

# JUDICIAL COUNCIL OF CALIFORNIA

455 Golden Gate Avenue · San Francisco, California 94102-3688 www.courts.ca.gov

# REPORT TO THE JUDICIAL COUNCIL

For business meeting on July 20, 2018

Title

Court Administration: Judicial Sabbaticals

Rules, Forms, Standards, or Statutes Affected Amend Cal. Rules of Court, rule 10,502

Recommended by

Executive and Planning Committee Hon. Douglas P. Miller, Chair

Agenda Item Type

Action Required

Effective Date July 23, 2018

Date of Report June 27, 2018

Contact

Susan McMullan, 415-865-7990 susan.mcmullan@jud.ca.gov

# **Executive Summary**

Judicial sabbaticals are addressed in the Government Code and the California Rules of Court. Current law and practices provide for only unpaid sabbaticals on approval of the Judicial Council. Rule 10.502 of the California Rules of Court includes provisions that are inconsistent with current law and practices. The Executive and Planning Committee recommends amending rule 10.502 to make it consistent with current law and practices and to eliminate outdated provisions on paid sabbaticals and the role of the Judicial Sabbatical Review Committee.

## Recommendation

The Executive and Planning Committee recommends that the Judicial Council, effective July 23, 2018, amend rule 10.502 to:

- Eliminate the reference to Government Code section 77213 in subdivision (b) and cross references elsewhere in the rule to the requirements of section 77213;
- Align the rule's language on the purpose of a sabbatical with that in section 68554;
- Eliminate the reference to the Judicial Sabbatical Review Committee and authorize the council's Executive and Planning Committee to evaluate and make recommendations to the council on judicial sabbatical applications; and

• Make stylistic changes and change the order of subdivisions (h) and (i).

The text of the amended rule is attached at pages 6–9.

#### **Relevant Previous Council Action**

The Judicial Council, effective January 1, 2003, adopted rule 10.502 (then numbered 6.151). Most recently, it was amended effective January 1, 2016 to make technical changes following the change of the name "Administrative Office of the Courts" to "Judicial Council."

# Analysis/Rationale

Current Government Code section 68554<sup>1</sup> authorizes the Judicial Council to provide for unpaid judicial sabbaticals. Specifically the council may "grant any judge a leave of absence" for up to one year to permit "study, which will benefit the administration of justice and the individual's performance of judicial duties, upon a finding that the absence will not work to the detriment of the court."

Former section 77213 established the Judicial Administration Modernization and Efficiency Fund (Mod Fund) and authorized use of money therein for paid judicial sabbaticals. It provided in part as follows:

- (b) Moneys deposited into this fund shall be administered by the Judicial Council, subject to appropriation by the Legislature. . . . Moneys in the fund may be expended to implement projects approved by the Judicial Council. Expenditures may be made to vendors or individual trial courts that have the responsibility to implement approved projects. Projects approved by the Judicial Council may include, but are not limited to, the following:
- (3) Retain experienced jurists by establishing incentives of enhanced judicial benefits and educational sabbaticals, not to exceed 120 days every five years, as provided for by rules of court adopted by the Judicial Council.

Few paid sabbaticals were granted under this authority and section 77213 was repealed in 2012, when legislation also amended section 77209, which effectively combined the former Mod Fund with the former Trial Court Improvement Fund to create the new State Trial Court Improvement and Modernization Fund as a successor to both.<sup>2</sup> No monies have been set aside in this fund for paid sabbaticals since the funds merged. The amended statutory language of section 77209 omitted the list of example projects (including judicial sabbaticals) that had been contained in former section 77213. These

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> If not specified, further references to "section" are the Government Code.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Senate Bill 1021

statutory changes created uncertainty as to whether the council could grant paid judicial sabbaticals.

Current rule 10.502 sets out the procedures for considering requests for both paid and unpaid judicial sabbatical and includes provisions that are no longer accurate due, in part, to the repeal of former section 77213. To bring the rule into conformity with the statute, this proposal would delete from rule 10.502, current subdivision (b)(1), which addresses paid sabbaticals as follows:

# Eligibility

A judge or justice is eligible to apply for a paid sabbatical under Government Code section 77213 if:

- (A) He or she has served for at least seven years as a California judicial officer, including service as a subordinate judicial officer;
- (B) He or she has not taken a sabbatical within seven years of the date of the proposed sabbatical; and
- (C) He or she agrees to continue to serve as a judicial officer for at least three years after the sabbatical.

With this amendment, the rule would provide for unpaid sabbaticals only. Other references in rule 10.502 to section 77213 or to the requirements for a paid sabbatical, which are in subdivisions (c)(2), (f)(1), (g), (h), and (j), would also be deleted from the rule. In addition, minor stylistic changes would be made: Administrator Director of the Courts would be changed to "Administrative Director." The order of current subdivision (h), on the judge's report following a sabbatical leave, and subdivision (i), on retirement and benefits, would be switched for improved continuity.

The objective of sabbatical leave set out in subdivision (a) would be shortened and made consistent with section 68554, which provides that a sabbatical is for "study, which will benefit the administration of justice and the individual's performance of judicial duties." Accordingly, in subdivision (a) of rule 10.502, the words "teaching, research, or another activity" would be removed from the following sentence:

The objective of sabbatical leave is to facilitate study, teaching, research, or another activity that will benefit the administration of justice and enhance judges' performance of their duties.

The rule currently contains several references to the Judicial Sabbatical Review Committee. This committee no longer exists and, because of the infrequency of requests for a judicial sabbatical, it is more efficient to transfer the duty to review and make recommendations on such

requests to a council standing committee. The Executive and Planning Committee is the most appropriate committee to take on this role. Subdivision (d), concerning the Judicial Sabbatical Review Committee would be amended to remove references to that committee and its membership and add that the Executive and Planning Committee will make recommendation to the council regarding sabbatical requests with support from the council's human resources staff. Subdivision (e) would replace "Judicial Sabbatical Review" with "Executive and Planning."

These changes are necessary to make the rule consistent with statutory changes and current practices concerning judicial sabbaticals. The rule amendment will make clear that only unpaid sabbaticals may be granted and accurately set out the responsibility for reviewing and recommending sabbatical requests.

### **Policy implications**

The policy implications of this change are limited. Amending rule 10.502 to eliminate the ability of a judge or justice is eligible to apply for a paid sabbatical and to narrow the purpose of a sabbatical leave reflect statutory changes.

#### Comments

This proposal circulated during the winter invitation-to-comment cycle. One comment was submitted, from a trial court, which indicated agreement with the proposal and stated: "Consider proposing a legislative change to Government Code section 68554 to allow for sabbatical leave to not only facilitate study, but for teaching and research as well." As noted above, the language in the current rule includes the words, "teaching, research, or another activity," but this language is proposed to be removed to make the rule consistent with section 68554. If that statute were amended to allow broader purposes for a sabbatical, rule 10.502, of course, could be amended accordingly. In response to this suggestion, the Executive and Planning Committee will provide the comment to the council's Policy Coordination and Liaison Committee for consideration.

#### Alternatives considered

No alternatives were considered, as the inconsistency between current rule 10.502 and current law and practices cannot be corrected by education, training, guidelines, or best practices.

## **Fiscal and Operational Impacts**

This proposal has no implementation requirements or costs. Amending the rule to provide that paid judicial sabbaticals are not authorized is likely to have a positive operational impact by eliminating ambiguity.

#### **Attachments and Links**

- 1. Cal. Rules of Court, rules 10.502 at pages 5–8
- 2. Chart of comments, at page 9

1	Rule	e 10.502. Judicial sabbatical <del>pilot</del> program
2 3	(a)	Objective
4	, ,	
5		Sabbatical leave is a privilege available to jurists by statute. The objective of
6		sabbatical leave is to facilitate study, teaching, research, or another activity that will
7		benefit the administration of justice and enhance judges' performance of their
8		duties.
9		
10	<b>(b)</b>	Eligibility
11		
12		(1) A judge or justice is eligible to apply for a paid sabbatical under Government
13		Code section 77213 if:
14		
15		(A) He or she has served for at least seven years as a California judicial
16		officer, including service as a subordinate judicial officer;
17		
18		(B) He or she has not taken a sabbatical within seven years of the date of
19		the proposed sabbatical; and
20		
21		(C) He or she agrees to continue to serve as a judicial officer for at least
22		three years after the sabbatical.
23		
24		(2)—Any judge is eligible to apply for an unpaid sabbatical under Government
25		Code section 68554.
26	(.)	A = 12 = 42 = -
<ul><li>27</li><li>28</li></ul>	(c)	Application
29		(1) An eligible judge may apply for a sabbatical by submitting a sabbatical
30		proposal to the Administrative Director of the Courts with a copy to the
31		presiding judge or justice.
32		presiding judge of justice.
33		(2) The sabbatical proposal must include:
34		(2) The substituted proposal must include.
35		(A) The judge's certification that he or she meets the eligibility
36		requirements established in (b);
37		ioquiomento usuensito in (e),
38		(B)—The beginning and ending dates of the proposed sabbatical;
39		( )
40		( <u>CB</u> ) A description of the sabbatical project, including an explanation of how
41		the sabbatical will benefit the administration of justice and the judge's
42		performance of his or her duties; and
43		

1		( <u>PC</u> ) A statement from the presiding judge or justice of the affected court,
2		indicating approval or disapproval of the sabbatical request and the
3		reasons for such approval or disapproval, forwarded to the Judicial
4		Sabbatical Review Executive and Planning Committee with a copy to
5		the judge.
6		
7	<b>(d)</b>	Judicial Sabbatical Review Committee Review of applications
8		
9		A Judicial Sabbatical Review The Executive and Planning Committee will be
10		appointed to make recommendations to the Judicial Council regarding sabbatical
11		requests, with support from the council's human resources staff.
12		
13		(1) Membership
14		
15		The committee must include at least one member from each of the following
16		groups:
17		
18		(A) Administrative Presiding Justices Advisory Committee;
19		
20		(B) Trial Court Presiding Judges Advisory Committee;
21		
22		(C) Court Executives Advisory Committee;
23		
24		(D) Governing Committee of the Center for Judicial Education and
25		Research;
26		
27		(E) Judicial Service Advisory Committee; and
28		
29		(F) California Judges Association (liaison).
30		
31		(2) Staffing
32		
33		The committee will be staffed by the Human Resources Division of the
34		Administrative Office of the Courts and may elect its chair and vice chair.
35		•
36	(e)	Evaluation
37	\-/	
38		(1) The Administrative Director of the Courts must forward all sabbatical
39		requests that comply with (c) to the <del>Judicial Sabbatical Review Executive and</del>
40		Planning Committee.
41		<del></del>

1		(2)	The Judicial Sabbatical Review Executive and Planning Committee must						
2			recommend granting or denying the sabbatical request after it considers the						
3			following factors:						
4									
5			(A) Whether the sabbatical will benefit the administration of justice in						
6			California and the judge's performance of his or her duties; and						
7									
8			(B) Whether the sabbatical leave will be detrimental to the affected court.						
9									
10		(3)	The Judicial Sabbatical Review Committee may recommend an unpaid						
11		` /	sabbatical if there is insufficient funding for a paid sabbatical.						
12									
13	<b>(f)</b>	Leng	yth .						
14	( )	C	,						
15		(1)	A paid sabbatical taken under Government Code section 77213 may not						
16		` /	exceed 120 calendar days. A judge may be allowed to add unpaid sabbatical						
17			time onto the end of a paid sabbatical if the purpose of the unpaid sabbatical						
18			is substantially similar to the work of the paid sabbatical.						
19			J I						
20		<del>(2)</del>	-An unpaid judicial sabbatical taken under Government Code section 68554						
21		` /	may not exceed one year.						
22									
23	<b>(g)</b>	Ethic	cs and compensation						
24	ν Θ /		•						
25		A juc	dge on <u>unpaid</u> sabbatical leave is subject to the California Code of Judicial						
26		•	es and, while on a paid sabbatical, must not accept may receive compensation						
27			reimbursement for expenses for activities performed during that sabbatical						
28			but may receive reimbursement for the expenses as provided in canon 4H(2)						
29			e Code of Judicial Ethics.						
30									
31	<del>(h)</del>	Jude	re's report						
32	()	E	,-						
33		On c	ompletion of a sabbatical leave, the judge must report in writing to the Judicial						
34			ouncil on how the leave benefited the administration of justice in California and						
35			ts effect on his or her official duties as a judicial officer.						
36									
37	<del>(i)</del> (h)	Retii	rement and benefits						
38	(-) <u>\</u>								
39		(1)	A judge on a paid sabbatical leave under Government Code section 77213						
40		` /	continues to receive all the benefits of office and accrues service credit						
41			toward retirement.						
42									

(2)—A judge on unpaid sabbatical leave under Government Code section 68554 1 receives no compensation, and the period of absence does not count as 2 3 service toward retirement. The leave does not affect the term of office. 4 5 (h)(i) Judge's report 6 7 On completion of a sabbatical leave, the judge must report in writing to the Judicial Council on how the leave benefited the administration of justice in California and on its 8 9 effect on his or her official duties as a judicial officer. 10 11 (j) Judicial assignment replacement 12 13 Funds must be made available from the Judicial Administration Efficiency and Modernization Fund to allocate additional assigned judges to those courts whose 14 15 judges' requests for paid sabbaticals are approved.

W18-01 Court Administration: Judicial Sabbaticals (amend Cal. Rules of Court, rule 10.502)

All comments are verbatim unless indicated by an asterisk (\*).

	Commenter	Position	Comment	Response
1	Superior Court of Los Angeles County	A	Consider proposing a legislative change to GC § 68554 to allow for sabbatical leave to not only facilitate study, but for teaching and research as well.	Because this is a suggestion for possible legislation, the committee will provide the comment to the council's Policy Coordination and Liaison Committee for consideration.

Positions: A = Agree; AM = Agree if modified; N = Do not agree; NI = Not indicated