

# JUDICIAL COUNCIL OF CALIFORNIA

455 Golden Gate Avenue • San Francisco, California 94102-3688 www.courts.ca.gov

# REPORT TO THE JUDICIAL COUNCIL

Item No.: 21-132
For business meeting on: July 9, 2021

**Title** 

Unlawful Detainer: Technical Changes to

Implement AB 832

Rules, Forms, Standards, or Statutes Affected

Revise forms UD-101 and UD-105

**Recommended by** 

Judicial Council staff Anne M. Ronan, Supervising Attorney Legal Services **Agenda Item Type** 

Action Required

**Effective Date** 

July 12, 2021

**Date of Report** 

June 30, 2021

Contact

Anne M. Ronan, 415-865-8933 anne.ronan@jud.ca.gov James Barolo, 415-865-8928 james.barolo@jud.ca.gov

# **Executive Summary**

Assembly Bill 832 is urgency legislation that became effective when signed by the Governor on June 28, 2021. Among other things, the new law extends the tenant protections provided under the Tenant, Homeowner, and Small Landlord Relief and Stabilization Act, which were previously to end June 30, 2021, through September 30, 2021. In light of the extension of the statutory provisions now in effect, Judicial Council staff is recommending that two unlawful detainer forms (a mandatory form for plaintiff to assert supplemental allegations and the answer form) be immediately revised by the council so that the dates on those forms that reflect the prior end date of the tenant protections be changed to reflect the correct dates under AB 832. The Civil and Small Claims Advisory Committee will make recommendations to the council addressing the other provisions in the bill in the coming months to implement the changes arising from those sections of the new law.

#### Recommendation

Judicial Council staff recommends that the Judicial Council, effective July 12, 2021, revise the following forms to reflect the extended time frame in the amended statutes and to make other minor technical edits:

- Plaintiff's Mandatory Cover Sheet and Supplemental Allegations—Unlawful Detainer (form UD-101); and
- Answer—Unlawful Detainer (form UD-105).

The proposed revised forms are attached at pages 6–14.

#### **Relevant Previous Council Action**

Assembly Bill 3088 (AB 3088; Stats. 2020, ch. 37), which includes the COVID-19 Tenant Relief Act of 2020, was enacted as urgency legislation on August 31, 2020, and put in place new provisions addressing unlawful detainer actions during the COVID-19 pandemic that went into effect immediately. (See Link A.) The bill provided, among other things, certain protections against the termination of residential tenancies for failure to pay rent due from March 1, 2020, through January 31, 2021.

In order for courts to determine whether judgments may issue on unlawful detainer cases in light of the new state protections and the protections provided by federal law, plaintiffs need to provide information beyond the allegations contained in *Complaint—Unlawful Detainer* (form UD-100) or previously included in individually drafted complaints. For that reason, the council adopted *Plaintiff's Mandatory Cover Sheet and Supplemental Allegations—Unlawful Detainer* (form UD-101), effective October 5, 2020, which includes allegations as to the various facts that a court needs to know to properly apply the provisions in AB 3088. The council also approved revised *Answer—Unlawful Detainer* (form UD-105) to aid defendants in responding to the allegations in new form UD-101 and raising defenses potentially available under AB 3088. The answer form was further revised in December 2020. (Because there was not time to circulate the revised answer form prior to the October 5 effective date, the form was circulated for public comment after the council approved it.)

Senate Bill 91 (SB 91; Stat. 2021, ch.2) was enacted on January 29, 2021, as urgency legislation going into effect immediately, amending the tenant protections that had been provided under the AB 3088. (See Link B.) Senate Bill 91 extended the time period of the protections to June 30, 2021, as well as adding some additional protections and establishing a rental assistance program. In light of those statutory changes, the council promptly revised the two unlawful detainer forms addressed here (forms UD-101 and UD-105) effective February 16, 2021, to change the end dates of the covered periods and incorporate the new protections already in effect. The council also adopted a new form at that time (form UD-120) to facilitate compliance

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The Legislature made minor clean-up revisions to these provisions of SB 91 in Assembly Bill 81 enacted a month later. (See Link C.)

with a new statutory requirement for certain verifications by a landlord. Based on comments received after the forms were circulated for public comment, the council approved further revisions to all three forms at its May 2021 meeting, which became effective shortly thereafter.

## Analysis/Rationale

The provisions of AB 3088 included certain protections to residential tenants whose tenancy was being terminated (1) for nonpayment of rent due from March 1, 2020, through January 31, 2021; or (2) for some other reason between September 1, 2020, and January 31, 2021. Senate Bill 91 extended these protections through June 30, 2021. Now, AB 832 (see Link D) has further extended the time frame of the existing tenant protections—including the period in which landlords are precluded from bringing unlawful detainer actions based on nonpayment of rent if a declaration of financial distress has been provided by the tenant—through September 30, 2021. (Code Civ. Proc., §§ 1179.01(a) (definition of covered period) and (i) (definition of transition period), 1179.03(g) (plaintiffs cannot initiate actions in certain circumstances before a specified date), and 1179.03.5 (defendants cannot be found guilty of unlawful detainer in certain circumstances before a specified date).)

The changes in the time frame of the protections require several minor revisions to forms UD-101 and UD-105 in order for them to conform to the law. While dates are primarily included in several items only as instructions, the dates must correctly reflect the law. Without the new dates, landlords will not know that they still need to complete certain items on form UD-101 and, as a result, a court may issue judgments that are not permitted under the new law. And without the new dates on the answer form, tenants may not know that they can assert certain defenses even though the defenses still apply, and so may waive those defenses and lose their cases—and their homes—in spite of AB 832's extension of the legal protections.

The proposal recommends revising the following items to reflect the changes in the time frame for the parties' rights and responsibilities under the new law:

- Items that are currently directed at cases for nonpayment of rent in the "covered time period" or the "transition time period," previously limited to cases through June 30, 2021 (form UD-101 at item 7; form UD-105 at items 3*l*, 3m, and 3r).
- Items referencing the date by which tenants must pay the statutorily-mandated minimum rent required in order to avoid eviction—that is, the end of the transition time period (form UD-101 at item 11; form UD-105 at item 3m(6)(c)).
- Items addressing the requirement that any unlawful detainer actions brought for reasons other than nonpayment of COVID-19-related rental debt must be based on just cause,

<sup>2</sup> The other forms approved by the council to implement AB 3088 and SB 91 do not need immediate revisions. Neither forms UD-104 and UD-104(A), to be used by tenants for filing declarations of COVID-19–related financial distress with the court, nor form UD-120, to be used by plaintiffs for filing required verifications relating to receipt of emergency rental assistance, contain any dates referring to the periods that were extended by AB 832.

previously limited to cases before July 1, 2021 (form UD-101 at item 10; form UD-105 at item 3n).<sup>3</sup>

Assembly Bill 832 also enacts the COVID-19 Rental Housing Recovery Act, Code of Civil Procedure section 1179.08 et seq., which, for certain unlawful detainer actions brought on or after October 1, 2021, imposes new requirements on plaintiffs, expands certain rights of defendants, and will require courts to handle some procedures in such cases differently from other unlawful detainer cases. In addition, the new law defers the time for commencing actions to recover COVID-19 rental debt to November 1, 2021 and amends certain provisions of the emergency rental assistance program. While further form revisions—and some newly mandated forms—will be needed by October 1, 2021, to reflect these provisions in the new law, the date changes are needed now to bring the forms into compliance for any cases brought before that date.

### **Policy implications**

Because the proposed revisions to the dates are required in order for the forms to conform to the new statutory provisions, the only policy implications are ensuring that council forms reflect the law correctly and are not misleading to parties—especially self-represented litigants—or courts.

#### **Comments**

This proposal was not circulated for public comment because the changes are minor and noncontroversial—the revised dates because they are necessitated by statutory changes. It is therefore within the Judicial Council's purview to adopt without circulation. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 10.22(d)(2).) The enactment of the law as urgency legislature that became effective immediately and only two days before the protections reflected on the forms would have ended, mandated the need for prompt action.

This proposal was shared with the members of the Civil and Small Claims Advisory Committee, the committee that proposed all prior revisions to these forms relating to COVID-19—related protections, and no objections were received.

#### Alternatives considered

None were considered. The primary revisions are required to conform the content of the forms with the law now in effect. Not taking action would result in incorrect and misleading statements of law in the council forms.

## **Fiscal and Operational Impacts**

While AB 832 will have a significant impact on court operations, the proposed revisions to the forms should have little impact on the courts beyond ensuring that parties will be aware of the extension of certain tenant protections.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Two other minor technical changes have also been made to the forms: correcting a citation in item 10 of form UD-101 and adding more signature lines to form UD-105.

# **Attachments and Links**

Forms UD-101 and UD-105 at page 6-14.

Link A: Assembly Bill 3088 (Stats. 2020, ch. 37)

https://leginfo.legislature.ca.gov/faces/billTextClient.xhtml?bill\_id=201920200AB3088

Link B: Senate. Bill 91 (Stats. 2021, ch. 2),

https://leginfo.legislature.ca.gov/faces/billNavClient.xhtml?bill\_id=202120220SB91

Link C: Assembly Bill 81 (Stats. 2021, ch. 5),

https://leginfo.legislature.ca.gov/faces/billNavClient.xhtml?bill\_id=202120220AB81

Link D: Assembly Bill 832 (Stats. 2021, ch.\_\_\_; signed by the Governor June 28, 2021)

https://leginfo.legislature.ca.gov/faces/billNavClient.xhtml?bill\_id=202120220AB832

ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY STATE BAR NUMBER:	FOR COURT USE ONLY
NAME:	
FIRM NAME:	
STREET ADDRESS:	DRAFT
CITY: STATE: ZIP CODE:	
TELEPHONE NO.: FAX NO.:	00/00/04
EMAIL ADDRESS:	06/28/21
ATTORNEY FOR (name):	
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF	NOT ADDDOVED DV
STREET ADDRESS:	NOT APPROVED BY
MAILING ADDRESS:	COUNCIL
CITY AND ZIP CODE:	
BRANCH NAME:	
PLAINTIFF:	
DEFENDANT:	
PLAINTIFF'S MANDATORY COVER SHEET AND	CASE NUMBER:
SUPPLEMENTAL ALLEGATIONS—UNLAWFUL DETAINER	
OUT LEMENTAL ALLEGATIONS—ONLAW OF BETAINEN	
For action filed (check one): before October 5, 2020 on October 5, 2020	0, or later
	,
All plaintiffs in unlawful detainer proceedings must file and serve this form. Filing this form Civil Procedure section 1179.01.5(c).	complies with the requirement in Code of
Serve this form with the summons.	
<ul> <li>If a summons has already been served without this form, then serve it by mail or any</li> </ul>	other means of service authorized by law.
• If defendant has answered prior to service of this form, there is no requirement for det	fendant to respond to the supplemental
allegations before trial.	
Before obtaining a judgment in an unlawful detainer action for nonpayment of rent on a rest to verify that no rental assistance or other financial compensation has been received for thor accruing afterward, and no application is pending for such assistance. For a default judg Landlord Regarding Rental Assistance (form UD-120) to make this verification.	ne amount in the notice demanding payment
1. PLAINTIFF (name each):	
alleges causes of action in the complaint filed in this action against DEFENDANT (name	e each):
2. Statutory cover sheet allegations (Code Civ. Proc., § 1179.01.5(c))	
a. This action seeks possession of real property that is (check all that apply):	Residential Commercial
(If "residential" is checked, complete items 3 and 4 and all remaining items that app	<u>——</u>
checked, no further items need to be completed except the signature and verificatio	
b. This action is based, in whole or in part, on an alleged default payment of rent or oth	
	<u> </u>
3. Tenants subject to COVID-19 Tenant Relief Act (Code Civ. Proc., § 1179.02(h))	
a. (1) One or more defendants in this action is a natural person: Yes N	No
(2) Identify any defendant not a natural person:	
(If no is checked, then no further items need to be completed except the signature a	and verification.)
b. (1) All defendants named in this action maintain occupancy as described in Civil Co	ode section 1940(b). Yes No
	NG SECTION 1940(D) 165 NO
(2) Identify any defendant who does not:	
(If yes is checked, then no further items need to be completed except the signature	and verification.)
	Page 1 of 4

PLAINTIFF: DEFENDANT:			CASE NUMBER:	
<u> </u>	. Federal law allegations			
•	<ul> <li>a. Defendant has has not provided a statement under penalty of perjury for the Centers for Disease Control         Prevention's order for Temporary Halt in Evictions to Prevent Further Spread of COVID-19 (85 Federal Register 55292)         extension. (Note to plaintiff: Proceeding in violation of the federal order may result in civil or criminal penalties.)</li> </ul>		OVID-19 (85 Federal Register 55292) or its	
b. This action does does not seek possession of a dwelling unit in property that ha mortgage for which forbearance has been granted under title 15 United States Code section 90				
	(1) Date forbearance be	gan:		
	(2) Date forbearance en	ded:		
5.	The unlawful detainer of quit, in which the time	tice expired before March 1, 2020 complaint in this action is based solely on a notice to quit, period specified in the notice expired before March 1, 2020 e completed except the signature and verification on page	0. (If this is the only basis for the action, no	
6. Rent or other financial obligations due between March 1, 2020, and August 31, 2020 (prote The unlawful detainer complaint in this action is based, at least in part, on a demand for paymen obligations due in the protected time period. (Check all that apply.)				
	a. Defendant (name o	each):		
		om the State of California" required by Code of Civil Procedate and in the same manner. (Provide information regard		
	b. One or more defend described in attach	dants was served with the notice in item 6a on a different on ment 8c.	date or in a different manner, which service is	
	c. Defendant (name e	each):		
		15 days' notice to pay rent or other financial obligations, qu-related financial distress, in the form and with the content		
		ed defendant as a <b>high-income tenant</b> and requested sundant submits, complete item 9 below. (Code Civ. Proc., §		
		O with this form and item 6c is checked, specify this 15-da to that complaint form, and provide all requested informat		
	d. Response to notice (chec	sk all that apply):		
	(1) Defendant (na	ame each):		
	delivered a declarati § 1179.03(f).)	on of COVID-19–related financial distress on landlord in t	he time required. (Code Civ. Proc.,	
	(2) Defendant (na	ame each):		
	did <i>not</i> deliver a dec § 1179.03(f).)	claration of COVID-19–related financial distress on landlor	rd in the time required. (Code Civ. Proc.,	
7.	<b>period)</b> The unlawful of	al obligations due between September 1, 2020, and Se letainer complaint in this action is based, at least in part, o le during the transition time period.		
	a. Defendant (name e	-		
		om the State of California" required by Code of Civil Proclate and in the same manner. (Provide information regard		

			CASE NUMBER:
D	EFE	ENDANT:	
7. b. One or more defendants was served with the notice in item 7a on a different date or in a different manner, which described in attachment 8c.			ate or in a different manner, which service is
	C.	Defendant (name each):	
was served with at least 15 days' notice to pay rent or other financial obligations, quit, or deliver a declaration, and a declaration of COVID-19-related financial distress, in the form and with the content required in Code of Civil Procedusection 1179.03(c) and (d).			
		(If the notice identified defendant as a <b>high-income tenant</b> and requested subr declaration the defendant submits, complete item 9 below. (Code Civ. Proc., § 1	
		(If filing form UD-100 with this form and item 6c is checked, specify this 15-day a copy of the notice to that complaint form, and provide all requested informatio	
	d. Response to notice (check all that apply):		
		(1) Defendant (name each):	
		delivered a declaration of COVID-19–related financial distress on the landlord in § 1179.03(f).)	n the time required. (Code Civ. Proc.,
		(2) Defendant (name each):	
		did <i>not</i> deliver a declaration of COVID-19–related financial distress on the land § 1179.03(f).))	lord in the time required. (Code Civ. Proc.,
	e.	Rent due (complete only if action filed after September 30, 2021):	
		(1) Rent in the amount of \$ was due between September 1	, 2020, and <mark>September 30, 2021</mark> .
		(2) Payment of \$ for that period was received by September 30	), 2021.
8.	Se	ervice of Code of Civil Procedure Section 1179.04 Notice From the State of Califo	ornia (check all that apply)
a. The notice identified in item 6a and 7a was served on the defendant named in those items as follows:			those items as follows:
		(1) By personally handing a copy to defendant on (date):	
		(2) By leaving a copy with (name or description):	,
		a person of suitable age and discretion, on (date):	efendant's
		residence business AND mailing a copy to defendant at defe	endant's place of residence.
		(3) By posting a copy on the premises on (date):	
		AND giving a copy to a person found residing at the premises AND mailing (date):	g a copy to defendant at the premises on
		(a) because defendant's residence and usual place of business ca	nnot be ascertained OR
		(b) because no person of suitable age or discretion can be found the	here.
		(4) By sending a copy by mail addressed to the defendant on <i>(date):</i>	
	b.	(Name):	
		was served on behalf of all defendants who signed a joint written rental agreement.	
	C.	Information about service of notice on the defendants alleged in items 6b and 7	7b is stated in Attachment 8c.
	d.	Proof of service of the notice or notices in items 6a, 6b, 7a, and 7b is attached	to this form and labeled Exhibit 1.
9.		High-income tenant. The 15-day notice in item 6c or 7c above identified defendar submission of documentation supporting the tenant's claim that tenant had suffered Plaintiff had proof before serving that notice that the tenant has an annual income income for the county the rental property is located in and not less than \$100,000.	d COVID-19–related financial distress. that is at least 130 percent of the median
	a.	The tenant did not deliver a declaration of COVID-19–related financial distress § 1179.03(f).)	within the required time. (Code Civ. Proc.,
	b.	The tenant did not deliver documentation within the required time supporting the related financial distress as asserted in the declaration. (Code Civ. Proc., § 117)	

	NTIFF: CASE NUMBER:			
DEFE	IDANT:			
10	Just cause eviction. (Only applicable if action is filed before October 1, 2021. Note: If the tenancy is subject to the Tenant Protection Act (including Civil Code section 1946.2), plaintiff must, if using form UD-100, complete item 8 on that form in addition to this item.)			
a.	a. The tenancy identified in the unlawful detainer complaint in this action was terminated for at-fault just cause as defined Civil Code section 1946.2(b)(1), which reason is in the notice of termination. (Code Civ. Proc., § 1179.03.5(a)(3)(A)(i).)			
b. The tenancy identified in the unlawful detainer complaint in this action was terminated for no-fault just cause as def Civil Code section 1946.2(b)(2), which reason is in the notice of termination. (Code Civ. Proc., § 1179.03.5(a)(3)(A) (Complete (1) or (2) below, only if applicable.)				
	(1) The no-fault just cause is the intent to demolish or substantially remodel, which is is not necessary to comply with codes, statutes, or regulations relating to the habitability of the rental units. (Code Civ. Proc., § 1179.03.5(a)(3)(A)(ii).)			
	(2) The tenancy identified in the complaint in this action was terminated because the owner of the property has entered into a contract with a buyer who intends to occupy the property and the property does does not meet all the requirements of Civil Code section 1946.2(e)(8). (Code Civ. Proc., § 1179.03.5(a)(3)(A)(iii).)			
C.	This action is based solely on the cause of termination checked in item 10a or b above, and is not for nonpayment of rent or other financial obligations. ( <i>If this item applies, plaintiff may not recover any rental debt due from the period between March 1, 2020, and September 30, 2021, as part of the damages in this action.</i> (Code Civ. Proc., § 1179.03.5(a)(3)(B).))			
11. 🗀	Rent or other financial obligations due after September 30, 2021. (Only applicable if action is filed on or after October 1, 2021.) The only demand for rent or other financial obligations on which the unlawful detainer complaint in this action is based is a demand for payment of rent due after September 30, 2021.			
12	2. Statements regarding rental assistance (Required in all actions based on nonpayment of rent or any other financial obligation. Plaintiff must answer all the questions in this item and, if later seeking a default judgment, will also need to file Verification Regarding Rental AssistanceUnlawful Detainer (form UD-120).)			
a.	a. Has plaintiff received rental assistance or other financial compensation from any other source corresponding to the amount demanded in the notice underlying the complaint? Yes No			
b.	b. Has plaintiff received rental assistance or other financial compensation from any other source for rent accruing <i>after</i> the date of the notice underlying the complaint? Yes No			
C.	Does plaintiff have any pending application for rental assistance or other financial compensation from any other source corresponding to the amount demanded in the notice underlying the complaint? Yes No			
d.	d. Does plaintiff have any pending application for rental assistance or other financial compensation from any other source for relactiving after the date on the notice underlying the complaint? Yes No			
13.	Number of pages attached (specify):			
Date:				
	(TYPE OR PRINT NAME) (SIGNATURE OF PLAINTIFF OR ATTORNEY)			
	VERIFICATION			
	(Use a different verification form if the verification is by an attorney or for a corporation or partnership.)			
	e plaintiff in this proceeding and have read this complaint. I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of nia that the foregoing is true and correct.			
Date:				
	<b>b</b>			
	(TYPE OR PRINT NAME) (SIGNATURE)			

PLAINTIFF'S MANDATORY COVER SHEET AND

UD-101 [Rev. July 12, 2021]

Page 4 of 4

ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY	STATE BAR NUMBER:	FOR COURT USE ONLY
NAME:		
FIRM NAME:		DRAFT
STREET ADDRESS:		
CITY:	STATE: ZIP CODE:	06/28/21
TELEPHONE NO.:	FAX NO.:	
E-MAIL ADDRESS: ATTORNEY FOR (name):		NOT ADDDOVED BY
		NOT APPROVED BY
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA STREET ADDRESS:	, COUNTY OF	JUDICIAL COUNCIL
MAILING ADDRESS:		
CITY AND ZIP CODE:		
BRANCH NAME:		
PLAINTIFF:		
DEFENDANT:		
		CASE NUMBER:
ANSV	VER—UNLAWFUL DETAINER	5.52.16.152.1
Defendant (all defendants for w	hom this answer is filed must be named and	must sign this answer unless their attorney signs):
answers the complaint as follow	vs:	
2. DENIALS (Check ONLY ONE		
	not check this box if the complaint demands m	nore than \$1,000 \
Defendant generally o		f the Mandatory Cover Sheet and Supplemental
_	·	
Defendant admits that	eck this box and complete (1) and (2) below in tall of the statements of the complaint and of In Detainer (form UD-101) are true EXCEPT:	if complaint demands more than \$1,000.)  the Mandatory Cover Sheet and Supplemental
(1) Denial of Allegations	in Complaint (Form UD-100 or Other Com	onlaint for Unlawful Detainer)
	alse (state paragraph numbers from the complaint or	
•	is on form MC-025, titled as Attachment 2b(1)	)(a).
		//-/·
		ents of the complaint are true, so defendant denies flow or, if more room needed, on form MC-025):
Explanation	n is on form MC-025, titled as Attachment 2b(	1)(b).
(2) Denial of Allegations	in Mandatory Cover Sheet and Supplement	ntal Allegations—Unlawful Detainer (form UD-101)
	id not receive plaintiff's Mandatory Cover Sho . complete (b) and (c).)	eet and Supplemental Allegations (form UD-101). (If
(b) Defendant claims t	the following statements on the Mandatory Co	over Sheet and Supplemental Allegations—Unlawful
	-101) are false (state paragraph numbers from	m form UD-101 or explain below or, if more room 25, titled as Attachment 2b(2)(b).
Allegations—Unlav form UD-101 or ex		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Explanation		-/\-/·

**UD-105** 

CASE NUMBER:

3.	3. <b>DEFENSES AND OBJECTIONS</b> (NOTE: For each box checked, you must state brief facts to support it in item 3v (on page 3) of more room is needed, on form MC-025. You can learn more about defenses and objections at <a href="https://www.courts.ca.gov/selfhelp-eviction.htm">www.courts.ca.gov/selfhelp-eviction.htm</a> .)			
	a.	[ [Nonpa	ayment of rent only) Plaintiff has breached the	warranty to provide habitable premises.
	b.		ayment of rent only) Defendant made needed e proper credit.	repairs and properly deducted the cost from the rent, and plaintiff did
	C.		ayment of rent only) On (date):  It due but plaintiff would not accept it.	before the notice to pay or quit expired, defendant offered
	d.	Plaintif	f waived, changed, or canceled the notice to	puit.
	e.	Plaintif	f served defendant with the notice to quit or fil	ed the complaint to retaliate against defendant.
	f.		ring defendant with the notice to quit or filing t ant in violation of the Constitution or the laws	ne complaint, plaintiff is arbitrarily discriminating against the of the United States or California.
	g.		fs demand for possession violates the local rence, and date of passage):	ent control or eviction control ordinance of (city or county, title of
		(Also, I	briefly state in item 3v the facts showing viola	ion of the ordinance.)
	h.			nant Protection Act of 2019, Civil Code section 1946.2 or 1947.12, apply and briefly state in item 3v the facts that support each.)
		(1) Pla	aintiff failed to state a just cause for termination	n of tenancy in the written notice to terminate.
			aintiff failed to provide an opportunity to cure a syment of rent) as required under Civ. Code, §	any alleged violations of terms and conditions of the lease (other than 1946.2(c).
		(3) Pla	aintiff failed to comply with the relocation assis	stance requirements of Civ. Code, § 1946.2(d).
			aintiff has raised the rent more than the amour authorized amount.	nt allowed under Civ. Code, § 1947.12, and the only unpaid rent is the
		(5) Pla	aintiff violated the Tenant Protection Act in an	other manner that defeats the complaint.
	i.	Plaintif	ff accepted rent from defendant to cover a per	iod of time after the date the notice to quit expired.
	j.	constitu defense more th	utes domestic violence, sexual assault, stalki <sup>r</sup> e requires one of the following: (1) <b>a tempora</b> han 180 days old; OR (2) <b>a signed statemen</b> assault counselor, human trafficking casewor	ainst defendant or a member of defendant's household that g, human trafficking, or abuse of an elder or a dependent adult. (This ry restraining order, protective order, or police report that is not throm a qualified third party (e.g., a doctor, domestic violence or ker, or psychologist) concerning the injuries or abuse resulting from
	k.	ambula		t or another person calling the police or emergency assistance (e.g., ctim of crime, or an individual in an emergency when defendant or sary.
	<i>I</i> .	obligation		perty is in retaliation for nonpayment of rent or other financial ber 30, 2021, even though alleged to be based on other reasons.
	m.		rs demand for possession of a residential prop tween March 1, 2020, and <mark>September 30, 202</mark>	perty is based on nonpayment of rent or other financial obligations  1, and (check all that apply):
			aintiff did not serve the general notice of rights ocedure section 1179.04.	under the COVID-19 Tenants Relief Act as required by Code of Civil
		(2) Pla	aintiff did not serve the required 15-day notice	. (Code Civ. Proc., § 1179.03(b) or (c).)
			nintiff did not provide an unsigned declaration .v. Proc., § 1179.03(d).)	of COVID-19-related financial distress with the 15-day notice. (Code
				of COVID-19–related financial distress in the language in which the the rental agreement. (Code Civ. Proc., § 1179.03(d).)
		tim		enant" in the 15-day notice, but plaintiff did not possess proof at the endant met the definition of high-income tenant. (Code Civ. Proc.,

	UD-	
		CASE NUMBER:
m.	(6) Defendant delivered to plaintiff one or more declarations of COVID-19— "high-income tenant," documentation in support. (Code Civ. Proc., §§ 1  (Describe when and how delivered and check all other items below that	179.03(f) and 1179.02.5.)
	(a) Plaintiff's demand for payment includes late fees on rent or other fin 2020, and September 30, 2021.	nancial obligations due between March 1,
	(b) Plaintiff's demand for payment includes fees for services that were i	ncreased or not previously charged.
	(c) [(For cases filed after September 30, 2021) Defendant, on or before payment of at least 25% of the total rental payments that were due I September 30, 2021, and that were demanded in the termination no declarations described in (a). (Code Civ. Proc., § 1179.03(g)(2).)	between September 1, 2020, and
	(7) Defendant is currently filing or has already filed a declaration of COVID- (Code Civ. Proc., § 1179.03(h).)	19–related financial distress with the court.
	(8) Rental Assistance (Health & Saf. Code, §§ 50897.1(d)(2)(B) and 50897.3	3(e)) (check all that apply):
	(a) Plaintiff received or has applied for rental assistance from the State compensation from some other source relating to the amount claims	
	(b) Plaintiff received or has applied for rental assistance from the State since the notice to pay rent or quit.	Rental Assistance Program for rent accruing
n.	(For cases filed before October 1, 2021) Plaintiff's demand for possession of other than nonpayment of rent or other financial obligations, and plaintiff lack as defined in Civil Code section 1946.2(b) or Code of Civil Procedure section	s just cause for termination of the tenancy,
Ο.	Plaintiff violated the COVID-19 Tenant Relief Act (Code Civ. Proc., § 1179.0° ordinance regarding evictions in some other way (briefly state facts describing)	
p.	Defendant provided plaintiff with a declaration under penalty of perjury for the Prevention's temporary halt in evictions to prevent further spread of COVID-1 plaintiff's reason for termination of the tenancy is one that the temporary halt how provided):	19 (85 Federal Register 55292 at 55297), and
q.	Plaintiff violated the federal CARES Act, because the property is covered by	that act and <i>(check all that apply)</i> :
	(1) The federally backed mortgage on the property was in forbearance whe (15 U.S.C. § 9057.)	· ·
	(2) The plaintiff did not give the required 30 days' notice. (15 U.S.C. § 9058	
r.	Plaintiff improperly applied payments made by defendant in a tenancy that v September 30, 2021 (Code Civ. Proc., § 1179.04.5), as follows (check all that	
	<ul> <li>(1) Plaintiff applied a security deposit to rent, or other financial obligations of the plaintiff applied a monthly rental payment to rent or other financial obligations and September 30, 2021, other than to the prospective month's rent, with the prospective month's rent, which is the prospective month's rent, and the prospective month's rent, which is the prospective month's rent, and the prospective month's rent month, and the prospective month's</li></ul>	ations that were due between March 1, 2020,

		UD-10
		CASE NUMBER:
	s. Plaintiff refused to accept payment from a third party for rent due. (Civ. Code t. Defendant has a disability and plaintiff refused to provide a reasonable accor (Cal. Code Regs. tit. 2, § 12176 (c).)  u. Other defenses and objections are stated in item 3v.  v. (Provide facts for each item checked above, either below or, if more room needed, Description of facts or defenses are on form MC-025, titled as Attachment 3	on form MC-025):
4.	OTHER STATEMENTS  a. Defendant vacated the premises on (date):  b. The fair rental value of the premises alleged in the complaint is excessive (eform MC-025):  Explanation is on form MC-025, titled as Attachment 4b.	explain below or, if more room needed, on
	c. Other (specify below or, if more room needed, on form MC-025):  Other statements are on form MC-025, titled as Attachment 4c.	
5.	DEFENDANT REQUESTS  a. that plaintiff take nothing requested in the complaint.  b. costs incurred in this proceeding.  c reasonable attorney fees.  d that plaintiff be ordered to (1) make repairs and correct the conditions that contain habitable premises and (2) reduce the monthly rent to a reasonable rental value.  e Other (specify below or on form MC-025):  All other requests are stated on form MC-025, titled as Attachment 5e	alue until the conditions are corrected.