



## JUDICIAL COUNCIL OF CALIFORNIA

455 Golden Gate Avenue • San Francisco, California 94102-3688

[www.courts.ca.gov](http://www.courts.ca.gov)

---

# REPORT TO THE JUDICIAL COUNCIL

*Item No.: 21-037*

For business meeting on: July 9, 2021

---

**Title**

Trial Court Budgets: State Trial Court  
Improvement and Modernization Fund  
Allocations for 2021–22

**Agenda Item Type**

Action Required

**Effective Date**

July 9, 2021

**Rules, Forms, Standards, or Statutes Affected**

None

**Date of Report**

June 22, 2021

**Recommended by**

Judicial Branch Budget Committee  
Hon. David M. Rubin, Chair

**Contact**

Jason Haas, 916-643-7061

[jason.haas@jud.ca.gov](mailto:jason.haas@jud.ca.gov)

Trial Court Budget Advisory Committee  
Hon. Jonathan B. Conklin, Chair

---

### Executive Summary

The Judicial Branch Budget Committee recommends approval of the Trial Court Budget Advisory Committee recommendation that the Judicial Council approve allocations for 2021–22 from the State Trial Court Improvement and Modernization Fund in the amount of \$55,002,000. This amount represents updated costs for current service levels.

### Recommendation

The Judicial Branch Budget Committee unanimously recommends the Trial Court Budget Advisory Committee's recommendation that the Judicial Council, effective July 9, 2021, approve a total of \$55,002,000 in allocations for 2021–22 from the State Trial Court Improvement and Modernization Fund.

### Relevant Previous Council Action

Since the inception of state trial court funding in 1996, every year the Judicial Council or the Executive and Planning Committee has allocated trial court–related funding from the State Trial

Court Improvement and Modernization Fund (IMF), or its predecessor fund, the Judicial Administration Efficiency and Modernization Fund.

## **Analysis/Rationale**

The following are the proposed 2021–22 allocation requests listed by Judicial Council office (additional details on each of the programs are located in Attachment B):

- **Audit Services**—Conducts operational audits, risk assessments, and recommends improvements to all judicial branch entities.

*Approve an allocation of \$360,000 (a decrease of approximately \$50,000 from the 2020–21 allocation).*

- The net decrease is due to lower forecasted operational expenses, offset by increases for adjusted salary values for current staff.

- **Branch Accounting and Procurement**—Supports the trial courts’ financial and human resources Phoenix System.

*Approve an allocation of \$157,000 (an increase of approximately \$6,000 from the 2020–21 allocation).*

- The increase is due to adjusted salary values for current staff supporting the procurement needs of courts.

- **Budget Services**—Supports meetings of various committees and subcommittees as they relate to trial court funding, policies, and other issues.

*Approve an allocation of \$359,000 (a decrease of approximately \$13,000 from the 2020–21 allocation).*

- The decrease is due to less planned in-person meetings in the first half of the fiscal year as an ongoing result of COVID-19 impacts.

- **Business Management Services**—Supports the judicial branch research, data, and analytic programs and manages the Temporary Assigned Judges Program.

*Approve an allocation of \$209,000 (an increase of approximately \$201,000 from the 2020–21 allocation).*

- There is a new request for one-time funding of \$200,000 for the Juror Source List Update program as required by SB 592. This request is not funded by revenues collected pursuant to Government Code 77209(h), which is royalties from publication of jury instructions that can only be used for improvements to the jury system.

- **Center for Families, Children & the Courts**—Supports various programs within the courts for litigants.

*Approve an allocation of \$6,676,000 (a decrease of approximately \$282,000 from the 2020–21 allocation). This amount is \$779,000 higher than approved by the Revenue and Expenditure subcommittee in April 2021. The difference is for additional year-to-date revenues received from cy pres funds since the Governor’s Budget.*

- The decrease is for the specific use of funds for the Shriver Civil Counsel Program from cy pres funds that are held in reserve on the fund condition statement and may only be used for this purpose. This statutorily provided funding was expected to only be collected in 2019-20; however, some revenue has continued to come in after the planned sunset date. This request represents the use of the remaining available balance of that revenue stream.
- Provisional language in the Budget Act requires unspent funds for Self-Help to revert to the General Fund.
- **Center for Judicial Education and Research**—Provides education to judges, court leaders, court staff faculty, managers, supervisors, and lead staff.

*Approve an allocation of \$1,066,000 (a decrease of \$136,000 from the 2020–21 allocation).*

- The decrease is due to less planned in-person trainings as a result of COVID-19 impacts.
- Technical Adjustment - Shift \$40,000 from Local Assistance to State Operations for printing costs associated with materials for the trial courts.
- **Human Resources**—Supports the Trial Court Labor Relations Academy to provide assistance to trial court staff in addressing its many labor issues (not mandated).

*Approve an allocation of \$23,000 (this represents a minor increase of \$300 from the 2020–21 allocation due to rounding).*

- **Information Technology**—Supports information technology systems for the 58 trial courts.

*Approve an allocation of \$37,682,000 (a reduction of approximately \$5,574,000 from the 2020–21 allocation).*

- The reduction is primarily due to the expiration of budget change proposal funding, one-time identified savings, and phasing out of a software program.
- **Legal Services**—Supports the Judicial Council staff divisions and the courts, manages litigation, and is responsible for rules and projects including the California Rules of Court and Judicial Council forms.

*Approve an allocation of \$8,470,000 (an increase of approximately \$6,361,000 from the 2020–21 allocation).*

- The majority of the increase, \$6.1 million, is due to litigation against a trial court and a related judgment that may result in an expense to the IMF. A portion of this funding (\$2.1 million) was approved for expenditure from the IMF in previous years and was not spent. This is pending litigation which, if not settled or resolved by judgment this year, will be carried over into future fiscal years until the case is dismissed.

The 2021–22 IMF allocation request of \$55,002,000 is reflected in the IMF Fund Condition Statement (Attachment C). The fund condition statement includes revenue forecasts as provided to the Department of Finance (DOF) for the May Revision. The revenue projection is lower than previously estimated at the time of the Governor’s Budget, and as presented to the R&E Subcommittee on April 9, 2021 for current and future years. However, a one-time revenue deposit based on an audit finding and estimated prior year adjustments provided by the programs result in a fund balance of \$800,000 through 2021-22 which is sufficient to cover the allocations requested. Additionally, as approved by the Judicial Council at its June 24, 2016 business meeting, the fund condition includes a reserve of \$2 million which is “a reserve against possible further declines in revenue” and should be considered as available for expenditure since the revenues in the fund have declined<sup>1</sup>.

Ongoing declines in revenue will result in service level decreases in 2022-23 and beyond unless additional resources are provided. Programs will be notified of the fund’s resource limitations and will be alerted to be cautious with their obligations from 2021-22 allocations. In an effort to address the declining revenue, Judicial Council staff have had preliminary discussions with the DOF regarding future year revenue declines and status of the fund balance. Additionally, the DOF has been notified that revenue estimates indicate that future year revenue collections are insufficient to cover the statutorily required transfer to the Trial Court Trust Fund.

### **Policy implications**

None.

### **Comments**

These items were not circulated for comment; however, meetings considering this allocation request were open to the public and written public comments were accepted. No written public comment was received for this items when considered during meetings by the TCBAC’s Revenue and Expenditure Subcommittee on April 8, 2021, the TCBAC on May 24, 2021 or the JBBC on May 26, 2021.

---

<sup>1</sup> Judicial Council of California, *Trial Court Allocation: Fiscal Year 2016-2017 Allocations from the Trial Court Trust Fund and State Trial Court Improvement and Modernization Fund* (June 24, 2016), <https://jcc.legistar.com/View.ashx?M=F&ID=4496693&GUID=FE6C1F1D-A68F-4CB8-B4E7-0596B5A59994>; Judicial Council of California., mins. (June 24, 2016), pp. 20-21, <https://jcc.legistar.com/View.ashx?M=M&ID=463476&GUID=26AF2EFA-74F7-4F01-AE8D-2A556C3986CD>

**Alternatives considered**

None.

**Fiscal and Operational Impacts**

There are no additional fiscal or operational costs to implement this recommendation.

**Attachments and Links**

1. Attachment A: Judicial Council–Approved 2020–21 Allocations and 2021–22 Proposed Allocations from the IMF
2. Attachment B: Program Descriptions
3. Attachment C: IMF Fund Condition Statement

**Judicial Council of California**  
**Approved 2020-21 and Proposed 2021-22 Allocations**  
**State Trial Court Improvement and Modernization Fund**  
**State Operations and Local Assistance Appropriations**

#	Program Name	Office	2020-21 Allocations	Recommended 2021-22 Allocations			\$ Change from 2020-21	% Change from 2020-21
			Judicial Council Approved Allocations	State Operations	Local Assistance	Total		
A	B	C	D	E	F	G = (E + F)	H = (G - D)	I = (H/D)
<b>Program Adjustments</b>								
1	Audit Services	AS	\$ 409,804	\$ 360,000		\$ 360,000	(49,804)	-12%
2	Trial Court Master Agreements	BAP	\$ 151,500	\$ 157,000		\$ 157,000	5,500	4%
3	Workload Assessment Advisory Committee	BMS	\$ 8,500		\$ 9,000	\$ 9,000	500	6%
4	Juror Source List Update	BMS	\$ -		\$ 200,000	\$ 200,000	200,000	100%
5	Budget Focused Training and Meetings	BS	\$ 50,000		\$ 30,000	\$ 30,000	(20,000)	-40%
6	Treasury Services - Cash Management	BS	\$ 312,000	\$ 319,000		\$ 319,000	7,000	2%
7	Revenue Distribution Training	BS	\$ 9,500		\$ 10,000	\$ 10,000	500	5%
8	Domestic Violence Forms Translation	CFCC	\$ 17,000		\$ 17,000	\$ 17,000	-	0%
9	Interactive Software - Self-Rep Electronic Forms	CFCC	\$ 60,000		\$ 60,000	\$ 60,000	-	0%
10	Self-Help Center	CFCC	\$ 5,000,000		\$ 5,000,000	\$ 5,000,000	-	0%
11	Statewide Multidisciplinary Education	CFCC	\$ 67,000		\$ 67,000	\$ 67,000	-	0%
12	Shriver Civil Counsel- cy près Funding	CFCC	\$ 1,570,692		\$ 1,289,000	\$ 1,289,000	(281,692)	-18%
13	Statewide Support for Self-Help Programs	CFCC	\$ 100,000		\$ 100,000	\$ 100,000	-	0%
14	Court Interpreter Testing etc.	CFCC	\$ 143,000		\$ 143,000	\$ 143,000	-	0%
15	CJER Faculty	CJER	\$ 61,500		\$ 48,000	\$ 48,000	(13,500)	-22%
16	Essential Court Management Education	CJER	\$ 38,500		\$ 40,000	\$ 40,000	1,500	4%
17	Essential Court Personnel Education	CJER	\$ 136,500		\$ 130,000	\$ 130,000	(6,500)	-5%
18	Judicial Education	CJER	\$ 965,500	\$ 40,000	\$ 808,000	\$ 848,000	(117,500)	-12%
19	Trial Court Labor Relations Academies and Forums	HR	\$ 22,700		\$ 23,000	\$ 23,000	300	1%
20	Data Center and Cloud Service	IT	\$ 9,148,882	\$ 2,103,000	\$ 6,479,000	\$ 8,582,000	(566,882)	-6%
21	Uniform Civil Filing Services	IT	\$ 409,382	\$ 421,000	\$ 16,000	\$ 437,000	27,618	7%
22	California Courts Protective Order Registry (CCPOR)	IT	\$ 1,252,705	\$ 406,000	\$ 794,000	\$ 1,200,000	(52,705)	-4%
23	Telecommunications	IT	\$ 13,204,519		\$ 12,470,000	\$ 12,470,000	(734,519)	-6%
24	Enterprise Policy & Planning (Statewide Planning and Dev Support)	IT	\$ 4,399,681	\$ 123,000	\$ 3,764,000	\$ 3,887,000	(512,681)	-12%
25	Data Integration	IT	\$ 2,308,342	\$ 780,000	\$ 1,099,000	\$ 1,879,000	(429,342)	-19%
26	Jury Management System	IT	\$ 665,000		\$ 665,000	\$ 665,000	-	0%
27	Case Management System Replacement	IT	\$ 6,358,000		\$ 3,053,000	\$ 3,053,000	(3,305,000)	-52%
28	Telecom	IT	\$ 5,509,354		\$ 5,509,000	\$ 5,509,000	(354)	0%
29	Judicial Performance Defense Insurance	LS	\$ 1,312,438		\$ 1,509,000	\$ 1,509,000	196,562	15%
30	Jury System Improvement Projects	LS	\$ 19,000		\$ 19,000	\$ 19,000	-	0%
31	Regional Office Assistance Group	LS	\$ 778,000	\$ 823,000		\$ 823,000	45,000	6%
32	Litigation Management Program	LS	\$ -		\$ 6,119,000	\$ 6,119,000	6,119,000	100%
33	<b>Total</b>		<b>\$ 54,488,999</b>	<b>\$ 5,532,000</b>	<b>\$ 49,470,000</b>	<b>\$ 55,002,000</b>	<b>\$ 513,001</b>	<b>0.94%</b>

<b>Totals by Office</b>		Office	Judicial Council Approved Allocations	State Operations	Local Assistance	Total	\$ Change from 2020-21	% Change from 2020-21
<b>Legend</b>		C	D	E	F	G = (E + F)	H = (G - D)	I = (H/D)
34	Audit Services	AS	\$ 409,804	\$ 360,000	\$ -	\$ 360,000	\$ (49,804)	-12.15%
35	Branch Accounting and Procurement	BAP	\$ 151,500	\$ 157,000	\$ -	\$ 157,000	\$ 5,500	3.63%
36	Business Management Services	BMS	\$ 8,500		\$ 209,000	\$ 209,000	\$ 200,500	2358.82%
37	Budget Services	BS	\$ 371,500	\$ 319,000	\$ 40,000	\$ 359,000	\$ (12,500)	-3.36%
38	Center for Families, Children and the Courts	CFCC	\$ 6,957,692	\$ -	\$ 6,676,000	\$ 6,676,000	\$ (281,692)	-4.05%
39	Center for Judicial Education and Research	CJER	\$ 1,202,000	\$ 40,000	\$ 1,026,000	\$ 1,066,000	\$ (136,000)	-11.31%
40	Human Resources	HR	\$ 22,700	\$ -	\$ 23,000	\$ 23,000	\$ 300	1.32%
41	Information Technology	IT	\$ 43,255,865	\$ 3,833,000	\$ 33,849,000	\$ 37,682,000	\$ (5,573,865)	-12.89%
42	Legal Services	LS	\$ 2,109,438	\$ 823,000	\$ 7,647,000	\$ 8,470,000	\$ 6,360,562	-42.99%
Total Allocations			<b>\$ 54,488,999</b>	<b>\$ 5,532,000</b>	<b>\$ 49,470,000</b>	<b>\$ 55,002,000</b>	<b>\$ 513,001</b>	<b>0.94%</b>

**Attachment B**

<b>Row #</b>	<b>Program Name</b>	<b>Office</b>	<b>Program Description</b>
<b>A</b>	<b>B</b>	<b>C</b>	<b>D</b>
1	Audit Services	AS	Conducts performance and compliance audits of the state's 58 trial courts per the annual audit plan.
2	Trial Court Master Agreements (formerly Trial Court Procurement/TCAS-MSA-IMF)	BAP	Pay for compensation, phone services, and rent allocation for one position in Branch Accounting and Procurement (BAP) to provide contract-related services for the production of statewide leveraged procurement agreements.
3	Workload Assessment Advisory Committee	BMS	Pays for meeting expenses of the Workload Assessment Advisory Committee and travel expenses for court personnel and judges related to workload studies.
4	Juror Source List Update	BMS	Updates the juror source lists of each county to include the Franchise Tax Board data, per Senate Bill 592's mandate beginning on January 1, 2022, that the list of resident state tax filers be added to the juror source list.
5	Budget-Focused Training and Meetings	BS	Supports meetings of the Trial Court Budget Advisory Committee and associated subcommittees on the preparation, development, and implementation of the budget for trial courts, and provides input to the Judicial Council on policy issues affecting trial court funding.
6	Treasury Services—Cash Management	BS	Is used for the compensation, operating expenses, and equipment costs for two accounting staff.
7	Revenue Distribution Training	BS	Pays for annual training on Revenue Distribution to all the collection programs as well as annual Collections Report Template training.
8	Domestic Violence Forms Translation	CFCC	Makes available to all courts translation of domestic violence protective order forms in languages other than English. Since 2000, these forms have been translated into Spanish, Vietnamese, Chinese, and Korean based on data from various language-needs studies.
9	Interactive Software—Self-Rep Electronic Forms	CFCC	Enables all courts to use Hotdocs document assembly applications, which present court users with a Q&A format that automatically populates fields across all filing documents.
10	Self-Help Center	CFCC	Provides court-based assistance to self-represented litigants.
11	Statewide Multidisciplinary Education	CFCC	Supports in-person or online biannual Beyond the Bench conference, biannual Child & Family Focused Education Conference, and annual Youth Court Summit.

12	Shriver Civil Counsel— <i>Cy Près</i> Funding	CFCC	Provides funding for legal services (LS) agencies and their court partners to provide representation to indigent persons in cases involving housing, child custody, guardianship, conservatorships, and domestic violence.
13	Statewide Support for Self-Help Programs	CFCC	Updates and expands the online California Courts Online Self-Help Center on the judicial branch's public website. Further, this program facilitates the translating of over 50 Judicial Council forms that are used regularly by self-represented litigants.
14	Court Interpreter Testing, etc.	CFCC	Pays for the testing, orientation, and recruitment of new interpreters.
15	CJER (Center for Judicial Education and Research) Faculty	CJER	Funds lodging, meals, and travel for faculty-development participants—primarily development of pro bono judge and court staff faculty who will teach all CJER programs for the trial courts.
16	Essential Court Management Education	CJER	Pays for national and statewide training for court leaders, including Institute for Court Management courses, CJER Core 40 and Core 24 courses & other local & regional courses for managers, supervisors, and lead staff.
17	Essential Court Personnel Education	CJER	Funds the Court Clerks Training Institute—courtroom and court legal process education in civil, traffic, criminal, probate, family, juvenile, appellate; regional and local court personnel courses; the biennial Trial Court Judicial Attorneys Institute.
18	Judicial Education	CJER	Funds programs for all newly elected or appointed judges and subordinate judicial officers required by California Rules of Court, rule 10.462(c)(1), to complete the new judge education programs offered by CJER; Judicial Institutes, courses for experienced judges; and programs for PJs, CEOs & supervising judges.
19	Trial Court Labor Relations Academies and Forums	HR	Provide court management staff with comprehensive labor relations knowledge that assists the courts in meeting its labor challenges. The Academies are held once per year in the spring, and the Forums are held once per year in the fall. The allocation pays for costs tied to the setup and operations of HR's annual Labor Relations Academies and Forums. Typical expenses include reimbursement of travel expenses for trial court employees who participate as faculty; lodging for all trial court attendees (including those who serve as faculty); meeting room/conference room rental fees; books/reference materials if needed; and meals for trial court participants of the Labor Relations Forum. Following each Academy, program staff send out surveys to gather feedback and receive suggestions for future events. In addition, participant attendance is gathered and reported to the Judicial Council as part of the Administrative Director's report to the Judicial Council.



20	Data Center and Cloud Service	IT	<p>Pays for the California Courts Technology Center (CCTC)'s hosting of some level of services for the 58 California superior courts, all the Courts of Appeal, and the Supreme Court and has over 10,000 supported users. Major installations in the CCTC include the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Appellate Court Case Management System</li> <li>• California Court Protective Order Registry</li> <li>• Phoenix System—Financial and Human Resources (HR) Systems combined</li> <li>• Computer-aided facilities management system</li> <li>• Civil, small claims, probate, and mental health Court Case Management System (CCMS-V3)</li> <li>• Integrated services backbone.</li> </ul> <p>This program provides consistent, cost-effective, and secure hosting services, including ongoing maintenance and operational support, data network management, desktop computing and local server support, tape backup and recovery, help desk services, email services, and a disaster recovery program.</p>
21	Uniform Civil Filing Services (UCFS)	IT	<p>Supports the distribution and mandated reporting of uniform civil fees collected by all 58 superior courts, with an average of \$52 million distributed per month. The system generates reports for the State Controller's Office and various entities that receive the distributed funds. Each court collects over 200 fee types and distributes them to 31 different entities (Trial Court Trust Fund, county, Equal Access Fund, Law Library, etc.), requiring 65,938 corresponding distribution rules that are maintained by UCFS. UCFS benefits the public by minimizing the amount of penalties paid to the state for incorrect or late distributions and ensuring that the entities entitled to a portion of the civil fees collected, as mandated by law, receive their correct distributions.</p>
22	California Courts Protective Order Registry (CCPOR)	IT	<p>Provides funding for CCPOR, a statewide repository of protective orders containing both data and scanned images of orders that can be accessed by judges, court staff, and law enforcement officers. CCPOR allows judges and law enforcement officers to view orders issued by other court divisions and across county lines.</p>
23, 28	Telecommunications	IT	<p>Funds development and support of a standardized level of network infrastructure for the California superior courts. This infrastructure provides a foundation for local systems (email, jury, case management system, VOIP, etc.) and enterprise system applications such as Phoenix, via shared services at the CCTC; provides operational efficiencies; and secures valuable court information resources.</p>
24	Enterprise IT Policy & Planning (Statewide Planning and Dev Support)	IT	<p>Funds the Enterprise Policy and Planning program, which provides the trial courts access to a suite of Oracle products (e.g., Oracle Enterprise Database, Real Application Clusters, Oracle Partitioning, Oracle Advanced Security, Oracle</p>

			Diagnostics Pack and Tuning Pack, and Oracle WebLogic Server) without cost to the courts.
25	Data Integration	IT	Provides system interfaces between Judicial Council systems and the computer systems of our justice partners, be they courts, law enforcement agencies, the Department of Justice, or others. Without the integrated services backbone, the current systems for sharing protective orders, for example, would not function.
26	Jury Management System	IT	Distributes funds to the trial courts in the form of grants to improve court jury management systems. All trial courts are eligible to apply for the jury funding. The number of courts receiving grants varies according to the amount of grant funding available and the number of jury grant requests received.
27	Case Management System Replacement	IT	The CMS Replacement – BCP funding provides one-time funding to 10 courts to replace their legacy case management systems with a more modern CMS. Additionally, this budget also includes funding for two Senior Business Systems Analysts to support IT's CMS Planning and Administration unit with the oversight of the CMS deployments as well as administration of the Judicial Council's statewide CMS master service agreements.
29	Judicial Performance Defense Insurance	LS	Pays the insurance premium for trial court judges and judicial officers for the Commission on Judicial Performance (CJP) defense master insurance policy. The program (1) covers defense costs in CJP proceedings related to CJP complaints; (2) protects judicial officers from exposure to excessive financial risk for acts committed within the scope of their judicial duties, and (3) lowers the risk of conduct that could lead to complaints through required ethics training for judicial officers.
30	Jury System Improvement Projects	LS	This program is related to Jury Instructions and is a "self-funding". Funds in this account are generated by royalties generated from sales of criminal and civil jury instructions. The funds are deposited under the Government Code.
31	Regional Office Assistance Group	LS	Pays for attorneys and support personnel to provide direct legal services to the trial courts in the areas of transactions/business operations, legal opinions, ethics, and labor and employment law.
32	Litigation Management Program	LS	Pay for settlements, judgments (if any), and litigation costs, including attorney fees, arising from claims and lawsuits brought against trial courts.

BMS=Business Management Services; BS=Budget Services; CFCC=Center for Families, Children & the Courts; IT=Information Technology.

**State Trial Court Improvement and Modernization Fund  
Fund Condition Statement  
2021-22 Allocations**

Updated: May 21, 2021

#	Description	2018-19 (Year-end Financial Statement)	2019-20 (Year-end Financial Statement)	Estimated			
				2020-21	2021-22	2022-23	2023-24
				A	B	C	D
1	Beginning Balance	14,796,514	15,864,292	21,152,288	13,535,638	3,610,638	-3,240,362
2	Prior-Year Adjustments	-973,149	5,086,942	464,125	0	0	0
3	<b>Adjusted Beginning Balance</b>	<b>13,823,364</b>	<b>20,951,234</b>	<b>21,616,413</b>	<b>13,535,638</b>	<b>3,610,638</b>	<b>-3,240,362</b>
4	<b>REVENUES<sup>1</sup>:</b>						
5	Jury Instructions Royalties	648,480	693,156	621,000	689,000	638,000	638,000
6	Interest from Surplus Money Investment Fund	1,565,780	1,504,475	527,000	527,000	527,000	527,000
7	Escheat-Unclaimed Checks, Warrants, Bonds	244	0	7,000	0		
8	50/50 Excess Fines Split Revenue	11,177,463	6,982,134	7,212,000	3,287,000	3,243,000	3,243,000
9	2% Automation Fund Revenue	10,698,861	9,356,014	7,123,000	7,598,000	7,598,000	7,598,000
10	Other Revenues/State Controller's Office Adjustments	359,153	60,268	70,000	2,000	2,000	2,000
11	Class Action Residue	1,311,975	1,057,614	805,000	0	0	0
12	<b>Subtotal Revenues</b>	<b>25,761,957</b>	<b>19,653,661</b>	<b>16,365,000</b>	<b>12,104,000</b>	<b>12,009,000</b>	<b>12,009,000</b>
13	<b>Transfers and Other Adjustments</b>						
14	To Trial Court Trust Fund (Gov. Code, § 77209(j))	-13,397,000	-13,397,000	-13,397,000	-13,397,000	-13,397,000	-13,397,000
15	To Trial Court Trust Fund (Budget Act)	-594,000	-594,000	-594,000	-594,000	-594,000	-594,000
16	From State General Fund						
17	<b>Total Revenues, Transfers, and Other Adjustments</b>	<b>11,770,957</b>	<b>5,662,661</b>	<b>2,374,000</b>	<b>-1,887,000</b>	<b>-1,982,000</b>	<b>-1,982,000</b>
18	<b>Total Resources</b>	<b>25,594,322</b>	<b>26,613,895</b>	<b>23,990,413</b>	<b>11,648,638</b>	<b>1,628,638</b>	<b>-5,222,362</b>
19	<b>EXPENDITURES<sup>2</sup>:</b>						
20	Judicial Branch Total State Operations	4,724,200	4,538,757	4,844,940	5,532,000	5,691,000	5,691,000
21	Judicial Branch Total Local Assistance	49,813,207	70,316,604	48,108,835	49,470,000	43,155,000	39,162,999
22	Pro Rata and Other Adjustments	305,622	105,746	289,000	308,000	308,000	308,000
24	Less funding provided by General Fund (Local Assistance)	-45,114,000	-69,501,000	-42,788,000	-47,272,000	-44,285,000	-44,285,000
25	<b>Total Expenditures and Adjustments</b>	<b>9,729,029</b>	<b>5,460,608</b>	<b>10,454,775</b>	<b>8,038,000</b>	<b>4,869,000</b>	<b>876,999</b>
26	<b>Fund Balance</b>	<b>15,864,292</b>	<b>21,152,288</b>	<b>13,535,638</b>	<b>3,610,638</b>	<b>-3,240,362</b>	<b>-6,099,361</b>
27	<b>Reserve Funds (June 24, 2016, Judicial Council)</b>	<b>2,000,000</b>	<b>2,000,000</b>	<b>2,000,000</b>	<b>2,000,000</b>		
28	<b>Restricted Funds - Jury Management</b>	<b>826,656</b>	<b>835,812</b>	<b>804,777</b>	<b>809,777</b>	<b>763,777</b>	<b>717,777</b>
29	<b>Restricted Funds - Sargent Shriver Civil Counsel</b>	<b>1,517,590</b>	<b>2,054,512</b>	<b>1,288,820</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>
30	<b>Restricted Funds - Case Management Systems (CMS)</b>	<b>1,659,989</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>250,000</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>
31	<b>Fund Balance - less restricted funds</b>	<b>9,860,057</b>	<b>16,261,964</b>	<b>9,192,041</b>	<b>800,861</b>	<b>-4,004,139</b>	<b>-6,817,138</b>
32	<b>Structural Balance</b>	<b>2,041,928</b>	<b>202,054</b>	<b>-8,080,775</b>	<b>-9,925,000</b>	<b>-6,851,000</b>	<b>-2,858,999</b>

<sup>1</sup> Revenue estimates include actuals through January 2021 and mirror the May Revise estimate provided to DOF. Estimates include the projected effects of COVID-19.

<sup>2</sup> The 2020-21 expenditures reflect anticipated savings as recognized by programs in relation to the 2020-21 Judicial Council-approved allocations.