



# Judicial Council of California

## Meeting Minutes

### Judicial Council

Please visit  
[courts website](#) to view  
a recording of the  
May 21, 2021 meeting

Meeting materials  
are available through  
the hyperlinks in  
this document.

*Open to the Public Unless Indicated as Closed  
(Cal. Rules of Court, rule 10.6(a))*

*Requests for ADA accommodation should be directed to  
JCCAccessCoordinator@jud.ca.gov*

---

Friday, May 21, 2021

9:45 AM

Videoconference

---

### **CLOSED SESSION (RULE 10.6(B))—PLANNING, PERSONNEL, AND DISCUSSION PROTECTED BY THE ATTORNEY-CLIENT PRIVILEGE**

Chief Justice Tani G. Cantil-Sakauye, Chair of the Judicial Council, called the closed session to order at 9:00 a.m.

### **OPEN SESSION (RULE 10.6(A)) — MEETING AGENDA**

#### **Attendance**

##### **Council Members**

- Present:** 25 - Chief Justice Tani G. Cantil-Sakauye, Justice Carol A. Corrigan, Administrative Presiding Justice Brad R. Hill, Justice Carin T. Fujisaki, Justice Harry E. Hull Jr., Justice Marsha G. Slough, Presiding Judge Samuel K. Feng, Presiding Judge Joyce D. Hinrichs, Presiding Judge Ann C. Moorman, Judge C. Todd Bottke, Judge Stacy Boulware Eurie, Judge Kyle S. Brodie, Judge Kevin C. Brazile, Judge Jonathan B. Conklin, Judge Harold W. Hopp, Judge Dalila Corral Lyons, Judge David M. Rubin, Commissioner Glenn Mondo, Senator Thomas J. Umberg, Assembly Member Richard Bloom, Mr. Kevin Harrigan, Ms. Rachel W. Hill, Mr. Patrick M. Kelly, Ms. Gretchen Nelson, and Mr. Maxwell V. Pritt
- Absent:** 5 - Judge Marla O. Anderson, Judge Thomas A. Delaney, Ms. Nancy CS Eberhardt, Ms. Rebecca Fleming, and Mr. Shawn C. Landry

#### **Call to Order**

Chief Justice Tani G. Cantil-Sakauye, Chair of the Judicial Council, called the open session to order at 9:45 a.m.

#### **Public Comment**

Comments were submitted in writing and reviewed by the Judicial Council.

## Approval of Minutes

[21-102](#)

### Minutes of March 12, 2021, Judicial Council Meeting

A motion to approve the minutes was made by Judge Rubin and seconded by Judge Brodie. The motion was adopted.

## Chief Justice's Report

Chief Justice Tani G. Cantil Sakauye reported on her activities since the last council meeting.

## Administrative Director's Report

[21-103](#)

### Administrative Director's Report

Administrative Director Martin Hoshino reported on the council's activities since the last council meeting.

## Judicial Council Internal Committee Reports

[21-106](#)

### Judicial Council Internal Committee Presentations

[21-104](#)

### Judicial Council Internal Committee Written Reports

## CONSENT AGENDA

### Approval of the Consent Agenda

A motion to approve the Consent Agenda was made by Judge Brazile and seconded by Mr. Kelly. Senator Umberg abstained. The motion was adopted.

[21-048](#)

### Allocations and Reimbursements to Trial Courts | Continued Distribution of Children's Waiting Room Funds During Temporary Closure (Action Required)

**Summary:** The Fiscal Planning Subcommittee of the Trial Court Budget Advisory Committee recommends that the Judicial Council approve a request from the San Francisco Superior Court to continue receiving children's waiting room funds during the unforeseen temporary closure of its children's waiting rooms in response to the state of emergency related to the COVID-19 pandemic. By continuing to receive funding, the court would have sufficient resources to provide safe and healthy children's waiting room settings for children when they can safely reopen.

**Recommendation:** Based on the action taken at its meeting on March 9, 2021, the Fiscal Planning Subcommittee of the Trial Court Budget Advisory Committee recommends that the Judicial Council approve the continued distribution of children's waiting room

funds to the San Francisco Superior Court to allow the court to receive funding to support operation of its children's waiting rooms upon reopening.

**[21-045](#)****Criminal Law | Judicial Council Appointment to Board of State and Community Corrections (Action Required)**

**Summary:** The Executive and Planning Committee recommends that the Judicial Council appoint Judge Janet Gaard (Ret.) to the Board of State and Community Corrections (BSCC). The BSCC is an independent statutory agency that provides leadership to the adult and juvenile criminal justice systems, and expertise on public safety realignment issues. The BSCC is composed of 13 members, including a judge appointed by the Judicial Council.

**Recommendation:** The Executive and Planning Committee recommends that the Judicial Council, effective July 1, 2021, appoint Judge Janet Gaard (Ret.) to the Board of State and Community Corrections for a term beginning July 1, 2021, and ending July 1, 2024.

**[21-047](#)****Judicial Branch Administration | Judicial Branch Workers' Compensation Program (Action Required)**

**Summary:** The Judicial Branch Workers' Compensation Program Advisory Committee recommends approval of the workers' compensation cost allocation for fiscal year 2021-22 in the amount of \$17.6 million for the trial courts and \$1.25 million for the state judiciary, based on a 60 percent funding confidence level.

**Recommendation:** The Judicial Branch Workers' Compensation Program Advisory Committee recommends that the Judicial Council, effective May 21, 2021:

1. Approve the proposal to increase trial court and state judiciary program funding confidence levels from 56 percent to 60 percent; and
2. At the 60 percent confidence level, approve the workers' compensation cost allocation for fiscal year 2021-22 of \$17.6 million for participating trial courts and \$1.25 million for the state judiciary.

**[21-093](#)****Judicial Council | Nonvoting Council Position (Action Required)**

**Summary:** The chair of the Executive and Planning Committee recommends approving two advisory, nonvoting Judicial Council positions for a single three-year term with the 2021 Judicial Council appointments. The Judicial Council has the authority to add nonvoting positions under the California Constitution and rule 10.2 of the California Rules of Court. These advisory positions will allow for the reappointments of Associate Justice Carin T. Fujisaki and Presiding Judge Ann C. Moorman and enable the council to maintain continuity and leadership to address critical judicial branch policy issues related to the COVID-19 pandemic and the administration of justice.

**Recommendation:** The chair of the Executive and Planning Committee recommends that the Judicial Council approve the creation of two new advisory, nonvoting Judicial Council

positions for a single three-year term, from September 15, 2021, through September 14, 2024, to be assigned to:

- Justice Carin T. Fujisaki, Court of Appeal, First Appellate District, Division Three; and
- Presiding Judge Ann C. Moorman, Superior Court of Mendocino County.

#### [21-088](#)

### **Jury Instructions | Civil Jury Instructions (Release 39) (Action Required)**

**Summary:** The Advisory Committee on Civil Jury Instructions recommends revocation of two civil jury instructions and approval of new and revised civil jury instructions prepared by the committee. These changes bring the instructions up to date with developments in the law over the previous six months. On Judicial Council approval, the instructions will be published in the official supplement to the 2021 edition of the *Judicial Council of California Civil Jury Instructions (CACI)*.

**Recommendation:** The Advisory Committee on Civil Jury Instructions recommends that the Judicial Council, effective May 21, 2021, approve for publication under rules 2.1050 and 10.58 of the California Rules of Court the following civil jury instructions prepared by the committee:

1. Revocation of 2 instructions: CACI Nos. 2613 and 2630;
2. Addition of 5 new instructions and verdict forms: CACI Nos. 1305B, VF-1303B, 3055, 4329, 4562; and
3. Revisions to 25 instructions and verdict forms: CACI Nos. 440, 702, 1010, 1305A (renumbered from 1305), VF-1303A (renumbered from VF-1303), VF-2506A, VF-2506B, VF-2506C, VF-2507A, VF-2507B, VF-2507C, 2600, 2601, 2602, 2603, 2620, 2705, 3050, 3704, 3904A, 4302, 4303, 4308, 4560, and 4561..

#### [21-086](#)

### **Language Access Plan | Recommended Guidelines and Minimum Specifications for Video Remote Interpreting for Spoken Language-Interpreted Events (Action Required)**

**Summary:** The Information Technology Advisory Committee (ITAC) and the Advisory Committee on Providing Access and Fairness recommend approving the *Recommended Guidelines and Minimum Specifications for Video Remote Interpreting (VRI) for Spoken Language-Interpreted Events*, updated under the direction of an ITAC working group following a revision process that included public comment. In response to the COVID-19 pandemic, courts have implemented remote video solutions to ensure access to justice and protect the health and safety of court staff, court users, and judicial officers. The VRI guidelines for spoken language have been updated to support VRI in both physical and virtual courtrooms and to provide guidance to courts and the public to ensure that remote interpreting allows limited English proficient court users to fully and meaningfully participate in court proceedings.

**Recommendation:** The Information Technology Advisory Committee and Advisory Committee on Providing Access and Fairness recommend that the Judicial Council, effective May 21, 2021:

1. Approve the *Recommended Guidelines and Minimum Specifications for Video Remote Interpreting (VRI) for Spoken Language-Interpreted Events*, which provide guidance for courts and the public on use of VRI in both physical and virtual courtrooms and include practical steps to support successful VRI for spoken language; and
2. Direct Language Access Services staff to:
  - a. Regularly report on the progress of VRI usage and the need for refinement of the VRI guidelines; and
  - b. Identify funding needs for VRI.

#### [21-075](#)

#### **Report to the Legislature | Trial Court Interpreters Program Expenditure Report for Fiscal Year 2019-20 (Action Required)**

**Summary:** The Judicial Council's Language Access Services recommends approving the annual report on trial court interpreter expenditures for submission to the Legislature and the Department of Finance. This report is required by the Budget Act of 2019 (Stats. 2019, ch. 23).

**Recommendation:** Language Access Services recommends that the Judicial Council, effective May 21, 2021:

1. Approve the report to the Legislature summarizing the fiscal year 2019-20 trial court interpreter expenditures as required by the Budget Act of 2019; and
2. Direct staff to submit the report to the Legislature and the Department of Finance.

#### [21-100](#)

#### **Rules and Forms | Child Support: Revise Income Withholding for Support and Related Instructions (Action Required)**

**Summary:** The Family and Juvenile Law Advisory Committee recommends that the Judicial Council approve revisions to *Income Withholding for Support* (form FL-195) and *Income Withholding for Support-Instructions* (form FL-196) to comply with Family Code section 5208 and federal law.

**Recommendation:** The Family and Juvenile Law Advisory Committee recommends that the Judicial Council, effective September 1, 2021, approve revisions to *Income Withholding for Support* (form FL-195) and *Income Withholding for Support-Instructions* (form FL-196) to comply with Family Code section 5208 and federal law.

[21-101](#)**Rules and Forms | Family Law: Technical Changes to Summary Dissolution Forms (Action Required)**

**Summary:** The Family and Juvenile Law Advisory Committee recommends technical revisions to two family law summary dissolution forms. The technical changes are mandated by Family Code section 2400 to reflect an increase in the cost of living based on changes to the California Consumer Price Index. Additional technical changes are included to promote gender neutrality and consistency in the reference to the complete term “domestic partner” in Judicial Council forms, and to correct clerical errors.

**Recommendation:** The Family and Juvenile Law Advisory Committee recommends that the Judicial Council, effective September 1, 2021:

1. Approve and adopt the calculations attached at page 6, which demonstrate an increase required to the maximum dollar amounts for community and separate property assets in summary dissolution forms FL-800 and FL-810.
2. Revise *Joint Petition for Summary Dissolution* (form FL-800) to:
  - a. Reflect an increase in the limitations for community and separate property assets under Family Code section 2400(a)(7)1 from \$45,000 to \$47,000.
  - b. Make items 15a. and 15b. gender neutral by deleting “his or her” in item 15 and stating that a party desires to have “a former name restored.”
  - c. Correct the reference to “Marriage or Partnership of” in the caption on page 1 so that it appears as “Marriage or Domestic Partnership of.”
  - d. Correct the reference to “partner support” in item 17 so that it appears as “domestic partner support.”
3. Revise the instructional booklet titled *Summary Dissolution Information* (form FL-810) to:
  - a. Reflect the same changes to the dollar figures in form FL-800.2 The Spanish translation of the booklet (form FL-810S) will also be updated.
  - b. Correct all references to “partner” and “partner support,” so that they appear as “domestic partner” and “domestic partner support.”
  - c. Change the sample property settlement agreement and other pages that reference specific dates to make those dates more recent.
  - d. Make the form easier to find by replacing the link to the general website on the cover page with specific links to form FL-810 and the Spanish translation (form FL-810S).
  - e. Make minor corrections to pages 13 and 15 so that the form’s content is internally consistent.

[21-092](#)**Rules and Forms | Miscellaneous Technical Changes (Action Required)**

**Summary:** Various members of the judicial branch, members of the public, and Judicial Council staff have identified errors in the California Rules of Court and Judicial Council forms resulting from typographical errors and changes resulting from legislation, and previous rule amendments and form revisions. Judicial Council staff recommend making the necessary corrections to avoid causing confusion for court users, clerks, and judicial officers.

**Recommendation:** Judicial Council staff recommend that the Judicial Council, effective September 1, 2021:

1. Amend rule 4.574 of the California Rules of Court to change “reply” to “denial” to correct the name of the document cited in subdivision (b)(3);
2. Amend rule 5.335 of the California Rules of Court to replace former Family Code section 4945 with Family Code section 5700.506, the new version of the statute, in subdivision (a);
3. Amend rule 5.697 of the California Rules of Court to replace “agree with the continuation of” with “are in agreement with receiving court-ordered” in subdivision (e)(1)(D)(iv) and to delete “continued” in subdivision (v), where the rule addresses the social worker’s reporting requirements for a nonminor disposition hearing;
4. Revise *Adoption Request* (form ADOPT-200) to correct typographical errors on page 1 (item 2) by changing “This Adoption Request in” to “This Adoption Request is” and on page 5 (item 15h) by changing the cross-reference from “15d” to “15f”;
5. Revise Civil Case Cover Sheet (form CM-010) to add an item for an email address to the Attorney/Party box at the top left of the form and to revise *Case Management Statement* (form CM-110) and *General Denial* (form PLD-050) to remove the “Optional” instruction from the items for email address at the top left of each of those forms. These revisions are to bring the forms into compliance with California Rules of Court, rule 2.111, which requires the inclusion of an email address on papers filed with the trial court;
6. Revise *Exemptions from the Enforcement of Judgments* (form EJ-155) to include a new exemption, for Scholarshare (Higher Education Savings), added through legislation;
7. Revise *Petition to Determine Parental Relationship (Uniform Parentage)* (form FL-200), item 8d, to replace the incorrect reference to “Attachment 6c(1)” with “Attachment 8d”;
8. Revise *Response to Petition to Determine Parental Relationship (Uniform Parentage)* (form FL-220) to correct minor formatting errors and to replace the incorrect reference to “Attachment 6c(1)” with “Attachment 9c” in item 9c;
9. Revise *Petition for Custody and Support of Minor Children* (form FL-260), item 4i, to replace the incorrect reference to “Attachment 4h” with “Attachment

- 4i”;
10. Revise *Order After Hearing on Motion to Cancel (Set Aside) Judgment of Parentage* (form FL-278) on page 1 (item 6) to change “The Court Finds” to “The Court Orders” and on page 3 to renumber items 8, 9, and 10 as items 7, 8, and 9 to correct typographical errors;
  11. Revise *Declaration of Supervised Visitation Provider (Professional)* (form FL-324(P)) to remove the reference to subdivision (d) in Family Code section 3200.5 at items 4 and 5 to mirror the references to that code section on form FL-324(NP);
  12. Revise *Additional Children Attachment* (form JV-101(A)) to correct the lettering sequence in item 6 to “a.,” “b.,” and “c.” and to add “and the *Indian Child Inquiry Attachment* (form ICWA-010(A)) is attached” in item 6b to mirror the language in form JV-100 at item 6b;
  13. Revise *Attachment to Order Designating Educational Rights Holder* (form JV-535(A)) to correct the typographical error on page 1 in the form’s footer by replacing “Form Approved for Mandatory Use” with “Form Adopted for Mandatory Use”; at item 9 on page 1 to move “to make” to precede the check box labeled “educational”; and at item 18 on page 2 to replace the incorrect references to “items 14 or 15” with “items 16 or 17.”

#### [21-095](#)

### **Rules and Forms | Rule of Court for the Center for Judicial Education and Research Advisory Committee (Action Required)**

**Summary:** The Executive and Planning Committee recommends amending rule 10.50 of the California Rules of Court to conform to a recent change in procedures for filling vacancies on education curriculum committees, which shifted responsibility for making appointments from the Center for Judicial Education and Research (CJER) Advisory Committee to the Chief Justice under the procedures in rule 10.32.

**Recommendation:** The Executive and Planning Committee recommends that the Judicial Council, effective May 21, 2021:

1. Amend rule 10.50(c)(6) of the California Rules of Court to change the additional duties of the committee from “appoint” to “recommend appointment of” education curriculum committees; and
2. Amend rule 10.50(e) to add vacancies for the education curriculum committees to the advisory bodies that are appointed under the procedures provided in rule 10.32.

#### [21-090](#)

### **Rules and Forms | Technical Change to Gun Violence Emergency Protective Order (Action Required)**

**Summary:** Senate Bill 2617 (Stats. 2020; ch.286) amends the Penal Code to, among other things, further refine the time frame in which a law enforcement officer who requests a temporary emergency gun violence restraining order must file that order with the court. This proposal is to conform the language on the gun violence emergency protective order form with the amended language in the statute.



**Recommendation:** The Civil and Small Claims Advisory Committee recommends that the Judicial Council, effective September 1, 2021, revise *Gun Violence Emergency Protective Order* (form EPO-002) to add the language from Senate Bill 2617 to the form.

## [21-105](#)

### **Rules and Forms | Unlawful Detainers: Forms to Further Implement Senate Bill 91 (Action Required)**

**Summary:** The Civil and Small Claims Advisory Committee recommends revisions of three unlawful detainer forms to further implement Senate Bill 91 (Stats. 2021, ch. 2), urgency legislation that became effective on Friday, January 29, 2021. The council previously revised and adopted these forms (a mandatory form with supplemental allegations, the answer form, and a form with newly required verifications) on an expedited basis, prior to being circulated for public comment, to ensure the unlawful detainer forms conformed to the provisions of the new law as soon as possible. The committee is now recommending further revisions based on comments received, so that the forms will more fully and correctly reflect the provisions of SB 91.

**Recommendation:** The Civil and Small Claims Advisory Committee recommends that the Judicial Council, effective May 24, 2021, revise the following forms:

- *Plaintiff's Mandatory Cover Sheet and Supplemental Allegations-Unlawful Detainer* (form UD-101);
- *Answer-Unlawful Detainer* (form UD-105); and
- *Verification by Landlord Regarding Rental Assistance-Unlawful Detainer* (form UD-120).

## [21-099](#)

### **Sargent Shriver Civil Counsel Act | Selection of Additional Pilot Project (Action Required)**

**Summary:** The Sargent Shriver Civil Counsel Act (Assem. Bill 590; Stats. 2009, ch. 457) provided that, beginning in fiscal year 2011-12, one or more pilot projects selected by the Judicial Council are to be funded to provide legal representation and improved court services to low-income parties on critical legal issues affecting basic human needs. On May 15, 2020, the Judicial Council approved the recommendation of the Shriver Civil Counsel Act Implementation Committee, based on a competitive application process, to fund 11 pilot projects and defer another three applications for additional consideration. The committee now recommends that one of the deferred pilot projects be funded.

**Recommendation:** The Shriver Civil Counsel Act Implementation Committee recommends that the Judicial Council, effective May 21, 2021, approve a Sargent Shriver Civil Counsel Act grant in an amount not to exceed \$452,698 to the Justice and Diversity Center and the Superior Court of San Francisco. The grant will allow provision of legal representation and improved court services in child custody matters for low-income litigants. The grant would be for a 12-month period beginning June 1, 2021, ending May 31, 2022.

## DISCUSSION AGENDA

[21-044](#)

### **Allocations and Reimbursements to Trial Courts | Court Interpreters Program Funding and Allocation Methodology (Action Required)**

**Summary:** The Trial Court Budget Advisory Committee recommends approving a one-time recommendation to return unspent Court Interpreters Program allocated funding for 2020-21 and a one-time allocation methodology for 2021-22 while the Ad Hoc Interpreter Subcommittee continues development of a workload-based methodology recommendation for consideration effective July 1, 2022.

**Recommendation:** The Trial Court Budget Advisory Committee recommends the Judicial Council approve the following effective May 21, 2021, while the Ad Hoc Interpreter Subcommittee continues development of a workload-based methodology recommendation beginning in 2022-23:

1. Require courts to return to the Judicial Council all unspent 2020-21 Court Interpreters Program allocated funds, which will first reimburse courts with a shortfall in 2020-21, with any remaining funds reverted to the Trial Court Trust Fund as restricted program funding; and
2. Allocate in 2021-22 the same amount of funding provided to trial courts in 2020-21.

**A motion to approve the recommendation was made by Judge Brodie and seconded by Mr. Kelly. The motion was adopted.**

[21-097](#)

### **Judicial Branch Administration | Judicial Branch Data and Information Governance Policy Concepts (Action Required)**

**Summary:** The Information Technology Advisory Committee recommends that the Judicial Council accept the attached report on Data and Information Governance Policy Concepts. This report is the final workproduct of the Data Analytics Workstream, which was charged with recommending a data analytics strategy for the branch that included developing branchwide data and information governance policy recommendations. Adopting these policy concepts will lay the foundation for future policy development and will help execute a new vision for data analytics in the judicial branch: *to analyze, use, and share data to inform decision-making in order to enhance and expand vital and accessible services for all the people of California.*

**Recommendation:** The Information Technology Advisory Committee recommends that the Judicial Council accept the attached report on Data and Information Governance Policy Concepts.

**A motion to approve the recommendation was made by Judge Brodie and seconded by Judge Boulware Eurie. The motion was adopted.**

**INFORMATION ONLY ITEMS (NO ACTION REQUIRED)**[21-011](#)**Court Facilities | Trial Court Facility Modifications Report for Quarter 3 of Fiscal Year 2020-21**

**Summary:** This informational report to the Judicial Council outlines the allocations of facility modification funding made to improve trial court facilities in the third quarter (January through March) of fiscal year 2020-21. To determine allocations, the Trial Court Facility Modification Advisory Committee reviews and approves facility modification requests from across the state in accordance with the council's *Trial Court Facility Modifications Policy*.

[21-098](#)**Equal Access Fund | Distribution of Funds from the National Mortgage Settlement Fund for Housing Issues**

**Summary:** Assembly Bill 83 (Stats. 2020, ch. 15, § 1), effective June 29, 2020, amended Government Code section 12531(d) to provide for a one-time \$31 million allocation to the judicial branch from the National Mortgage Settlement Fund to provide legal services in landlord-tenant matters. The statute provides that the Judicial Council allocate these funds to the State Bar, which distributes the funding to eligible legal services agencies. As directed by the Judicial Council at its meeting on September 25, 2020, the State Bar's Legal Services Trust Fund Commission herein reports on the distribution of the funds according to the formula specified in the Budget Act.

[21-089](#)**Judicial Branch Administration | Release of Demographic Data on California Justices and Judges**

**Summary:** This informational report to the Judicial Council is of aggregate demographic information concerning the gender, race/ethnicity, sexual orientation, gender identity, and veteran and disability status of California's justices and judges by specific jurisdiction, which council staff is required by statute to collect and release annually. In general, findings indicate that the California bench has become more diverse over time.

[21-087](#)**Report to the Legislature | Court Realignment Data (Calendar Year 2020)**

**Summary:** Penal Code section 13155 requires Judicial Council staff, commencing January 1, 2013, to collect information from trial courts regarding the implementation of the 2011 Criminal Justice Realignment Legislation and make the data available annually to the California Department of Finance (DOF), Board of State and Community Corrections (BSCC), and Joint Legislative Budget Committee (JLBC) by September 1. The information is compiled in the report *Court Realignment Data (Calendar Year 2020)* and included as Attachment A to this report.

[21-096](#)**Report to the Legislature | Disposition of Criminal Cases According to Race and Ethnicity of Defendant**

**Summary:** Penal Code section 1170.45 directs the Judicial Council to report annually on the statewide disposition of criminal cases according to defendants' race and ethnicity. In accordance with this requirement, Judicial Council staff will submit this annual report on May 20, 2021. The data used in this report come from the Automated Criminal History System, which is a repository of data on dispositions maintained by the California Department of Justice. This report describes patterns seen in criminal case dispositions of adult felony arrests by race/ethnicity and tests whether any available legal or demographic information can account for the patterns seen.

[21-046](#)**Report to the Legislature | 2020-21 Trial Court Trust Fund Backfill Report, Quarter 3**

**Summary:** Pursuant to the Budget Act of 2020, Item 0250-113-0001, Provision 3, the Judicial Council is required to submit quarterly reports to the Joint Legislative Budget Committee on the estimated amount of General Fund required to backfill the Trial Court Trust Fund due to shortfalls in revenue to support trial court operations. This report is for the reporting period of January through March 2021. On or before April 30, 2021, the Judicial Council's Budget Services staff submitted the *2020-21 Trial Court Trust Fund Backfill Report, Quarter 3*.

[21-091](#)**Trial Courts | Public Notice by Courts of Closures or Reduced Clerks' Office Hours**

**Summary:** Government Code section 68106 directs (1) trial courts to notify the public and the Judicial Council before closing courtrooms or clerks' offices, or reducing clerks' regular office hours; and (2) the council to post all such notices on its website and relay them to the Legislature. This is the 52nd report to date listing the latest court notices received by the council under this statutory requirement. Since the previous report, one superior court-the Superior Court of San Luis Obispo county-has issued a new notice.

**Appointment Orders**[21-107](#)**Appointment Orders since the last business meeting.****Adjournment**

With the meeting's business completed, the Chief Justice adjourned the meeting at approximately 11:14 a.m.

*Respectfully submitted by Administrative Director Martin Hoshino, Secretary to the Judicial Council, on July 9, 2021.*