



## JUDICIAL COUNCIL OF CALIFORNIA

455 Golden Gate Avenue · San Francisco, California 94102-3688

[www.courts.ca.gov](http://www.courts.ca.gov)

---

# REPORT TO THE JUDICIAL COUNCIL

For business meeting on January 12, 2018

---

Title

Judicial Council Report to the Legislature:

*Receipts and Expenditures from Local*

*Courthouse Construction Funds: Report to*

*the Budget and Fiscal Committees of the*

*Legislature*

Agenda Item Type

Information Only

Date of Report

December 18, 2017

Contact

Lynette Stephens, 916-263-1363

[Lynette.Stephens@jud.ca.gov](mailto:Lynette.Stephens@jud.ca.gov)

Michael Sun, 415-865-4037

[Michael.Sun@jud.ca.gov](mailto:Michael.Sun@jud.ca.gov)

Submitted by

Judicial Council staff

Zlatko Theodorovic, Director

Budget Services

---

### Executive Summary

Government Code section 70403(d) directs the Judicial Council to submit a report of all receipts and expenditures from the local courthouse construction funds to the budget and fiscal committees of the Legislature based on the information received from counties pursuant to this section on or before January 1 of each year. The *Receipts and Expenditures from Local Courthouse Construction Funds: Report to the Budget and Fiscal Committees of the Legislature* provides information for the reporting period of July 1, 2016, to June 30, 2017.

### Previous Council Action

Pursuant to Government Code section 70403(b), each county shall submit annual updates on all receipts and expenditures from the local courthouse construction fund to the Administrative Director and the Director of Finance if the county retains the fund under subdivision (a) of section 70325 for payment on existing bonded indebtedness of a courthouse facility. Prior reports were submitted to the Judicial Council for approval at its December 1, 2006 business meeting, and each year afterward. This year, the report is submitted to the Judicial Council as information only and is the 12th annual report submitted to the Legislature; the previous 11 reports can be found at <http://www.courts.ca.gov/7466.htm>.

## **Methodology and Process**

The annual submission of this report is required under Government Code section 70403(d). When a county submits its annual report on the condition of the local courthouse construction fund, Judicial Council staff reviews the report and requests information necessary to determine compliance, including the beginning and ending fund balances and an explanation of expenditures by project, if the report does not include that information. Each review includes a determination of whether the receipts and expenditures were made in accordance with the provisions of Government Code section 76100, including verification that outstanding debt service still exists. If, based on the information provided, the Judicial Council concludes that a county made an expenditure not permitted by statute, the Judicial Council will notify the county and the California Department of Finance of the amount due for repayment to the state.

## **Policy and Cost Implications**

The attached annual report is factual, with no recommendations and no consequential costs or impacts determined at this time. Submission of this report is required by statute.

## **Summary of Findings**

As reported by the 30 counties that have outstanding bonded indebtedness for the reporting period of July 1, 2016, to June 30, 2017, the local courthouse construction fund receipts totaled \$41,148,557 and expenditures totaled \$39,199,517.

## **Attachments**

1. Attachment A: *Receipts and Expenditures from Local Courthouse Construction Funds: Report to the Budget and Fiscal Committees of the Legislature*



# JUDICIAL COUNCIL OF CALIFORNIA

455 Golden Gate Avenue  
San Francisco, CA 94102-3688  
Tel 415-865-4200  
TDD 415-865-4272  
Fax 415-865-4205  
[www.courts.ca.gov](http://www.courts.ca.gov)

HON. TANI G. CANTIL-SAKAUYE  
*Chief Justice of California*  
*Chair of the Judicial Council*

HON. DOUGLAS P. MILLER  
*Chair, Executive and Planning*  
*Committee*

HON. DAVID M. RUBIN  
*Chair, Judicial Branch Budget*  
*Committee*  
*Chair, Litigation Management*  
*Committee*

HON. KENNETH K. SO  
*Chair, Policy Coordination and*  
*Liaison Committee*

HON. HARRY E. HULL, JR.  
*Chair, Rules and Projects Committee*

HON. MARSHA G. SLOUGH  
*Chair, Technology Committee*

*Hon. Marla O. Anderson*  
*Hon. Richard Bloom*  
*Hon. C. Todd Bottke*  
*Hon. Stacy Boulware Eurie*  
*Hon. Kevin C. Brazile*  
*Hon. Kyle S. Brodie*  
*Hon. Ming W. Chin*  
*Hon. Samuel K. Feng*  
*Ms. Rachel W. Hill*  
*Hon. Harold W. Hopp*  
*Hon. James M. Humes*  
*Ms. Audra Ibarra*  
*Hon. Hannah-Beth Jackson*  
*Mr. Patrick M. Kelly*  
*Hon. Dalila C. Lyons*  
*Hon. Gary Nadler*  
*Ms. Gretchen Nelson*

ADVISORY MEMBERS  
*Mr. Jake Chatters*  
*Ms. Kimberly Flener*  
*Hon. Scott M. Gordon*  
*Hon. Patricia M. Lucas*  
*Hon. Shama Hakim Mesiwala*  
*Hon. Stuart M. Rice*  
*Mr. Michael M. Roddy*  
*Ms. Andrea K. Wallin-Rohmann*

MR. MARTIN HOSHINO  
*Administrative Director,*  
*Judicial Council*

December 18, 2017

Hon. Ricardo Lara  
Chair, Senate Committee on  
Appropriations  
California State Senate  
State Capitol, Room 2206  
Sacramento, California 95814

Hon. Holly Mitchell  
Chair, Senate Committee on  
Budget and Fiscal Review  
California State Senate  
State Capitol, Room 5019  
Sacramento, California 95814

Hon. Lorena Gonzalez-Fletcher  
Chair, Assembly Committee on  
Appropriations  
California State Assembly  
State Capitol, Room 2114  
Sacramento, California 95814

Hon. Philip Ting  
Chair, Assembly Committee on  
Budget  
California State Assembly  
State Capitol, Room 6026  
Sacramento, California 95814

Re: 2017 Report to the Legislature: *Receipts and Expenditures from*  
*Local Courthouse Construction Funds*, as required under  
Government Code section 70403(d)

Dear Senator Lara, Senator Mitchell, Assembly Member Gonzalez-Fletcher, and Assembly Member Ting:

Attached is the Judicial Council report required pursuant to Government Code section 70403(d) regarding receipts and expenditures from local courthouse construction funds as reported by each county.

December 18, 2017

Page 2

If you have questions about this report, please contact Zlatko Theodorovic, Director, Judicial Council, Budget Services, at 916-263-1397 or [Zlatko.Theodorovic@jud.ca.gov](mailto:Zlatko.Theodorovic@jud.ca.gov).

Sincerely,

Martin Hoshino  
Administrative Director  
Judicial Council

MH/LS/MS

Enclosures

cc: Diane F. Boyer-Vine, Legislative Counsel  
Daniel Alvarez, Secretary of the Senate  
E. Dotson Wilson, Chief Clerk of the Assembly  
Jessica Devencenzi, Policy Consultant, Office of Senate President pro Tempore Kevin de León  
Alf Brandt, Senior Counsel, Office of Assembly Speaker Anthony Rendon  
Anita Lee, Senior Fiscal and Policy Analyst, Legislative Analyst's Office  
Tina McGee, Executive Secretary, Legislative Analyst's Office  
Rebecca Kirk, Program Budget Analyst, Department of Finance  
Margie Estrada, Chief Counsel, Senate Judiciary Committee  
Julie Salley-Gray, Consultant, Senate Budget and Fiscal Review Committee  
Shaun Naidu, Consultant, Senate Appropriations Committee  
Matt Osterli, Consultant, Senate Republican Fiscal Office  
Mike Petersen, Consultant, Senate Republican Policy Office  
Alison Merrilees, Chief Counsel, Assembly Judiciary Committee  
Daisy Gonzales, Principal Consultant, Assembly Appropriations Committee  
Jennifer Kim, Consultant, Assembly Budget Committee  
Daryl Thomas, Consultant, Assembly Republican Office of Policy & Budget  
Paul Dress, Consultant, Assembly Republican Office of Policy & Budget  
Peggy Collins, Principal Consultant, Joint Legislative Budget Committee  
Cory T. Jaspersen, Director, Governmental Affairs, Judicial Council  
Zlatko Theodorovic, Director, Budget Services, Judicial Council  
Peter Allen, Director, Public Affairs, Judicial Council  
Laura Speed, Supervising Attorney, Governmental Affairs, Judicial Council  
Angela Guzman, Budget Manager, Budget Services, Judicial Council  
Yvette Casillas-Sarcos, Administrative Coordinator, Governmental Affairs, Judicial Council



# JUDICIAL COUNCIL OF CALIFORNIA

455 Golden Gate Avenue  
San Francisco, CA 94102-3688  
Tel 415-865-4200  
TDD 415-865-4272  
Fax 415-865-4205  
[www.courts.ca.gov](http://www.courts.ca.gov)

HON. TANI G. CANTIL-SAKAUYE  
*Chief Justice of California  
Chair of the Judicial Council*

HON. DOUGLAS P. MILLER  
*Chair, Executive and Planning  
Committee*

HON. DAVID M. RUBIN  
*Chair, Judicial Branch Budget  
Committee  
Chair, Litigation Management  
Committee*

HON. KENNETH K. SO  
*Chair, Policy Coordination and  
Liaison Committee*

HON. HARRY E. HULL, JR.  
*Chair, Rules and Projects Committee*

HON. MARSHA G. SLOUGH  
*Chair, Technology Committee*

*Hon. Marla O. Anderson  
Hon. Richard Bloom  
Hon. C. Todd Bottke  
Hon. Stacy Boulware Eurie  
Hon. Kevin C. Brazile  
Hon. Kyle S. Brodie  
Hon. Ming W. Chin  
Hon. Samuel K. Feng  
Ms. Rachel W. Hill  
Hon. Harold W. Hopp  
Hon. James M. Humes  
Ms. Audra Ibarra  
Hon. Hannah-Beth Jackson  
Mr. Patrick M. Kelly  
Hon. Dalila C. Lyons  
Hon. Gary Nadler  
Ms. Gretchen Nelson*

ADVISORY MEMBERS  
*Mr. Jake Chatters  
Ms. Kimberly Flener  
Hon. Scott M. Gordon  
Hon. Patricia M. Lucas  
Hon. Shama Hakim Mesiwala  
Hon. Stuart M. Rice  
Mr. Michael M. Roddy  
Ms. Andrea K. Wallin-Rohmann*

MR. MARTIN HOSHINO  
*Administrative Director,  
Judicial Council*

Report title: *Receipts and Expenditures from Local Courthouse  
Construction Funds: Report to the Budget and  
Fiscal Committees of the Legislature*

Statutory Citation: Government Code section 70403(d)

Date of Report: December 18, 2017

The Judicial Council has submitted a report to the Legislature in accordance with Government Code section 70403(d) regarding receipts and expenditures from local courthouse construction funds as reported by each county. The following summary is provided under the requirements of Government Code section 9795.

Government Code section 70402(a) requires that any amount in a county's local courthouse construction fund be transferred to the State Court Facilities Construction Fund following the date of the last transfer of responsibility for court facilities from that county to the Judicial Council if there is no outstanding bonded indebtedness. All such facility transfers were complete as of December 31, 2009.

As of October 1, 2017, five counties that have transferred their court facilities and have no outstanding bonded indebtedness—Inyo, Lassen, Modoc, Siskiyou, and Tehama—have yet to transfer their courthouse construction fund balances to the State Court Facilities Construction Fund. Of the five counties, Inyo and Siskiyou have outstanding projects: after completion of the projects, the counties will be required to remit any savings from the project budgets to the State Court Facilities Construction Fund.

Seventeen counties have transferred the fund balances, or partial fund balances, in their local courthouse construction funds to the State Court Facilities Construction Fund. Of these seventeen, El Dorado County has an outstanding project commitment and will continue to submit annual reports until the project is complete. Four counties—Alpine, Mariposa, Trinity, and Tuolumne—retained their courthouse construction funds consistent with responsibility for 100 percent of court square footage. Thirty counties continue to retain their courthouse construction funds as

December 18, 2017

Page 2

statutorily permitted because they have bonded indebtedness for which the funds are used. The 30 counties that retain their courthouse construction funds to pay off outstanding debt will continue to submit annual reports on revenues and expenditures until the debt obligation is retired.

The full report is available at <http://www.courts.ca.gov/7466.htm>.

A printed copy of the report may be obtained by calling 415-865-4037

## **JUDICIAL COUNCIL OF CALIFORNIA**

**Hon. Tani G. Cantil-Sakauye**  
*Chief Justice of California and  
Chair of the Judicial Council*

**Martin Hoshino**  
*Administrative Director  
Judicial Council*

## **ADMINISTRATIVE DIVISION**


**John Wordlaw**  
*Chief Administrative Officer*

## **BUDGET SERVICES**

**Zlatko Theodorovic**  
*Director*

**Angela Guzman**  
*Budget Manager*

**Lynette Stephens and Michael Sun**  
*Senior Budget Analysts and  
Primary Authors of Report*



# Receipts and Expenditures from Local Courthouse Construction Funds

---

Report to the Budget and Fiscal  
Committees of the Legislature

July 1, 2016, to June 30, 2017  
January 1, 1998, to December 31, 2005 (Update)  
January 1, 2006, to June 30, 2007 (Update)  
July 1, 2007, to June 30, 2008  
July 1, 2008, to June 30, 2009  
July 1, 2009, to June 30, 2010  
July 1, 2010, to June 30, 2011  
July 1, 2011, to June 30, 2012 (Update)  
July 1, 2012, to June 30, 2013  
July 1, 2013, to June 30, 2014  
July 1, 2014, to June 30, 2015  
July 1, 2015, to June 30, 2016 (Update)



JUDICIAL COUNCIL  
OF CALIFORNIA

---

ADMINISTRATIVE DIVISION  
BUDGET SERVICES



Judicial Council of California  
455 Golden Gate Avenue  
San Francisco, CA 94102-3688

## County Reporting on Local Courthouse Construction Funds

The Trial Court Facilities Act of 2002 (Sen. Bill 1732 [Escutia]; Stats. 2002, ch. 1082) requires counties to report receipts to and expenditures from local courthouse construction funds. Government Code section 70403 mandates that each county submit a report to the Administrative Director of all local courthouse construction fund receipts and expenditures for the period January 1, 1998, to December 31, 2005. Counties retaining funds for the purpose of paying bonded indebtedness must submit to the Judicial Council and the California Department of Finance annual updates of all receipts and expenditures within 90 days after the end of each fiscal year. The section further requires the Judicial Council to submit a report to the Legislature on the information received from the counties regarding the status of local courthouse construction funds. This report covers the period from July 1, 2016, to June 30, 2017, and includes updates to prior reports covering the periods of January 1, 1998, to December 31, 2005; January 1, 2006, to June 30, 2007; July 1, 2011, to June 30, 2012; and July 1, 2015, to June 30, 2016.

Government Code section 70402(a) requires that any amount in a county's courthouse construction fund established under Government Code section 76100 be transferred to the State Court Facilities Construction Fund following the date of the last transfer of responsibility for court facilities from the county to the Judicial Council if there is no outstanding bonded indebtedness. All transfers of court facilities were complete as of December 31, 2009.

As of October 1, 2017, five counties that have transferred their court facilities and have no outstanding bonded indebtedness—Inyo, Lassen, Modoc, Siskiyou, and Tehama—have yet to transfer their courthouse construction fund balances to the State Court Facilities Construction Fund. Of the five counties, Inyo and Siskiyou have outstanding projects and after completion of the projects, the counties will be required to remit any savings from the project budget to the State Court Facilities Construction Fund.

Seventeen counties have transferred the fund balances, or partial fund balances, in their local courthouse construction funds to the State Court Facilities Construction Fund. Of these 17, El Dorado County has an outstanding project commitment and will continue to submit annual reports until the project is complete. Four counties—Alpine, Mariposa, Trinity, and Tuolumne—retained their courthouse construction funds consistent with responsibility for 100 percent of court square footage. Thirty counties continue to retain their courthouse construction funds as statutorily permitted because they have bonded indebtedness for which the funds are used. The 30 counties that retain their courthouse construction funds to pay off outstanding debt will continue to submit annual reports on revenues and expenditures until the debt obligation is retired.

County Reporting Under Section 70403(b) for July 1, 2016, to June 30, 2017

As of October 1, 2017, the Judicial Council received reports on the condition of local courthouse construction funds from the 30 counties that have outstanding bonded indebtedness as required by statute (see Attachment 1). All reports received are in compliance with section 70403(b), which requires an annual update of all local courthouse construction fund receipts and expenditures from counties with outstanding bonded indebtedness; in this case, for the period from July 1, 2016, to June 30, 2017.

As reported by the 30 counties, the local courthouse construction fund receipts totaled \$41,148,557 and expenditures totaled \$39,199,517 during the statutory reporting period.

### **Status of reviews**

When a county submits its annual report on the condition of the local courthouse construction fund, the Judicial Council staff reviews the report and requests the information necessary to determine compliance, including the beginning and ending fund balances and an explanation of expenditures by project, if the report does not include that information. Each review includes a determination of whether the receipts and expenditures were made in accordance with the provisions of Government Code section 76100, including verifying that outstanding debt service still exists. If the Judicial Council concludes, based on the information provided, that a county made an expenditure not permitted by statute, the Judicial Council will notify the county and the California Department of Finance of the amount due for repayment to the state.

### **Status of determination of repayment amounts required under section 70403(d)**

As reviews of reports are completed for counties with outstanding debt service, repayments to the State Court Facilities Construction Fund, if any, will be finalized and reported in subsequent annual reports from the Judicial Council to the budget and fiscal committees of the Legislature. Reviews of the county reports have not yet been completed; therefore, at this time there are no repayments to report for this reporting period.

County Reporting for July 1, 2007, to June 30, 2011, and July 1, 2012, to June 30, 2015 Reporting Periods

Based on the reports received from the counties for these reporting periods, there is no update to the previously reported receipts and expenditures. All reports were in compliance with requirements of the statute for the reporting period. In addition, the Judicial Council is not aware of any significant issues that might raise questions about the revenues and expenditures as reported.

#### Update to Report for January 1, 1998, to December 31, 2005 Reporting Period

The report for January 1, 1998, to December 31, 2005, issued pursuant to Government Code section 70403(d) stated that all 58 counties had submitted reports on their local courthouse construction fund receipts and expenditures (see Attachment 2). All reports were in compliance with requirements of the statute for the reporting period.

The attached report has been corrected to reflect a revised expenditure and receipt amount for Napa County per an updated county report. With the revised report data, the total of the local courthouse construction fund expenditures reported are \$509,414,804 as compared to the previously reported expenditures of \$508,961,285. The revised total of the local courthouse construction fund receipts are \$535,553,230 as compared to the previously reported receipts of \$535,548,274. Otherwise, the Judicial Council is not aware of any significant issues that might cause us to question the revenues and expenditures as reported. As this period extends beyond the counties' current record retention requirements, no further detailed reviews will be conducted at this time unless warranted by reasons such as impact on prior fiscal period reports based on adjustments in the current fiscal year reporting or a request for review by the state or the courts based on additional information received.

#### Update to Report for January 1, 2006, to June 30, 2007 Reporting Period

The report for January 1, 2006, to June 30, 2007, issued pursuant to Government Code section 70403(d) stated that all 38 counties that had outstanding bonded indebtedness submitted reports on their local courthouse construction fund receipts and expenditures (see Attachment 3). All reports were in compliance with requirements of the statute for the reporting period.

The attached report has been corrected to reflect a revised expenditure amount for Marin County per an updated county report. With the revised report data, the total of the local courthouse construction fund expenditures reported are \$109,371,237 as compared to the previously reported expenditures of \$109,374,237. The total of the local courthouse construction fund receipts remains unchanged at \$104,014,855. Otherwise, the Judicial Council is not aware of any significant issues that might cause us to question the revenues and expenditures as reported. As this period extends beyond the counties' current record retention requirements, no further detailed reviews will be conducted at this time unless warranted by reasons such as impact on prior fiscal period reports based on adjustments in the current fiscal year reporting or a request for review by the state or the courts based on additional information received.

#### Update to Report for July 1, 2011, to June 30, 2012 Reporting Period

The report for July 1, 2011, to June 30, 2012, issued pursuant to Government Code section 70403(d) stated that all 32 counties that had outstanding bonded indebtedness submitted reports on their local courthouse construction fund receipts and expenditures (see Attachment 8). All reports were in compliance with requirements of the statute for the reporting period.

The attached report has been corrected to reflect a revised receipt amount for Orange County per an updated county report. The report has also been updated to reflect a revised expenditure amount for Glenn County per an updated county report. With the revised report data, the total of the local courthouse construction fund expenditures reported are \$71,648,113 as compared to the previously reported expenditures of \$71,647,913. The total of the local courthouse construction fund receipts reported are \$46,813,806 as compared to the previously reported receipts of \$46,813,805. Otherwise, the Judicial Council is not aware of any significant issues that might cause us to question the revenues and expenditures as reported.

#### Update to Report for July 1, 2015, to June 30, 2016 Reporting Period

The previous report issued under Government Code section 70403(d) reported that all 30 counties that had outstanding bonded indebtedness submitted reports on their local courthouse construction fund receipts and expenditures (see Attachment 12). All reports were in compliance with requirements of the statute for the reporting period.

The attached report has been corrected to reflect a revised receipt amount for Marin County per an updated county report. The report has also been updated with receipts and expenditures amounts for Monterey County that were not available at the time of submission of last year's report. With the revised report data, the total of the local courthouse construction fund expenditures reported are \$47,075,599 as compared to the previously reported expenditures of \$46,622,580. The total of the local courthouse construction fund receipts reported are \$43,811,272 as compared to the previously reported receipts of \$43,318,928. In addition, the Judicial Council is not aware of any significant issues that might cause us to question the revenues and expenditures as reported.

#### Attachments

Attachment 1: County Reporting for Period July 1, 2016, to June 30, 2017

Attachment 2: County Reporting for Period January 1, 1998, to December 31, 2005

Attachment 3: County Reporting for Period January 1, 2006, to June 30, 2007

Attachment 4: County Reporting for Period July 1, 2007, to June 30, 2008

Attachment 5: County Reporting for Period July 1, 2008, to June 30, 2009

Attachment 6: County Reporting for Period July 1, 2009, to June 30, 2010  
Attachment 7: County Reporting for Period July 1, 2010, to June 30, 2011  
Attachment 8: County Reporting for Period July 1, 2011, to June 30, 2012  
Attachment 9: County Reporting for Period July 1, 2012, to June 30, 2013  
Attachment 10: County Reporting for Period July 1, 2013, to June 30, 2014  
Attachment 11: County Reporting for Period July 1, 2014, to June 30, 2015  
Attachment 12: County Reporting for Period July 1, 2015, to June 30, 2016

## July 1, 2016 to June 30, 2017

### Overview of County Reporting on Local Courthouse Construction Funds Under Government Code Section 70403(d) ATTACHMENT 1

	County	Review Status (complete/in progress)	Revenues for Period (as reported by county)	Expenditures for Period (as reported by county)	Repayments Due	Bonded Indebtedness (indicated in report)
1	Alameda <sup>1</sup>	In Progress	1,956,197	1,052,193		X
2	Amador	In Progress	28,605	28,148		X
3	Contra Costa	In Progress	972,987	364,230		X
4	El Dorado <sup>2,3</sup>	In Progress	8,522	0		X
5	Glenn	In Progress	94,473	541,830		X
6	Humboldt	In Progress	192,028	309,720		X
7	Los Angeles	In Progress	11,987,000	14,831,000		X
8	Marin	In Progress	307,590	352,756		X
9	Merced	In Progress	342,611	340,452		X
10	Monterey	In Progress	419,649	527,551		X
11	Napa	In Progress	322,805	810,000		X
12	Orange	In Progress	3,743,981	3,647,178		X
13	Placer	In Progress	269,518	255,000		X
14	Riverside	In Progress	4,921,531	4,835,742		X
15	Sacramento	In Progress	1,245,004	1,260,000		X
16	San Bernardino	In Progress	1,570,695	0		X
17	San Diego	In Progress	974,604	1,103,628		X
18	San Francisco	In Progress	2,486,253	2,240,141		X
19	San Joaquin	In Progress	2,744,863	191,045		X
20	San Luis Obispo	In Progress	371,886	309,734		X
21	San Mateo	In Progress	974,165	1,222,981		X
22	Santa Barbara	In Progress	707,398	220,586		X
23	Santa Clara	In Progress	1,195,469	1,132,114		X
24	Santa Cruz	In Progress	106,639	106,639		X
25	Shasta	In Progress	505,271	505,271		X
26	Solano	In Progress	296,464	399,381		X
27	Sonoma	In Progress	443,748	672,145		X
28	Stanislaus	In Progress	466,607	711,588		X
29	Tulare	In Progress	576,543	0		X
30	Ventura	In Progress	915,451	1,228,465		X
	<b>TOTALS</b>		<b>\$ 41,148,557</b>	<b>\$ 39,199,517</b>		<b>30</b>

**Notes:**

1. Pending debt for new approved capital project
2. Bonded indebtedness: approved project under way, pending completion for Inyo (report not received), and Siskiyou (report not received)
3. Partial CCF balance transferred to State Court Facilities Construction Fund per Gov. Code, § 70402(a)

# January 1, 1998 to December 31, 2005

## Overview of County Reporting on Local Courthouse Construction Funds Under Government Code Section 70403(d)

### ATTACHMENT 2

	County	Review Status (complete/in progress)	Revenues for Period (as reported by county)	Expenditures for Period (as reported by county)	Repayments Due	Bonded Indebtedness (indicated in report)
1	Alameda	In Progress	21,484,121	20,559,653		X
2	Alpine	In Progress	137,794	57,955		
3	Amador	In Progress	523,222	247,047		X
4	Butte	In Progress	3,694,518	3,934,294		X
5	Calaveras <sup>2</sup>	In Progress	1,406,258	915,924		X
6	Colusa	Complete	463,599	479,021	0	X
7	Contra Costa	In Progress	10,204,719	10,568,957		X
8	Del Norte <sup>2</sup>	Complete	685	0	0	
9	El Dorado	In Progress	1,856,437	537,447		X
10	Fresno <sup>2</sup>	In Progress	1,682,944	1,215,322		X
11	Glenn	In Progress	1,082,644	932,282		X
12	Humboldt	Complete	16,620,537	17,066,091	0	X
13	Imperial	In Progress	1,628,153	2,136,263		X
14	Inyo	In Progress	1,131,564	327,961		
15	Kern <sup>2</sup>	In Progress	517,693	527,625		
16	Kings <sup>2</sup>	In Progress	457,396	430,658		
17	Lake <sup>2</sup>	Complete	22,536	25,077	0	
18	Lassen	In Progress	1,906,284	2,771,540		
19	Los Angeles	In Progress	196,130,000	170,210,000		X
20	Madera	Complete	0	0	0	
21	Marin	In Progress	3,901,196	3,424,565		X
22	Mariposa	In Progress	319,581	77,956		
23	Mendocino <sup>2</sup>	In Progress	115,867	351,454		
24	Merced	Complete	8,822,580	5,695,062	0	X
25	Modoc	In Progress	229,582	229,377		X
26	Mono <sup>2</sup>	Complete	507,544	507,543	0	
27	Monterey	In Progress	5,098,670	8,743,508		X
28	Napa <sup>3</sup>	In Progress	3,583,793	4,825,104		X
29	Nevada	Complete	1,899,407	2,061,522	0	X
30	Orange	In Progress	39,002,259	37,253,412		X
31	Placer	In Progress	3,276,764	3,082,339		X
32	Plumas <sup>2</sup>	Complete	9,564	55,516	0	
33	Riverside	In Progress	26,049,765	24,854,033		X
34	Sacramento	In Progress	15,744,490	14,433,367		X
35	San Benito	Complete	684,673	309,182	0	X
36	San Bernardino	In Progress	17,582,803	18,638,886		X
37	San Diego	In Progress	8,394,261	13,020,630	0	
38	San Francisco <sup>1</sup>	In Progress	41,787,702	55,296,023		X
39	San Joaquin	In Progress	11,766,826	7,836,561		X
40	San Luis Obispo	In Progress	4,873,888	2,175,271		X
41	San Mateo	In Progress	11,678,138	10,801,760		X
42	Santa Barbara	In Progress	9,701,438	9,988,837		X
43	Santa Clara	Complete	16,761,142	16,831,245	0	X
44	Santa Cruz	In Progress	1,559,915	1,444,729		X
45	Shasta	In Progress	4,551,181	3,758,240		X
46	Sierra	Complete	0	0	0	
47	Siskiyou	In Progress	3,706,842	113,234		
48	Solano	In Progress	4,625,213	4,806,996		X
49	Sonoma	In Progress	6,145,733	9,506,933		X
50	Stanislaus	Complete	4,224,471	3,411,085	0	X
51	Sutter <sup>2</sup>	Complete	592,087	288,836	0	
52	Tehama	In Progress	1,396	5,379		
53	Trinity	Complete	319,189	259,736	0	
54	Tulare	In Progress	3,554,263	3,361,812		X
55	Tuolumne	In Progress	1,020,500	1,784,183		
56	Ventura	In Progress	9,488,022	4,699,661		X
57	Yolo <sup>2</sup>	In Progress	1,993,191	1,756,977		
58	Yuba <sup>1</sup>	In Progress	1,028,190	780,733		X
<b>TOTALS</b>			<b>\$ 535,553,230</b>	<b>\$ 509,414,804</b>		<b>38</b>

#### Notes:

1. Report prepared on a fiscal year basis; includes July to December 1997
2. Final county report: CCF balance transferred to State Court Facilities Construction Fund per Gov. Code, § 70402(a)
3. Report revised to reflect updated county reporting.



# January 1, 2006 to June 30, 2007

## Overview of County Reporting on Local Courthouse Construction Funds Under Government Code Section 70403(d) ATTACHMENT 3

	County	Review Status (complete/in progress)	Revenues for Period (as reported by county)	Expenditures for Period (as reported by county)	Repayments Due	Bonded Indebtedness (indicated in report)
1	Alameda <sup>1</sup>	In Progress	4,402,815	4,683,200		X
2	Amador	In Progress	138,964	790,443		X
3	Butte	In Progress	185,715	152,869		X
4	Colusa <sup>3</sup>	In Progress	122,472	(492,877)		X
5	Contra Costa	In Progress	2,200,103	2,065,795		X
6	El Dorado <sup>2</sup>	In Progress	509,264	5,596		X
7	Glenn <sup>2</sup>	In Progress	287,153	0		X
8	Humboldt	In Progress	382,005	621,665		X
9	Imperial	In Progress	376,310	542,730		X
10	Inyo <sup>2</sup>	In Progress	371,140	0		X
11	Los Angeles	In Progress	41,048,000	47,803,000		X
12	Marin <sup>4</sup>	In Progress	874,448	856,446		X
13	Merced	In Progress	1,016,562	2,153,587		X
14	Modoc	In Progress	30,502	24,688		X
15	Monterey	In Progress	1,321,173	1,354,852	Corrected	X
16	Napa	In Progress	748,698	338,550		X
17	Nevada	In Progress	333,999	150,405		X
18	Orange	In Progress	7,363,506	8,598,962	Corrected	X
19	Placer	In Progress	839,793	1,020,599		X
20	Riverside	In Progress	7,910,417	6,484,190		X
21	Sacramento	In Progress	3,317,350	4,510,721		X
22	San Benito	In Progress	241,653	(97,327)		X
23	San Bernardino	In Progress	2,376,405	0		X
24	San Diego	In Progress	1,632,637	3,315,000		X
25	San Francisco	In Progress	6,278,455	6,526,815		X
26	San Joaquin	In Progress	1,756,484	1,417,928		X
27	San Luis Obispo <sup>1</sup>	In Progress	1,121,122	1,145,925		X
28	San Mateo	In Progress	2,203,231	1,013,932		X
29	Santa Barbara	In Progress	1,806,072	2,009,297		X
30	Santa Clara	In Progress	3,538,275	4,316,755		X
31	Santa Cruz	In Progress	333,146	199,842		X
32	Shasta	In Progress	983,873	1,660,572		X
33	Solano	In Progress	960,428	962,708		X
34	Sonoma	In Progress	1,677,328	847,569		X
35	Stanislaus	In Progress	1,438,936	574,934		X
36	Tulare	In Progress	862,887	501,417		X
37	Ventura	In Progress	2,389,106	3,120,449		X
38	Yuba	In Progress	634,427	190,000		X
	<b>TOTALS</b>		<b>\$ 104,014,855</b>	<b>\$ 109,371,237</b>		<b>38</b>

**Notes:**

1. Pending debt for new approved capital project
2. Bonded indebtedness: approved project under way, pending completion for Glenn, El Dorado, Inyo, and Siskiyou (report not received)
3. Pending review of request for use of CCFs, request subsequently cancelled
4. Report revised to reflect updated county reporting.

## July 1, 2007 to June 30, 2008

### Overview of County Reporting on Local Courthouse Construction Funds Under Government Code Section 70403(d) ATTACHMENT 4

	County	Review Status (complete/in progress)	Revenues for Period (as reported by county)	Expenditures for Period (as reported by county)	Repayments Due	Bonded Indebtedness (indicated in report)
1	Alameda <sup>1</sup>	In Progress	2,584,839	669,332		X
2	Amador	In Progress	88,617	70,757		X
3	Butte	In Progress	112,501	120,520		X
4	Contra Costa	In Progress	1,864,761	1,864,761		X
5	El Dorado <sup>2</sup>	In Progress	295,078	33,130		X
6	Glenn <sup>2</sup>	In Progress	256,052	410,432		X
7	Humboldt	In Progress	294,809	309,720		X
8	Imperial	In Progress	225,024	286,636		X
9	Inyo <sup>2</sup>	In Progress	158,669	0		X
10	Los Angeles	In Progress	24,558,000	27,050,000		X
11	Marin	In Progress	532,573	255,157		X
12	Merced	In Progress	609,809	805,559		X
13	Modoc	In Progress	34,124	39,400		X
14	Monterey	In Progress	648,125	648,125		X
15	Napa	In Progress	479,985	226,835		X
16	Nevada	In Progress	229,500	7,318		X
17	Orange	In Progress	4,748,186	4,518,072		X
18	Placer	In Progress	542,358	500,000		X
19	Riverside	In Progress	6,382,244	8,340,240		X
20	Sacramento	In Progress	2,004,667	2,100,000		X
21	San Benito	In Progress	142,778	30,156		X
22	San Bernardino	In Progress	2,787,159	2,052,703		X
23	San Diego	In Progress	1,175,172	2,210,000		X
24	San Francisco	In Progress	4,049,323	4,495,551		X
25	San Joaquin	In Progress	1,635,756	2,891,002		X
26	San Luis Obispo	In Progress	712,987	4,931,326		X
27	San Mateo	In Progress	1,349,001	1,028,248		X
28	Santa Barbara	In Progress	1,154,245	1,168,383		X
29	Santa Clara	In Progress	1,945,344	2,376,202		X
30	Santa Cruz	In Progress	209,166	320,445		X
31	Shasta	In Progress	850,992	973,954		X
32	Solano	In Progress	592,026	408,999		X
33	Sonoma	In Progress	578,945	550,096		X
34	Stanislaus	In Progress	598,497	181,158		X
35	Tulare	In Progress	569,301	0		X
36	Ventura	In Progress	1,464,010	1,563,349		X
37	Yuba	In Progress	416,616	110,784		X
	<b>TOTALS</b>		<b>\$ 66,881,239</b>	<b>\$ 73,548,350</b>		<b>37</b>

**Notes:**

1. Pending debt for new approved capital project

2. Bonded indebtedness: approved project under way, pending completion for Glenn, El Dorado, Inyo, and Siskiyou (report not received)

## July 1, 2008 to June 30, 2009

### Overview of County Reporting on Local Courthouse Construction Funds Under Government Code Section 70403(d) ATTACHMENT 5

	County	Review Status (complete/in progress)	Revenues for Period (as reported by county)	Expenditures for Period (as reported by county)	Repayments Due	Bonded Indebtedness (indicated in report)
1	Alameda <sup>1</sup>	In Progress	2,751,481	2,902,462		X
2	Amador	In Progress	79,419	70,560		X
3	Butte	In Progress	87,484	0		X
4	Contra Costa	In Progress	1,268,123	1,267,676		X
5	El Dorado <sup>2</sup>	In Progress	230,427	74,105		X
6	Glenn <sup>2</sup>	In Progress	183,671	0		X
7	Humboldt	In Progress	273,227	309,720		X
8	Imperial	In Progress	218,597	285,333		X
9	Inyo <sup>2</sup>	In Progress	157,849	0		X
10	Los Angeles	In Progress	23,656,000	28,396,000		X
11	Marin	In Progress	474,805	476,753		X
12	Merced	In Progress	558,140	419,449		X
13	Modoc <sup>3</sup>	In Progress	31,162	918		X
14	Monterey	In Progress	628,824	628,824		X
15	Napa	In Progress	452,943	230,079		X
16	Nevada <sup>4</sup>	In Progress	217,835	281,349		X
17	Orange	In Progress	4,950,165	4,519,492		X
18	Placer	In Progress	585,167	500,000		X
19	Riverside	In Progress	7,159,229	6,756,172		X
20	Sacramento	In Progress	1,918,448	2,100,000		X
21	San Benito <sup>4</sup>	In Progress	116,949	22,480		X
22	San Bernardino	In Progress	2,845,683	0		X
23	San Diego	In Progress	774,706	2,200,000		X
24	San Francisco	In Progress	3,818,576	4,670,026		X
25	San Joaquin	In Progress	931,011	5,198,000		X
26	San Luis Obispo	In Progress	520,719	402,745		X
27	San Mateo	In Progress	1,119,734	1,444,211		X
28	Santa Barbara	In Progress	1,142,134	1,199,361		X
29	Santa Clara	In Progress	2,033,816	2,382,519		X
30	Santa Cruz	In Progress	178,215	210,199		X
31	Shasta	In Progress	667,154	691,021		X
32	Solano	In Progress	574,761	403,512		X
33	Sonoma	In Progress	540,554	486,299		X
34	Stanislaus	In Progress	875,803	1,181,356		X
35	Tulare	In Progress	1,659,430	850,000		X
36	Ventura	In Progress	1,462,308	1,562,167		X
37	Yuba	In Progress	502,432	129,341		X
	<b>TOTALS</b>		<b>\$ 65,646,980</b>	<b>\$ 72,252,129</b>		<b>37</b>

**Notes:**

1. Pending debt for new approved capital project
2. Bonded indebtedness: approved project under way, pending completion for Glenn, El Dorado, and Inyo
3. Debt retired in FY 2008-2009; pending receipt of county report to confirm
4. Final county report: CCF balance transferred to State Court Facilities Construction Fund per Gov. Code, § 70402(a)

# July 1, 2009 to June 30, 2010

## Overview of County Reporting on Local Courthouse Construction Funds Under Government Code Section 70403(d) ATTACHMENT 6

	County	Review Status (complete/in progress)	Revenues for Period (as reported by county)	Expenditures for Period (as reported by county)	Repayments Due	Bonded Indebtedness (indicated in report)
1	Alameda <sup>1</sup>	In Progress	2,612,121	(2,444,214)		X
2	Amador	In Progress	48,075	48,075		X
3	Butte	In Progress	76,149	0		X
4	Contra Costa	In Progress	1,316,789	1,317,236		X
5	El Dorado <sup>2</sup>	In Progress	193,653	484,855		X
6	Glenn <sup>2</sup>	In Progress	162,380	0		X
7	Humboldt	In Progress	252,781	314,535		X
8	Imperial <sup>3</sup>	In Progress	86,222	285,763		X
9	Inyo <sup>2</sup>	In Progress	168,589	0		X
10	Los Angeles	In Progress	22,144,000	27,810,000		X
11	Marin	In Progress	435,339	507,000		X
12	Merced	In Progress	462,112	1,605,036		X
13	Monterey	In Progress	607,576	607,576		X
14	Napa	In Progress	445,445	220,244		X
15	Orange	In Progress	5,606,919	4,512,691		X
16	Placer	In Progress	531,102	500,000		X
17	Riverside	In Progress	7,751,474	6,968,876		X
18	Sacramento	In Progress	2,011,315	1,977,600		X
19	San Bernardino	In Progress	2,860,071	2,052,703		X
20	San Diego	In Progress	995,110	(7,773,745)		X
21	San Francisco	In Progress	3,588,795	4,635,781		X
22	San Joaquin	In Progress	244,882	187,459		X
23	San Luis Obispo	In Progress	439,924	306,069		X
24	San Mateo	In Progress	1,321,247	1,398,699		X
25	Santa Barbara	In Progress	1,230,460	1,345,019		X
26	Santa Clara	In Progress	1,864,910	1,994,420		X
27	Santa Cruz	In Progress	168,234	211,112		X
28	Shasta	In Progress	572,397	697,373		X
29	Solano	In Progress	568,979	402,768		X
30	Sonoma	In Progress	578,545	735,327		X
31	Stanislaus	In Progress	815,137	388,830		X
32	Tulare	In Progress	360,426	300,000		X
33	Ventura	In Progress	1,338,867	1,555,461		X
34	Yuba	In Progress	702,066	689,975		X
	<b>TOTALS</b>		<b>\$ 62,562,091</b>	<b>\$ 53,842,524</b>		<b>34</b>

### Notes:

1. Pending debt for new approved capital project

2. Bonded indebtedness: approved project under way, pending completion for Glenn, El Dorado, and Inyo

3. Bonded debt retired in February 2010; this will be the final reporting period; CCF balance transferred to State Court Facilities Construction Fund per Gov. Code, § 70402(a)

# July 1, 2010 to June 30, 2011

## Overview of County Reporting on Local Courthouse Construction Funds Under Government Code Section 70403(d) ATTACHMENT 7

	County	Review Status (complete/in progress)	Revenues for Period (as reported by county)	Expenditures for Period (as reported by county)	Repayments Due	Bonded Indebtedness (indicated in report)
1	Alameda <sup>1</sup>	In Progress	2,530,934	550,729		X
2	Amador	In Progress	37,317	36,525		X
3	Butte	In Progress	85,512	249,860		X
4	Contra Costa	In Progress	1,308,510	1,308,510		X
5	El Dorado <sup>2</sup>	In Progress	97,970	1,032,936		X
6	Glenn <sup>2</sup>	In Progress	146,737	0		X
7	Humboldt	In Progress	250,695	310,935		X
8	Inyo <sup>2</sup>	In Progress	153,131	0		X
9	Los Angeles	In Progress	19,513,000	24,769,000		X
10	Marin	In Progress	512,977	398,681		X
11	Merced	In Progress	494,217	494,217		X
12	Monterey	In Progress	601,061	542,313		X
13	Napa	In Progress	452,511	233,979		X
14	Orange	In Progress	5,241,871	4,532,460		X
15	Placer	In Progress	455,630	500,000		X
16	Riverside	In Progress	7,413,489	7,961,871		X
17	Sacramento	In Progress	1,633,444	1,790,000		X
18	San Bernardino	In Progress	2,438,086	2,244,140		X
19	San Diego	In Progress	775,112	775,112		X
20	San Francisco	In Progress	3,228,950	4,573,121		X
21	San Joaquin	In Progress	157,764	209,573		X
22	San Luis Obispo	In Progress	313,710	307,169		X
23	San Mateo	In Progress	1,526,321	3,856,256		X
24	Santa Barbara	In Progress	1,129,184	578,258		X
25	Santa Clara	In Progress	1,800,171	2,187,964		X
26	Santa Cruz	In Progress	150,443	210,762		X
27	Shasta	In Progress	529,828	679,900		X
28	Solano	In Progress	405,350	408,515		X
29	Sonoma	In Progress	523,842	564,544		X
30	Stanislaus	In Progress	822,537	319,944		X
31	Tulare	In Progress	379,762	522,255		X
32	Ventura	In Progress	1,168,925	1,540,047		X
33	Yuba	In Progress	327,598	198,312		X
	<b>TOTALS</b>		<b>\$ 56,606,590</b>	<b>\$ 63,887,888</b>		<b>33</b>

### Notes:

1. Pending debt for new approved capital project

2. Bonded indebtedness: approved project under way, pending completion for Glenn, El Dorado, Inyo, and Siskiyou (report not received)

## July 1, 2011 to June 30, 2012

### Overview of County Reporting on Local Courthouse Construction Funds Under Government Code Section 70403(d) ATTACHMENT 8

	County	Review Status (complete/in progress)	Revenues for Period (as reported by county)	Expenditures for Period (as reported by county)	Repayments Due	Bonded Indebtedness (indicated in report)
1	Alameda <sup>1</sup>	In Progress	2,366,638	2,322,747		X
2	Amador	In Progress	40,387	39,854		X
3	Butte	In Progress	101,211	109,853		X
4	Contra Costa	In Progress	1,046,449	1,046,449		X
5	El Dorado <sup>2</sup>	In Progress	58,363	1,118,967		X
6	Glenn <sup>3</sup>	In Progress	141,838	637,159		X
7	Humboldt	In Progress	241,573	309,720		X
8	Los Angeles	In Progress	17,906,000	27,351,000		X
9	Marin	In Progress	473,298	450,000		X
10	Merced	In Progress	465,336	1,197,798		X
11	Monterey	In Progress	567,526	590,005		X
12	Napa	In Progress	410,556	233,962		X
13	Orange <sup>3</sup>	In Progress	(324,766)	4,533,653		X
14	Placer	In Progress	357,841	500,000		X
15	Riverside	In Progress	6,379,663	6,302,426		X
16	Sacramento	In Progress	1,709,607	1,594,000		X
17	San Bernardino	In Progress	2,056,446	9,007,338		X
18	San Diego	In Progress	1,074,854	880,000		X
19	San Francisco	In Progress	3,031,247	4,570,627		X
20	San Joaquin	In Progress	182,206	210,804		X
21	San Luis Obispo	In Progress	405,532	308,119		X
22	San Mateo	In Progress	1,233,152	1,320,075		X
23	Santa Barbara	In Progress	992,318	714,908		X
24	Santa Clara	In Progress	1,850,633	2,293,536		X
25	Santa Cruz	In Progress	119,332	184,429		X
26	Shasta	In Progress	473,583	418,546		X
27	Solano	In Progress	393,515	407,204		X
28	Sonoma	In Progress	598,381	734,584		X
29	Stanislaus	In Progress	683,157	329,542		X
30	Tulare	In Progress	353,309	352,000		X
31	Ventura	In Progress	1,105,507	1,536,739		X
32	Yuba	In Progress	319,114	42,069		X
	<b>TOTALS</b>		<b>\$ 46,813,806</b>	<b>\$ 71,648,113</b>		<b>32</b>

**Notes:**

1. Pending debt for new approved capital project
2. Bonded indebtedness: approved project under way, pending completion for Inyo (report not received), and Siskiyou (report not received)
3. Report revised to reflect updated county reporting.

## July 1, 2012 to June 30, 2013

### Overview of County Reporting on Local Courthouse Construction Funds Under Government Code Section 70403(d) ATTACHMENT 9

	County	Review Status (complete/in progress)	Revenues for Period (as reported by county)	Expenditures for Period (as reported by county)	Repayments Due	Bonded Indebtedness (indicated in report)
1	Alameda <sup>1</sup>	In Progress	2,485,671	1,137,754		X
2	Amador	In Progress	45,474	45,117		X
3	Butte	In Progress	84,544	88,515		X
4	Contra Costa	In Progress	1,651,301	1,425,736		X
5	El Dorado <sup>2,3</sup>	In Progress	34,540	151,026		X
6	Glenn	In Progress	135,432	0		X
7	Humboldt	In Progress	223,486	309,720		X
8	Los Angeles	In Progress	16,109,000	25,672,000		X
9	Marin	In Progress	409,862	450,000		X
10	Merced	In Progress	435,065	419,379		X
11	Monterey	In Progress	536,676	523,762		X
12	Napa	In Progress	410,822	232,741		X
13	Orange	In Progress	2,731,944	3,926,135		X
14	Placer	In Progress	335,005	316,000		X
15	Riverside	In Progress	6,261,824	7,499,484		X
16	Sacramento	In Progress	1,583,788	1,710,000		X
17	San Bernardino	In Progress	1,877,838	1,893,238		X
18	San Diego	In Progress	935,586	880,000		X
19	San Francisco	In Progress	2,942,727	4,735,166		X
20	San Joaquin	In Progress	128,326	171,979		X
21	San Luis Obispo	In Progress	373,124	308,768		X
22	San Mateo	In Progress	1,184,153	1,327,071		X
23	Santa Barbara	In Progress	895,428	866,791		X
24	Santa Clara	In Progress	2,224,957	2,224,957		X
25	Santa Cruz	In Progress	113,217	113,217		X
26	Shasta	In Progress	469,811	600,347		X
27	Solano	In Progress	338,527	400,250		X
28	Sonoma	In Progress	422,827	563,549		X
29	Stanislaus	In Progress	594,254	339,428		X
30	Tulare	In Progress	353,428	356,000		X
31	Ventura	In Progress	1,039,784	1,528,445		X
32	Yuba	In Progress	288,603	27,778		X
	<b>TOTALS</b>		<b>\$ 47,657,024</b>	<b>\$ 60,244,353</b>		<b>32</b>

**Notes:**

1. Pending debt for new approved capital project
2. Bonded indebtedness: approved project under way, pending completion for Inyo (report not received), and Siskiyou (report not received)
3. Partial CCF balance transferred to State Court Facilities Construction Fund per Gov. Code, § 70402(a)

## July 1, 2013 to June 30, 2014

### Overview of County Reporting on Local Courthouse Construction Funds Under Government Code Section 70403(d) ATTACHMENT 10

	County	Review Status (complete/in progress)	Revenues for Period (as reported by county)	Expenditures for Period (as reported by county)	Repayments Due	Bonded Indebtedness (indicated in report)
1	Alameda <sup>1</sup>	In Progress	2,369,762	1,019,287		X
2	Amador	In Progress	31,178	31,055		X
3	Butte <sup>3</sup>	In Progress	49,854	92,977		X
4	Contra Costa	In Progress	1,151,351	1,376,810		X
5	El Dorado <sup>2</sup>	In Progress	20,391	83,104		X
6	Glenn <sup>2</sup>	In Progress	130,092	0		X
7	Humboldt	In Progress	201,410	309,720		X
8	Los Angeles	In Progress	16,162,000	21,428,000		X
9	Marin	In Progress	429,291	450,000		X
10	Merced	In Progress	389,021	391,577		X
11	Monterey	In Progress	498,101	502,886		X
12	Napa	In Progress	393,937	428,146		X
13	Orange	In Progress	2,526,864	3,931,815		X
14	Placer	In Progress	309,068	200,000		X
15	Riverside	In Progress	5,648,816	7,816,740		X
16	Sacramento	In Progress	1,503,029	1,530,000		X
17	San Bernardino	In Progress	1,858,919	1,856,895		X
18	San Diego	In Progress	973,381	925,000		X
19	San Francisco	In Progress	2,764,314	(1,642,048)		X
20	San Joaquin	In Progress	233,078	176,321		X
21	San Luis Obispo	In Progress	379,180	309,434		X
22	San Mateo	In Progress	1,168,417	1,285,003		X
23	Santa Barbara	In Progress	867,708	967,523		X
24	Santa Clara	In Progress	1,344,302	1,209,531		X
25	Santa Cruz	In Progress	110,760	110,760		X
26	Shasta	In Progress	471,040	338,887		X
27	Solano	In Progress	312,423	398,991		X
28	Sonoma	In Progress	522,716	452,158		X
29	Stanislaus	In Progress	579,712	337,780		X
30	Tulare	In Progress	327,228	325,966		X
31	Ventura	In Progress	993,181	1,531,934		X
32	Yuba <sup>3</sup>	In Progress	192,022	20,507		X
	<b>TOTALS</b>		<b>\$ 44,912,546</b>	<b>\$ 48,196,759</b>		<b>32</b>

**Notes:**

1. Pending debt for new approved capital project, loan from the Immediate and Critical Needs Account (Fund 3138) authorized in FY 2014-2015.

2. Bonded indebtedness: approved project under way, pending completion for Inyo (report not received), and Siskiyou (report not received)

3. Butte and Yuba Debt Service retired in FY 2013-2014. Final county report: CCF balance to be transferred to State Court Facilities Construction Fund per Gov. Code, § 70402(a)



## July 1, 2014 to June 30, 2015

### Overview of County Reporting on Local Courthouse Construction Funds Under Government Code Section 70403(d) ATTACHMENT 11

	County	Review Status (complete/in progress)	Revenues for Period (as reported by county)	Expenditures for Period (as reported by county)	Repayments Due	Bonded Indebtedness (indicated in report)
1	Alameda <sup>1</sup>	In Progress	2,277,226	8,707,581		X
2	Amador	In Progress	34,585	34,302		X
3	Contra Costa	In Progress	1,361,874	1,361,981		X
4	El Dorado <sup>2,3</sup>	In Progress	12,963	73,308		X
5	Glenn	In Progress	129,045	0		X
6	Humboldt	In Progress	206,992	309,720		X
7	Los Angeles	In Progress	15,371,000	25,278,000		X
8	Marin	In Progress	386,669	448,026		X
9	Merced	In Progress	339,788	340,465		X
10	Monterey	In Progress	481,971	456,906		X
11	Napa	In Progress	379,761	7,359		X
12	Orange	In Progress	4,006,524	3,939,167		X
13	Placer	In Progress	303,282	200,000		X
14	Riverside	In Progress	5,267,529	7,829,100		X
15	Sacramento	In Progress	1,473,332	1,480,000		X
16	San Bernardino	In Progress	1,759,535	1,760,364		X
17	San Diego	In Progress	1,529,645	970,000		X
18	San Francisco	In Progress	2,604,373	2,712,322		X
19	San Joaquin	In Progress	778,528	181,196		X
20	San Luis Obispo	In Progress	420,737	353,319		X
21	San Mateo	In Progress	1,112,077	1,135,270		X
22	Santa Barbara	In Progress	892,907	747,046		X
23	Santa Clara	In Progress	1,312,129	1,261,190		X
24	Santa Cruz	In Progress	115,794	115,794		X
25	Shasta	In Progress	472,279	664,625		X
26	Solano	In Progress	265,214	398,671		X
27	Sonoma	In Progress	503,108	461,939		X
28	Stanislaus	In Progress	486,828	360,099		X
29	Tulare	In Progress	658,142	344,724		X
30	Ventura	In Progress	969,253	1,887,572		X
	<b>TOTALS</b>		<b>\$ 45,913,090</b>	<b>\$ 63,820,046</b>		<b>30</b>

**Notes:**

1. Pending debt for new approved capital project

2. Bonded indebtedness: approved project under way, pending completion for Inyo (report not received), and Siskiyou (report not received)

3. Partial CCF balance transferred to State Court Facilities Construction Fund per Gov. Code, § 70402(a)

## July 1, 2015 to June 30, 2016

### Overview of County Reporting on Local Courthouse Construction Funds Under Government Code Section 70403(d) ATTACHMENT 12

	County	Review Status (complete/in progress)	Revenues for Period (as reported by county)	Expenditures for Period (as reported by county)	Repayments Due	Bonded Indebtedness (indicated in report)
1	Alameda <sup>1</sup>	In Progress	2,468,690	2,355,691		X
2	Amador	In Progress	31,360	31,017		X
3	Contra Costa	In Progress	1,266,862	1,266,862		X
4	El Dorado <sup>2,3</sup>	In Progress	9,375	60,249		X
5	Glenn	In Progress	107,892	0		X
6	Humboldt	In Progress	197,729	303,095		X
7	Los Angeles	In Progress	13,285,000	20,803,000		X
8	Marin <sup>5</sup>	In Progress	351,711	353,259		X
9	Merced	In Progress	342,228	361,917		X
10	Monterey <sup>4</sup>	In Progress	491,460	453,019		X
11	Napa	In Progress	329,638	0		X
12	Orange	In Progress	3,684,544	3,687,134		X
13	Placer	In Progress	274,686	330,000		X
14	Riverside	In Progress	4,921,034	4,826,056		X
15	Sacramento	In Progress	1,340,666	1,222,985		X
16	San Bernardino	In Progress	1,355,117	(432,554)		X
17	San Diego	In Progress	1,054,010	1,283,876		X
18	San Francisco	In Progress	2,526,744	2,506,069		X
19	San Joaquin	In Progress	2,989,592	185,757		X
20	San Luis Obispo	In Progress	393,972	447,252		X
21	San Mateo	In Progress	979,591	1,376,103		X
22	Santa Barbara	In Progress	849,818	218,939		X
23	Santa Clara	In Progress	1,247,735	1,247,706		X
24	Santa Cruz	In Progress	115,816	115,816		X
25	Shasta	In Progress	526,709	527,467		X
26	Solano	In Progress	267,615	397,193		X
27	Sonoma	In Progress	453,170	448,488		X
28	Stanislaus	In Progress	442,853	711,511		X
29	Tulare	In Progress	576,251	41,350		X
30	Ventura	In Progress	929,404	1,946,342		X
	<b>TOTALS</b>		<b>\$ 43,811,272</b>	<b>\$ 47,075,599</b>		<b>30</b>

**Notes:**

1. Pending debt for new approved capital project
2. Bonded indebtedness: approved project under way, pending completion for Inyo (report not received), and Siskiyou (report not received)
3. Partial CCF balance transferred to State Court Facilities Construction Fund per Gov. Code, § 70402(a)
4. Report updated to reflect county reporting that was not provided for last years report.
5. Report revised to reflect updated county reporting.