

# JUDICIAL COUNCIL OF CALIFORNIA

455 Golden Gate Avenue • San Francisco, California 94102-3688

www.courts.ca.gov

# REPORT TO THE JUDICIAL COUNCIL

*Item No.:* 20-157 For business meeting September 25, 2020

#### Title

Judicial Branch Education: Mandatory Education on Unconscious Bias and Prevention of Discrimination and Harassment

#### **Rules, Forms, Standards, or Statutes Affected**

Amend Cal. Rules of Court, rule 10.469

#### **Recommended by**

Center for Judicial Education and Research Advisory Committee Hon. Kimberly A. Gaab, Chair Judicial Council staff Mary Ann Koory, Senior Education Developer Center for Judicial Education and Research Agenda Item Type Action Required

**Effective Date** January 1, 2021

Date of Report August 28, 2020

Contact Mary Ann Koory 415-865-7525 maryann.koory@jud.ca.gov

#### **Executive Summary**

Pursuant to the recommendations of the Work Group on the Prevention of Discrimination and Harassment, the Center for Judicial Education and Research Advisory Committee recommends amending a rule of court to make education on unconscious bias, as well as on the prevention of discrimination and harassment, mandatory for judicial officers. Research shows that unconscious bias effects all human beings, but can escape the awareness of even the most diligent decision-makers; therefore, making this training mandatory will help raise awareness and reduce the impact of bias in judicial decision-making. Mandatory training on the prevention of discrimination and harassment demonstrates the judicial branch's commitment to a workplace free of sexual harassment and discrimination.

### Recommendation

The Center for Judicial Education and Research Advisory Committee recommends that, effective January 1, 2021, the Judicial Council amend rule 10.469 of the California Rules of Court to make education on unconscious bias, as well as on the prevention of discrimination and harassment, mandatory for judicial officers.

The text of the amended rule is attached at page 6.

# **Relevant Previous Council Action**

Rule 10.469 (Judicial education recommendations for justices, judges, and subordinate judicial officers) was adopted effective January 2008. The Judicial Council has not taken any previous action relevant to subdivision (e) (Fairness and access education), which is proposed to be amended.

# Analysis/Rationale

Based on the recommendations of the Work Group on the Prevention of Discrimination and Harassment, and with input from other Judicial Council advisory bodies, the Center for Judicial Education and Research (CJER) Advisory Committee proposes to amend rule 10.469 of the California Rules of Court to make education on unconscious bias, as well as for the prevention of discrimination and harassment, mandatory for judicial officers.

Mandatory training on the prevention of sexual harassment has existed in California since 2005 when Assembly Bill 1825 (Stats. 2004, ch. 933) mandated that all organizations with 50 or more employees provide two hours of sexual harassment training and education to supervisory employees every two years. In January 2019, in response to the nationwide #MeToo movement, legislators passed AB 1343 (Stats. 2018, ch. 956), which mandated sexual harassment training for non-supervisory employees every two years for employers with five employees or more, in addition to the training for supervisors already mandated by AB 1825.

#### Work group recommendation 2(A)(1)

In October 2018, the Chief Justice appointed the Work Group for the Prevention of Discrimination and Harassment to support the judicial branch's commitment to a workplace free of harassment and discrimination. The work group examined research and discussed potential areas for improvement relating to harassment, discrimination, retaliation, and inappropriate workplace conduct based on a protected classification. The work group ultimately proposed recommendations to the Judicial Council, including recommendation 2(A)(1):

Consistent with the requirements of California Government Code sections 68088 and 11135, and the California Rules of Court, rules 10.461 et seq., the Work Group recommends that the Center for Judicial Education and Research Advisory Committee, in consultation with the administrative presiding justices, appellate court clerk/executive officers, trial court presiding judges, and trial court executive officers, under the oversight of the Rules and Projects Committee, engage in the rulemaking process regarding education for judicial officers on the prevention of harassment, discrimination, retaliation, and inappropriate workplace conduct based on a protected classification.<sup>1</sup>

The Judicial Council adopted the recommendations of the work group at its meeting on July 19, 2019.

#### Rule 10.469

The rules regarding the education requirements for judicial officers are specific to court level. Supreme Court and appellate court justices are covered by rule 10.461. Superior court judges and subordinate judicial officers are covered by rule 10.462. Both rules discuss content-based and hours-based education recommendations for justices and judges.

Rule 10.469, however, applies to all categories of judicial officers. Subdivision (e) of the rule states that "each justice, judge, and subordinate judicial officer should regularly participate in education on access and fairness." The CJER Advisory Committee recommends amending the subdivision to make education on access and fairness mandatory, based on the recommendations of the work group. Specifically, the committee proposes adding subdivision (e)(1) and (2). Subdivision (e)(1) would include the text of former subdivision (e) but would omit the term "sexual harassment." Subdivision (e)(2) would read as follows:

Each justice, judge, and subordinate judicial officer must participate in education on unconscious bias, as well as the prevention of harassment, discrimination, retaliation, and inappropriate workplace conduct. This education must be taken at least once every three-year continuing education period as determined by rules 10.461(c)(1) and 10.462(d)(1).

#### **Policy implications**

Mandatory education on unconscious bias, as well as on the prevention of harassment, discrimination, retaliation, and inappropriate workplace conduct, is consistent with the policy and priorities of the Chief Justice and the Judicial Council. In her 2019 State of the Judiciary address, the Chief Justice noted with approval that Administrative Director Martin Hoshino had made unconscious bias training mandatory for Judicial Council staff, stating that he "recognizes the science, as do I, that the formation of unconscious stereotypes can affect attitudes, actions, and beliefs in all encounters where we meet someone different from ourselves."

When the Chief Justice convened the Work Group on the Prevention of Discrimination and Harassment, she noted, "Institutions and industries across our country, including our judicial branch, have rightfully been focusing on issues of harassment and discrimination in the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Judicial Council of Cal., Work Group for the Prevention of Discrimination and Harassment, *Judicial Branch Administration: Prevention of Discrimination, Harassment, Retaliation, and Inappropriate Workplace Conduct Based on a Protected Classification* (June 12, 2019), pp. 2–3 and 7–8, <u>https://jcc.legistar.com/View.ashx?M=F&ID=7336325&GUID=6B7E4EDA-1AEF-457E-8045-CA0439798302</u>.

workplace." She appointed the work group "to ensure that we are on the right track in our efforts to ensure our own workplaces are safe for all employees and free of inappropriate behavior."

#### Comments

After the Judicial Council directed the CJER Advisory Committee to implement the work group's recommendations, the committee consulted with the Advisory Committee on Providing Access and Fairness, the Appellate Advisory Committee, the Administrative Presiding Justices Advisory Committee, the Trial Court Presiding Judges Advisory Committee, the Court Executives Advisory Committee, appellate clerk/executive officers, and the California Judges Association about the language of the proposed amendment to the rule. The amendment as proposed herein reflects input by those bodies. The CJER Advisory Committee also adopted a suggestion from the Administrative Presiding Justices Advisory Committee to specify unconscious bias education as well as education on the prevention of harassment and discrimination.

The amendment went through the spring invitation-to-comment process from April through June 2020 and received five comments. As indicated in the attached comments chart at pages 7–11, four of the five comments approved the proposed change. One commenter apparently misunderstood the change as adding hours to the existing mandatory requirement and limiting the opportunities for judicial officers to fulfill the requirement. No other disapproving comment, internal or external, was made to the CJER Advisory Committee during the previous 12 months of seeking feedback on the proposed rule amendment.

#### Alternatives considered

The Work Group on the Prevention of Discrimination and Harassment considered alternatives before recommending that the rules of court be amended to make training on the prevention of discrimination and harassment a mandatory requirement. Since rule 10.469 currently recommends that judicial officers have education on "race and ethnicity, gender, sexual orientation, persons with disabilities, and sexual harassment," the CJER Advisory Committee did not think that keeping the rule as written or simply adding the categories of "retaliation, and inappropriate workplace conduct based on a protected classification" would adequately meet the work group's recommendation. Nor would keeping the education recommended signal a commitment of the judicial branch commensurate to other employers in a state in which supervisory and non-supervisory employees are mandated to take such training. Adding educational categories to the rule and making the training mandatory would fully support the branch's ability, in the words of the Chief Justice, to "ensure our own workplaces are safe for all employees and free of inappropriate behavior." Moreover, when considering the unconscious nature of unconscious bias, the CJER Advisory Committee deemed it possible that some judicial officers may not be aware of the effects of their unconscious biases and, therefore, would not make such training a priority on a purely voluntary basis.

# **Fiscal and Operational Impacts**

The education offered by the Center for Judicial Education and Research already includes training in unconscious bias and the prevention of harassment; the new requirements will lead to some expansion in those areas, as well as in the areas of prevention of discrimination, retaliation, and inappropriate workplace conduct. Education that fulfills this new requirement can also be provided by approved providers in addition to CJER. The primary costs to the judicial branch to implement the amended rule are associated with the development of expanded education content in these areas.

No direct fiscal or operational impacts are anticipated for any other entity. Although implementation of this rule would add additional content requirements, the overall required number of education hours would not change; there would be no increase in education hours for judicial officers and no increase in education costs for judicial officers or their courts. No negative impacts are anticipated on justice partners, attorneys, self-represented litigants, or the courts; in fact, these entities may perceive benefits from working with judicial officers with more training in these areas.

The relatively minor implementation costs would be outweighed by the enormous benefit of educating judicial officers about these important issues.

# Attachments and Links

- 1. Cal. Rules of Court, rule 10.469, at page 6
- 2. Chart of comments, at pages 7-11

Rule 10.469 of the California Rules of Court would be amended, effective January 1, 2021, to read:

<ul> <li>subordinate judicial officers, and additional requirements</li> <li>(a)-(d) ***</li> <li>(e) Education on fairness and access education, unconscious bias, and prevention of harassment, discrimination, retaliation, and inappropriate workplace conduct</li> <li>(1) In order to achieve the objective of assisting judicial officers in preserving the integrity and impartiality of the judicial system through the prevention of bias, each justice, judge, and subordinate judicial officer should regularly participate in education on fairness and access. The education should include the following subjects: race and ethnicity, gender, sexual orientation, and persons with disabilities, and sexual harassment.</li> <li>(2) Each justice, judge, and subordinate judicial officer must participate in education on unconscious bias, as well as the prevention of harassment, discrimination, retaliation, and inappropriate workplace conduct. This education must be taken at least once every three-year continuing education period as determined by rules 10.461(c)(1) and 10.462(d)(1).</li> </ul>	1	Rule	10.469. Judicial education recommendations for justices, judges, and
<ul> <li>(a)-(d) ***</li> <li>Education on fairness and access education, unconscious bias, and prevention of harassment, discrimination, retaliation, and inappropriate workplace conduct</li> <li>(1) In order to achieve the objective of assisting judicial officers in preserving the integrity and impartiality of the judicial system through the prevention of bias, each justice, judge, and subordinate judicial officer should regularly participate in education on fairness and access. The education should include the following subjects: race and ethnicity, gender, sexual orientation, and persons with disabilities, and sexual harassment.</li> <li>Each justice, judge, and subordinate judicial officer must participate in education on unconscious bias, as well as the prevention of harassment, discrimination, retaliation, and inappropriate workplace conduct. This education must be taken at least once every three-year continuing education period as determined by rules 10.461(c)(1) and 10.462(d)(1).</li> </ul>	2	subor	rdinate judicial officers, <u>and additional requirements</u>
<ul> <li><i>Education on fairness and access education</i>, unconscious bias, and prevention of harassment, discrimination, retaliation, and inappropriate workplace conduct</li> <li>(1) In order to achieve the objective of assisting judicial officers in preserving the integrity and impartiality of the judicial system through the prevention of bias, each justice, judge, and subordinate judicial officer should regularly participate in education on fairness and access. The education should include the following subjects: race and ethnicity, gender, sexual orientation, and persons with disabilities, and sexual harassment.</li> <li>(2) Each justice, judge, and subordinate judicial officer must participate in education on unconscious bias, as well as the prevention of harassment, discrimination, retaliation, and inappropriate workplace conduct. This education must be taken at least once every three-year continuing education period as determined by rules 10.461(c)(1) and 10.462(d)(1).</li> </ul>	3		
<ul> <li>6 (e) Education on fairness and access education, unconscious bias, and prevention of harassment, discrimination, retaliation, and inappropriate workplace conduct</li> <li>9 <ol> <li>In order to achieve the objective of assisting judicial officers in preserving the integrity and impartiality of the judicial system through the prevention of bias, each justice, judge, and subordinate judicial officer should regularly participate in education on fairness and access. The education should include the following subjects: race and ethnicity, gender, sexual orientation, and persons with disabilities, and sexual harassment.</li> </ol> </li> <li>17 <ol> <li>Each justice, judge, and subordinate judicial officer must participate in education on unconscious bias, as well as the prevention of harassment, discrimination, retaliation, and inappropriate workplace conduct. This education must be taken at least once every three-year continuing education period as determined by rules 10.461(c)(1) and 10.462(d)(1).</li> </ol> </li> </ul>	4	(a)–(a	d) * * *
7of harassment, discrimination, retaliation, and inappropriate workplace8conduct910(1) In order to achieve the objective of assisting judicial officers in11preserving the integrity and impartiality of the judicial system through the12prevention of bias, each justice, judge, and subordinate judicial officer should13regularly participate in education on fairness and access. The education14should include the following subjects: race and ethnicity, gender, sexual15orientation, and persons with disabilities, and sexual harassment.161717(2) Each justice, judge, and subordinate judicial officer must participate in18education on unconscious bias, as well as the prevention of harassment.19discrimination, retaliation, and inappropriate workplace conduct. This20education must be taken at least once every three-year continuing education21period as determined by rules 10.461(c)(1) and 10.462(d)(1).	5		
<ul> <li>conduct</li> <li>(1) In order to achieve the objective of assisting judicial officers in</li> <li>preserving the integrity and impartiality of the judicial system through the</li> <li>prevention of bias, each justice, judge, and subordinate judicial officer should</li> <li>regularly participate in education on fairness and access. The education</li> <li>should include the following subjects: race and ethnicity, gender, sexual</li> <li>orientation, and persons with disabilities, and sexual harassment.</li> <li>(2) Each justice, judge, and subordinate judicial officer must participate in</li> <li>education on unconscious bias, as well as the prevention of harassment,</li> <li>discrimination, retaliation, and inappropriate workplace conduct. This</li> <li>education must be taken at least once every three-year continuing education</li> <li>period as determined by rules 10.461(c)(1) and 10.462(d)(1).</li> </ul>	6	(e)	Education on fairness and access education, unconscious bias, and prevention
<ul> <li>9</li> <li>(1) In order to achieve the objective of assisting judicial officers in preserving the integrity and impartiality of the judicial system through the prevention of bias, each justice, judge, and subordinate judicial officer should regularly participate in education on fairness and access. The education should include the following subjects: race and ethnicity, gender, sexual orientation, and persons with disabilities, and sexual harassment.</li> <li>(2) Each justice, judge, and subordinate judicial officer must participate in education on unconscious bias, as well as the prevention of harassment, discrimination, retaliation, and inappropriate workplace conduct. This education must be taken at least once every three-year continuing education period as determined by rules 10.461(c)(1) and 10.462(d)(1).</li> </ul>	7		of harassment, discrimination, retaliation, and inappropriate workplace
10(1) In order to achieve the objective of assisting judicial officers in11preserving the integrity and impartiality of the judicial system through the12prevention of bias, each justice, judge, and subordinate judicial officer should13regularly participate in education on fairness and access. The education14should include the following subjects: race and ethnicity, gender, sexual15orientation, and persons with disabilities, and sexual harassment.1617(2) Each justice, judge, and subordinate judicial officer must participate in18education on unconscious bias, as well as the prevention of harassment,19discrimination, retaliation, and inappropriate workplace conduct. This20education must be taken at least once every three-year continuing education21period as determined by rules 10.461(c)(1) and 10.462(d)(1).	8		<u>conduct</u>
11preserving the integrity and impartiality of the judicial system through the12prevention of bias, each justice, judge, and subordinate judicial officer should13regularly participate in education on fairness and access. The education14should include the following subjects: race and ethnicity, gender, sexual15orientation, and persons with disabilities, and sexual harassment.161717(2)Each justice, judge, and subordinate judicial officer must participate in18education on unconscious bias, as well as the prevention of harassment,19discrimination, retaliation, and inappropriate workplace conduct. This20education must be taken at least once every three-year continuing education21period as determined by rules 10.461(c)(1) and 10.462(d)(1).	9		
<ul> <li>prevention of bias, each justice, judge, and subordinate judicial officer should</li> <li>regularly participate in education on fairness and access. The education</li> <li>should include the following subjects: race and ethnicity, gender, sexual</li> <li>orientation, and persons with disabilities, and sexual harassment.</li> <li>(2) Each justice, judge, and subordinate judicial officer must participate in</li> <li>education on unconscious bias, as well as the prevention of harassment,</li> <li>discrimination, retaliation, and inappropriate workplace conduct. This</li> <li>education must be taken at least once every three-year continuing education</li> <li>period as determined by rules 10.461(c)(1) and 10.462(d)(1).</li> </ul>	10		(1) In order to achieve the objective of assisting judicial officers in
<ul> <li>regularly participate in education on fairness and access. The education</li> <li>should include the following subjects: race and ethnicity, gender, sexual</li> <li>orientation, and persons with disabilities, and sexual harassment.</li> <li>[2] Each justice, judge, and subordinate judicial officer must participate in</li> <li>education on unconscious bias, as well as the prevention of harassment,</li> <li>discrimination, retaliation, and inappropriate workplace conduct. This</li> <li>education must be taken at least once every three-year continuing education</li> <li>period as determined by rules 10.461(c)(1) and 10.462(d)(1).</li> </ul>	11		preserving the integrity and impartiality of the judicial system through the
<ul> <li>should include the following subjects: race and ethnicity, gender, sexual</li> <li>orientation, and persons with disabilities, and sexual harassment.</li> <li>[2] Each justice, judge, and subordinate judicial officer must participate in</li> <li>education on unconscious bias, as well as the prevention of harassment,</li> <li>discrimination, retaliation, and inappropriate workplace conduct. This</li> <li>education must be taken at least once every three-year continuing education</li> <li>period as determined by rules 10.461(c)(1) and 10.462(d)(1).</li> </ul>	12		prevention of bias, each justice, judge, and subordinate judicial officer should
<ul> <li>orientation, and persons with disabilities, and sexual harassment.</li> <li>(2) Each justice, judge, and subordinate judicial officer must participate in</li> <li>education on unconscious bias, as well as the prevention of harassment,</li> <li>discrimination, retaliation, and inappropriate workplace conduct. This</li> <li>education must be taken at least once every three-year continuing education</li> <li>period as determined by rules 10.461(c)(1) and 10.462(d)(1).</li> </ul>	13		regularly participate in education on fairness and access. The education
<ul> <li>16</li> <li>17 (2) Each justice, judge, and subordinate judicial officer must participate in</li> <li>18 education on unconscious bias, as well as the prevention of harassment,</li> <li>19 discrimination, retaliation, and inappropriate workplace conduct. This</li> <li>20 education must be taken at least once every three-year continuing education</li> <li>21 period as determined by rules 10.461(c)(1) and 10.462(d)(1).</li> </ul>	14		should include the following subjects: race and ethnicity, gender, sexual
17(2)Each justice, judge, and subordinate judicial officer must participate in18education on unconscious bias, as well as the prevention of harassment,19discrimination, retaliation, and inappropriate workplace conduct. This20education must be taken at least once every three-year continuing education21period as determined by rules 10.461(c)(1) and 10.462(d)(1).	15		orientation, <u>and</u> persons with disabilities <del>, and sexual harassment</del> .
<ul> <li>education on unconscious bias, as well as the prevention of harassment,</li> <li>discrimination, retaliation, and inappropriate workplace conduct. This</li> <li>education must be taken at least once every three-year continuing education</li> <li>period as determined by rules 10.461(c)(1) and 10.462(d)(1).</li> </ul>	16		
19discrimination, retaliation, and inappropriate workplace conduct. This20education must be taken at least once every three-year continuing education21period as determined by rules 10.461(c)(1) and 10.462(d)(1).	17		(2) Each justice, judge, and subordinate judicial officer must participate in
<ul> <li>20 education must be taken at least once every three-year continuing education</li> <li>21 period as determined by rules 10.461(c)(1) and 10.462(d)(1).</li> </ul>	18		education on unconscious bias, as well as the prevention of harassment,
21 period as determined by rules 10.461(c)(1) and 10.462(d)(1).	19		discrimination, retaliation, and inappropriate workplace conduct. This
	20		education must be taken at least once every three-year continuing education
22	21		period as determined by rules 10.461(c)(1) and 10.462(d)(1).
	22		

# **SPR 20-06** Judicial Branch Education: Mandatory Judicial Training Requirement for Prevention of Discrimination, Sexual Harassment and Inappropriate Workplace Behavior, and Unconscious Bias (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 10.469)

All comments are verbatim unless indicated by an asterisk (\*).

	Commenter	Position	Comment	Committee Response
1.	Legal Aid Association of California by Selena Copeland Executive Director, LAAC Kate Marr Executive Director, Community Legal Aid SoCal Martina Cucullu Lim Executive Director, Eviction Defense Collaborative Amy Poyer Senior Staff Attorney, California Women's Law Center Leigh Ferrin Director of Litigation and Pro Bono, Public Law Center	A	We <b>support</b> the Center for Judicial Education and Research (CJER) Advisory Committee's proposal to add the subsection to Rule 10.469 (e) Empirical evidence demonstrates that we all have unconscious and implicit bias. This bias contributes to staggering disparities in our justice system. Research shows that trial court judges often rely on intuition, rather than deliberative judging in deciding matters before the bench. Studies show prosecutors are more likely to charge Black suspects than White suspects in similar circumstances. Public defenders may work harder for a defendant they perceive as more educated or likely to be successful than for other defendants.	No response required.
2.	California Commission on Access to Justice by Hon. Mark Juhas Chair	A	<ul> <li>* The Access Commission supports the change to rule 10.469 and all of the recommendations made by the Prevention of Discrimination and Harassment Work Group.</li> <li>The Access Commission believes that the proposed amendment to Rule 10.469 is a good step towards addressing one of the stated purposes of the Work Group—to improve efforts by the judicial branch to prevent harassment, discrimination, retaliation, and inappropriate workplace conduct based on a</li> </ul>	No response required.

	Commenter	Position	Comment	Committee Response
			protected classification. Mandatory judicial training on these topics is an important improvement in prevention efforts As noted by the Work Group, mandatory trainings are only part of the solution. The Access Commission encourages the Judicial Council to implement other of the Work Group's recommendations The Access Commission also supports the proposed amendment to rule 10.469 and the other recommendations of the Work Group to the extent they set standard baselines for prevention training and other issues through Rules of Court paired with the ability for courts to develop their own solutions and approaches, with the Judicial Council's support, based on their needs and circumstances.	
3.	The Family Violence Appellate Project Cory Hernandez Staff Attorney	A	<ul> <li>*In addition to agreeing with the proposed revisions to make rule 10.469(e)2 mandatory, the FVAP also urges that a) rule 10.469(e)1 become mandatory, b) domestic violence be included as a mandatory education topic along with the topics listed in 10.469(e)2, and c) education on unconscious bias, prevention of harassment, discrimination, retaliation, and inappropriate workplace conduct and domestic violence become mandatory for court personnel.</li> <li>We are writing to express support for SPR20- 06, and to urge the Council to extend these amendments to cover additional judicial officers and court personnel, as well as another area of concern, domestic violence we would urge that rule 10.469(e)(1) also become mandatory,</li> </ul>	Because these would be important substantive changes to the proposal, the committee believes public comment should be sought before they are considered for adoption. The committee will consider these suggestions during the next rules cycle.

Commenter	Position	Comment	Committee Response
		instead of recommendatory as it is now	
		Rule 10.649(e)(1) recommends training on	
		fairness and access, with a focus on race,	
		ethnicity, gender, sexual orientation, and people	
		with disabilities. Rule 10.649(e)(1) states its	
		intent is to "assist[] judicial officers in	
		preserving the integrity and impartiality of the	
		judicial system through the prevention of bias."	
		Expanding the required education will only	
		further help "judicial officers in preserving the	
		integrity and impartiality of the judicial	
		system." The issues in both rules 10.469(e)(1)	
		and $10.469(e)(2)$ are related and there is	
		overlap, which means the mandatory education	
		requirements could be fulfilled at once or at	
		least without substantially more time needed,	
		alleviating potential concerns of cost	
		Furthermore, we would urge the Council to	
		expand proposed rule 10.469(e)(2) to include	
		"domestic violence" in the list of "prevention of	
		harassment, discrimination, retaliation, and	
		inappropriate workplace conduct." Domestic	
		violence is a grievous problem in our state that	
		cuts across all genders, sexual orientations,	
		races, ethnicities, ability levels, socioeconomic	
		levels, and professions. Judicial officers not	
		only encounter domestic violence in their	
		courtrooms, regardless of the type of cases they	
		hear, but also in their workplace Although	
		domestic violence may be more likely to arise in	
		criminal, family, juvenile, and probate cases, it	
		could certainly arise in any other context. For	
		instance, domestic violence is expressly listed as	
		a tort in Civil Code section 1708.6, and being a	

	Commenter	Position	Comment	Committee Response
			victim of domestic violence can trigger special	
			protections in housing and employment law.	
			Domestic violence can touch any part of	
			someone's life, so it can show up in any given	
			court case. Plus, many judicial officers switch	
			assignments at least once in their career,	
			meaning they may move into a position where	
			domestic violence is more frequently litigated	
			than they had previously experienced. By	
			expanding rule 10.464, there would be no gap in	
			education for such judicial officers; they would	
			already be trained on domestic violence In	
			addition, all of these education requirements	
			should be extended to all court personnel, not	
			just judicial officers. Currently, rule 10.479(c)	
			only recommends training on fairness and	
			access for court personnel. While rules 10.471-	
			10.478 provide some education requirements for	
			various court personnel, no requirement	
			includes those mandated or recommended in	
			rule 10.469 court personnel also make	
			important decisions that can impact a case. In	
			many cases, litigants may interact more with	
			court personnel than with judicial officers	
			For the same reasons judicial officers must be	
			trained on these important issues, so too must	
			court personnel.	
4.	Superior Court of Los Angeles County	D	We support the goals of this proposal, which are	The B.E. Witkin Judicial College and New Judges
	by Bryan Borys,		to provide appropriate training to the judiciary	Orientation already contain content on
	Senior Advisor		that may help them avoid unconscious bias and	unconscious bias.
			prevent discrimination in the courtroom.	Qualifying Ethics curriculum already contains content on unconscious bias, and prevention of
			However, this training should be included as	harassment, discrimination, retaliation, and
			part of the mandatory curriculum for Judicial	inappropriate workplace conduct.
			College, New Judges Orientation, and the	

Commenter	Position	Comment	Committee Response
		Qualifying Ethics curriculum. This approach will insure that all bench officers receive this important training twice once in their first few months on the bench, and again within their first 2 years, then every few years thereafter as part of the Ethics insurance requirements. This would allow judges to continue with the broad array of bias related courses already offered to them through the courts, CJA, the Bar and other organizations as part of the expected education framework.	All of the existing content would count toward the proposed mandatory requirement. Judges would not be barred from the "broad array of bias related courses already offered to them through the courts, the CJA, the Bar and other organizations." As long as they are approved providers (which all of the named organizations are), their classes would count toward the proposed mandatory requirement.
5. Bay Area Legal A by Kemi Mustap Supervising Atto and Fawn Jade Korr, Senior Staff Atto	ha, rney	This proposed rule comes at a time when thousands of Americans are protesting the killing of George Floyd and the widespread racialized violence against Black people. There is no American institution exempt from systemic racism While overt discrimination is largely condemned, most of the behavior that produces racial discrimination is influenced by unconscious racial motivation. An intention to end racial bias is not enough, and the judicial system will continue to sanction institutional discrimination unless measures are taken to disrupt the status quo. Those measures must begin with mandatory implicit bias training for judges Judicial officers, who hold positions of privilege and power, are not immune from the same implicit biases that impact the general population, leading to adverse consequences for people from marginalized communities navigating the legal system. Bay Area Legal Aid stands in strong <b>support</b> of SPR20-06. We thank the Judicial Council and its committees for taking this issue seriously and addressing the devastating impact of racism in this country.	No response required.