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## Executive Summary

Under Government Code section 77203(b), a trial court may carry over unexpended funds in an amount not to exceed 1 percent of the court's operating budget from the prior fiscal year. The Trial Court Budget Advisory Committee recommends approving a final one-time reduction allocation of $\$ 6,935,081$ related to the fund balance in 2018-19 and prior-year excluded funds, as required by Government Code section $68502.5(\mathrm{c})(2)(\mathrm{A})$, which nets to $\$ 796,545$ after funds held on behalf of trial court reductions.

## Recommendation

The Trial Court Budget Advisory Committee recommends that the Judicial Council, effective January 17, 2020, adjust the preliminary 1 percent fund balance cap reduction allocation of $\$ 7,890,830$ approved by the council on September 24,2019 , by a net $\$ 955,749$ for a final reduction allocation of $\$ 6,935,081$, to match the trial courts' final calculations of the amount above the 1 percent fund balance cap, further reduced by approved funds held on behalf of the trial courts to $\$ 796,545$ to be reverted to the Trial Court Trust Fund.

## Relevant Previous Council Action

At its July 29, 2014 business meeting, the Judicial Council approved an annual process beginning in 2015-16 for courts to provide preliminary and final computations on the portion of their ending fund balance that is subject to the 1 percent cap in compliance with Government Code section 68502.5(c)(2)(A):

1. Each year, courts will be required to submit the 1 percent computation form with preliminary year-end information by July 15 . The information provided by courts will be used by the council to make the preliminary allocation of reductions as required by statute. Courts would not be required to provide the details related to encumbrances, prepayments, and restricted revenue when submitting the form for the preliminary allocation.
2. Each year, courts will be required to submit the 1 percent computation form with final yearend information by October 15.
3. Before February, the Judicial Council director of Budget Services will report to the council the information provided by the courts for the final allocation reduction, if any.

At its business meeting on September 24, 2019, the council approved a preliminary one-time allocation reduction of $\$ 7,890,830$ to courts that were projecting that the portion of their 201819 ending fund balance subject to the 1 percent fund balance cap would exceed the cap by that amount, as required by statute.

## Analysis/Rationale

Government Code section 77203(b) limits the amount of fund balance a court can carry over from one fiscal year to the next, beginning June 30, 2014, to "an amount not to exceed 1 percent of the court's operating budget from the prior fiscal year." Government Code section 68502(c)(2)(A) requires that "[i]n January of each fiscal year, after review of available trial court reserves as of June 30 of the prior fiscal year, the Judicial Council shall finalize allocations to trial courts and each court's finalized allocation shall be offset by the amount of reserves in excess of the amount authorized to be carried over pursuant to subdivision (b) of Section 77203."

Government Code 77203 limited the amount of funds to be carried over from one year to the next, beginning June 30, 2014.

The figures in Attachment A reflect courts' finalized and closed accounting records for 2018-19, which have been reviewed by the Judicial Council's Budget Services and Branch Accounting and Procurement staff:

- Column A displays the calculated cap amount for each court;
- Column G shows the court's 2018-19 fund balance amounts subject to the cap, which excludes statutorily restricted funds per Government Code section 77203(b),
encumbrances consistent with the state contracting process, prepayments, and approved funds held on behalf ( FHOB ) returned to courts;
- Column H displays the courts' final computation of the amount above their 1 percent cap, totaling $\$ 6.62$ million;
- Column I provides 2018-19 adjustments to the courts’ 2016-17 and 2017-18 excluded fund amounts that resulted in the courts exceeding their 2015-16 and 2016-17 fund balance cap, totaling $\$ 315,028$; and
- Column J displays the courts' final total reduction computation.

Ten courts have submitted requests totaling $\$ 6.1$ million (Column K) under the Judicial Councilapproved process for trial courts to request that Trial Court Trust Fund (TCTF)-reduced allocations related to the fund balance cap be retained in the TCTF as restricted fund balance for the benefit of those courts. This retention allows the courts to prudently plan for and fund necessary court infrastructure projects such as technology or infrastructure improvements, facilities maintenance and repair allowed under rule 10.810 of the California Rules of Court, court efficiencies projects, and other court infrastructure projects that would not be possible as an unintended consequence of the 1 percent fund balance cap.

Attachment B provides detail on the final allocation adjustments for the 2018-19 1 percent cap adjustment and TCTF FHOB requests that will be distributed in the February 2020 TCTF distributions to the trial courts:

- Column A shows the preliminary 1 percent cap reductions taken in October 2019 that included 16 courts;
- Column B displays the final reductions that included 21 courts;
- Column C shows the net adjustment of $\$ 955,749$ between the preliminary and final 1 percent cap calculations;
- Column D reflects the preliminary TCTF FHOB requests of $\$ 5.41$ million returned in October 2019;
- Column E reflects the final TCTF FHOB requests totaling $\$ 6.14$ million. Of this amount, $\$ 2.99$ million will be allocated to trial courts for 2019-20 expenditures (Column F); and $\$ 3.15$ million will be held in the TCTF fund balance for the courts that are saving funds for expenditures in future years shown in column G; and
- Column H displays the February 2020 allocation adjustment between the preliminary and final FHOB.

The amounts that will be returned to courts have been adjusted for those courts that have approved FHOB requests that exceed their reduction for the 1 percent fund balance cap. The net adjustment column displays the total net allocation adjustment for both the 1 percent cap and the TCTF FHOB requests.

## Policy implications

None.

## Comments

This item was not circulated for comment.

## Alternatives considered

None.

## Fiscal and Operational Impacts

None.

## Attachments and Links

1. Attachment A: Final One-Time Allocation Reduction for Fund Balances Above the $1 \%$ Cap
2. Attachment B: Final Allocation Adjustments for 2018-19 1\% Cap Adjustments and TCTF Funds Held on Behalf

| Court | Fund Balance Cap | FY 2018-19 <br> Ending Fund Balance | Encumbrance <br> Reserves at June 30 | Excluded Funds | Prepayments | Balance of Approved 2018 19 Funds Held on Behalf | Fund Balance Subject to Cap | Current Year Reduction | Prior Year Disencumbrance | Total Final Reduction | Approved 2019-20 <br> Funds Held on Behalf ${ }^{1}$ | Net Reduction after Funds Held on Behalf |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Col. A | Col. B | Col. C | Col. D | Col. E | Col. F | $\begin{gathered} \text { Col. G } \\ \text { (B-C-D-E-F) } \end{gathered}$ | Col. H | Col. 1 | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Col. J } \\ (\mathrm{H}+\mathrm{I}) \end{gathered}$ | Col. K | Col. L |
| ALAMEDA ${ }^{2}$ | 1,026,992 | 8,399,643 | 5,580,958 | 1,713,820 | 0 | 0 | 1,104,865 | 0 | 93,217 | 93,217 |  | $(93,217)$ |
| ALPINE | 7,830 | 37,452 | 0 | 15,048 | 12,477 | 0 | 9,927 | 2,097 | 0 | 2,097 |  | $(2,097)$ |
| AMADOR | 38,595 | 223,355 | 180,000 | 35,650 | 0 | 0 | 7,705 | 0 | 1,900 | 1,900 |  | $(1,900)$ |
| BUTTE | 154,203 | 1,096,979 | 357,292 | 350,422 | 235,186 | 0 | 154,079 | 0 | 7,754 | 7,754 |  | $(7,754)$ |
| CALAVERAS | 32,192 | 593,647 | 126,044 | 173,724 | 244,909 | 0 | 48,970 | 16,778 | 0 | 16,778 |  | $(16,778)$ |
| COLUSA | 19,791 | 797,657 | 42,284 | 264,183 | 139,505 | 0 | 351,685 | 331,894 | 0 | 331,894 | 325,000 | $(6,894)$ |
| CONTRA COSTA | 593,872 | 2,874,701 | 359,692 | 2,113,322 | 80,000 | 0 | 321,687 | 0 | 35,978 | 35,978 |  | $(35,978)$ |
| DEL NORTE | 35,955 | 629,482 | 11,703 | 505,008 | 0 | 0 | 112,771 | 76,752 | 64 | 76,816 |  | $(76,816)$ |
| EL DORADO | 92,279 | 27,723 | 0 | 0 | 2,270 | 0 | 25,453 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| FRESNO | 669,876 | 4,822,806 | 2,702,674 | 1,610,593 | 1,143 | 0 | 508,396 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| GLENN | 32,587 | 146,498 | 33,641 | 80,607 | 0 | 0 | 32,250 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| HUMBOLDT | 91,784 | 198,122 | 455 | 101,896 | 15,659 | 0 | 80,112 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| IMPERIAL | 125,215 | 2,366,123 | 1,595,407 | 659,233 | 76,867 | 0 | 34,616 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| INYO | 30,222 | 428,520 | 0 | 399,485 | 2,015 | 0 | 27,020 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| KERN | 837,133 | 5,001,862 | 0 | 3,508,063 | 167,760 | 676,688 | 649,351 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| KINGS | 114,008 | 1,907,188 | 1,545,375 | 264,220 | 1,867 | 0 | 95,726 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| LAKE | 47,037 | 466,473 | 255,463 | 180,882 | 0 | 0 | 30,128 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| LASSEN | 32,312 | 475,212 | 250,000 | 124,268 | 2,451 | 75,925 | 22,568 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| LOS ANGELES | 7,875,869 | 56,911,094 | 28,361,847 | 23,739,080 | 59,330 | 0 | 4,750,837 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| MADERA | 111,499 | 871,929 | 315,205 | 542,102 | 0 | 0 | 14,622 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| MARIN | 149,876 | 1,537,617 | 459,913 | 942,893 | 5,000 | 0 | 129,811 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| MARIPOSA | 19,319 | 55,343 | 0 | 28,329 | 2,358 | 0 | 24,656 | 5,337 | 0 | 5,337 |  | $(5,337)$ |
| MENDOCINO | 73,932 | 1,278,063 | 187,127 | 239,924 | 0 | 0 | 851,012 | 777,080 | 0 | 777,080 | 777,000 | (80) |
| MERCED | 181,504 | 3,217,774 | 338,456 | 2,625,421 | 223,066 | 8,914 | 21,917 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| MODOC | 14,650 | 106,398 | 81,788 | 22,303 | 0 | 0 | 2,307 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| MONO | 24,219 | 259,263 | 34,430 | 51,379 | 27,337 | 0 | 146,117 | 121,880 | 18 | 121,898 | 121,898 | 0 |
| MONTEREY | 259,645 | 1,381,095 | 373,814 | 679,695 | 186,333 | 9,368 | 131,885 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| NAPA | 101,771 | 758,282 | 16,687 | 621,994 | 0 | 18,088 | 101,513 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| NEVADA | 71,976 | 320,906 | 0 | 306,498 | 0 | 0 | 14,408 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| ORANGE | 1,982,410 | 13,602,320 | 2,888,008 | 6,767,019 | 992,229 | 465,234 | 2,489,830 | 507,420 | 0 | 507,420 | 507,420 | 0 |
| PLACER | 236,557 | 1,112,553 | 103,464 | 484,877 | 125,688 | 45,453 | 353,071 | 111,796 | 4,718 | 116,514 | 110,000 | $(6,514)$ |
| PLUMAS | 14,631 | 95,126 | 23,483 | 57,120 | 0 | 0 | 14,523 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| RIVERSIDE | 1,678,599 | 10,643,419 | 4,364,227 | 4,658,147 | 200,000 | 0 | 1,421,045 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| SACRAMENTO | 960,695 | 7,388,961 | 1,096,690 | 2,110,046 | 18,568 | 1,412,664 | 2,750,993 | 1,700,000 | 90,298 | 1,790,298 | 1,776,000 | $(14,298)$ |
| SAN BENITO | 33,186 | 924,028 | 239,759 | 22,920 | 113,538 | 0 | 547,811 | 514,625 | 0 | 514,625 | 415,801 | $(98,824)$ |
| SAN BERNARDINO | 1,409,682 | 9,719,801 | 3,764,347 | 2,353,826 | 3,601,628 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| SAN DIEGO | 1,880,327 | 21,321,819 | 6,969,607 | 11,935,353 | 1,389,920 | 0 | 1,026,939 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| SAN FRANCISCO | 746,466 | 1,796,044 | 0 | 1,045,565 | 0 | 385,693 | 364,786 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| SAN JOAQUIN | 460,249 | 2,525,209 | 81,129 | 1,922,727 | 226,122 | 0 | 295,231 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| SAN LUIS OBISPO | 180,612 | 3,062,298 | 665,982 | 2,003,348 | 0 | 0 | 392,968 | 207,723 | 4,633 | 212,356 |  | $(212,356)$ |
| SAN MATEO | 480,978 | 5,271,692 | 2,246,511 | 631,725 | 239,611 | 0 | 2,153,845 | 1,597,589 | 75,278 | 1,672,867 | 1,660,000 | $(12,867)$ |
| SANTA BARBARA | 317,859 | 4,951,402 | 1,676,822 | 2,891,532 | 360,885 | 0 | 22,163 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| SANTA CLARA | 1,045,372 | 125,602 | 0 | 66,003 | 18,828 | 0 | 40,771 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| SANTA CRUZ | 168,563 | 1,017,364 | 489,878 | 472,958 | 0 | 0 | 54,528 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |

Final One-Time Allocation Reduction for Fund Balance Above the 1\% Cap
(as of October 15, 2019)

| Court | Fund Balance Cap | FY 2018-19 Ending Fund Balance | Encumbrance <br> Reserves at June 30 | Excluded Funds | Prepayments | Balance of Approved 2018. 19 Funds Held on Behalf | Fund Balance <br> Subject to Cap | Current Year Reduction | Prior Year Disencumbrance | Total Final Reduction | Approved 2019-20 <br> Funds Held on Behalf ${ }^{1}$ | Net Reduction after Funds Held on Behalf |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Col. A | Col. B | Col. C | Col. D | Col. E | Col. F | $\begin{gathered} \text { Col. G } \\ \text { (B-C-D-E-F) } \end{gathered}$ | Col. H | Col. 1 | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Col. J } \\ (\mathrm{H}+\mathrm{I}) \end{gathered}$ | Col. K | Col. L |
| SHASTA | 203,225 | 313,521 | 0 | 258,541 | 0 | 0 | 54,980 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| SIERRA | 8,857 | 21,431 | 4,515 | 2,173 | 9,960 | 0 | 4,783 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| SISKIYOU | 42,678 | 262,532 | 0 | 239,631 | 0 | 0 | 22,901 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| SOLANO | 292,962 | 2,254,252 | 799,796 | 1,173,332 | 33,701 | 0 | 247,423 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| SONOMA | 295,266 | 2,578,830 | 0 | 1,909,520 | 474,426 | 0 | 194,884 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| STANISLAUS | 309,258 | 1,477,325 | 265,210 | 280,553 | 622,304 | 0 | 309,258 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| SUTTER | 73,951 | 1,326,522 | 618,397 | 342,424 | 3,749 | 2,889 | 359,063 | 285,112 | 0 | 285,112 | 285,112 | 0 |
| TEHAMA | 56,334 | 630,823 | 127,629 | 212,705 | 0 | 73,850 | 216,639 | 159,135 | 1,170 | 160,305 | 160,305 | 0 |
| TRINITY | 24,195 | 77,536 | 9,396 | 52,863 | 11,090 | 0 | 4,187 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| TULARE | 336,782 | 1,173,096 | 500,000 | 380,054 | 191,490 | 0 | 101,552 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| TUOLUNME | 47,097 | 345,763 | 0 | 227,501 | 0 | 0 | 118,262 | 71,165 | 0 | 71,165 |  | $(71,165)$ |
| VENTURA | 563,138 | 3,632,296 | 3,249,413 | 106,864 | 0 | 0 | 276,019 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| YOLO | 160,135 | 1,626,309 | 1,040,994 | 572,728 | 0 | 0 | 12,587 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| YUBA | 67,799 | 527,990 | 215,081 | 111,440 | 0 | 0 | 201,469 | 133,670 | 0 | 133,670 |  | $(133,670)$ |
| TOTAL | 26,944,009 | 196,997,071 | 74,650,593 | 85,193,537 | 10,119,270 | 3,174,766 | 23,858,905 | 6,620,053 | 315,028 | 6,935,081 | 6,138,536 | $(796,545)$ |

1. Approved TCTF Funds Held on Behalf Requests inlcude those requests pending before the Judicial Council at its business meeting January 16-17, 2020
2. Prior year liquidations applied against fund balance subject to cap brought court below the fund balance cap and resulted in no current year reduction.

Final Allocation Adjustments for 2018-19

|  | 1\% Cap Adjustments |  |  | TCTF Funds Held on Behalf (FHOB) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Preliminary Reduction for Fund Balance Above the 1\% Cap <br> One-Time <br> Oct \#4 <br> Dist | Final Reduction for Fund Balance Above the 1\% Cap | Allocation <br> Adjustment for <br> Reduction for <br> Fund Balance <br> Above the 1\% <br> Cap <br> One-Time <br> Feb \#8 <br> Dist | Preliminary TCTF Funds Held on Behalf of the <br> Trial Courts Returned to Courts <br> One-Time <br> Oct \#4 <br> Dist | Total Final TCTF Funds Held on Behalf of the Trial Courts | TCTF Funds Held on Behalf of the Trial Courts to be Returned for 2019-20 <br> Feb \#8 Dist | TCTF Funds Held in Reserve in the TCTF for Future Years ${ }^{1}$ | Allocation <br> Adjustment for TCTF FHOB of the Trial Courts <br> One-Time <br> Feb \#8 <br> Dist |  |
| Court | Col. A | Col. B | Col. C | Col. D | Col. E | Col. F | Col. G | Col. H | Net <br> Adjustment |
| Alameda | $(2,002,203)$ | $(93,217)$ | 1,908,986 |  |  |  |  | - | 1,908,986 |
| Alpine | $(5,782)$ | $(2,097)$ | 3,685 |  |  |  |  | - | 3,685 |
| Amador | $(1,900)$ | $(1,900)$ | - |  |  |  |  | - | - |
| Butte | - | $(7,754)$ | $(7,754)$ |  |  |  |  | - | $(7,754)$ |
| Calaveras | - | $(16,778)$ | $(16,778)$ |  |  |  |  | - | $(16,778)$ |
| Colusa | $(325,000)$ | $(331,894)$ | $(6,894)$ | 325,000 | 325,000 | 80,000 | 245,000 | $(245,000)$ | $(251,894)$ |
| Contra Costa | $(35,978)$ | $(35,978)$ | - |  |  |  |  | - | - |
| Del Norte | $(46,244)$ | $(76,816)$ | $(30,572)$ |  |  |  |  | - | $(30,572)$ |
| El Dorado | - | - | - |  |  |  |  | - | - |
| Fresno | - | - | - |  |  |  |  | - | - |
| Glenn | - | - | - |  |  |  |  | - | - |
| Humboldt | - | - | - |  |  |  |  | - | - |
| Imperial | - | - | - |  |  |  |  | - | - |
| Inyo | - | - | - |  |  |  |  | - | - |
| Kern | - | - | - |  |  |  |  | - | - |
| Kings | - | - | - |  |  |  |  | - | - |
| Lake | - | - | - |  |  |  |  | - | - |
| Lassen | - | - | - |  |  |  |  | - | - |
| Los Angeles | - | - | - |  |  |  |  | - | - |
| Madera | - | - | - |  |  |  |  | - | - |
| Marin | - | - | - |  |  |  |  | - | - |
| Mariposa | - | $(5,337)$ | $(5,337)$ |  |  |  |  | - | $(5,337)$ |
| Mendocino | $(777,001)$ | $(777,080)$ | (79) | 777,001 | 777,000 | 777,000 |  | (1) | (80) |
| Merced | - | - | - |  |  |  |  | - | - |
| Modoc | - | - | - |  |  |  |  | - | - |
| Mono | $(124,202)$ | $(121,898)$ | 2,304 |  | 121,898 | - | 121,898 | - | 2,304 |
| Monterey | - | - | - |  |  |  |  | - | - |
| Napa | - | - | - |  |  |  |  | - | - |
| Nevada | - | - | - |  |  |  |  | - | - |
| Orange | - | $(507,420)$ | $(507,420)$ |  | 507,420 | 507,420 |  | 507,420 | - |
| Placer | $(124,368)$ | $(116,514)$ | 7,854 | 124,368 | 110,000 | 110,000 |  | $(14,368)$ | $(6,514)$ |
| Plumas | - | - | - |  |  |  |  | - | - |
| Riverside | - | - | - |  |  |  |  | - | - |
| Sacramento | (1,786,154) | $(1,790,298)$ | $(4,144)$ | 1,776,000 | 1,776,000 | 1,106,278 | 669,722 | $(669,722)$ | $(673,866)$ |
| San Benito | $(514,625)$ | $(514,625)$ | - | 415,801 | 415,801 | 166,321 | 249,480 | $(249,480)$ | $(249,480)$ |
| San Bernardino | - | - | - |  |  |  |  | - | - |
| San Diego | - | - | - |  |  |  |  | - | - |
| San Francisco | - | - | - |  |  |  |  | - | - |
| San Joaquin | - | - | - |  |  |  |  | - | - |
| San Luis Obispo | $(4,633)$ | $(212,356)$ | $(207,723)$ |  |  |  |  | - | $(207,723)$ |
| San Mateo ${ }^{\text {2 }}$ | $(1,814,532)$ | $(1,672,867)$ | 141,665 | 1,660,000 | 1,660,000 | - | 1,660,000 | $(1,660,000)$ | $(1,518,335)$ |
| Santa Barbara | - | - | - |  |  |  |  | - | - |
| Santa Clara | - | - | - |  |  |  |  | - | - |
| Santa Cruz | - | - | - |  |  |  |  | - | - |
| Shasta | - | - | - |  |  |  |  | - | - |
| Sierra | - | - | - |  |  |  |  | - | - |
| Siskiyou | - | - | - |  |  |  |  | - | - |
| Solano | - | - | - |  |  |  |  | - | - |
| Sonoma | - | - | - |  |  |  |  | - | - |
| Stanislaus | - | - | - |  |  |  |  | - | - |
| Sutter | $(214,812)$ | $(285,112)$ | $(70,300)$ | 214,812 | 285,112 | 240,000 | 45,112 | 25,188 | $(45,112)$ |
| Tehama | $(113,379)$ | $(160,305)$ | $(46,926)$ | 113,379 | 160,305 | - | 160,305 | $(113,379)$ | $(160,305)$ |
| Trinity | - | - | - |  |  |  |  | - | - |
| Tulare | - | - | - |  |  |  |  | - | - |
| Tuolumne | (17) | $(71,165)$ | $(71,148)$ |  |  |  |  | - | $(71,148)$ |
| Ventura | - | - | - |  |  |  |  | - | - |
| Yolo | - | - | - |  |  |  |  | - | - |
| Yuba | - | $(133,670)$ | $(133,670)$ |  |  |  |  | - | $(133,670)$ |
| Total | $(7,890,830)$ | $(6,935,081)$ | 955,749 | 5,406,361 | 6,138,536 | 2,987,019 | 3,151,517 | $(2,419,342)$ | $(1,463,593)$ |

[^0]
[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Approved requests for TCTF funds held on behalf will be held in reserve in the TCTF account for courts that have indicated they will incur expenditures in future years.

