917 167,222 | 1,217,944 | 2,260,94 1,050,72 |
| | San Joaquin | 605,249 | 50,000 | 10,770 | 62,446 | 605,249 | 112,446 | 74,214 | 38,232 | 717,695 | 679,46 |
| | San Luis Obispo | 181,324 | 127,093 | | 02,440 | 181,324 | 127,093 | 83,881 | 43,212 | 308,417 | 265,20 |
| | San Mateo | 306,282 | 214,678 | | | 306,282 | 214,678 | 141,687 | 72,991 | 520,960 | 447,96 |
| | Santa Barbara | 376,255 | 149,724 | 8,898 | 38,820 | 385,153 | 188,544 | 124,439 | 64,105 | 573,697 | 509,59 |
| 43 | Santa Clara | 1,394,150 | 977,183 | | | 1,394,150 | 977,183 | 644,941 | 332,242 | 2,371,333 | 2,039,09 |
| | Santa Cruz | 153,316 | 36,000 | 3,626 | 15,818 | 156,942 | 51,818 | 34,200 | 17,618 | 208,760 | 191,14 |
| | Shasta | 344,818 | 205,874 | | | 344,818 | 205,874 | 135,877 | 69,997 | 550,692 | 480,69 |
| | Sierra (see Nevada) | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Siskiyou | 102,457 | 0 | -30,457 | | 72,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 72,000 | 72,00 |
| | Solano | 405,438 | 95,481 | | | 405,438 | 95,481 | 63,017 | 32,464 | 500,919 | 468,45 |
| | Sonoma Stanislaus | 392,061 606,102 | 221,104 260,000 | 14,334 | 50,666 | 392,061 620,436 | 221,104 310,666 | 145,929 205.040 | 75,175 105,626 | 613,165 931,102 | 537,99 825.47 |
| | Sutter | 157,920 | 63,487 | 14,334 | -63,487 | 157,920 | 310,666 | 203,040 | 103,626 | 157,920 | 157,92 |
| | Tehama | 83,276 | 56,982 | 1,969 | 8,592 | 85,245 | 65,574 | 43,279 | 22,295 | 150,819 | 128,52 |
| | Trinity (see Shasta) | 53,270 | 30,382 | 2,303 | 0,552 | 55,245 | 53,374 | .5,275 | , | 223,013 | |
| | Tulare | 438,839 | 68,348 | 10,379 | 23,271 | 449,218 | 91,619 | 60,469 | 31,150 | 540,837 | 509,68 |
| | Tuolumne | 130,261 | 78,346 | 3,512 | | 130,261 | 78,346 | 51,708 | 26,638 | 208,607 | 181,96 |
| | Ventura | 461,656 | 106,527 | | -43,183 | 461,656 | 63,344 | 41,807 | 21,537 | 525,000 | 503,46 |
| 57 | Yolo | 168,048 | 33,000 | | | 168,048 | 33,000 | 21,780 | 11,220 | 201,048 | 189,82 |
| 58 | Yuba | 166,886 | 50,000 | | | 166,886 | 50,000 | 33,000 | 17,000 | 216,886 | 199,88 |

 CSC Base Funds
 26,366,936

 CSC Federal Drawdown
 13,038,953

 Total FundingAllocated
 39,405,889

26,366,936 Final CSC Base Funds 13,038,953 Final CSC Federal Drawdown 39,405,889 Total Funding Allocated

^{*}Beginning base allocation includes funds not taken at the beginning of the fiscal year.

| | | A | В . | · ·, | n | ram Midyear Rea | F | G | Н | | |
|----------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|----------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|
| | | | | | Mid-Year Changes to | Recommended Base | Recommended Federal Drawdown | Federal Share 66% | Court Share 34% | | |
| # | FLF Court | Beginning Base Funding Allocation | Beginning Federal Drawdown Option | Mid-Year Changes to Base Allocation | Federal Drawdown Option | Funding Allocation (A + C) | Option Allocation (B + D) | (Column F * .66) | (Column F * .34) | Total Allocation (E +F) | Contract Amount (E + G) |
| 1 | Alameda | 302,087 | 247,743 | | -82,969 | 302,087 | 164,774 | 108,751 | 56,023 | 466,861 | 410, |
| 2 | Alpine (see El Dorado) | | | | | | | | | | |
| 3 | Amador | 43,083 | 4,701 | | | 43,083 | 4,701 | 3,103 | 1,598 | 47,784 | 46, |
| 4 | Butte | 84,694 | 61,250 | | | 84,694 | 61,250 | 40,425 | 20,825 | 145,944 | 125, |
| 5 | Calaveras | 64,925 | 8,000 | -4,925 | -8,000 | 60,000 | 0 | 0 | 2.026 | 60,000 | 60, |
| 6 | Colusa | 32,713 | 8,900 | | | 32,713 | 8,900 | 5,874 | 3,026 | 41,613 343,071 | 38 |
| 7 | Contra Costa | 287,587 | 0 | 9,724 | 45,760 | 297,311 | 45,760 | 30,202 | 15,558 | | 327 |
| 9 | Del Norte | 45,947 88,258 | 5,971 50,384 | | | 45,947 88,258 | 5,971 50,384 | 3,941 33,253 | 2,030 17,131 | 51,918 138,642 | 49 121 |
| | El Dorado | | | | | | | | | 515,001 | 451 |
| 10 | Fresno | 328,405 | 186,596 | 20.101 | | 328,405 | 186,596 | 123,153 | 63,443 | 49,479 | 451 |
| 11 | Glenn* | 69,660 | 0 | -20,181 | 44.044 | 49,479 | 24 505 | 14.246 | 7 220 | | |
| 12 | Humboldt | 74,232 | 9,774 | 2,510 | 11,811 | 76,742 | 21,585 | 14,246 | 7,339 | 98,327 | 90 |
| 13 | Imperial . | 44,001 | 36,086 | -4,069 | 27.474 | 44,001 | 36,086 | 23,817 | 12,269 | 80,087 48,479 | 67, 48, |
| 14 | Inyo | 52,548 | 27,171 | | -27,171 | 48,479 | 0 | 463.616 | 02.000 | 48,479 552,626 | 48 |
| 15 | Kern | 295,597 | 200,000 | 9,995 -23,686 | 47,034 | 305,592 | 247,034 | 163,042 | 83,992 | 25,000 | 468, |
| 16 | Kings | 48,686 47,917 | 32,000 26,836 | | -32,000 | 25,000 49,537 | 0 34,460 | 22,744 | 11,716 | 25,000 83,997 | 72, |
| 17 | Lake | | 26,836 | 1,620 | 7,624 | | 34,460 | 22,/44 | 11,/16 | 83,997 59,729 | 59, |
| 18 | Lassen | 59,729 | 000.00 | | 153 346 | 59,729 | 0 | 429,056 | 221,029 | 2,223,226 | 2,002 |
| 19 20 | Los Angeles Madera | 1,573,141 67,248 | 803,431 25,383 | | -153,346 -25,383 | 1,573,141 67,248 | 650,085 | 429,056 | 221,029 | 2,223,226 67,248 | 2,002, |
| | | | 25,383 | | -25,383 | | U | 0 | 0 | 113,681 | 113, |
| 21 | Marin | 113,681 | 0 | -39,079 | | 113,681 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | 2 |
| 22 | Mariposa | 41,709 | 30,000 | 1,702 | 0.007 | 2,630 | 38,007 | 25,085 | 12.922 | 2,630 90,034 | 77 |
| 23 | Mendocino | 50,325 82,274 | 67,473 | 1,702 | 8,007 13,091 | 52,027 | 38,007 80,564 | 53,172 | 27,392 | 162,838 | 135 |
| 24 | Merced | | | | 13,091 | 82,274 | | 823 | 424 | 66,435 | 66 |
| 25 | Modoc | 65,188 44,333 | 1,247 1,350 | | | 65,188 44,333 | 1,247 | 891 | 424 | 45,683 | 45, |
| 26 27 | Mono | 100,453 | 57,179 | 3,397 | 15,984 | 103,850 | 1,350 73,163 | 48,288 | 24,875 | 177,013 | 152, |
| | Monterey | 51,455 | | | 15,984 | | | 26,400 | 13,600 | 93,195 | 79, |
| 28 29 | Napa Nevada | 96,559 | 40,000 | 1,740 | | 53,195 96,559 | 40,000 | 26,400 | 13,600 | 96,559 | 96 |
| | | | 444 720 | | | | 444.720 | 75,727 | 39,011 | 561,877 | 522 |
| 30 31 | Orange Placer | 447,139 74,599 | 114,738 | -22,999 | | 447,139 51,600 | 114,738 | /5,/2/ | 39,011 | 51,600 | 522 |
| 32 | | 51,300 | 7,803 | 1,735 | | 53,035 | 7,803 | 5,150 | 2,653 | 60,838 | 58 |
| 33 | Plumas | 553,871 | 218,500 | 18,728 | -87,515 | 572,599 | 130,985 | 86,450 | 44,535 | 703,584 | 659 |
| | Riverside | 257,689 | 218,500 | | 41,002 | 266,402 | 252,333 | 166,540 | 85,793 | 518,735 | 432 |
| 34 | Sacramento | 55,400 | 211,331 | 8,713 | 41,002 | 55,400 | 252,333 | 19,240 | 9,911 | 84,551 | 74 |
| 35 36 | San Benito San Bernardino | 382,327 | 313,548 | 12,928 | 60,835 | 395,255 | 374,383 | 247,093 | 127,290 | 769,638 | 642 |
| 37 | | 504,344 | 253,614 | 17,055 | 54,288 | 521,399 | 307,902 | 203,215 | 104,687 | 829,301 | 724 |
| 38 | San Diego San Francisco | 204,136 | 113,795 | 17,055 | 34,288 | 204,136 | 113,795 | 75,105 | 38,690 | 317,931 | 279 |
| | | 178,248 | | | | | 78,238 | 51,637 | 26,601 | 256,486 | 229 |
| 39 40 | San Joaquin San Luis Obispo | 178,248 | 78,238 32,246 | | | 178,248 55,775 | 78,238 32,246 | 21,282 | 10,964 | 256,486 88,021 | 77 |
| 40 | San Luis Obispo San Mateo | 105,540 | 32,246 86,554 | 3,569 | 16,793 | 109,109 | 32,246 103,347 | 68,209 | 35,138 | 212,456 | 177 |
| | | 142,084 | 77,323 | 3,569 | 10,/93 | | | 51,033 | 35,138 26,290 | 212,456 | 193 |
| 42 | Santa Barbara Santa Clara | 142,084 370,843 | 210,712 | | | 142,084 370,843 | 77,323 210,712 | 139,070 | 71,642 | 581,555 | 509 |
| | | | | 3.003 | 0.073 | | | 33,708 | 17,365 | 115,037 | 97 |
| 44 45 | Santa Cruz Shasta | 61,872 154,354 | 43,000 111,913 | 2,092 | 8,073 | 63,964 154,354 | 51,073 111,913 | 73,863 | 38,050 | 266,267 | 228 |
| 46 | Sierra (see Nevada) | 154,354 | 111,913 | | | 154,354 | 111,913 | 73,003 | 38,030 | 200,207 | 220 |
| 47 | Siskiyou | 62,134 | 35,000 | 2,101 | 9,886 | 64,235 | 44,886 | 29,625 | 15,261 | 109,121 | 93 |
| 48 | Solano | 107,430 | 35,000 | 2,101 | 9,886 | 107,430 | 44,886 39,710 | 26,209 | 13,501 | 147,140 | 133 |
| 48 | Sonoma | 114,980 | 65,519 | 3,888 | 8,626 | 118,868 | 74,145 | 48,936 | 25,209 | 193,013 | 167 |
| 50 | | 182,333 | 120,000 | 5,888 | -20,000 | 182,333 | 100,000 | 48,938 66,000 | 34,000 | 282,333 | 248 |
| 50 | Stanislaus Sutter | 182,333 55,177 | 120,000 31,409 | | -20,000 | 182,333 55,177 | 100,000 31,409 | 20,730 | 34,000 10,679 | 282,333 86,586 | 75 |
| 52 | Tehama | 22,718 | | 768 | 2 101 | | | 4,433 | 2,283 | 30,202 | 27 |
| | | 22,/18 | 3,535 | 768 | 3,181 | 23,486 | 6,716 | 4,433 | 2,203 | 30,202 | 21 |
| 53 | Trinity (see Shasta) | 255 252 | 422.000 | 0.000 | 40 | 36460 | 472.000 | 114 335 | E0 043 | 427.005 | 379 |
| 54 | Tulare | 256,262 | 132,293 | 8,665 | 40,775 | 264,927 | 173,068 | 114,225 19,855 | 58,843 10,229 | 437,995 | |
| 55 | Tuolumne | 53,714 | 30,084 | | 20.00 | 53,714 | 30,084 | | | 83,798 | 73 |
| 56 | Ventura | 210,346 | 77,864 | | 33,469 | 210,346 | 111,333 | 73,480 30,045 | 37,853 15,477 | 321,679 111.438 | 283 95 |
| 57 | Yolo | 63,760 | 35,377 | 2,156 | 10,145 | 65,916 | 45,522 | | | , | |
| 58 | Yuba | 54,814 9,039,626 | 44,953 | 1,853 | | 56,667 | 44,953 | 29,669 | 15,284 | 101,620 | 86 |

| FLF Base Funds | 9,039,626 |
|-------------------------|------------|
| FLF Federal Drawdown | 4,449,685 |
| Total Funding Allocated | 13,489,311 |

9,039,626 Final FLF Base Funds 4,449,685 Final FLF Federal Drawdown 13,489,311 Total Funding Allocated

^{*}Beginning base allocation includes funds not taken at the beginning of the fiscal year.