



## JUDICIAL COUNCIL OF CALIFORNIA

455 Golden Gate Avenue · San Francisco, California 94102-3688

[www.courts.ca.gov](http://www.courts.ca.gov)

---

# REPORT TO THE JUDICIAL COUNCIL

For business meeting on: May 17, 2019

---

**Title**

Judicial Branch Administration: Release of Demographic Data on California Justices and Judges

**Agenda Item Type**

Information Only

**Date of Report**

April 19, 2019

**Submitted by**

Judicial Council staff  
Leah Rose-Goodwin, Manager  
Office of Court Research, Budget Services

**Contact**

David Smith, Senior Research Analyst  
Office of Court Research, Budget Services  
415-865-7696, [david.smith@jud.ca.gov](mailto:david.smith@jud.ca.gov)

---

### Executive Summary

This informational report to the Judicial Council is of aggregate demographic information concerning the gender, race/ethnicity, sexual orientation, gender identity, and veteran and disability status of California's justices and judges by specific jurisdiction, which council staff is required by statute to collect and release annually. In general, findings indicate that the California bench has become more diverse over time.

### Relevant Previous Council Action

In 2006, Senate Bill 56 (Dunn) authorized 50 new judgeships in California and amended Government Code section 12011.5(n) to require the Judicial Council to collect and report on the demographics of the bench in the aggregate. The council has collected and released this demographic data since 2007, with the most recent information release occurring March 1, 2019. Currently, section 12011.5(n) requires that the council report on the composition of the bench by race, ethnicity, gender, sexual orientation, gender-identity, and veteran and disability status. Data pertaining to veteran and disability status have been collected and released since 2015 as a result of an expansion of the legislative mandate for the collection of demographic data from new justices and judges. Similar reporting requirements apply to the Governor and the Commission on Judicial Nominees Evaluation of the State Bar of California.

## **Analysis/Rationale**

The information in the attached charts reflects justices and judges on the bench as of December 31, 2018, who have reported demographic background information. In general, findings indicate that the California bench has become more diverse over time.

The charts show:

- The overall gender, race/ethnicity, sexual orientation, and gender identity of responding justices and judges in the Supreme Court, Courts of Appeal, and trial courts;
- The gender of these justices and judges by jurisdiction (Supreme Court, Courts of Appeal by district, and trial courts by county);
- The race/ethnicity of responding justices and judges by jurisdiction;
- The specific race/ethnicity reported by those justices and judges who indicated that they consider themselves to be of more than one race/ethnicity;
- The sexual orientation and gender identity of responding justices and judges by jurisdiction;
- The veteran and disability status of responding judicial officers new to the bench, beginning in calendar year 2014; and
- Comparisons of the current overall data on gender, race, and ethnicity with data from prior years' reports.

The most recent demographic data reflect changes resulting from judicial retirements and other departures from the bench, new judicial appointments, and an increase in the number of trial court judges who have provided race/ethnicity information.

The demographic data indicate a trend toward a greater proportion of women serving as trial court judges over time. At the end of calendar year 2018, women represented 36.3 percent of this component of the judiciary, compared to 26.8 percent at the end of 2006, continuing an upward trend across this time period.

The data also show an increase in the total number of judges since 2006 in the following race/ethnicity categories: American Indian or Alaska Native, Asian, Black or African American, Hispanic or Latino, Pacific Islander, and Some Other Race. In addition to increases in the foregoing categories, a slight decrease in the number of judicial officers was observed since 2006 in the response category of More Than One Race, and a more pronounced decline was noted in the response category of Information Not Provided.

Of the 582 trial court judges responding to the question about their veteran status, 44 respondents (8 percent) indicated that they served in the military. Further, of the 587 judges responding to the question concerning their disability status, 12 judges (2 percent) indicated that they have a disability.

Finally, the additional data in this year's report regarding sexual orientation and gender identity show the following breakdown in six categories in the trial courts (please note that the total can exceed 100 percent because respondents may select more than one category):

- Heterosexual, 70.1 percent
- Lesbian, 1.5 percent
- Gay, 1.9 percent
- Bisexual, 0.1 percent
- Transgender, 0.1 percent
- Information Not Provided, 26.3 percent

The Judicial Council has released this data by posting the information on the California Courts website at [www.courts.ca.gov/13418.htm](http://www.courts.ca.gov/13418.htm).

### **Fiscal Impact and Policy Implications**

There are no costs to the courts for the annual collection and release of demographic data on justices and judges. The release of this descriptive information is in response to a legislative mandate for descriptive demographic data and on that basis is not intended to directly impact state or council policy.

### **Attachments and Links**

1. Attachment A: Demographic data tables on gender, race/ethnicity, sexual orientation, gender identity, and veteran and disability status of California justices and judges

**Demographic Data Provided by Justices and Judges  
Relative to Gender, Race/Ethnicity, and Gender Identity/Sexual Orientation  
(Gov. Code, § 12011.5(n))  
As of December 31, 2018<sup>1</sup>**

**Gender**

Court	Female		Male		Total Respondents	
	N	%	N	%	N	%
Supreme Court	3	50.0%	3	50.0%	6	100.0%
Court of Appeal	41	39.4%	63	60.6%	104	100.0%
Trial Court	589	36.1%	1,044	63.9%	1,633	100.0%
<b>Total</b>	<b>633</b>	<b>36.3%</b>	<b>1,110</b>	<b>63.7%</b>	<b>1,743</b>	<b>100.0%</b>

**Race/Ethnicity<sup>2</sup>**

Court	American Indian or Alaska Native Only		Asian Only		Black or African American Only		Hispanic or Latino Only		Pacific Islander Only		White Only		Some Other Race Only <sup>3</sup>		More Than One Race		Information Not Provided <sup>4</sup>		Total Respondents	
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
Supreme Court	0	0.0%	2	33.3%	1	16.7%	1	16.7%	0	0.0%	1	16.7%	0	0.0%	1	16.7%	0	0.0%	6	100.0%
Court of Appeal	0	0.0%	5	4.8%	10	9.6%	7	6.7%	0	0.0%	75	72.1%	2	1.9%	5	4.8%	0	0.0%	104	100.0%
Trial Court	8	0.5%	127	7.8%	123	7.5%	177	10.8%	4	0.2%	1,079	66.1%	16	1.0%	62	3.8%	37	2.3%	1,633	100.0%
<b>Total</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>0.5%</b>	<b>134</b>	<b>7.7%</b>	<b>134</b>	<b>7.7%</b>	<b>185</b>	<b>10.6%</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>0.2%</b>	<b>1,155</b>	<b>66.3%</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>1.0%</b>	<b>68</b>	<b>3.9%</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>2.1%</b>	<b>1,743</b>	<b>100.0%</b>

1. The tabled data reflect responses from justices and judges that were active and serving on the bench as of December 31, 2018. The tables do not include demographic information for justices that were appointed but not yet confirmed, nor for judges that were appointed but had not yet taken their oaths of office as of December 31, 2018.

2. The race and ethnicity category descriptions were adapted from definitions used by the U.S. Census Bureau in Census 2000. The same category descriptions were used by the U.S. Census Bureau in Census 2010. See page 21 for descriptions of race and ethnicity categories.

3. "Some other race only" includes respondents who indicated they do not consider themselves to be any of the six identified race and ethnicity categories. To provide the most accurate data, the "some other race only" category includes only those respondents who identified some other race or ethnicity that did not clearly fall within one or more of the six identified categories.

4. "Information not provided" includes non-responses by active justices and judges participating in the survey that did not respond to a given survey question, as well as a smaller group of active justices and judges that have not responded to the survey more generally.

**Demographic Data Provided by Justices and Judges  
Relative to Gender, Race/Ethnicity, and Gender Identity/Sexual Orientation  
(Gov. Code, § 12011.5(n))  
As of December 31, 2018<sup>1</sup>**

**Gender Identity/Sexual Orientation**

Court	Heterosexual		Lesbian		Gay		Bisexual		Transgender		Information Not Provided <sup>2</sup>		Total Respondents <sup>3</sup>	
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
Supreme Court	6	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	6	100.0%
Court of Appeal	81	77.9%	2	1.9%	1	1.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	20	19.2%	104	100.0%
Trial Court	1,145	70.1%	25	1.5%	31	1.9%	2	0.1%	1	0.1%	429	26.3%	1,633	100.0%
<b>Total</b>	<b>1,232</b>	<b>70.7%</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>1.5%</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>1.8%</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0.1%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0.1%</b>	<b>449</b>	<b>25.8%</b>	<b>1,743</b>	<b>100.0%</b>

1. The tabled data reflect responses from justices and judges that were active and serving on the bench as of December 31, 2018. The tables do not include demographic information for justices that were appointed but not yet confirmed, nor for judges that were appointed but had not yet taken their oaths of office as of December 31, 2018.

2. "Information not provided" includes non-responses by active justices and judges participating in the survey that did not respond to a given survey question, as well as a smaller group of active justices and judges that have not responded to the survey more generally.

3. Since the selection of more than one response alternative is possible for the question pertaining to gender identity/sexual orientation, total responses to this question may be greater than the sum of justices and judges responding to the survey in a given year.

**Demographic Data Provided by Justices and Judges  
Relative to Gender  
(Gov. Code, § 12011.5(n))  
As of December 31, 2018<sup>1</sup>**

Court	Female		Male		Total Respondents	
	N	%	N	%	N	%
<b>Supreme Court</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>50.0%</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>50.0%</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>100.0%</b>
<b>Court of Appeal</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>39.4%</b>	<b>63</b>	<b>60.6%</b>	<b>104</b>	<b>100.0%</b>
First District	9	42.9%	12	57.1%	21	100.0%
Second District	14	46.7%	16	53.3%	30	100.0%
Third District	3	27.3%	8	72.7%	11	100.0%
Fourth District	10	38.5%	16	61.5%	26	100.0%
Fifth District	1	11.1%	8	88.9%	9	100.0%
Sixth District	4	57.1%	3	42.9%	7	100.0%
<b>Trial Court</b>	<b>589</b>	<b>36.1%</b>	<b>1,044</b>	<b>63.9%</b>	<b>1,633</b>	<b>100.0%</b>
Alameda	27	37.5%	45	62.5%	72	100.0%
Alpine	0	0.0%	2	100.0%	2	100.0%
Amador	1	50.0%	1	50.0%	2	100.0%
Butte	6	54.5%	5	45.5%	11	100.0%
Calaveras	0	0.0%	2	100.0%	2	100.0%
Colusa	1	50.0%	1	50.0%	2	100.0%
Contra Costa	22	57.9%	16	42.1%	38	100.0%
Del Norte	0	0.0%	2	100.0%	2	100.0%
El Dorado	4	50.0%	4	50.0%	8	100.0%
Fresno	16	37.2%	27	62.8%	43	100.0%

**Demographic Data Provided by Justices and Judges  
Relative to Gender  
(Gov. Code, § 12011.5(n))  
As of December 31, 2018<sup>1</sup>**

Court	Female		Male		Total Respondents	
	N	%	N	%	N	%
<b>Trial Court</b>						
Glen	0	0.0%	1	100.0%	1	100.0%
Humboldt	2	33.3%	4	66.7%	6	100.0%
Imperial	2	20.0%	8	80.0%	10	100.0%
Inyo	0	0.0%	2	100.0%	2	100.0%
Kern	7	23.3%	23	76.7%	30	100.0%
Kings	3	42.9%	4	57.1%	7	100.0%
Lake	0	0.0%	4	100.0%	4	100.0%
Lassen	0	0.0%	2	100.0%	2	100.0%
Los Angeles	177	37.1%	300	62.9%	477	100.0%
Madera	1	14.3%	6	85.7%	7	100.0%
Marin	6	46.2%	7	53.8%	13	100.0%
Mariposa	0	0.0%	2	100.0%	2	100.0%
Mendocino	4	50.0%	4	50.0%	8	100.0%
Merced	3	30.0%	7	70.0%	10	100.0%
Modoc	0	0.0%	2	100.0%	2	100.0%
Mono	0	0.0%	2	100.0%	2	100.0%
Monterey	9	50.0%	9	50.0%	18	100.0%
Napa	4	80.0%	1	20.0%	5	100.0%
Nevada	2	33.3%	4	66.7%	6	100.0%
Orange	38	30.9%	85	69.1%	123	100.0%
Placer	2	20.0%	8	80.0%	10	100.0%
Plumas	1	50.0%	1	50.0%	2	100.0%
Riverside	17	28.3%	43	71.7%	60	100.0%
Sacramento	19	31.1%	42	68.9%	61	100.0%
San Benito	0	0.0%	2	100.0%	2	100.0%

**Demographic Data Provided by Justices and Judges  
Relative to Gender  
(Gov. Code, § 12011.5(n))  
As of December 31, 2018<sup>1</sup>**

Court	Female		Male		Total Respondents	
	N	%	N	%	N	%
<b>Trial Court</b>						
San Bernardino	19	27.1%	51	72.9%	70	100.0%
San Diego	51	38.1%	83	61.9%	134	100.0%
San Francisco	20	40.8%	29	59.2%	49	100.0%
San Joaquin	8	27.6%	21	72.4%	29	100.0%
San Luis Obispo	7	58.3%	5	41.7%	12	100.0%
San Mateo	11	42.3%	15	57.7%	26	100.0%
Santa Barbara	7	31.8%	15	68.2%	22	100.0%
Santa Clara	35	46.7%	40	53.3%	75	100.0%
Santa Cruz	5	41.7%	7	58.3%	12	100.0%
Shasta	4	40.0%	6	60.0%	10	100.0%
Sierra	1	50.0%	1	50.0%	2	100.0%
Siskiyou	3	75.0%	1	25.0%	4	100.0%
Solano	7	38.9%	11	61.1%	18	100.0%
Sonoma	7	35.0%	13	65.0%	20	100.0%
Stanislaus	8	38.1%	13	61.9%	21	100.0%
Sutter	1	25.0%	3	75.0%	4	100.0%
Tehama	1	25.0%	3	75.0%	4	100.0%
Trinity	0	0.0%	1	100.0%	1	100.0%
Tulare	7	35.0%	13	65.0%	20	100.0%
Tuolumne	1	25.0%	3	75.0%	4	100.0%
Ventura	6	20.7%	23	79.3%	29	100.0%
Yolo	3	30.0%	7	70.0%	10	100.0%
Yuba	3	60.0%	2	40.0%	5	100.0%

1. The tabled data reflect responses from justices and judges that were active and serving on the bench as of December 31, 2018. The tables do not include demographic information for justices that were appointed but not yet confirmed, nor for judges that were appointed but had not yet taken their oaths of office as of December 31, 2018.



**Demographic Data Provided by Justices and Judges  
Relative to Race/Ethnicity  
(Gov. Code, § 12011.5(n))  
As of December 31, 2018<sup>1</sup>**

Race/Ethnicity <sup>2</sup> Court	American Indian or Alaska Native Only		Asian Only		Black or African American Only		Hispanic or Latino Only		Pacific Islander Only		White Only		Some Other Race Only <sup>3</sup>		More Than One Race		Information Not Provided <sup>4</sup>		Total Respondents	
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
<b>Supreme Court</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>33.3%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>16.7%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>16.7%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>16.7%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>16.7%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>100.0%</b>
<b>Court of Appeal</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>4.8%</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>9.6%</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>6.7%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>75</b>	<b>72.1%</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1.9%</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>4.8%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>104</b>	<b>100.0%</b>
First District	0	0.0%	1	4.8%	3	14.3%	1	4.8%	0	0.0%	14	66.7%	1	4.8%	1	4.8%	0	0.0%	21	100.0%
Second District	0	0.0%	3	10.0%	3	10.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	23	76.7%	0	0.0%	1	3.3%	0	0.0%	30	100.0%
Third District	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	18.2%	1	9.1%	0	0.0%	8	72.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	11	100.0%
Fourth District	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	3.8%	3	11.5%	0	0.0%	20	76.9%	0	0.0%	2	7.7%	0	0.0%	26	100.0%
Fifth District	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	11.1%	2	22.2%	0	0.0%	5	55.6%	1	11.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	9	100.0%
Sixth District	0	0.0%	1	14.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	5	71.4%	0	0.0%	1	14.3%	0	0.0%	7	100.0%
<b>Trial Court</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>0.5%</b>	<b>127</b>	<b>7.8%</b>	<b>123</b>	<b>7.5%</b>	<b>177</b>	<b>10.8%</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>0.2%</b>	<b>1,079</b>	<b>66.1%</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>1.0%</b>	<b>62</b>	<b>3.8%</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>2.3%</b>	<b>1,633</b>	<b>100.0%</b>
Alameda	0	0.0%	8	11.1%	13	18.1%	6	8.3%	0	0.0%	40	55.6%	0	0.0%	5	6.9%	0	0.0%	72	100.0%
Alpine	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	100.0%
Amador	1	50.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	50.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	100.0%
Butte	1	9.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	9.1%	0	0.0%	9	81.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	11	100.0%
Calaveras	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	100.0%
Colusa	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	100.0%
Contra Costa	0	0.0%	4	10.5%	3	7.9%	1	2.6%	0	0.0%	28	73.7%	0	0.0%	2	5.3%	0	0.0%	38	100.0%
Del Norte	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	100.0%
El Dorado	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	8	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	8	100.0%
Fresno	1	2.3%	0	0.0%	5	11.6%	7	16.3%	0	0.0%	27	62.8%	1	2.3%	2	4.7%	0	0.0%	43	100.0%
Glenn	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	100.0%
Humboldt	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	6	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	6	100.0%
Imperial	0	0.0%	1	10.0%	0	0.0%	4	40.0%	0	0.0%	3	30.0%	0	0.0%	1	10.0%	1	10.0%	10	100.0%
Inyo	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	100.0%
Kern	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	3.3%	3	10.0%	1	3.3%	24	80.0%	0	0.0%	1	3.3%	0	0.0%	30	100.0%

**Demographic Data Provided by Justices and Judges  
Relative to Race/Ethnicity  
(Gov. Code, § 12011.5(n))  
As of December 31, 2018<sup>1</sup>**

Race/Ethnicity <sup>2</sup> Court	American Indian or Alaska Native Only		Asian Only		Black or African American Only		Hispanic or Latino Only		Pacific Islander Only		White Only		Some Other Race Only <sup>3</sup>		More Than One Race		Information Not Provided <sup>4</sup>		Total Respondents			
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%		
<b>Trial Court</b>																						
Kings	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	7	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	7	100.0%
Lake	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	4	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	4	100.0%
Lassen	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	100.0%
Los Angeles	3	0.6%	51	10.7%	55	11.5%	72	15.1%	2	0.4%	251	52.6%	7	1.5%	21	4.4%	15	3.1%	477	100.0%		
Madera	1	14.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	6	85.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	7	100.0%		
Marin	0	0.0%	1	7.7%	0	0.0%	1	7.7%	0	0.0%	10	76.9%	0	0.0%	1	7.7%	0	0.0%	13	100.0%		
Mariposa	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	50.0%	0	0.0%	1	50.0%	0	0.0%	2	100.0%		
Mendocino	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	12.5%	0	0.0%	6	75.0%	0	0.0%	1	12.5%	0	0.0%	8	100.0%		
Merced	0	0.0%	2	20.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	8	80.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	10	100.0%		
Modoc	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	100.0%		
Mono	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	100.0%		
Monterey	0	0.0%	3	16.7%	0	0.0%	2	11.1%	0	0.0%	11	61.1%	0	0.0%	2	11.1%	0	0.0%	18	100.0%		
Napa	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	20.0%	0	0.0%	4	80.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	5	100.0%		
Nevada	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	6	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	6	100.0%		
Orange	0	0.0%	7	5.7%	6	4.9%	13	10.6%	0	0.0%	87	70.7%	2	1.6%	4	3.3%	4	3.3%	123	100.0%		
Placer	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	10.0%	1	10.0%	0	0.0%	8	80.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	10	100.0%		
Plumas	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	100.0%		
Riverside	1	1.7%	2	3.3%	4	6.7%	6	10.0%	0	0.0%	44	73.3%	0	0.0%	3	5.0%	0	0.0%	60	100.0%		
Sacramento	0	0.0%	7	11.5%	2	3.3%	5	8.2%	0	0.0%	41	67.2%	2	3.3%	1	1.6%	3	4.9%	61	100.0%		
San Benito	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	50.0%	0	0.0%	1	50.0%	0	0.0%	2	100.0%		
San Bernardino	0	0.0%	6	8.6%	6	8.6%	7	10.0%	0	0.0%	48	68.6%	0	0.0%	3	4.3%	0	0.0%	70	100.0%		
San Diego	0	0.0%	6	4.5%	9	6.7%	13	9.7%	0	0.0%	94	70.1%	2	1.5%	1	0.7%	9	6.7%	134	100.0%		
San Francisco	0	0.0%	10	20.4%	5	10.2%	6	12.2%	0	0.0%	28	57.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	49	100.0%		
San Joaquin	0	0.0%	2	6.9%	1	3.4%	3	10.3%	0	0.0%	23	79.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	29	100.0%		
San Luis Obispo	0	0.0%	1	8.3%	0	0.0%	2	16.7%	0	0.0%	9	75.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	12	100.0%		

**Demographic Data Provided by Justices and Judges  
Relative to Race/Ethnicity  
(Gov. Code, § 12011.5(n))  
As of December 31, 2018<sup>1</sup>**

Race/Ethnicity <sup>2</sup> Court	American Indian or Alaska Native Only		Asian Only		Black or African American Only		Hispanic or Latino Only		Pacific Islander Only		White Only		Some Other Race Only <sup>3</sup>		More Than One Race		Information Not Provided <sup>4</sup>		Total Respondents	
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
San Mateo	0	0.0%	2	7.7%	3	11.5%	1	3.8%	0	0.0%	17	65.4%	1	3.8%	2	7.7%	0	0.0%	26	100.0%
Santa Barbara	0	0.0%	1	4.5%	0	0.0%	4	18.2%	0	0.0%	17	77.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	22	100.0%
Santa Clara	0	0.0%	10	13.3%	5	6.7%	6	8.0%	1	1.3%	43	57.3%	1	1.3%	5	6.7%	4	5.3%	75	100.0%
Santa Cruz	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	8.3%	0	0.0%	10	83.3%	0	0.0%	1	8.3%	0	0.0%	12	100.0%
Shasta	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	10	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	10	100.0%
Sierra	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	100.0%
Siskiyou	0	0.0%	1	25.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3	75.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	4	100.0%
Solano	0	0.0%	1	5.6%	3	16.7%	2	11.1%	0	0.0%	12	66.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	18	100.0%
Sonoma	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	5.0%	0	0.0%	18	90.0%	0	0.0%	1	5.0%	0	0.0%	20	100.0%
Stanislaus	0	0.0%	1	4.8%	1	4.8%	2	9.5%	0	0.0%	17	81.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	21	100.0%
Sutter	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	4	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	4	100.0%
Tehama	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	4	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	4	100.0%
Trinity	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	100.0%
Tulare	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	5.0%	0	0.0%	17	85.0%	0	0.0%	2	10.0%	0	0.0%	20	100.0%
Tuolumne	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3	75.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	25.0%	4	100.0%
Ventura	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3	10.3%	0	0.0%	25	86.2%	0	0.0%	1	3.4%	0	0.0%	29	100.0%
Yolo	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	10.0%	0	0.0%	9	90.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	10	100.0%
Yuba	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	5	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	5	100.0%

1. The tabulated data reflect responses from justices and judges that were active and serving on the bench as of December 31, 2018. The tables do not include demographic information for justices that were appointed but not yet confirmed, nor for judges that were appointed but had not yet taken their oaths of office as of December 31, 2018.
2. The race and ethnicity category descriptions were adapted from definitions used by the U.S. Census Bureau in Census 2000. The same category descriptions were used by the U.S. Census Bureau in Census 2010. See page 21 for descriptions of race and ethnicity categories.
3. "Some other race only" includes respondents who indicated they do not consider themselves to be any of the six identified race and ethnicity categories. To provide the most accurate data, the "some other race only" category includes only those respondents who identified some other race or ethnicity that did not clearly fall within one or more of the six identified categories.
4. "Information not provided" includes non-responses by active justices and judges participating in the survey that did not respond to a given survey question, as well as a smaller group of active justices and judges that have not responded to the survey more generally.

**Demographic Data Provided by Justices and Judges  
Responses with Two or More Races  
(Gov. Code; § 12011.5(n))  
As of December 31, 2018<sup>1</sup>**

	Total Number of Responding Justices/Judges	Responses with Two or More Races	
		Number	Percent
<b>Supreme Court</b>	6	1	<i>16.7%</i>
Asian; Pacific Islander		1	
<b>Court of Appeal</b>			
<b>First District</b>	21	1	<i>4.8%</i>
Asian; White		1	
<b>Second District</b>	30	1	<i>3.3%</i>
Hispanic or Latino; White		1	
<b>Fourth District</b>	26	2	<i>7.7%</i>
Black; Hispanic		1	
Hispanic or Latino; White		1	
<b>Sixth District</b>	7	1	<i>14.3%</i>
Hispanic or Latino; White		1	
<b>Trial Court</b>			
<b>Alameda</b>	72	5	<i>6.9%</i>
Black or African American; Hispanic or Latino		1	
Black or African American; White		2	
Hispanic or Latino; White		2	
<b>Contra Costa</b>	38	2	<i>5.3%</i>
Hispanic or Latino; White		2	
<b>Fresno</b>	43	2	<i>4.7%</i>
Hispanic or Latino; White		2	
<b>Imperial</b>	10	1	<i>10.0%</i>
American Indian or Alaska Native; White		1	
<b>Kern</b>	30	1	<i>3.3%</i>
American Indian or Alaska Native; White		1	

**Demographic Data Provided by Justices and Judges  
Responses with Two or More Races  
(Gov. Code; § 12011.5(n))  
As of December 31, 2018<sup>1</sup>**

	Total Number of Responding Justices/Judges	Responses with Two or More Races	
		Number	Percent
<b>Trial Court</b>			
<b>Los Angeles</b>	477	21	4.4%
American Indian or Alaska Native; Black or African American		1	
American Indian or Alaska Native; Hispanic or Latino		1	
Asian; Hispanic or Latino		1	
Asian; Hispanic or Latino; White		1	
Asian; Pacific Islander		2	
Asian; White		3	
Black or African American, Hispanic or Latino		1	
Black or African American, White		1	
Hispanic or Latino; White		6	
Hispanic or Latino; White; Some Other Race		1	
White; Some Other Race		3	
<b>Marin</b>	13	1	7.7%
Asian; Hispanic or Latino		1	
<b>Mariposa</b>	2	1	50.0%
Hispanic or Latino; White		1	
<b>Mendocino</b>	8	1	12.5%
American Indian or Alaska Native; White		1	
<b>Monterey</b>	18	2	11.1%
Black or African American; Hispanic or Latino		1	
Hispanic or Latino; White		1	
<b>Orange</b>	123	4	3.3%
American Indian or Alaska Native; White		1	
Hispanic or Latino; White		2	
Asian; Hispanic or Some Other Race		1	
<b>Riverside</b>	60	3	5.0%
American Indian or Alaska Native; White		1	
Asian; White		1	
Black or African American; White		1	
<b>Sacramento</b>	61	1	1.6%
Black or African American; Hispanic or Latino		1	
<b>San Benito</b>	2	1	50.0%
American Indian or Alaska Native; White		1	

**Demographic Data Provided by Justices and Judges  
Responses with Two or More Races  
(Gov. Code; § 12011.5(n))  
As of December 31, 2018<sup>1</sup>**

	Total Number of Responding Justices/Judges	Responses with Two or More Races	
		Number	Percent
<b>Trial Court</b>			
<b>San Bernardino</b>	<b>70</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>4.3%</b>
African American; White		1	
American Indian or Alaska Native; White		1	
American Indian or Alaska Native; Hispanic or Latino		1	
<b>San Diego</b>	<b>134</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0.7%</b>
White; Some Other Race		1	
<b>San Mateo</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>7.7%</b>
Asian; White		1	
Hispanic or Latino; White		1	
<b>Santa Clara</b>	<b>75</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>6.7%</b>
Asian; Hispanic or Latino		1	
American Indian or Alaska Native; White		1	
American Indian or Alaska Native; Some Other Race		1	
White; Some Other Race		2	
<b>Santa Cruz</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>8.3%</b>
Hispanic or Latino; White		1	
<b>Sonoma</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>5.0%</b>
Hispanic or Latino; White			
<b>Tulare</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>10.0%</b>
Hispanic or Latino; White		1	
American Indian or Alaskan Native ; Hispanic or Latino; White		1	
<b>Ventura</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>3.4%</b>
Hispanic or Latino; White		1	

1. The data reflect responses from courts where one or more judicial officers have indicated that their race/ethnic backgrounds include multiple groups. Only those justices and judges that are active as of December 31, 2018 are included. The tables do not include justices that were appointed but not yet confirmed, nor judges that were appointed but had not yet taken their oaths of office as of December 31, 2018.

**Demographic Data Provided by Justices and Judges  
Relative to Gender Identity/Sexual Orientation  
(Gov. Code, § 12011.5(n))  
As of December 31, 2018<sup>1</sup>**

**Gender Identity / Sexual Orientation**

Courts	Heterosexual		Lesbian		Gay		Bisexual		Transgender		Information Not Provided <sup>2</sup>		Total Respondents <sup>3</sup>	
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
<b>Supreme Court</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>100.0%</b>
<b>Court of Appeal</b>	<b>81</b>	<b>77.9%</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1.9%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>19.2%</b>	<b>104</b>	<b>100.0%</b>
First District	17	81.0%	1	4.8%	1	4.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	9.5%	21	100.0%
Second District	28	93.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	6.7%	30	100.0%
Third District	10	90.9%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	9.1%	11	100.0%
Fourth District	15	57.7%	1	3.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	10	38.5%	26	100.0%
Fifth District	7	77.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	22.2%	9	100.0%
Sixth District	4	57.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3	42.9%	7	100.0%
<b>Trial Court</b>	<b>1,145</b>	<b>70.1%</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>1.5%</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>1.9%</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0.1%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0.1%</b>	<b>429</b>	<b>26.3%</b>	<b>1,633</b>	<b>100.0%</b>
Alameda	57	79.2%	3	4.2%	1	1.4%	0	0.0%	1	1.4%	10	13.9%	72	100.0%
Alpine	2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	100.0%
Amador	2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	100.0%
Butte	8	72.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3	27.3%	11	100.0%
Calaveras	2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	100.0%
Colusa	1	50.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	50.0%	2	100.0%
Contra Costa	31	81.6%	1	2.6%	1	2.6%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	5	13.2%	38	100.0%
Del Norte	2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	100.0%
El Dorado	7	87.5%	1	12.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	8	100.0%
Fresno	30	69.8%	1	2.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	12	27.9%	43	100.0%
Glenn	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	100.0%
Humboldt	6	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	6	100.0%
Imperial	6	60.0%	0	0.0%	1	10.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3	30.0%	10	100.0%
Inyo	2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	100.0%
Kern	21	70.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	9	30.0%	30	100.0%

**Demographic Data Provided by Justices and Judges  
Relative to Gender Identity/Sexual Orientation  
(Gov. Code, § 12011.5(n))  
As of December 31, 2018<sup>1</sup>**

**Gender Identity / Sexual Orientation**

Courts	Heterosexual		Lesbian		Gay		Bisexual		Transgender		Information Not Provided <sup>2</sup>		Total Respondents <sup>3</sup>	
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
<b>Trial Court</b>														
Kings	4	57.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3	42.9%	7	100.0%
Lake	3	75.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	25.0%	4	100.0%
Lassen	1	50.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	50.0%	2	100.0%
Los Angeles	315	66.0%	5	1.0%	14	2.9%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	143	30.0%	477	100.0%
Madera	5	71.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	28.6%	7	100.0%
Marin	12	92.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	7.7%	13	100.0%
Mariposa	1	50.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	50.0%	2	100.0%
Mendocino	6	75.0%	0	0.0%	1	12.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	12.5%	8	100.0%
Merced	10	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	10	100.0%
Modoc	2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	100.0%
Mono	2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	100.0%
Monterey	16	88.9%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	11.1%	18	100.0%
Napa	4	80.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	20.0%	5	100.0%
Nevada	3	50.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3	50.0%	6	100.0%
Orange	82	66.7%	1	0.8%	1	0.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	39	31.7%	123	100.0%
Placer	7	70.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3	30.0%	10	100.0%
Plumas	2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	100.0%
Riverside	43	71.7%	2	3.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	15	25.0%	60	100.0%
Sacramento	38	62.3%	0	0.0%	1	1.6%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	22	36.1%	61	100.0%
San Benito	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	100.0%	2	100.0%
San Bernardino	55	78.6%	1	1.4%	2	2.9%	1	1.4%	0	0.0%	11	15.7%	70	100.0%
San Diego	88	65.7%	2	1.5%	4	3.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	40	29.9%	134	100.0%
San Francisco	32	65.3%	4	8.2%	3	6.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	10	20.4%	49	100.0%
San Joaquin	18	62.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	3.4%	0	0.0%	10	34.5%	29	100.0%
San Luis Obispo	10	83.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	16.7%	12	100.0%
San Mateo	17	65.4%	1	3.8%	1	3.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	7	26.9%	26	100.0%
Santa Barbara	20	90.9%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	9.1%	22	100.0%
Santa Clara	50	66.7%	2	2.7%	1	1.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	22	29.3%	75	100.0%
Santa Cruz	10	83.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	16.7%	12	100.0%
Shasta	6	60.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	4	40.0%	10	100.0%



**Demographic Data Provided by Justices and Judges  
Relative to Gender Identity/Sexual Orientation  
(Gov. Code, § 12011.5(n))  
As of December 31, 2018<sup>1</sup>**

**Gender Identity / Sexual Orientation**

Courts	Heterosexual		Lesbian		Gay		Bisexual		Transgender		Information Not Provided <sup>2</sup>		Total Respondents <sup>3</sup>	
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
<b>Trial Court</b>														
Sierra	2	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	100.0%
Siskiyou	4	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	4	100.0%
Solano	11	61.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	7	38.9%	18	100.0%
Sonoma	15	75.0%	1	5.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	4	20.0%	20	100.0%
Stanislaus	19	90.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	9.5%	21	100.0%
Sutter	1	25.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3	75.0%	4	100.0%
Tehama	3	75.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	25.0%	4	100.0%
Trinity	1	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	100.0%
Tulare	16	80.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	4	20.0%	20	100.0%
Tuolumne	3	75.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	25.0%	4	100.0%
Ventura	18	62.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	11	37.9%	29	100.0%
Yolo	9	90.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	10.0%	10	100.0%
Yuba	3	60.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	40.0%	5	100.0%

1. The tabled data reflect responses from justices and judges that were active and serving on the bench as of December 31, 2018. The tables do not include demographic information for justices that were appointed but not yet confirmed, nor for judges that were appointed but had not yet taken their oaths of office as of December 31, 2018.

2. "Information not provided" includes non-responses by active justices and judges participating in the survey that did not respond to a given survey question, as well as a smaller group of active justices and judges that have not responded to the survey more generally.

3. Since the selection of more than one response alternative is possible for the question pertaining to gender identity/sexual orientation, total responses to this question may be greater than the sum of judicial officers responding to the survey in a given year.

**Demographic Data Provided by Responding Justices and Judges  
Relative to Veteran and Disability Status  
(Gov. Code, § 12011.5(n))  
As of December 31, 2018**

Court	Veteran <sup>1</sup>					Disabled <sup>1</sup>						
	No		Yes		Total Respondents	No		Yes		Total Respondents		
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%		
<b>Supreme Court</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>100%</b>
<b>Court of Appeal</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>95%</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>5%</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>98%</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2%</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>100%</b>
First District	12	100%	0	0%	12	100%	12	100%	0	0%	12	100%
Second District	13	93%	1	7%	14	100%	13	93%	1	7%	14	100%
Third District	2	100%	0	0%	2	100%	2	100%	0	0%	2	100%
Fourth District	6	86%	1	14%	7	100%	7	100%	0	0%	7	100%
Fifth District	4	100%	0	0%	4	100%	4	100%	0	0%	4	100%
Sixth District	2	100%	0	0%	2	100%	2	100%	0	0%	2	100%
<b>Trial Court</b>	<b>538</b>	<b>92%</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>8%</b>	<b>582</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>575</b>	<b>98%</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>2%</b>	<b>587</b>	<b>100%</b>
Alameda	23	96%	1	4%	24	100%	24	100%	0	0%	24	100%
Alpine	1	100%	0	0%	1	100%	1	100%	0	0%	1	100%
Amador	1	100%	0	0%	1	100%	1	100%	0	0%	1	100%
Butte	3	100%	0	0%	3	100%	3	100%	0	0%	3	100%
Calaveras	1	100%	0	0%	1	100%	1	100%	0	0%	1	100%
Contra Costa	13	81%	3	19%	16	100%	15	94%	1	6%	16	100%
Del Norte	1	100%	0	0%	1	100%	1	100%	0	0%	1	100%
El Dorado	4	80%	1	20%	5	100%	5	100%	0	0%	5	100%
Fresno	9	100%	0	0%	9	100%	9	100%	0	0%	9	100%
Humboldt	5	100%	0	0%	5	100%	5	100%	0	0%	5	100%
Imperial	3	100%	0	0%	3	100%	3	100%	0	0%	3	100%
Inyo	2	100%	0	0%	2	100%	2	100%	0	0%	2	100%

**Demographic Data Provided by Responding Justices and Judges  
Relative to Veteran and Disability Status  
(Gov. Code, § 12011.5(n))  
As of December 31, 2018**

Court	Veteran <sup>1</sup>					Disabled <sup>1</sup>						
	No		Yes		Total Respondents	No		Yes		Total Respondents		
Trial Court	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
Kern	5	83%	1	17%	6	100%	6	100%	0	0%	6	100%
Kings	1	50%	1	50%	2	100%	2	100%	0	0%	2	100%
Lake	1	100%	0	0%	1	100%	1	100%	0	0%	1	100%
Lassen	1	100%	0	0%	1	100%	1	100%	0	0%	1	100%
Los Angeles	161	94%	11	6%	172	100%	170	98%	3	2%	173	100%
Marin	5	100%	0	0%	5	100%	5	100%	0	0%	5	100%
Mariposa	1	100%	0	0%	1	100%	1	100%	0	0%	1	100%
Mendocino	2	100%	0	0%	2	100%	2	100%	0	0%	2	100%
Merced	4	100%	0	0%	4	100%	3	75%	1	25%	4	100%
Monterey	6	100%	0	0%	6	100%	6	100%	0	0%	6	100%
Napa	2	100%	0	0%	2	100%	2	100%	0	0%	2	100%
Nevada	1	100%	0	0%	1	100%	1	100%	0	0%	1	100%
Orange	43	91%	4	9%	47	100%	44	94%	3	6%	47	100%
Placer	0	0%	1	100%	1	100%	1	100%	0	0%	1	100%
Plumas	0	0%	0	0%	0	100%	1	100%	0	0%	1	100%
Riverside	24	100%	0	0%	24	100%	24	100%	0	0%	24	100%
Sacramento	12	92%	1	8%	13	100%	13	100%	0	0%	13	100%
San Bernardino	22	88%	3	12%	25	100%	24	96%	1	4%	25	100%
San Diego	46	90%	5	10%	51	100%	51	98%	1	2%	52	100%
San Francisco	16	94%	1	6%	17	100%	17	100%	0	0%	17	100%
San Joaquin	6	86%	1	14%	7	100%	7	100%	0	0%	7	100%
San Luis Obispo	6	100%	0	0%	6	100%	5	83%	1	17%	6	100%
San Mateo	10	100%	0	0%	10	100%	10	100%	0	0%	10	100%
Santa Barbara	6	86%	1	14%	7	100%	7	100%	0	0%	7	100%

**Demographic Data Provided by Responding Justices and Judges  
Relative to Veteran and Disability Status  
(Gov. Code, § 12011.5(n))  
As of December 31, 2018**

Court	Veteran <sup>1</sup>						Disabled <sup>1</sup>					
	No		Yes		Total Respondents		No		Yes		Total Respondents	
<i>Trial Court</i>	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
Santa Clara	30	97%	1	3%	31	100%	32	100%	0	0%	32	100%
Santa Cruz	5	100%	0	0%	5	100%	5	100%	0	0%	5	100%
Shasta	4	100%	0	0%	4	100%	4	100%	0	0%	4	100%
Sierra	1	100%	0	0%	1	100%	1	100%	0	0%	1	100%
Siskiyou	4	100%	0	0%	4	100%	4	100%	0	0%	4	100%
Solano	6	75%	2	25%	8	100%	8	100%	0	0%	8	100%
Sonoma	9	75%	3	25%	12	100%	11	100%	0	0%	11	100%
Stanislaus	8	89%	1	11%	9	100%	9	90%	1	10%	10	100%
Sutter	1	100%	0	0%	1	100%	1	100%	0	0%	1	100%
Tehama	1	100%	0	0%	1	100%	1	100%	0	0%	1	100%
Trinity	1	100%	0	0%	1	100%	1	100%	0	0%	1	100%
Tulare	7	100%	0	0%	7	100%	7	100%	0	0%	7	100%
Tuolumne	1	100%	0	0%	1	100%	1	100%	0	0%	1	100%
Ventura	7	88%	1	13%	8	100%	9	100%	0	0%	9	100%
Yolo	4	80%	1	20%	5	100%	5	100%	0	0%	5	100%
Yuba	2	100%	0	0%	2	100%	2	100%	0	0%	2	100%

1. Tabled values for veteran and disability status include responses from justices and judges new to the bench in calendar years 2014 through 2018, as well as experienced justices and judges who chose to update their demographic information during the same 4 year period. Demographic questions pertaining to veteran and disability status are new as of 2014 and reflect an expansion of the mandate for the collection of demographic information from new justices and judges.

**Year-to-Year Comparison of Demographic Data Provided by Justices and Judges  
Relative to Gender  
(Gov. Code, § 12011.5(n))  
As of December 31, 2018<sup>1</sup>**

Court / Year	Gender				Total	
	Female		Male		Respondents	
	N	%	N	%	N	%
<b>Supreme Court</b>						
2007	3	42.9%	4	57.1%	7	100.0%
2008	3	42.9%	4	57.1%	7	100.0%
2009	3	42.9%	4	57.1%	7	100.0%
2010	3	42.9%	4	57.1%	7	100.0%
2011	3	42.9%	4	57.1%	7	100.0%
2012	4	57.1%	3	42.9%	7	100.0%
2013	4	57.1%	3	42.9%	7	100.0%
2014	4	57.1%	3	42.9%	7	100.0%
2015	3	50.0%	3	50.0%	6	100.0%
2016	4	57.1%	3	42.9%	7	100.0%
2017	4	57.1%	3	42.9%	7	100.0%
2018	3	50.0%	3	50.0%	6	100.0%
2019	3	50.0%	3	50.0%	6	100.0%
<b>Court of Appeal</b>						
2007	32	30.5%	73	69.5%	105	100.0%
2008	29	29.0%	71	71.0%	100	100.0%
2009	29	29.0%	71	71.0%	100	100.0%
2010	30	29.4%	72	70.6%	102	100.0%
2011	33	32.7%	68	67.3%	101	100.0%
2012	33	32.7%	68	67.3%	101	100.0%
2013	31	31.0%	69	69.0%	100	100.0%
2014	29	30.5%	66	69.5%	95	100.0%
2015	31	31.6%	67	68.4%	98	100.0%
2016	30	30.9%	67	69.1%	97	100.0%
2017	31	33.3%	62	66.7%	93	100.0%
2018	33	36.7%	57	63.3%	90	100.0%
2019	41	39.4%	63	60.6%	104	100.0%

**Year-to-Year Comparison of Demographic Data Provided by Justices and Judges  
Relative to Gender  
(Gov. Code, § 12011.5(n))  
As of December 31, 2018<sup>1</sup>**

Court / Year	Gender				Total Respondents	
	Female		Male		N	%
<b>Trial Court</b>	N	%	N	%	N	%
2007	398	26.8%	1,088	73.2%	1,486	100.0%
2008	421	28.1%	1,075	71.9%	1,496	100.0%
2009	430	28.7%	1,068	71.3%	1,498	100.0%
2010	444	29.2%	1,078	70.8%	1,522	100.0%
2011	486	30.6%	1,102	69.4%	1,588	100.0%
2012	484	30.8%	1,085	69.2%	1,569	100.0%
2013	484	31.2%	1,065	68.8%	1,549	100.0%
2014	508	32.2%	1,071	67.8%	1,579	100.0%
2015	499	32.2%	1,052	67.8%	1,551	100.0%
2016	517	32.9%	1,053	67.1%	1,570	100.0%
2017	531	33.5%	1,053	66.5%	1,584	100.0%
2018	543	34.3%	1,039	65.7%	1,582	100.0%
2019	589	36.1%	1,044	63.9%	1,633	100.0%
<b>Total</b>	N	%	N	%	N	%
2007	433	27.1%	1,165	72.9%	1,598	100.0%
2008	453	28.3%	1,150	71.7%	1,603	100.0%
2009	462	28.8%	1,143	71.2%	1,605	100.0%
2010	477	29.2%	1,154	70.8%	1,631	100.0%
2011	522	30.8%	1,174	69.2%	1,696	100.0%
2012	521	31.1%	1,156	68.9%	1,677	100.0%
2013	519	31.3%	1,137	68.7%	1,656	100.0%
2014	541	32.2%	1,140	67.8%	1,681	100.0%
2015	533	32.2%	1,122	67.8%	1,655	100.0%
2016	551	32.9%	1,123	67.1%	1,674	100.0%
2017	566	33.6%	1,118	66.4%	1,684	100.0%
2018	579	34.5%	1,099	65.5%	1,678	100.0%
2019	633	36.3%	1,110	63.7%	1,743	100.0%

1. The calendar years in which the demographic data was released may be found in the first column of the table. The 2007 and 2008 data reflect the number of justices and judges on the bench as of February 1 of those years. The 2009 through 2018 data reflect the number of justices and judges on the bench as of December 31 of the previous year.

Note: The changes in percentages from year to year are the result of more than one factor, including: (1) new judicial appointments; (2) judicial retirements; and (3) newly-acquired data from judges on the bench who previously did not provide their demographic information.

**Year-to-Year Comparison of Demographic Data Provided by Justices and Judges Relative to  
Race/Ethnicity  
(Gov. Code, § 12011.5(n))  
As of December 31, 2018<sup>1</sup>**

Race/Ethnicity <sup>2</sup> Court / Year	American Indian or Alaska Native Only		Asian Only		Black or African American Only		Hispanic or Latino Only		Pacific Islander Only		White Only		Some Other Race Only <sup>3</sup>		More Than One Race		Information Not Provided <sup>4</sup>		Total Respondents		
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	
<b>Supreme Court</b>																					
2007	0	0.0%	1	14.3%	0	0.0%	1	14.3%	0	0.0%	4	57.1%	0	0.0%	1	14.3%	0	0.0%	7	100.0%	
2008	0	0.0%	1	14.3%	0	0.0%	1	14.3%	0	0.0%	4	57.1%	0	0.0%	1	14.3%	0	0.0%	7	100.0%	
2009	0	0.0%	1	14.3%	0	0.0%	1	14.3%	0	0.0%	4	57.1%	0	0.0%	1	14.3%	0	0.0%	7	100.0%	
2010	0	0.0%	1	14.3%	0	0.0%	1	14.3%	0	0.0%	4	57.1%	0	0.0%	1	14.3%	0	0.0%	7	100.0%	
2011	0	0.0%	1	14.3%	0	0.0%	1	14.3%	0	0.0%	4	57.1%	0	0.0%	1	14.3%	0	0.0%	7	100.0%	
2012	0	0.0%	2	28.6%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3	42.9%	0	0.0%	2	28.6%	0	0.0%	7	100.0%	
2013	0	0.0%	2	28.6%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3	42.9%	0	0.0%	2	28.6%	0	0.0%	7	100.0%	
2014	0	0.0%	2	28.6%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3	42.9%	0	0.0%	2	28.6%	0	0.0%	7	100.0%	
2015	0	0.0%	2	33.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3	50.0%	0	0.0%	1	16.7%	0	0.0%	6	100.0%	
2016	0	0.0%	2	28.6%	1	14.3%	1	14.3%	0	0.0%	2	28.6%	0	0.0%	1	14.3%	0	0.0%	7	100.0%	
2017	0	0.0%	2	28.6%	1	14.3%	1	14.3%	0	0.0%	2	28.6%	0	0.0%	1	14.3%	0	0.0%	7	100.0%	
2018	0	0.0%	2	33.3%	1	16.7%	1	16.7%	0	0.0%	1	16.7%	0	0.0%	1	16.7%	0	0.0%	6	100.0%	
2019	0	0.0%	2	33.3%	1	16.7%	1	16.7%	0	0.0%	1	16.7%	0	0.0%	1	16.7%	0	0.0%	6	100.0%	
<b>Court of Appeal</b>																					
2007	0	0.0%	3	2.9%	3	2.9%	3	2.9%	0	0.0%	86	81.9%	0	0.0%	9	8.6%	1	1.0%	105	100.0%	
2008	0	0.0%	3	3.0%	3	3.0%	3	3.0%	0	0.0%	82	82.0%	0	0.0%	8	8.0%	1	1.0%	100	100.0%	
2009	0	0.0%	3	3.0%	4	4.0%	3	3.0%	0	0.0%	81	81.0%	0	0.0%	8	8.0%	1	1.0%	100	100.0%	
2010	0	0.0%	3	2.9%	3	2.9%	3	2.9%	0	0.0%	83	81.4%	0	0.0%	7	6.9%	3	2.9%	102	100.0%	
2011	0	0.0%	3	2.9%	5	4.8%	4	3.8%	0	0.0%	83	79.8%	0	0.0%	7	6.7%	2	1.9%	104	100.0%	
2012	0	0.0%	3	3.0%	5	5.0%	4	4.0%	0	0.0%	81	80.2%	1	1.0%	6	5.9%	1	1.0%	101	100.0%	
2013	0	0.0%	3	3.0%	5	5.0%	5	5.0%	0	0.0%	79	79.0%	1	1.0%	6	6.0%	1	1.0%	100	100.0%	
2014	0	0.0%	2	2.1%	5	5.3%	5	5.3%	0	0.0%	75	78.9%	1	1.1%	6	6.3%	1	1.1%	95	100.0%	
2015	0	0.0%	1	1.0%	7	7.1%	6	6.1%	0	0.0%	75	76.5%	1	1.0%	7	7.1%	1	1.1%	98	100.0%	
2016	0	0.0%	2	2.1%	8	8.2%	6	6.2%	0	0.0%	73	75.3%	1	1.0%	6	6.2%	1	1.0%	97	100.0%	
2017	0	0.0%	2	2.2%	9	9.7%	5	5.4%	0	0.0%	71	76.3%	1	1.1%	5	5.4%	0	1.0%	93	100.0%	
2018	0	0.0%	2	2.2%	10	11.1%	6	6.7%	0	0.0%	65	72.2%	2	2.2%	5	5.6%	0	0.0%	90	100.0%	
2019	0	0.0%	5	4.8%	10	9.6%	7	6.7%	0	0.0%	75	72.1%	2	1.9%	5	4.8%	0	0.0%	104	100.0%	
<b>Trial Court</b>																					
2007	2	0.1%	66	4.4%	68	4.6%	96	6.5%	2	0.1%	1,030	69.3%	3	0.2%	61	4.1%	158	10.6%	1,486	100.0%	
2008	2	0.1%	67	4.5%	74	4.9%	103	6.9%	2	0.1%	1,076	71.9%	7	0.5%	63	4.2%	102	6.8%	1,496	100.0%	
2009	5	0.3%	71	4.7%	77	5.1%	110	7.3%	3	0.2%	1,080	72.1%	10	0.7%	58	3.9%	84	5.6%	1,498	100.0%	
2010	5	0.3%	78	5.1%	81	5.3%	118	7.8%	3	0.2%	1,113	73.1%	15	1.0%	52	3.4%	57	3.7%	1,522	100.0%	

**Year-to-Year Comparison of Demographic Data Provided by Justices and Judges Relative to Race/Ethnicity  
(Gov. Code, § 12011.5(n))  
As of December 31, 2018<sup>1</sup>**

Race/Ethnicity <sup>2</sup> Court / Year	American Indian or Alaska Native Only		Asian Only		Black or African American Only		Hispanic or Latino Only		Pacific Islander Only		White Only		Some Other Race Only <sup>3</sup>		More Than One Race		Information Not Provided <sup>4</sup>		Total Respondents	
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
<b>Trial Court</b>																				
2011	6	0.4%	88	5.5%	90	5.7%	134	8.4%	4	0.3%	1,142	71.9%	15	0.9%	52	3.3%	57	3.6%	1,588	100.0%
2012	7	0.4%	89	5.7%	91	5.8%	133	8.5%	4	0.3%	1,128	71.9%	18	1.1%	51	3.3%	48	3.1%	1,569	100.0%
2013	7	0.5%	91	5.9%	96	6.2%	133	8.6%	4	0.3%	1,101	71.1%	18	1.2%	51	3.3%	48	3.1%	1,549	100.0%
2014	8	0.5%	95	6.0%	99	6.3%	148	9.4%	4	0.3%	1,108	70.2%	18	1.1%	52	3.3%	47	3.0%	1,579	100.0%
2015	8	0.5%	97	6.3%	101	6.5%	149	9.6%	4	0.3%	1,079	69.6%	18	1.2%	50	3.2%	45	2.9%	1,551	100.0%
2016	9	0.6%	104	6.6%	101	6.4%	158	10.1%	4	0.3%	1,082	68.9%	19	1.2%	50	3.2%	43	2.7%	1,570	100.0%
2017	9	0.6%	106	6.7%	106	6.7%	163	10.3%	4	0.3%	1,086	68.6%	16	1.0%	52	3.3%	42	2.7%	1,584	100.0%
2018	9	0.6%	116	7.3%	111	7.0%	166	10.5%	4	0.3%	1,067	67.4%	17	1.1%	51	3.2%	41	2.6%	1,582	100.0%
2019	8	0.5%	127	7.8%	123	7.5%	177	10.8%	4	0.2%	1,079	66.1%	16	1.0%	62	3.8%	37	2.3%	1,633	100.0%
<b>Total</b>																				
2007	2	0.1%	70	4.4%	71	4.4%	100	6.3%	2	0.1%	1,120	70.1%	3	0.2%	71	4.4%	159	9.9%	1,598	100.0%
2008	2	0.1%	71	4.4%	77	4.8%	107	6.7%	2	0.1%	1,162	72.5%	7	0.4%	72	4.5%	103	6.4%	1,603	100.0%
2009	5	0.3%	75	4.7%	81	5.0%	114	7.1%	3	0.2%	1,165	72.6%	10	0.6%	67	4.2%	85	5.3%	1,605	100.0%
2010	5	0.3%	82	5.0%	84	5.2%	122	7.5%	3	0.2%	1,200	73.6%	15	0.9%	60	3.7%	60	3.7%	1,631	100.0%
2011	6	0.4%	92	5.4%	95	5.6%	139	8.2%	4	0.2%	1,229	72.3%	15	0.9%	60	3.5%	59	3.5%	1,699	100.0%
2012	7	0.4%	94	5.6%	96	5.7%	137	8.2%	4	0.2%	1,212	72.3%	19	1.1%	59	3.5%	49	2.9%	1,677	100.0%
2013	7	0.4%	96	5.8%	101	6.1%	138	8.3%	4	0.2%	1,183	71.4%	19	1.1%	59	3.6%	49	3.0%	1,656	100.0%
2014	8	0.5%	99	5.9%	104	6.2%	153	9.1%	4	0.2%	1,186	70.6%	19	1.1%	60	3.6%	48	2.9%	1,681	100.0%
2015	8	0.5%	100	6.0%	108	6.5%	155	9.4%	4	0.2%	1,157	69.9%	19	1.1%	58	3.5%	46	2.8%	1,655	100.0%
2016	9	0.5%	108	6.5%	110	6.6%	165	9.9%	4	0.2%	1,157	69.1%	20	1.2%	57	3.4%	44	2.6%	1,674	100.0%
2017	9	0.5%	110	6.5%	116	6.9%	169	10.0%	4	0.2%	1,159	68.8%	17	1.0%	58	3.4%	42	2.5%	1,684	100.0%
2018	9	0.5%	120	7.2%	122	7.3%	173	10.3%	4	0.2%	1,133	67.5%	19	1.1%	57	3.4%	41	2.4%	1,678	100.0%
2019	8	0.5%	134	7.7%	134	7.7%	185	10.6%	4	0.2%	1,155	66.3%	18	1.0%	68	3.9%	37	2.1%	1,743	100.0%

1. The calendar years in which the demographic data was released may be found in the first column of the table. The 2007 and 2008 data reflect the number of justices and judges on the bench as of February 1 of those years. The 2009 through 2018 data reflect the number of justices and judges on the bench as of December 31 of the previous year.

2. The race and ethnicity category descriptions were adapted from definitions used by the U.S. Census Bureau in Census 2000. The same category descriptions were used by the U.S. Census Bureau in Census 2010. See page 22 for descriptions of race and ethnicity categories.

3. "Some other race only" includes respondents who indicated they do not consider themselves to be any of the six identified race and ethnicity categories. To provide the most accurate data, the "some other race only" category includes only those respondents who identified some other race or ethnicity that did not clearly fall within one or more of the six identified categories.

4. "Information not provided" includes non-responses by active justices and judges participating in the survey that did not respond to a given survey question, as well as a smaller group of active justices and judges that have not responded to the survey more generally.



**Demographic Data Provided by Justices and Judges  
Relative to Race/Ethnicity  
(Gov. Code, § 12011.5(n))  
As of December 31, 2018**

**Race/Ethnicity Categories**

The category descriptions are adapted from definitions used by the U.S. Census Bureau in Census 2000, which for the first time invited respondents to identify more than one category. The same category descriptions were used in Census 2010.

*American Indian or Alaska Native*: A person having origins in any of the original peoples of North and South America (including Central America), and who maintains tribal affiliation or community attachment.

*Asian*: A person having origins in any of the original peoples of the Far East, Southeast Asia, or the Indian subcontinent. The category includes persons who indicate their race as Cambodian, Chinese, East Indian, Filipino, Japanese, Korean, Malaysian, Pakistani, Thai, or Vietnamese.

*Black or African American*: A person having origins in any of the black racial groups of Africa.

*Hispanic or Latino*: A person of Cuban, Mexican, Puerto Rican, South or Central American, or other Spanish culture or origin, regardless of race.

*Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander*: A person having origins in any of the original peoples of Hawaii, Guam, Samoa, or other Pacific Islands.

*White*: A person having origins in any of the original peoples of Europe, the Middle East, or North Africa.