



JUDICIAL COUNCIL OF CALIFORNIA

455 Golden Gate Avenue • San Francisco, California 94102-3688

www.courts.ca.gov

REPORT TO THE JUDICIAL COUNCIL

Item No.: 21-150

For business meeting on October 1, 2021

Title

Child Support: \$4.45 Million AB 1058
Reimbursement Authority Increase

Agenda Item Type

Action Required

Effective Date

July 1, 2021

Rules, Forms, Standards, or Statutes Affected

None

Date of Report

August 17, 2021

Recommended by

Judicial Branch Budget Committee
Hon. David M. Rubin, Chair

Contact

Anna L. Maves, 916-263-8624
anna.maves@jud.ca.gov

Executive Summary

The Judicial Branch Budget Committee (JBBC) recommends approval of the Trial Court Budget Advisory Committee (TCBAC) recommendation that the Judicial Council allocate \$4.45 million in new funding made available in the 2021 Budget Act to the AB 1058 Child Support Commissioner and Family Law Facilitator Program's (AB 1058) base funding allocation, and included in the Judicial Council's cooperative agreement with the California Department of Child Support Services' (DCSS) for fiscal year 2021–22, and ongoing.

Recommendation

The Judicial Branch Budget Committee recommends that the Judicial Council approve the following, effective July 1, 2021:

1. Approve the Trial Court Budget Advisory Committee recommendation for allocation of additional funding to the Child Support Commissioner (CSC) program for 2021–22, and a technical adjustment to a small number of courts' base allocation approved by the Judicial Council on July 9, 2021, as set forth in Attachment A. This allocation distributes 75 percent of the \$4.45 million to the CSC program. The additional funds are prorated to courts with

unmet need as determined by the current workload-based funding methodology approved by the Judicial Council on January 16, 2019.

2. Approve the committee's recommendation for allocation of additional funding to the Family Law Facilitator (FLF) program for 2021–22, as set forth in Attachment B. This allocation distributes 25 percent of the \$4.45 million to the FLF program. The majority of additional funds are prorated to courts with unmet need, and the remainder is prorated to all courts as determined by the current population-based funding methodology approved by the Judicial Council on July 9, 2021.
3. Approve the committee's recommendation for 2021–22 AB 1058 program funding for the courts for the total base funding allocations derived from recommendations 1 and 2, and the application of the additional federal drawdown funding, as displayed in Attachments C1 and C2.

Relevant Previous Council Action

The Judicial Council is required to annually allocate nontrial court funding to the AB 1058 program and has done so since 1997.¹ A cooperative agreement between DCSS and the Judicial Council provides the funds for this program and requires the council to annually approve the funding allocation.

Two thirds of the funds are federal, and one third comes from the state General Fund (nontrial court funding). Any funds left unspent at the end of the fiscal year revert to the state General Fund and cannot be used in subsequent years.

In 2015, the AB 1058 Funding Allocation Joint Subcommittee was formed to review the historical AB 1058 program funding methodology. On January 16, 2019, the Judicial Council approved a new workload-based funding methodology for the CSC program and maintained the historical FLF funding methodology until 2021–22 as recommended by the subcommittee.²

¹ Assembly Bill 1058 added article 4 to chapter 2 of part 2 of division 9 of the Family Code, which at section 4252(b)(6) requires the Judicial Council to “[e]stablish procedures for the distribution of funding to the courts for child support commissioners, family law facilitators pursuant to [Family Code] Division 14 (commencing with Section 10000), and related allowable costs.”

² More details can be found in the Judicial Council report for the January 2019 meeting: Judicial Council of Cal., Advisory Com. Rep., *Child Support: AB 1058 Child Support Commissioner and Family Law Facilitator Program Funding Allocation* (Nov. 21, 2018), <https://jcc.legistar.com/View.ashx?M=F&ID=6953308&GUID=A6F15A78-08B6-42DA-8826-19A6AF0B7CB1>.

On July 9, 2021, the Judicial Council approved a new population-based methodology for the FLF program and maintained the workload-based methodology with updated workload data for the CSC program.³

Analysis/Rationale

Child support commissioner additional funds allocation

Except for a onetime funding reduction in 2020–21, the base funding allocation for the CSC program has been \$31.62 million since 2008–09, representing 75 percent of total AB 1058 program base funds.⁴ The Judicial Council’s cooperative agreement with the DCSS for 2021–22 designates \$3.34 million in additional funds for the CSC program (75 percent of the \$4.45 million), resulting in a new base funding allocation of \$34.96 million.

The recommendation to allocate the additional \$3.34 million for the CSC program is based on the current workload-based methodology approved by the Judicial Council on January 16, 2019. The CSC methodology uses the same workload and cost structures as the Workload Formula used to distribute trial court funds. The methodology maintains current funding levels for smaller courts and caps any increases or decreases to funding at 5 percent in order to distribute available funds without administering any severe decreases to funding that would impact a court’s ability to continue operating its CSC program.⁵

The JBBC recommends approval of the TCBAC recommendation to allocate the additional funding according to the workload-based methodology, but allocating funds only to those courts whose current allocation is less than the funding need determined by the methodology. For these funds, the committee also recommends not applying the 5 percent cap to increases and decreases used in the methodology. Additionally, the committee recommends a technical adjustment to the base allocation made by the council on July 9, 2021. See Attachment A for details.

Family law facilitator additional funds allocation

Except for a onetime funding reduction in 2020–21, the base funding allocation for the FLF program has been \$10.79 million since 2008–09, representing 25 percent of total AB 1058 program base funds. The Judicial Council’s cooperative agreement with the DCSS for

³ More details can be found in the Judicial Council report for the July 2021 meeting: Judicial Council of Cal., Advisory Com. Rep., *Child Support: Updating Workload Data for the AB 1058 Child Support Commissioner Funding Methodology, Adopting a Family Law Facilitator Program Funding Methodology, and Adopting 2021–22 AB 1058 Program Funding Allocations* (May 14, 2021), <https://jcc.legistar.com/View.ashx?M=F&ID=9508521&GUID=BC737E96-AFD8-4E22-A046-AE9E16A5C422>.

⁴ Historically, AB 1058 program funds have been allocated to the courts as follows: 75 percent to the CSC program and 25 percent to the FLF program.

⁵ More details can be found in the Judicial Council report for the January 2019 meeting: Judicial Council of Cal., Advisory Com. Rep., *Child Support: AB 1058 Child Support Commissioner and Family Law Facilitator Program Funding Allocation* (Nov. 21, 2018), <https://jcc.legistar.com/View.ashx?M=F&ID=6953308&GUID=A6F15A78-08B6-42DA-8826-19A6AF0B7CB1>.

2021–22 designates \$1.11 million in additional funds (25 percent of the \$4.45 million), resulting in a new base funding allocation of \$11.90 million.

The recommendation to allocate the additional \$1.11 million for the FLF program is based on the current population-based methodology approved by the Judicial Council on July 9, 2021. The FLF funding methodology allocates a base amount of \$34,000 to all courts and then allocates the remainder of funds by county population. Mirroring the CSC methodology, the FLF methodology has the same protections for smaller courts and implements a 5 percent cap on funding changes.⁶

The JBBC recommends approval of the TCBAC recommendation to allocate the additional funding according to the population-based methodology, with (1) the majority of funding distributed to courts whose current allocation is less than the allocation determined by the methodology, and (2) the remainder of the funding distributed to all courts based on their percentage of statewide population. Distributing the increase by this method improves the average percentage of base funding to the population-based funding allocation among those 25 courts that receive less than the population-based allocation from 81.5 percent to 91.2 percent. To address the needs of courts with the highest need, the model does not limit increases to 5 percent. See Attachment B for details.

Policy implications

AB 1058 program base funds have not been increased since 2008–09. The allocation of additional base funds will allow courts to continue and—for the first time in over a decade—expand the statutorily mandated services they provide to California’s families. Providing these funds to the courts ensures they can continue to maintain staffing levels for the AB 1058 Child Support Commissioner and Family Law Facilitator Program and meet the unique needs of the populations they serve. Allocating these funds based on need will also better align court funding to meet the potential increased workload brought about by the augmentation of funding to Local Child Support Agencies.

Comments

This proposal did not circulate for public comment.

Alternatives considered

At the August 6, 2021 meeting, TCBAC considered alternative models to distribute the additional funding. For the CSC program, TCBAC considered prorating the additional funds to all courts based on their percent of funding need. However, the committee recommended distributing the additional funds only to courts that are currently underfunded to reach more

⁶ More details can be found in the Judicial Council report for the July 2021 meeting: Judicial Council of Cal., Advisory Com. Rep., *Child Support: Updating Workload Data for the AB 1058 Child Support Commissioner Funding Methodology, Adopting a Family Law Facilitator Program Funding Methodology, and Adopting 2021–22 AB 1058 Program Funding Allocations* (May 14, 2021), <https://jcc.legistar.com/View.ashx?M=F&ID=9508521&GUID=BC737E96-AFD8-4E22-A046-AE9E16A5C422>.

equitable statewide funding for the CSC program. Similarly, for the FLF program, the committee considered prorating the additional funds to all courts based on their percent of statewide population or prorating additional funds only to courts whose current allocation is less than the allocation determined by the methodology. However, in order to recognize that every court could use funding for the FLF program while also striving for fair and equitable program funding, TCBAC's recommendation combines these models with the majority of additional funding going to courts with identified unmet need and the remainder distributed to all courts based on population.

Fiscal and Operational Impacts

The proposed allocation of additional AB 1058 program funds will allow courts to maintain and expand services levels, continue to meet program objectives, and better serve the public.

Attachments and Links

1. Attachment A: Child Support Commissioner Additional Funding Allocation
2. Attachment B: Family Law Facilitator Additional Funding Allocation
3. Attachment C1: Child Support Commissioner (CSC) Program Allocation, 2021–22
4. Attachment C2: Family Law Facilitator (FLF) Program Allocation, 2021–22

Attachment A: Child Support Commissioner Additional Funding Allocation

Cluster	Court	CSC Funding Need	CSC Staff (non-FLF) Funding Need	Total CSC and Staff Need (C+D)	Percentage of Total Need	Prorate to Available Funding	Original FY 21-22 Base Allocation	Adjusted FY 21-22 Base Allocation	Base Allocation Adjustment (I-H)	Amount of Unmet Need (G-I)	Percentage of Unmet Need	Amount Increase	Final Allocation (I+M)	Total Net Increase (M+J)	Percentage Difference (O/H)
Col. A	Col. B	Col. C	Col. D	Col. E	Col. F	Col. G	Col. H	Col. I	Col. J	Col. K	Col. L	Col. M	Col. N	Col. O	Col. P
4	Alameda	651,921	2,702,537	3,354,459	5.5%	1,937,833	1,159,405	1,158,644	(761)	779,189	10.4%	348,148	1,506,792	347,387	30.0%
1	Alpine (See El Dorado)	280	849	1,128		-	-	-	-	-		0	-	-	
1	Amador	15,745	54,969	70,714	0.1%	40,851	140,250	140,250	-	-		0	140,250	-	0.0%
2	Butte	87,392	266,083	353,475	0.6%	204,198	272,690	272,690	-	-		0	272,690	-	0.0%
1	Calaveras	15,933	53,263	69,196	0.1%	39,974	132,667	132,667	-	-		0	132,667	-	0.0%
1	Colusa	6,856	19,824	26,680	0.0%	15,413	45,691	45,691	-	-		0	45,691	-	0.0%
3	Contra Costa	155,298	605,185	760,483	1.3%	439,322	793,527	793,527	-	-		0	793,527	-	0.0%
1	Del Norte	31,351	101,819	133,170	0.2%	76,931	52,207	52,173	(34)	24,758	0.3%	11,062	63,235	11,028	21.1%
	El Dorado*	52,265	183,283	235,547	0.4%	136,725	203,169	203,169	-	-		0	203,169	-	0.0%
3	Fresno	803,010	2,302,564	3,105,575	5.1%	1,794,055	1,593,057	1,600,077	7,020	193,978	2.6%	86,671	1,686,748	93,691	5.9%
1	Glenn	23,912	75,984	99,896	0.2%	57,709	120,030	120,030	-	-		0	120,030	-	0.0%
2	Humboldt	59,360	154,206	213,566	0.4%	123,375	111,943	111,943	-	11,432	0.2%	5,108	117,051	5,108	4.6%
2	Imperial	133,528	329,822	463,350	0.8%	267,672	179,843	179,725	(118)	87,947	1.2%	39,295	219,020	39,177	21.8%
1	Inyo	7,198	23,032	30,230	0.0%	17,464	79,264	79,264	-	-		0	79,264	-	0.0%
3	Kern	633,103	1,892,203	2,525,306	4.2%	1,458,841	729,210	728,732	(478)	730,109	9.8%	326,219	1,054,951	325,740	44.7%
2	Kings	95,340	281,018	376,357	0.6%	217,417	275,061	275,061	-	-		0	275,061	-	0.0%
2	Lake	39,459	104,370	143,828	0.2%	83,088	141,004	141,004	-	-		0	141,004	-	0.0%
1	Lassen	14,595	45,548	60,143	0.1%	34,744	60,000	60,000	-	-		0	60,000	-	0.0%
4	Los Angeles	2,680,920	11,211,637	13,892,557	23.0%	8,025,572	5,753,199	5,749,425	(3,775)	2,276,147	30.5%	1,017,002	6,766,426	1,013,227	17.6%
2	Madera	118,458	363,172	481,630	0.8%	278,232	213,361	213,221	(140)	65,011	0.9%	29,047	242,269	28,907	13.5%
2	Marin	33,164	135,673	168,837	0.3%	97,535	114,719	114,719	-	-		0	114,719	-	0.0%
1	Mariposa	7,262	24,487	31,749	0.1%	18,341	75,216	75,216	-	-		0	75,216	-	0.0%
2	Mendocino	42,766	117,234	160,000	0.3%	92,430	154,769	154,769	-	-		0	154,769	-	0.0%
2	Merced	222,471	617,029	839,500	1.4%	484,970	490,598	490,598	-	-		0	490,598	-	0.0%
1	Modoc**								-	-		0		-	
1	Mono	2,996	10,571	13,566	0.0%	7,837	45,974	45,974	-	-		0	45,974	-	0.0%
3	Monterey	130,900	476,352	607,252	1.0%	350,803	356,969	356,969	-	-		0	356,969	-	0.0%
2	Napa	33,145	133,246	166,390	0.3%	96,122	95,441	95,441	-	681	0.0%	304	95,745	304	0.3%
2	Nevada*	31,504	106,457	137,961	0.2%	79,699	316,593	316,593	-	-		0	316,593	-	0.0%
4	Orange	811,356	2,975,448	3,786,804	6.3%	2,187,594	2,089,818	2,089,818	-	97,776	1.3%	43,687	2,133,505	43,687	2.1%
2	Placer	94,444	366,114	460,558	0.8%	266,059	312,320	312,320	-	-		0	312,320	-	0.0%
1	Plumas	11,578	34,221	45,799	0.1%	26,457	95,777	95,777	-	-		0	95,777	-	0.0%
4	Riverside	866,196	2,985,345	3,851,541	6.4%	2,224,992	1,093,392	1,092,674	(717)	1,132,317	15.2%	505,929	1,598,603	505,211	46.2%
4	Sacramento	618,008	2,424,592	3,042,600	5.0%	1,757,676	1,135,964	1,135,219	(745)	622,457	8.3%	278,119	1,413,338	277,373	24.4%
1	San Benito	17,927	66,178	84,105	0.1%	48,587	135,384	135,384	-	-		0	135,384	-	0.0%
4	San Bernardino	1,505,925	4,852,900	6,358,825	10.5%	3,673,421	2,794,865	2,793,031	(1,834)	880,390	11.8%	393,365	3,186,397	391,532	14.0%
4	San Diego	804,114	2,755,069	3,559,184	5.9%	2,056,100	1,818,465	1,817,272	(1,193)	238,828	3.2%	106,710	1,923,962	105,517	5.8%
4	San Francisco	170,541	793,435	963,976	1.6%	556,878	820,297	820,297	-	-		0	820,297	-	0.0%
3	San Joaquin	399,744	1,286,053	1,685,797	2.8%	973,866	744,987	744,498	(489)	229,368	3.1%	102,483	846,981	101,995	13.7%
2	San Luis Obispo	57,837	193,761	251,597	0.4%	145,345	209,688	209,688	-	-		0	209,688	-	0.0%
3	San Mateo	78,669	332,106	410,775	0.7%	237,300	354,193	354,193	-	-		0	354,193	-	0.0%
3	Santa Barbara	106,699	377,216	483,914	0.8%	279,552	435,112	435,112	-	-		0	435,112	-	0.0%
4	Santa Clara	230,529	972,631	1,203,159	2.0%	695,051	1,612,233	1,612,233	-	-		0	1,612,233	-	0.0%
2	Santa Cruz	29,285	108,748	138,033	0.2%	79,740	177,299	177,299	-	-		0	177,299	-	0.0%
2	Shasta*	88,917	263,622	352,539	0.6%	203,658	398,675	398,675	-	-		0	398,675	-	0.0%
1	Sierra	1,409	3,992	5,401	0.0%	3,120	11,000	11,000	-	-		0	11,000	-	0.0%
2	Siskiyou	28,409	74,095	102,504	0.2%	59,215	118,484	118,484	-	-		0	118,484	-	0.0%
3	Solano	210,452	750,699	961,151	1.6%	555,246	497,167	499,537	2,370	55,709	0.7%	24,891	524,428	27,261	5.5%
3	Sonoma	104,101	372,056	476,156	0.8%	275,070	453,390	453,390	-	-		0	453,390	-	0.0%
3	Stanislaus	245,186	789,524	1,034,710	1.7%	597,740	700,912	700,912	-	-		0	700,912	-	0.0%
2	Sutter	62,371	206,179	268,550	0.4%	155,138	182,623	182,623	-	-		0	182,623	-	0.0%
2	Tehama*	55,194	158,682	213,876	0.4%	123,554	102,502	102,435	(67)	21,119	0.3%	9,436	111,871	9,369	9.1%
1	Trinity	6,991	19,595	26,587	0.0%	15,359	18,900	18,900	-	-		0	18,900	-	0.0%
3	Tulare	196,168	627,580	823,748	1.4%	475,870	507,485	507,485	-	-		0	507,485	-	0.0%
2	Tuolumne*	20,127	59,975	80,102	0.1%	46,274	158,566	158,566	-	-		0	158,566	-	0.0%
3	Ventura	164,608	606,324	770,931	1.3%	445,358	527,450	527,450	-	-		0	527,450	-	0.0%
2	Yolo	84,769	303,633	388,402	0.6%	224,375	200,980	201,942	961	22,434	0.3%	10,023	211,965	10,985	5.5%
2	Yuba*	36,151	117,376	153,527	0.3%	88,691	203,149	203,149	-	-		0	203,149	-	0.0%
	Total	13,237,832	47,269,565	60,507,398	100.0%	34,954,436	31,616,936	31,616,936	0	7,469,647	100.0%	3,337,500	34,954,436	3,337,500	

* Cluster 2 courts with existing intra-branch agreements for the Child Support Commissioner program.

** Modoc does not have a Child Support Commissioner program.

Attachment B: Family Law Facilitator Additional Funding Allocation

Cluster	Court	% of State Population	Population Based Methodology	JC FY 21-22 Base Allocation	Amount of Unmet Need	Percentage of Unmet Need	Allocate 80% of Additional Funding	Allocate 20% of Additional Funding	Amount Increase (H+I)	Final Allocation (E+J)	Percent Difference
Col. A	Col. B	Col. C	Col. D	Col. E	Col. F	Col. G	Col. H	Col. I	Col. J	Col. K	Col. L
	Alameda	4.2%	449,445	376,007	73,439	3.9%	35,011	9,309	44,319	420,326	11.8%
1	Alpine	0.0%	34,287				-	6	6	6	
	Amador	0.1%	43,477	46,885	-		-	212	212	47,097	0.5%
	Butte	0.6%	89,199	96,666	-		-	1,237	1,237	97,903	1.3%
1	Calaveras	0.1%	45,241	70,655	-		-	252	252	70,907	0.4%
	Colusa	0.1%	39,493	36,882	2,611	0.1%	1,245	123	1,368	38,250	3.7%
	Contra Costa	2.9%	321,369	328,242	-		-	6,439	6,439	334,681	2.0%
	Del Norte	0.1%	40,806	50,002	-		-	153	153	50,155	0.3%
	El Dorado*	0.5%	81,646	106,037	-		-	1,068	1,068	107,105	1.0%
	Fresno	2.6%	287,307	374,830	-		-	5,676	5,676	380,506	1.5%
	Glenn	0.1%	41,255	75,808	-		-	163	163	75,971	0.2%
2	Humboldt	0.3%	67,619	84,726	-		-	753	753	85,479	0.9%
	Imperial	0.5%	81,330	54,768	26,562	1.4%	12,663	1,061	13,723	68,492	25.1%
	Inyo	0.0%	38,632	57,185	-		-	104	104	57,289	0.2%
	Kern	2.3%	261,634	337,384	-		-	5,100	5,100	342,484	1.5%
	Kings	0.4%	72,134	60,599	11,535	0.6%	5,499	854	6,353	66,952	10.5%
	Lake	0.2%	50,134	54,691	-		-	362	362	55,052	0.7%
1	Lassen	0.1%	41,469	65,000	-		-	167	167	65,167	0.3%
	Los Angeles	25.7%	2,585,526	1,958,080	627,447	33.6%	299,125	57,171	356,296	2,314,376	18.2%
	Madera	0.4%	73,596	76,754	-		-	887	887	77,642	1.2%
	Marin	0.7%	99,436	129,752	-		-	1,466	1,466	131,218	1.1%
	Mariposa	0.0%	38,508	45,390	-		-	101	101	45,491	0.2%
2	Mendocino	0.2%	56,121	57,439	-		-	496	496	57,935	0.9%
	Merced	0.7%	104,324	96,445	7,879	0.4%	3,756	1,576	5,332	101,777	5.5%
1	Modoc	0.0%	36,392	70,941	-		-	54	54	70,995	0.1%
	Mono	0.0%	37,398	48,246	-		-	76	76	48,322	0.2%
	Monterey	1.1%	144,487	125,033	19,454	1.0%	9,274	2,476	11,750	136,783	9.4%
2	Napa	0.4%	68,992	64,046	4,946	0.3%	2,358	784	3,142	67,188	4.9%
2	Nevada*	0.2%	58,607	116,010	-		-	551	551	116,561	0.5%
	Orange	8.1%	834,753	556,551	278,202	14.9%	132,628	17,942	150,570	707,122	27.1%
	Placer	1.0%	132,864	92,853	40,011	2.1%	19,075	2,215	21,290	114,143	22.9%
	Plumas	0.0%	38,803	55,827	-		-	108	108	55,935	0.2%
	Riverside	6.1%	640,375	632,169	8,206	0.4%	3,912	13,587	17,499	649,668	2.8%
	Sacramento	3.9%	418,763	320,744	98,019	5.3%	46,729	8,621	55,350	376,094	17.3%
	San Benito	0.2%	49,099	60,289	-		-	338	338	60,627	0.6%
	San Bernardino	5.5%	578,004	475,881	102,123	5.5%	48,686	12,189	60,875	536,755	12.8%
	San Diego	8.4%	867,543	627,754	239,789	12.8%	114,316	18,677	132,993	760,746	21.2%
	San Francisco	2.2%	255,470	232,994	22,476	1.2%	10,715	4,962	15,678	248,672	6.7%
	San Joaquin	1.9%	225,321	203,887	21,434	1.1%	10,218	4,287	14,505	218,392	7.1%
	San Luis Obispo	0.7%	103,603	69,423	34,181	1.8%	16,295	1,560	17,855	87,277	25.7%
	San Mateo	1.9%	226,910	131,365	95,545	5.1%	45,549	4,322	49,872	181,237	38.0%
	Santa Barbara	1.1%	146,984	162,170	-		-	2,532	2,532	164,701	1.6%
	Santa Clara	4.9%	521,937	461,191	60,746	3.3%	28,960	10,933	39,893	501,084	8.6%
	Santa Cruz	0.7%	102,375	77,011	25,364	1.4%	12,092	1,532	13,624	90,635	17.7%
	Shasta*	0.4%	78,457	185,447	-		-	996	996	186,443	0.5%
1	Sierra	0.0%	34,799				-	18	18	18	
	Siskiyou	0.1%	45,105	70,918	-		-	249	249	71,166	0.4%
	Solano	1.1%	143,780	130,806	12,974	0.7%	6,185	2,460	8,645	139,451	6.6%
3	Sonoma	1.3%	158,375	142,678	15,697	0.8%	7,483	2,787	10,270	152,948	7.2%
	Stanislaus	1.4%	172,941	208,109	-		-	3,113	3,113	211,222	1.5%
	Sutter	0.2%	58,549	62,977	-		-	550	550	63,527	0.9%
	Tehama	0.2%	50,081	28,277	21,804	1.2%	10,395	360	10,755	39,032	38.0%
	Trinity	0.0%	37,396				-	76	76	76	
	Tulare	1.2%	153,218	292,488	-		-	2,671	2,671	295,159	0.9%
	Tuolumne	0.1%	47,646	61,307	-		-	306	306	61,613	0.5%
	Ventura	2.1%	246,574	240,082	6,492	0.3%	3,095	4,763	7,858	247,940	3.3%
	Yolo	0.6%	89,297	79,362	9,935	0.5%	4,736	1,239	5,975	85,337	7.5%
2	Yuba	0.2%	53,236	62,563	-		-	431	431	62,994	0.7%
	Total		11,902,126	10,789,626	1,866,869	100.0%	890,000	222,500	1,112,500	11,902,126	

* Cluster 2 courts with existing intra-branch agreements for the Family Law Facilitator program.

Child Support Commissioner (CSC) Program Allocation, 2021–2022							
		A	B	C	D	E	F
#	CSC Court	Updated Base Allocation	Beginning Federal Drawdown Option	Federal Share 66% (Column B * .66)	Court Share 34% (Column B * .34)	Total Allocation (A+B)	Contract Amount (A+C)
1	Alameda	1,506,792	549,815	362,878	186,937	2,056,607	1,869,670
2	Alpine (see El Dorado)						
3	Amador	140,250	45,736	30,186	15,550	185,986	170,436
4	Butte	272,690	0	0	0	272,690	272,690
5	Calaveras	132,667	10,000	6,600	3,400	142,667	139,267
6	Colusa	45,691	20,809	13,734	7,075	66,500	59,425
7	Contra Costa	793,527	0	0	0	793,527	793,527
8	Del Norte	63,235	29,023	19,155	9,868	92,258	82,390
9	El Dorado	203,169	100,382	66,252	34,130	303,551	269,421
10	Fresno	1,686,748	843,800	556,908	286,892	2,530,548	2,243,656
11	Glenn	120,030	63,012	41,588	21,424	183,042	161,618
12	Humboldt	117,051	59,801	39,469	20,332	176,852	156,520
13	Imperial	219,020	103,940	68,600	35,340	322,960	287,621
14	Inyo	79,264	45,640	30,122	15,518	124,904	109,386
15	Kern	1,054,951	360,000	237,600	122,400	1,414,951	1,292,551
16	Kings	275,061	166,716	110,033	56,683	441,777	385,094
17	Lake	141,004	37,000	24,420	12,580	178,004	165,424
18	Lassen	60,000	0	0	0	60,000	60,000
19	Los Angeles	6,766,426	3,198,270	2,110,858	1,087,412	9,964,696	8,877,285
20	Madera	242,269	83,000	54,780	28,220	325,269	297,049
21	Marin	114,719	40,396	26,661	13,735	155,115	141,380
22	Mariposa	75,216	0	0	0	75,216	75,216
23	Mendocino	154,769	56,550	37,323	19,227	211,319	192,092
24	Merced	490,598	297,354	196,254	101,100	787,952	686,851
25	Modoc						
26	Mono	45,974	5,000	3,300	1,700	50,974	49,274
27	Monterey	356,969	109,094	72,002	37,092	466,063	428,971
28	Napa	95,745	0	0	0	95,745	95,745
29	Nevada	327,593	0	0	0	327,593	327,593
30	Orange	2,133,505	424,810	280,375	144,435	2,558,315	2,413,880
31	Placer	312,320	25,440	16,790	8,650	337,760	329,111
32	Plumas	95,777	0	0	0	95,777	95,777
33	Riverside	1,598,603	106,795	70,485	36,310	1,705,398	1,669,088
34	Sacramento	1,413,338	500,000	330,000	170,000	1,913,338	1,743,338
35	San Benito	135,384	30,000	19,800	10,200	165,384	155,184
36	San Bernardino	3,186,397	1,393,318	919,590	473,728	4,579,715	4,105,986
37	San Diego	1,923,982	1,010,905	667,197	343,708	2,934,887	2,591,179
38	San Francisco	820,297	441,796	291,585	150,211	1,262,093	1,111,883
39	San Joaquin	846,981	83,046	54,810	28,236	930,027	901,792
40	San Luis Obispo	209,688	127,093	83,881	43,212	336,781	293,570
41	San Mateo	354,193	214,678	141,687	72,991	568,871	495,880
42	Santa Barbara	435,112	170,267	112,376	57,891	605,379	547,488
43	Santa Clara	1,612,233	977,183	644,941	332,242	2,589,416	2,257,173
44	Santa Cruz	177,299	36,000	23,760	12,240	213,299	201,059
45	Shasta	417,575	205,874	135,877	69,997	623,449	553,452
46	Sierra (see Nevada)						
47	Siskiyou	118,484	0	0	0	118,484	118,484
48	Solano	524,428	95,481	63,017	32,464	619,909	587,446
49	Sonoma	453,390	221,104	145,929	75,175	674,494	599,319
50	Stanislaus	700,912	260,000	171,600	88,400	960,912	872,512
51	Sutter	182,623	63,487	41,901	21,586	246,110	224,525
52	Tehama	111,871	56,982	37,608	19,374	168,853	149,479
53	Trinity (see Shasta)						
54	Tulare	507,485	92,308	60,923	31,385	599,793	568,409
55	Tuolumne	158,566	78,346	51,708	26,638	236,912	210,274
56	Ventura	527,450	106,527	70,308	36,219	633,977	597,758
57	Yolo	211,965	42,175	27,836	14,340	254,140	239,801
58	Yuba	203,149	50,000	33,000	17,000	253,149	236,149
TOTAL		34,954,436	13,038,953	8,605,709	4,433,244	47,993,389	43,560,145

CSC Base Funds	34,954,436
CSC Federal Drawdown	13,038,953
Total Funding Allocated	47,993,389

Family Law Facilitator (FLF) Program Allocation, 2021–2022							
		A	B	G	H	I	J
#	FLF Court	Updated Base Allocation	Beginning Federal Drawdown Option	Federal Share 66% (Column B *.66)	Court Share 34% (Column F *.34)	Total Allocation (A+B)	Contract Amount (A + C)
1	Alameda	420,326	247,743	163,510	84,233	668,069	583,836
2	Alpine (see El Dorado)						
3	Amador	47,097	4,701	3,103	1,598	51,798	50,200
4	Butte	97,903	61,250	40,425	20,825	159,153	138,328
5	Calaveras	70,907	8,000	5,280	2,720	78,907	76,187
6	Colusa	38,250	8,900	5,874	3,026	47,150	44,124
7	Contra Costa	334,681	0	0	0	334,681	334,681
8	Del Norte	50,155	5,971	3,941	2,030	56,126	54,095
9	El Dorado	107,111	50,384	33,253	17,131	157,495	140,364
10	Fresno	380,506	186,596	123,153	63,443	567,102	503,659
11	Glenn	75,971	0	0	0	75,971	75,971
12	Humboldt	85,479	9,774	6,451	3,323	95,253	91,930
13	Imperial	68,492	36,086	23,817	12,269	104,578	92,308
14	Inyo	57,289	27,171	17,933	9,238	84,460	75,222
15	Kern	342,484	200,000	132,000	68,000	542,484	474,484
16	Kings	66,952	32,000	21,120	10,880	98,952	88,072
17	Lake	55,052	26,836	17,712	9,124	81,888	72,764
18	Lassen	65,167	0	0	0	65,167	65,167
19	Los Angeles	2,314,376	803,431	530,264	273,167	3,117,807	2,844,640
20	Madera	77,642	25,383	16,753	8,630	103,025	94,394
21	Marin	131,218	0	0	0	131,218	131,218
22	Mariposa	45,491	0	0	0	45,491	45,491
23	Mendocino	57,935	30,000	19,800	10,200	87,935	77,735
24	Merced	101,777	67,473	44,532	22,941	169,250	146,309
25	Modoc	70,995	1,247	823	424	72,242	71,818
26	Mono	48,322	1,350	891	459	49,672	49,213
27	Monterey	136,783	57,179	37,738	19,441	193,962	174,522
28	Napa	67,188	40,000	26,400	13,600	107,188	93,588
29	Nevada	116,579	0	0	0	116,579	116,579
30	Orange	707,122	114,738	75,727	39,011	821,860	782,849
31	Placer	114,143	0	0	0	114,143	114,143
32	Plumas	55,935	7,803	5,150	2,653	63,738	61,085
33	Riverside	649,668	218,500	144,210	74,290	868,168	793,878
34	Sacramento	376,094	211,331	139,478	71,853	587,425	515,573
35	San Benito	60,627	29,151	19,240	9,911	89,778	79,867
36	San Bernardino	536,755	313,548	206,942	106,606	850,303	743,697
37	San Diego	760,746	253,614	167,385	86,229	1,014,360	928,132
38	San Francisco	248,672	113,795	75,105	38,690	362,467	323,776
39	San Joaquin	218,392	78,238	51,637	26,601	296,630	270,029
40	San Luis Obispo	87,277	32,246	21,282	10,964	119,523	108,560
41	San Mateo	181,237	86,554	57,126	29,428	267,791	238,363
42	Santa Barbara	164,701	77,323	51,033	26,290	242,024	215,735
43	Santa Clara	501,084	210,712	139,070	71,642	711,796	640,154
44	Santa Cruz	90,635	43,000	28,380	14,620	133,635	119,015
45	Shasta	186,519	111,913	73,863	38,050	298,432	260,382
46	Sierra (see Nevada)						
47	Siskiyou	71,166	35,000	23,100	11,900	106,166	94,266
48	Solano	139,451	39,710	26,209	13,501	179,161	165,660
49	Sonoma	152,948	65,519	43,243	22,276	218,467	196,190
50	Stanislaus	211,222	120,000	79,200	40,800	331,222	290,422
51	Sutter	63,527	31,409	20,730	10,679	94,936	84,257
52	Tehama	39,032	3,535	2,333	1,202	42,567	41,365
53	Trinity (see Shasta)						
54	Tulare	295,159	132,293	87,313	44,980	427,452	382,473
55	Tuolumne	61,613	30,084	19,855	10,229	91,697	81,469
56	Ventura	247,940	77,864	51,390	26,474	325,804	299,330
57	Yolo	85,337	35,377	23,349	12,028	120,714	108,686
58	Yuba	62,994	44,953	29,669	15,284	107,947	92,663
TOTAL		11,902,126	4,449,685	2,936,792	1,512,893	16,351,811	14,838,918

FLF Base Funds	11,902,126
FLF Federal Drawdown	4,449,685
Total Funding Allocated	16,351,811

Attachment A: Child Support Commissioner Additional Funding Allocation

Cluster	Court	CSC Funding Need	CSC Staff (non-FLF Funding Need	Total CSC and Staff Need (C+D)	Percentage of Total Need	Prorate to Available Funding	Original FY 21-22 Base Allocation	Adjusted FY 21-22 Base Allocation	Base Allocation Adjustment (I-H)	Amount of Unmet Need (G-I)	Percentage of Unmet Need	Amount Increase	Final Allocation (I+M)	Total Net Increase (M+J)	Percentage Difference (O/H)
Col. A	Col. B	Col. C	Col. D	Col. E	Col. F	Col. G	Col. H	Col. I	Col. J	Col. K	Col. L	Col. M	Col. N	Col. O	Col. P
4	Alameda	651,921	2,702,537	3,354,459	5.5%	1,937,833	1,159,405	1,158,644	(761)	779,189	10.4%	348,148	1,506,792	347,387	30.0%
1	Alpine (See El Dorado)	280	849	1,128		-	-	-	-	-	-	0	-	-	-
1	Amador	15,745	54,969	70,714	0.1%	40,851	140,250	140,250	-	-	-	0	140,250	-	0.0%
2	Butte	87,392	266,083	353,475	0.6%	204,198	272,690	272,690	-	-	-	0	272,690	-	0.0%
1	Calaveras	15,933	53,263	69,196	0.1%	39,974	132,667	132,667	-	-	-	0	132,667	-	0.0%
1	Colusa	6,856	19,824	26,680	0.0%	15,413	45,691	45,691	-	-	-	0	45,691	-	0.0%
3	Contra Costa	155,298	605,185	760,483	1.3%	439,322	793,527	793,527	-	-	-	0	793,527	-	0.0%
1	Del Norte	31,351	101,819	133,170	0.2%	76,931	52,207	52,173	(34)	24,758	0.3%	11,062	63,235	11,028	21.1%
2	El Dorado*	52,265	183,283	235,547	0.4%	136,725	203,169	203,169	-	-	-	0	203,169	-	0.0%
3	Fresno	803,010	2,302,564	3,105,575	5.1%	1,794,055	1,593,057	1,600,077	7,020	193,978	2.6%	86,671	1,686,748	93,691	5.9%
1	Glen	23,912	75,984	99,896	0.2%	57,709	120,030	120,030	-	-	-	0	120,030	-	0.0%
2	Humboldt	59,360	154,206	213,566	0.4%	123,375	111,943	111,943	-	11,432	0.2%	5,108	117,051	5,108	4.6%
2	Imperial	133,528	329,822	463,350	0.8%	267,672	179,843	179,725	(118)	87,947	1.2%	39,295	219,020	39,177	21.8%
1	Inyo	7,198	23,032	30,230	0.0%	17,464	79,264	79,264	-	-	-	0	79,264	-	0.0%
3	Kern	633,103	1,892,203	2,525,306	4.2%	1,458,841	729,210	728,732	(478)	730,109	9.8%	326,219	1,054,951	325,740	44.7%
2	Kings	95,340	281,018	376,357	0.6%	217,417	275,061	275,061	-	-	-	0	275,061	-	0.0%
2	Lake	39,459	104,370	143,828	0.2%	83,088	141,004	141,004	-	-	-	0	141,004	-	0.0%
1	Lassen	14,595	45,548	60,143	0.1%	34,744	60,000	60,000	-	-	-	0	60,000	-	0.0%
4	Los Angeles	2,680,920	11,211,637	13,892,557	23.0%	8,025,572	5,753,199	5,749,425	(3,775)	2,276,147	30.5%	1,017,002	6,766,426	1,013,227	17.6%
2	Madera	118,458	363,172	481,630	0.8%	278,232	213,361	213,221	(140)	65,011	0.9%	29,047	242,269	28,907	13.5%
2	Marin	33,164	135,673	168,837	0.3%	97,535	114,719	114,719	-	-	-	0	114,719	-	0.0%
1	Mariposa	7,262	24,487	31,749	0.1%	18,341	75,216	75,216	-	-	-	0	75,216	-	0.0%
2	Mendocino	42,766	117,234	160,000	0.3%	92,430	154,769	154,769	-	-	-	0	154,769	-	0.0%
2	Merced	222,471	617,029	839,500	1.4%	484,970	490,598	490,598	-	-	-	0	490,598	-	0.0%
1	Modoc**								-	-	-	0	-	-	-
1	Mono	2,996	10,571	13,566	0.0%	7,837	45,974	45,974	-	-	-	0	45,974	-	0.0%
3	Monterrey	130,900	476,352	607,252	1.0%	350,803	356,969	356,969	-	-	-	0	356,969	-	0.0%
2	Napa	33,145	133,246	166,390	0.3%	96,122	95,441	95,441	-	681	0.0%	304	95,745	304	0.3%
2	Nevada*	31,504	106,457	137,961	0.2%	79,699	316,593	316,593	-	-	-	0	316,593	-	0.0%
4	Orange	811,356	2,975,448	3,786,804	6.3%	2,187,594	2,089,818	2,089,818	-	97,776	1.3%	43,687	2,133,505	43,687	2.1%
2	Placer	94,444	366,114	460,558	0.8%	266,059	312,320	312,320	-	-	-	0	312,320	-	0.0%
1	Plumas	11,578	34,221	45,799	0.1%	26,457	95,777	95,777	-	-	-	0	95,777	-	0.0%
4	Riverside	866,196	2,985,345	3,851,541	6.4%	2,224,992	1,093,392	1,092,674	(717)	1,132,317	15.2%	505,929	1,598,603	505,211	46.2%
4	Sacramento	618,008	2,424,592	3,042,600	5.0%	1,757,676	1,135,964	1,135,219	(745)	622,457	8.3%	278,119	1,413,338	277,373	24.4%
1	San Benito	17,927	66,178	84,105	0.1%	48,587	135,384	135,384	-	-	-	0	135,384	-	0.0%
4	San Bernardino	1,505,925	4,852,900	6,358,825	10.5%	3,673,421	2,794,865	2,793,031	(1,834)	880,390	11.8%	393,365	3,186,397	391,532	14.0%
4	San Diego	804,114	2,755,069	3,559,184	5.9%	2,056,100	1,818,465	1,817,272	(1,193)	238,828	3.2%	106,710	1,923,982	105,517	5.8%
4	San Francisco	170,541	793,435	963,976	1.6%	556,878	820,297	820,297	-	-	-	0	820,297	-	0.0%
3	San Joaquin	399,744	1,286,053	1,685,797	2.8%	973,866	744,987	744,498	(489)	229,368	3.1%	102,483	846,981	101,995	13.7%
2	San Luis Obispo	57,837	193,761	251,597	0.4%	145,345	209,688	209,688	-	-	-	0	209,688	-	0.0%
3	San Mateo	78,669	332,106	410,775	0.7%	237,300	354,193	354,193	-	-	-	0	354,193	-	0.0%
3	Santa Barbara	106,699	377,216	483,914	0.8%	279,552	435,112	435,112	-	-	-	0	435,112	-	0.0%
4	Santa Clara	230,529	972,631	1,203,159	2.0%	695,051	1,612,233	1,612,233	-	-	-	0	1,612,233	-	0.0%
2	Santa Cruz	29,285	108,748	138,033	0.2%	79,740	177,299	177,299	-	-	-	0	177,299	-	0.0%
2	Shasta*	88,917	263,622	352,539	0.6%	203,658	398,675	398,675	-	-	-	0	398,675	-	0.0%
1	Sierra	1,409	3,992	5,401	0.0%	3,120	11,000	11,000	-	-	-	0	11,000	-	0.0%
2	Siskiyou	28,409	74,095	102,504	0.2%	59,215	118,484	118,484	-	-	-	0	118,484	-	0.0%
3	Solano	210,452	750,699	961,151	1.6%	555,246	497,167	499,537	2,370	55,709	0.7%	24,891	524,428	27,261	5.5%
3	Sonoma	104,101	372,056	476,156	0.8%	275,070	453,390	453,390	-	-	-	0	453,390	-	0.0%
3	Stanislaus	245,186	789,524	1,034,710	1.7%	597,740	700,912	700,912	-	-	-	0	700,912	-	0.0%
2	Sutter	62,371	206,179	268,550	0.4%	155,138	182,623	182,623	-	-	-	0	182,623	-	0.0%
2	Tehama*	55,194	158,682	213,876	0.4%	123,554	102,502	102,435	(67)	21,119	0.3%	9,436	111,871	9,369	9.1%
1	Trinity	6,991	19,595	26,587	0.0%	15,359	18,900	18,900	-	-	-	0	18,900	-	0.0%
3	Tulare	196,168	627,580	823,748	1.4%	475,870	507,485	507,485	-	-	-	0	507,485	-	0.0%
2	Tuolumne*	20,127	59,975	80,102	0.1%	46,274	158,566	158,566	-	-	-	0	158,566	-	0.0%
3	Ventura	164,608	606,324	770,931	1.3%	445,358	527,450	527,450	-	-	-	0	527,450	-	0.0%
2	Yolo	84,769	303,633	388,402	0.6%	224,375	200,980	201,942	961	22,434	0.3%	10,023	211,965	10,985	5.5%
2	Yuba*	36,151	117,376	153,527	0.3%	88,691	203,149	203,149	-	-	-	0	203,149	-	0.0%
	Total	13,237,832	47,269,565	60,507,398	100.0%	34,954,436	31,616,936	31,616,936	0	7,469,647	100.0%	3,337,500	34,954,436	3,337,500	

* Cluster 2 courts with existing intra-branch agreements for the Child Support Commissioner program.

** Modoc does not have a Child Support Commissioner program.

Attachment B: Family Law Facilitator Additional Funding Allocation

Cluster	Court	% of State Population	Population Based Methodology	JC FY 21-22 Base Allocation	Amount of Unmet Need	Percentage of Unmet Need	Allocate 80% of Additional Funding	Allocate 20% of Additional Funding	Amount Increase (H+I)	Final Allocation (E+J)	Percent Difference
Col. A	Col. B	Col. C	Col. D	Col. E	Col. F	Col. G	Col. H	Col. I	Col. J	Col. K	Col. L
4	Alameda	4.2%	449,445	376,007	73,439	3.9%	35,011	9,309	44,319	420,326	11.8%
1	Alpine	0.0%	34,287				-	6	6	6	
1	Amador	0.1%	43,477	46,885	-		-	212	212	47,097	0.5%
2	Butte	0.6%	89,199	96,666	-		-	1,237	1,237	97,903	1.3%
1	Calaveras	0.1%	45,241	70,655	-		-	252	252	70,907	0.4%
1	Colusa	0.1%	39,493	36,882	2,611	0.1%	1,245	123	1,368	38,250	3.7%
3	Contra Costa	2.9%	321,369	328,242	-		-	6,439	6,439	334,681	2.0%
1	Del Norte	0.1%	40,806	50,002	-		-	153	153	50,155	0.3%
2	El Dorado*	0.5%	81,646	106,037	-		-	1,068	1,068	107,105	1.0%
3	Fresno	2.6%	287,307	374,830	-		-	5,676	5,676	380,506	1.5%
1	Glenn	0.1%	41,255	75,808	-		-	163	163	75,971	0.2%
2	Humboldt	0.3%	67,619	84,726	-		-	753	753	85,479	0.9%
2	Imperial	0.5%	81,330	54,768	26,562	1.4%	12,663	1,061	13,723	68,492	25.1%
1	Inyo	0.0%	38,632	57,185	-		-	104	104	57,289	0.2%
3	Kern	2.3%	261,634	337,384	-		-	5,100	5,100	342,484	1.5%
2	Kings	0.4%	72,134	60,599	11,535	0.6%	5,499	854	6,353	66,952	10.5%
2	Lake	0.2%	50,134	54,691	-		-	362	362	55,052	0.7%
1	Lassen	0.1%	41,469	65,000	-		-	167	167	65,167	0.3%
4	Los Angeles	25.7%	2,585,526	1,958,080	627,447	33.6%	299,125	57,171	356,296	2,314,376	18.2%
2	Madera	0.4%	73,596	76,754	-		-	887	887	77,642	1.2%
2	Marin	0.7%	99,436	129,752	-		-	1,466	1,466	131,218	1.1%
1	Mariposa	0.0%	38,508	45,390	-		-	101	101	45,491	0.2%
2	Mendocino	0.2%	56,121	57,439	-		-	496	496	57,935	0.9%
2	Merced	0.7%	104,324	96,445	7,879	0.4%	3,756	1,576	5,332	101,777	5.5%
1	Modoc	0.0%	36,392	70,941	-		-	54	54	70,995	0.1%
1	Mono	0.0%	37,398	48,246	-		-	76	76	48,322	0.2%
3	Monterey	1.1%	144,487	125,033	19,454	1.0%	9,274	2,476	11,750	136,783	9.4%
2	Napa	0.4%	68,992	64,046	4,946	0.3%	2,358	784	3,142	67,188	4.9%
2	Nevada*	0.2%	58,607	116,010	-		-	551	551	116,561	0.5%
4	Orange	8.1%	834,753	556,551	278,202	14.9%	132,628	17,942	150,570	707,122	27.1%
2	Placer	1.0%	132,864	92,853	40,011	2.1%	19,075	2,215	21,290	114,143	22.9%
1	Plumas	0.0%	38,803	55,827	-		-	108	108	55,935	0.2%
4	Riverside	6.1%	640,375	632,169	8,206	0.4%	3,912	13,587	17,499	649,668	2.8%
4	Sacramento	3.9%	418,763	320,744	98,019	5.3%	46,729	8,621	55,350	376,094	17.3%
1	San Benito	0.2%	49,099	60,289	-		-	338	338	60,627	0.6%
4	San Bernardino	5.5%	578,004	475,881	102,123	5.5%	48,686	12,189	60,875	536,755	12.8%
4	San Diego	8.4%	867,543	627,754	239,789	12.8%	114,316	18,677	132,993	760,746	21.2%
4	San Francisco	2.2%	255,470	232,994	22,476	1.2%	10,715	4,962	15,678	248,672	6.7%
3	San Joaquin	1.9%	225,321	203,887	21,434	1.1%	10,218	4,287	14,505	218,392	7.1%
2	San Luis Obispo	0.7%	103,603	69,423	34,181	1.8%	16,295	1,560	17,855	87,277	25.7%
3	San Mateo	1.9%	226,910	131,365	95,545	5.1%	45,549	4,322	49,872	181,237	38.0%
3	Santa Barbara	1.1%	146,984	162,170	-		-	2,532	2,532	164,701	1.6%
4	Santa Clara	4.9%	521,937	461,191	60,746	3.3%	28,960	10,933	39,893	501,084	8.6%
2	Santa Cruz	0.7%	102,375	77,011	25,364	1.4%	12,092	1,532	13,624	90,635	17.7%
2	Shasta*	0.4%	78,457	185,447	-		-	996	996	186,443	0.5%
1	Sierra	0.0%	34,799				-	18	18	18	
2	Siskiyou	0.1%	45,105	70,918	-		-	249	249	71,166	0.4%
3	Solano	1.1%	143,780	130,806	12,974	0.7%	6,185	2,460	8,645	139,451	6.6%
3	Sonoma	1.3%	158,375	142,678	15,697	0.8%	7,483	2,787	10,270	152,948	7.2%
3	Stanislaus	1.4%	172,941	208,109	-		-	3,113	3,113	211,222	1.5%
2	Sutter	0.2%	58,549	62,977	-		-	550	550	63,527	0.9%
2	Tehama	0.2%	50,081	28,277	21,804	1.2%	10,395	360	10,755	39,032	38.0%
1	Trinity	0.0%	37,396				-	76	76	76	
3	Tulare	1.2%	153,218	292,488	-		-	2,671	2,671	295,159	0.9%
2	Tuolumne	0.1%	47,646	61,307	-		-	306	306	61,613	0.5%
3	Ventura	2.1%	246,574	240,082	6,492	0.3%	3,095	4,763	7,858	247,940	3.3%
2	Yolo	0.6%	89,297	79,362	9,935	0.5%	4,736	1,239	5,975	85,337	7.5%
2	Yuba	0.2%	53,236	62,563	-		-	431	431	62,994	0.7%
	Total		11,902,126	10,789,626	1,866,869	100.0%	890,000	222,500	1,112,500	11,902,126	

* Cluster 2 courts with existing intra-branch agreements for the Family Law Facilitator program.

Child Support Commissioner (CSC) Program Allocation, 2021–2022							
		A	B	C	D	E	F
#	CSC Court	Updated Base Allocation	Beginning Federal Drawdown Option	Federal Share 66% (Column B * .66)	Court Share 34% (Column B * .34)	Total Allocation (A+B)	Contract Amount (A+C)
1	Alameda	1,506,792	549,815	362,878	186,937	2,056,607	1,869,670
2	Alpine (see El Dorado)						
3	Amador	140,250	45,736	30,186	15,550	185,986	170,436
4	Butte	272,690	0	0	0	272,690	272,690
5	Calaveras	132,667	10,000	6,600	3,400	142,667	139,267
6	Colusa	45,691	20,809	13,734	7,075	66,500	59,425
7	Contra Costa	793,527	0	0	0	793,527	793,527
8	Del Norte	63,235	29,023	19,155	9,868	92,258	82,390
9	El Dorado	203,169	100,382	66,252	34,130	303,551	269,421
10	Fresno	1,686,748	843,800	556,908	286,892	2,530,548	2,243,656
11	Glenn	120,030	63,012	41,588	21,424	183,042	161,618
12	Humboldt	117,051	59,801	39,469	20,332	176,852	156,520
13	Imperial	219,020	103,940	68,600	35,340	322,960	287,621
14	Inyo	79,264	45,640	30,122	15,518	124,904	109,386
15	Kern	1,054,951	360,000	237,600	122,400	1,414,951	1,292,551
16	Kings	275,061	166,716	110,033	56,683	441,777	385,094
17	Lake	141,004	37,000	24,420	12,580	178,004	165,424
18	Lassen	60,000	0	0	0	60,000	60,000
19	Los Angeles	6,766,426	3,198,270	2,110,858	1,087,412	9,964,696	8,877,285
20	Madera	242,269	83,000	54,780	28,220	325,269	297,049
21	Marin	114,719	40,396	26,661	13,735	155,115	141,380
22	Mariposa	75,216	0	0	0	75,216	75,216
23	Mendocino	154,769	56,550	37,323	19,227	211,319	192,092
24	Merced	490,598	297,354	196,254	101,100	787,952	686,851
25	Modoc						
26	Mono	45,974	5,000	3,300	1,700	50,974	49,274
27	Monterey	356,969	109,094	72,002	37,092	466,063	428,971
28	Napa	95,745	0	0	0	95,745	95,745
29	Nevada	327,593	0	0	0	327,593	327,593
30	Orange	2,133,505	424,810	280,375	144,435	2,558,315	2,413,880
31	Placer	312,320	25,440	16,790	8,650	337,760	329,111
32	Plumas	95,777	0	0	0	95,777	95,777
33	Riverside	1,598,603	106,795	70,485	36,310	1,705,398	1,669,088
34	Sacramento	1,413,338	500,000	330,000	170,000	1,913,338	1,743,338
35	San Benito	135,384	30,000	19,800	10,200	165,384	155,184
36	San Bernardino	3,186,397	1,393,318	919,590	473,728	4,579,715	4,105,986
37	San Diego	1,923,982	1,010,905	667,197	343,708	2,934,887	2,591,179
38	San Francisco	820,297	441,796	291,585	150,211	1,262,093	1,111,883
39	San Joaquin	846,981	83,046	54,810	28,236	930,027	901,792
40	San Luis Obispo	209,688	127,093	83,881	43,212	336,781	293,570
41	San Mateo	354,193	214,678	141,687	72,991	568,871	495,880
42	Santa Barbara	435,112	170,267	112,376	57,891	605,379	547,488
43	Santa Clara	1,612,233	977,183	644,941	332,242	2,589,416	2,257,173
44	Santa Cruz	177,299	36,000	23,760	12,240	213,299	201,059
45	Shasta	417,575	205,874	135,877	69,997	623,449	553,452
46	Sierra (see Nevada)						
47	Siskiyou	118,484	0	0	0	118,484	118,484
48	Solano	524,428	95,481	63,017	32,464	619,909	587,446
49	Sonoma	453,390	221,104	145,929	75,175	674,494	599,319
50	Stanislaus	700,912	260,000	171,600	88,400	960,912	872,512
51	Sutter	182,623	63,487	41,901	21,586	246,110	224,525
52	Tehama	111,871	56,982	37,608	19,374	168,853	149,479
53	Trinity (see Shasta)						
54	Tulare	507,485	92,308	60,923	31,385	599,793	568,409
55	Tuolumne	158,566	78,346	51,708	26,638	236,912	210,274
56	Ventura	527,450	106,527	70,308	36,219	633,977	597,758
57	Yolo	211,965	42,175	27,836	14,340	254,140	239,801
58	Yuba	203,149	50,000	33,000	17,000	253,149	236,149
TOTAL		34,954,436	13,038,953	8,605,709	4,433,244	47,993,389	43,560,145

CSC Base Funds	34,954,436
CSC Federal Drawdown	13,038,953
Total Funding Allocated	47,993,389

Family Law Facilitator (FLF) Program Allocation, 2021–2022							
		A	B	G	H	I	J
#	FLF Court	Updated Base Allocation	Beginning Federal Drawdown Option	Federal Share 66% (Column B *.66)	Court Share 34% (Column F *.34)	Total Allocation (A+B)	Contract Amount (A + C)
1	Alameda	420,326	247,743	163,510	84,233	668,069	583,836
2	Alpine (see El Dorado)						
3	Amador	47,097	4,701	3,103	1,598	51,798	50,200
4	Butte	97,903	61,250	40,425	20,825	159,153	138,328
5	Calaveras	70,907	8,000	5,280	2,720	78,907	76,187
6	Colusa	38,250	8,900	5,874	3,026	47,150	44,124
7	Contra Costa	334,681	0	0	0	334,681	334,681
8	Del Norte	50,155	5,971	3,941	2,030	56,126	54,095
9	El Dorado	107,111	50,384	33,253	17,131	157,495	140,364
10	Fresno	380,506	186,596	123,153	63,443	567,102	503,659
11	Glenn	75,971	0	0	0	75,971	75,971
12	Humboldt	85,479	9,774	6,451	3,323	95,253	91,930
13	Imperial	68,492	36,086	23,817	12,269	104,578	92,308
14	Inyo	57,289	27,171	17,933	9,238	84,460	75,222
15	Kern	342,484	200,000	132,000	68,000	542,484	474,484
16	Kings	66,952	32,000	21,120	10,880	98,952	88,072
17	Lake	55,052	26,836	17,712	9,124	81,888	72,764
18	Lassen	65,167	0	0	0	65,167	65,167
19	Los Angeles	2,314,376	803,431	530,264	273,167	3,117,807	2,844,640
20	Madera	77,642	25,383	16,753	8,630	103,025	94,394
21	Marin	131,218	0	0	0	131,218	131,218
22	Mariposa	45,491	0	0	0	45,491	45,491
23	Mendocino	57,935	30,000	19,800	10,200	87,935	77,735
24	Merced	101,777	67,473	44,532	22,941	169,250	146,309
25	Modoc	70,995	1,247	823	424	72,242	71,818
26	Mono	48,322	1,350	891	459	49,672	49,213
27	Monterey	136,783	57,179	37,738	19,441	193,962	174,522
28	Napa	67,188	40,000	26,400	13,600	107,188	93,588
29	Nevada	116,579	0	0	0	116,579	116,579
30	Orange	707,122	114,738	75,727	39,011	821,860	782,849
31	Placer	114,143	0	0	0	114,143	114,143
32	Plumas	55,935	7,803	5,150	2,653	63,738	61,085
33	Riverside	649,668	218,500	144,210	74,290	868,168	793,878
34	Sacramento	376,094	211,331	139,478	71,853	587,425	515,573
35	San Benito	60,627	29,151	19,240	9,911	89,778	79,867
36	San Bernardino	536,755	313,548	206,942	106,606	850,303	743,697
37	San Diego	760,746	253,614	167,385	86,229	1,014,360	928,132
38	San Francisco	248,672	113,795	75,105	38,690	362,467	323,776
39	San Joaquin	218,392	78,238	51,637	26,601	296,630	270,029
40	San Luis Obispo	87,277	32,246	21,282	10,964	119,523	108,560
41	San Mateo	181,237	86,554	57,126	29,428	267,791	238,363
42	Santa Barbara	164,701	77,323	51,033	26,290	242,024	215,735
43	Santa Clara	501,084	210,712	139,070	71,642	711,796	640,154
44	Santa Cruz	90,635	43,000	28,380	14,620	133,635	119,015
45	Shasta	186,519	111,913	73,863	38,050	298,432	260,382
46	Sierra (see Nevada)						
47	Siskiyou	71,166	35,000	23,100	11,900	106,166	94,266
48	Solano	139,451	39,710	26,209	13,501	179,161	165,660
49	Sonoma	152,948	65,519	43,243	22,276	218,467	196,190
50	Stanislaus	211,222	120,000	79,200	40,800	331,222	290,422
51	Sutter	63,527	31,409	20,730	10,679	94,936	84,257
52	Tehama	39,032	3,535	2,333	1,202	42,567	41,365
53	Trinity (see Shasta)						
54	Tulare	295,159	132,293	87,313	44,980	427,452	382,473
55	Tuolumne	61,613	30,084	19,855	10,229	91,697	81,469
56	Ventura	247,940	77,864	51,390	26,474	325,804	299,330
57	Yolo	85,337	35,377	23,349	12,028	120,714	108,686
58	Yuba	62,994	44,953	29,669	15,284	107,947	92,663
TOTAL		11,902,126	4,449,685	2,936,792	1,512,893	16,351,811	14,838,918

FLF Base Funds	11,902,126
FLF Federal Drawdown	4,449,685
Total Funding Allocated	16,351,811