

JUDICIAL COUNCIL OF CALIFORNIA

455 Golden Gate Avenue · San Francisco, California 94102-3688 www.courts.ca.gov

REPORT TO THE JUDICIAL COUNCIL

For business meeting on July 28, 2017

Title

Trial Court Allocations: General Court Operations and Specific Costs for Fiscal Year 2017–2018

Rules, Forms, Standards, or Statutes Affected None

Recommended by

Trial Court Budget Advisory Committee Hon. Jonathan B. Conklin, Chair **Agenda Item Type**

Action Required

Effective Date July 28, 2017

Date of Report July 24, 2017

Contact

Suzanne Blihovde, 916-263-1754 suzanne.blihovde@jud.ca.gov

Executive Summary

For 2017–2018, the Trial Court Budget Advisory Committee recommends the Judicial Council allocate \$1.849 billion to the trial courts from the Trial Court Trust Fund (TCTF) and state General Fund for general court operations and specific costs. The recommended allocations include \$1.821 billion in 2017–2018 beginning base funding for general court operations, each court's share of \$4.8 million in new funding for non-interpreter employee benefits, a statewide net reallocation of \$720.2 million for general court operations using the Workload-Based Allocation and Funding Methodology (WAFM), a net zero allocation for the WAFM funding-floor adjustment, a preliminary one-time allocation reduction related to the 1 percent cap on trial court fund balances, \$22 million in new funding for reimbursement of court-appointed dependency counsel costs, and \$9.2 million for criminal justice realignment costs. Assuming approval of the allocations and given current revenue projections and estimated savings from appropriations, the TCTF will end 2017–2018 with a fund balance of \$27.2 million, of which approximately \$10.4 million will be unrestricted.

Recommendation

The Trial Court Budget Advisory Committee recommends that the Judicial Council, effective July 28, 2017:

- 1. Approve the 2017–2018 beginning base allocation for court operations of \$1.821 billion (see Attachment 1F, column 9), which carries forward the ending 2016–2017 TCTF base allocation (column 6), and adds the General Fund benefits base allocation (column 7) and adjustments to annualize partial-year allocations made in 2016–2017 (column 8) (see Attachment 1B, column 1).
- 2. Allocate a total of \$4.8 million for non-interpreter employee benefits funding from the TCTF for each court's share of 2016–2017 cost changes in the Budget Act of 2017 (the remaining \$174,000 provided for 2016–2017 court interpreter benefits cost changes would be added to the TCTF Court Interpreter Program 0150037). (See Attachment 1B, column 2.)
- 3. Allocate each court's share from the TCTF using the 2017–2018 WAFM consisting of a reallocation of 50 percent (\$720.2 million) and an additional \$233.8 million of courts' historical WAFM-related base allocation of \$1.44 billion, and reallocation of \$233.8 million in new funding provided from 2013–2014 through 2016–2017 for general court operations for general court operations for a net zero total allocation (see Attachment 1B, column 3).
- 4. Allocate each court's share of the 2017–2018 WAFM funding-floor allocation adjustment, which includes funding-floor allocations in which six courts receive a total of \$321,949 in floor adjustments and all other courts are allocated a reduction totaling \$321,949, for a net zero total allocation (see Attachment 1B, column 4).
- 5. Approve a one-time allocation of \$9.2 million for criminal justice realignment costs from the TCTF based on the most current available postrelease community supervision (PRCS) and parole workload data submitted to the Judicial Council's Criminal Justice Services office pursuant to Penal Code section 13155 (see Attachment 1B, column 5).
- 6. Approve a preliminary one-time allocation reduction of \$7.77 million to 16 courts that are projecting the portion of their 2016–2017 ending fund balance that is subject to the 1 percent fund balance cap to exceed the cap by \$7.77 million, as required by statute (see Attachment 1B, column 6).
- 7. Approve an allocation of \$22 million in new funding from the Trial Court Trust Fund for reimbursement of court-appointed dependency counsel costs based on the Judicial Council—approved allocation methodology as follows:
 Allocate \$22 million to trial courts with a ratio of 2017–2018 base funding to their workload-based funding need that is below the statewide ratio of 2017–2018 base funding to funding

needed to meet the workload standard for juvenile dependency (see Attachment 1B, column 7).

8. Allocate \$14.66 million from the Programs 0140010 and 0150095 TCTF Judicial Council and Trial Court Operations appropriations (see Attachment 1D, column F) and \$157.6 million from the Program 0150010–Support for Operation of the Trial Courts appropriation (see Attachment 1E, column C, rows 21–28).

Recommendations 1 through 5 and a portion of recommendation 8 were voted on as a block at the June 8, 2017 meeting and two members opposed. One member did not state a reason for the opposition to the recommendations, and the other voted no due to frustrations related to the continued lack of adequate funding. The substance of the recommendations was not opposed. Recommendation 7 and the balance of recommendation 8 were approved by the majority (with one abstention) in the June 29, 2017, action by email.

For a summary of the allocations by court related to recommendations 1 through 7, see Attachment 1B.

Recommendation 1: 2017–2018 Beginning Base Allocation for Court Operations

1. Approve the 2017–2018 beginning base allocation for court operations of \$1.821 billion (see Attachment 1F, column 9), which carries forward the ending 2016–2017 TCTF base allocation (column 6), and adds the General Fund benefits base allocation (column 7) and adjustments to annualize partial-year allocations made in 2016–2017 (column 8) (see Attachment 1B, column 1).

Previous council action

None.

Rationale for recommendation 1

Government Code section 68502.5(c)(2)(A) requires the council to make a preliminary allocation in July of each fiscal year and a final allocation before February of each fiscal year:

When setting the allocations for trial courts, the Judicial Council shall set a preliminary allocation in July of each fiscal year. The preliminary allocation shall include an estimate of available trial court reserves as of June 30 of the prior fiscal year and each court's preliminary allocation shall be offset by the amount of reserves in excess of the amount authorized to be carried over pursuant to subdivision (b) of Section 77203. In January of each fiscal year, after review of available trial court reserves as of June 30 of the prior fiscal year, the Judicial Council shall finalize allocations to trial courts and each court's finalized

allocation shall be offset by the amount of reserves in excess of the amount authorized to be carried over pursuant to subdivision (b) of Section 77203.

Given current revenue projections and estimated savings from appropriations and the proposed 2017–2018 allocations for the eight recommendations discussed in this report, the TCTF will end 2017–2018 with a fund balance of \$27.2 million (see Attachment 1C, column C, row 33). Excluding about \$16.7 million in fund balance that is either statutorily restricted or restricted by the council—mainly the emergency needs reserve and savings related to the TCTF Program 0150037–Court Interpreters appropriation—the unrestricted fund balance is projected to be \$10.4 million (see Attachment 1C, column C, row 43).

Recommendation 2: 2016–2017 Benefits Costs Funding

2. Allocate a total of \$4.8 million for non-interpreter employee benefits funding from the TCTF for each court's share of 2016–2017 cost changes in the Budget Act of 2017. (The remaining \$174,000 provided for 2016–2017 court interpreter benefits cost changes would be added to the TCTF Court Interpreter Program 0150037). (See Attachment 1B, column 2.)

Previous council action

None.

Rationale for recommendation 2

In the fall of 2016, a budget augmentation of \$7.1 million was submitted to the Department of Finance to address the full-year impact to the trial courts in 2017–2018 of changes in costs for retirement, retiree health, and employee health that were anticipated to occur in 2016–2017. Many of the health-related costs were unconfirmed at that time. The understanding was that a revised request would be submitted in mid-March 2017 that would provide updated, confirmed amounts. The \$4.96 million in the 2017 May Revise submission reflected a reduction in the augmentation of \$2.1 million from the Governor's initial proposal, which is attributed to employee and retiree health premiums and/or employer share amounts coming in lower than estimated by courts at the time of original submission. The 2017 Budget Act reflects the reduced total of \$4.96 million (see Attachment 1G, column D).

Recommendation 3: 2017–2018 WAFM Reallocation Adjustments

3. Allocate each court's share from the TCTF using the 2017–2018 WAFM consisting of a reallocation of 50 percent (\$720.2 million) and an additional \$233.8 million of courts' historical WAFM-related base allocation of \$1.44 billion, and reallocation of \$233.8 million in new funding provided from 2013–2014 through 2016–2017 for general court operations for general court operations for a net zero total allocation (see Attachment 1B, column 3).

Previous council action

On April 26, 2013, the council adopted a policy to phase in the use of WAFM for reallocating courts' historical WAFM base funding, as of the end of 2012–2013, over a five-year period starting in 2013–2014, in which 50 percent of historical funding would be reallocated according to WAFM by 2017–2018. The council adopted an exception to the phase-in of reallocation of historical funding in years when new funding for general court operations was provided. In such years, additional historical funding, above and beyond the phase-in level and up to the level of the new funding amount, would be reallocated.

The council adopted a number of revisions to WAFM on February 20, 2014. To determine funding need for courts whose WAFM workload need is fewer than 50 full-time equivalents (FTEs), the council:

- Adopted the use of the most current three-year average salary data to determine each court's Bureau of Labor Statistics (BLS) salary adjustment;
- Adopted a per-FTE dollar allotment floor that is the median, BLS-adjusted, average FTE dollar allotment; and
- Eliminated the "cluster 1" courts' exemption from having their historical base allocations reallocated using the WAFM.

At its June 25–26, 2015 business meeting, the council approved the Workload Assessment Advisory Committee (WAAC) recommendation to use an interim complex civil case weight in the Resource Assessment Study (RAS) model for purposes of 2015–2016 budget allocations. The council also approved the TCBAC recommendation to reduce the \$90.6 million of new funding provided in the 2015 Budget Act by the existing \$22.7 million revenue shortfall before allocating the monies using WAFM. The 2017–2018 WAFM computation reflects modifications to the calculation of WAFM operating expenses and equipment (OE&E) per FTE amounts that were approved by the council on April 15, 2016. Changes to the RAS model FTE need parameters were approved by WAAC on May 2, 2017, and are scheduled to go before the Judicial Council for approval at the July 28, 2017 business meeting.

Rationale for recommendation 3

The 2017–2018 WAFM computation reflects the council-approved modifications to the calculation of the WAFM OE&E per FTE amounts and the updated RAS FTE need parameters. In addition, the 2017–2018 WAFM is updated to include:

- 2016–2017 Schedule 7A salary and benefit budgets (as of July 1, 2016);
- Average filings from 2013–2014 through 2015–2016;
- Three-year average salary data from 2013 to 2015 from the BLS;
- Three-year average OE&E data from 2013–2014 to 2015–2016 from courts' fourth quarter quarterly financial statements; and
- 2015–2016 AB 1058 child support grant reimbursement data (see Attachment 1H).

Attachment 1L displays the various WAFM reallocation adjustments by court. Column G displays the net reallocation of 50 percent (\$720.2 million) of courts' historical base funding using the current WAFM. Column P displays the reversal of the reallocation of 40 percent of courts' historical base funding that was allocated on an ongoing basis in 2016–2017. The sum of columns G and P provides the net change that is being reallocated in 2017–2018 due to the phase-in of WAFM. Columns J and N display the updated net reallocation of \$233.8 million in historical base funding using the current WAFM and the updated allocation of \$233.8 million in new funding in 2013–2014 through 2016–2017, respectively. Column Q displays the reversal of the ongoing allocations made in 2016–2017 related to the \$233.8 million. The sum of columns J, N, and Q provides the net change in the \$233.8 million that is being allocated in 2017–2018.

Other attachments provide detail underlying the information displayed in Attachments 1H and 1L. Attachments 1I, 1J, and 1K provide detail related to the RAS workload/FTE need, BLS factor, and FTE allotment factor, respectively, displayed in Attachment 1H. Attachment 1M provides the detail of courts' historical WAFM-related base allocation of the \$1.44 billion that is used in Attachment 1L. Attachment 1N provides a summary comparison of changes in WAFM need from 2016–2017 through 2017–2018.

Recommendation 4: 2017–2018 WAFM Funding-Floor Allocation Adjustment

4. Allocate each court's share of the 2017–2018 WAFM funding-floor allocation adjustment, which includes funding-floor allocations in which six courts receive a total of \$321,949 in floor adjustments and all other courts are allocated a reduction totaling \$321,949, for a net zero total allocation (see Attachment 1B, column 4).

Previous council action

At its February 20, 2014 meeting, for allocating trial court base funding for court operations, the council established an absolute funding floor (\$750,000 in 2015–2016) and a graduated funding floor that is based on a court's WAFM funding need (\$875,000, \$1,250,000, and \$1,875,000 in 2015–2016). The council funded the funding-floor allocation by reducing, pro rata, the allocations of courts that do not qualify for an absolute or graduated funding floor.

Rationale for recommendation 4

Each court's share of the 2017–2018 WAFM funding-floor allocation adjustment is based on the policy adopted by the council on February 20, 2014: Six courts receive a total of \$321,949 in floor adjustments and all other courts are allocated a reduction totaling \$321,949, for a net zero total allocation.

The allocation adjustment for each court is displayed in Attachment 1B (summary table) and Attachment 1O (columns C and E). The funding-floor allocations that six courts received are displayed in column C of Attachment 1O. As displayed in Attachment 1P, two courts were eligible for the absolute funding-floor level of \$750,000, one court for the graduated level of

\$875,000, one for \$1,250,000, and two courts for the graduated level of \$1,874,999. The funding-floor adjustment for courts that did not receive a funding-floor allocation is displayed in column E of Attachment 1O. Attachment 1P displays whether a court is eligible for a funding-floor adjustment and, if so, the court's maximum funding-floor amount. Attachment 1Q displays each court's 2017–2018 WAFM-related base allocation before and after any funding-floor adjustment.

Recommendation 5: Criminal Justice Realignment Allocation

5. Approve a one-time allocation of \$9.2 million for criminal justice realignment costs from the TCTF based on the most current available postrelease community supervision (PRCS) and parole workload data submitted to the Judicial Council's Criminal Justice Services office pursuant to Penal Code section 13155 (see Attachment 1B, column 5).

Previous council action

In 2011–2012 and 2012–2013, the funding provided in the Budget Act to address costs related to the Criminal Justice Realignment Act of 2011 was allocated to the trial courts based on each court's percentage of the total estimated petitions for revocation, as estimated by the California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation. Based on the recommendation of the TCBAC, in 2013–2014 the funding was split into two equal amounts. The first half was allocated in July 2013 based on the same methodology as previous allocations. The second half was allocated in February 2014 using a methodology that incorporated population (each court's percentage of the statewide population of individuals on PRCS and parole) and workload (each court's percentage of the statewide number of petitions filed and court motions made to revoke/modify PRCS and parole) equally. The Judicial Council approved the TCBAC's recommendation for the 2014–2015 criminal realignment allocation that it should be split into two equal amounts, with the first half being allocated in July 2014 using the same population and workload methodology, but that the second half in January 2015 be allocated based solely on workload related to PRCS and parole.

At its July 28, 2015 business meeting, the Judicial Council approved the TCBAC recommendation of a one-time 2015–2016 allocation of \$9.2 million for criminal justice realignment costs. The allocation was based on the most current available PRCS and parole workload data submitted to the council's Criminal Justice Services office pursuant to Penal Code section 13155—each court's percentage of the statewide number of petitions filed and court motions made to revoke/modify PRCS and parole.

Rationale for recommendation 5

Allocate a one-time amount of \$9.2 million for criminal justice realignment costs based on the Judicial Council's approved methodology as indicated in column C of Attachment 1R.

Recommendation 6: Preliminary One-Time Allocation Reduction for Fund Balance above the 1 Percent Cap

6. Approve a preliminary one-time allocation reduction of \$7.77 million to 16 courts that are projecting the portion of their 2016–2017 ending fund balance that is subject to the 1 percent fund balance cap to exceed the cap by \$7.77 million, as required by statute (see Attachment 1B, column 6).

Previous council action

At its July 29, 2014 business meeting, to assist the Judicial Council in complying with Government Code section 68502.5(c)(2)(A), the council approved an annual process starting in 2015–2016 for courts to provide preliminary and final computations of the portion of their ending fund balance that is subject to the 1 percent cap:

- Each year, courts will be required to submit the 1 percent computation form with preliminary year-end information by July 15. The information provided by courts will be used by the council to make the preliminary allocation of reductions as required by statute. Courts would not be required to provide the details related to encumbrances, prepayments, and restricted revenue when submitting the form for the preliminary allocation.
- Each year, courts will be required to submit the 1 percent computation form with final yearend information by October 15.
- Before February, the Judicial Council's Chief Financial Officer will report to the council the information provided by courts for the final allocation reduction, if any.

Rationale for recommendation 6

Government Code section 68502.5(c)(2)(A) requires the council to make a preliminary allocation reduction in July of each fiscal year (see Attachment 1S) and a final allocation reduction before February of each fiscal year to offset the amount of reserves (or fund balance) in excess of the amount authorized by Government Code section 77203 to be carried over from one year to the next beginning June 30, 2014. The reductions reflect the calculated preliminary allocation reduction amounts provided by the courts on the 1% Fund Balance Cap Calculation Form (see Attachment 1T).

Recommendation 7: Allocation for Court-Appointed Dependency Counsel Costs

7. Approve the allocation of \$22 million in new funding from the Trial Court Trust Fund for reimbursement of court-appointed dependency counsel costs based on the Judicial Council—approved allocation methodology as follows:

Allocate \$22 million to trial courts with a ratio of 2017–2018 base funding to their workload-based funding need that is below the statewide ratio of 2017–2018 base funding to funding needed to meet the workload standard for juvenile dependency (see Attachment 1B, column 7).

Previous council action

The Judicial Council at its April 17, 2015 meeting approved several recommendations from the TCBAC that direct the allocation of court-appointed counsel funding to the courts. The council approved a methodology for allocating the existing base funding of \$103,725,444 in 2014–2015 based on each court's workload as calculated by the workload model for juvenile dependency, and adjusted to available funding statewide ("workload-based funding"). The council decided to phase in the new allocation methodology with annual increases or reductions in fiscal years 2015–2016, 2016–2017, and 2017–2018, and in 2018–2019 all courts will receive an equivalent percentage of statewide funding as calculated by the workload model. The allocations are to be phased in by basing each court's annual allocation on a percentage of its historical base in 2014–2015 and a percentage of its workload-based funding in the current fiscal year, with the percentages changing annually as follows

- 2015–2016: 10% of workload-based funding and 90% of historical base;
- 2016–2017: 40% of workload-based funding and 60% of historical base;
- 2017–2018: 80% of workload-based funding and 20% of historical base; and
- 2018–2019: 100% of workload-based funding.

The council also directed that any new state funds designated for court-appointed dependency counsel in addition to the current \$103.7 million be allocated to courts with a ratio of allocated base funding to their calculated workload-based funding need that is below the statewide ratio of base funding to workload-based funding required to meet the workload standard. Additional Judicial Council actions that impact funding allocations in 2015–2016 included revisions to how the workload methodology and funding need is calculated, and directed that a \$100,000 reserve be established for unexpected caseload increases. The council also approved revisions on May 19, 2017, related to small court allocations.¹

Rationale for recommendation 7

These allocations reflect the methodology and actions taken by the council mentioned above. Attachment 1U summarizes the allocation of \$22 million in new funding for court-appointed dependency counsel funding to the local courts as calculated by this method for 2017–2018.

¹ Judicial Council of Cal., Advisory Com. Rep., *Juvenile Dependency: Small Court Dependency Workload Working Group Final Recommendations* (May 5, 2017), https://jcc.legistar.com/View.ashx?M=F&ID=5150554&GUID=7D8E5F4F-6D83-4C73-A246-4F11E877A411.

Recommendation 8: Allocations for Judicial Council, Support for Operation of Trial Courts, and Expenses on Behalf of the Trial Courts

8. Allocate \$14.66 million from the Programs 0140010 and 0150095 TCTF Judicial Council and Trial Court Operations appropriations (see Attachment 1D, columns D and E) and \$157.6 million from the Program 0150010–Support for Operation of the Trial Courts appropriation (see Attachment 1E, column C, rows 21–28).

Previous council action

None.

Rationale for recommendation 8

At its June 8, 2017 meeting, TCBAC approved recommending allocating the following in 2017–2018 from the TCTF: \$13.44 million from the Programs 0140010 and 0150095 TCTF Judicial Council and Trial Court Operations appropriations and \$135.6 million from the Program 0150010–Support for Operation of the Trial Courts appropriation. This recommendation essentially maintains 2016–2017 allocation levels for discretionary programs and uses the revenue estimates in the Budget Act of 2017 for items funded by restricted revenues.

In its June 29, 2017 action by email, TCBAC approved recommending the 2017–2018 allocation and subsequent distribution reduction of a maximum of \$540,000 for audits completed by the State Controller's Office on behalf of the trial courts pursuant to Budget Act of 2017, item 0250-101-0932, Provision 15. TCBAC also approved recommending the 2017–2018 allocation of a \$671,000 loan to implement and administer a statewide electronic filing program.

Based on its actions from June 8 and June 29, TCBAC approved recommending the following combined allocation in 2017–2018 from the TCTF:

- \$14.66 million from the Programs 0140010 and 0150095 TCTF Judicial Council and Trial Court Operations appropriations (see Attachment 1D); and
- \$157.6 million from the Program 0150010–Support for Operation of the Trial Courts appropriation (see Attachment 1E, column C, rows 21–28). This total includes the \$114.7 million in existing funding for the Court-Appointed Dependency Counsel program as well as the \$22 million in new funding for this program.

Comments, Alternatives Considered, and Policy Implications

No public comments were received when the recommendations were considered by the TCBAC at its June 8, 2017 meeting or its June 29, 2017 action by email.

Implementation Requirements, Costs, and Operational Impacts

The implementation requirements, costs, and operational impacts are detailed in the preceding sections.

Attachments and Links

- 1. Attachment 1B: Summary of Court-Specific Allocations and Net Reallocations
- 2. Attachment 1C: Trial Court Trust Fund—Fund Condition Statement
- 3. Attachment 1D: TCTF 2017–2018 Judicial Council and Expenses on Behalf of the Trial Courts Appropriations Allocations (approved by the Judicial Council)
- 4. Attachment 1E: 2016–17 and 2017–18 Trial Court Trust Fund Support for Operation of the Trial Courts: Appropriation vs. Estimated/Approved Allocations
- 5. Attachment 1F: 2017–2018 Beginning Base Allocation: 2016–2017 Beginning Base, 2016–2017 Base Allocations, and Annualization
- 6. Attachment 1G: Proposed Allocation of New Funding for 2016–2017 Benefit Cost Changes
- 7. Attachment 1H: 2017–2018 Workload-Based Allocation and Funding Methodology (computation of funding need)
- 8. Attachment 1I: 2017–2018 RAS FTE Need
- 9. Attachment 1J: 2017-18 BLS Factor
- 10. Attachment 1K: 2017-18 FTE Allotment Factor
- 11. Attachment 1L: 2017–2018 Allocation of New Funding and Reallocation of Historical Funding
- 12. Attachment 1M: Historical Trial Court Funding Subject to Reallocation Using WAFM
- 13. Attachment 1N: Summary of Changes from 2016–2017 to 2017–2018 Total WAFM Funding Need
- 14. Attachment 10: 2017–2018 Allocation Adjustment Related to Funding Floor
- 15. Attachment 1P: Determination of Funding Floor
- 16. Attachment 1Q: Estimated 2017–2018 WAFM-Related Base Allocation
- 17. Attachment 1R: Allocation of \$9.223 Million of Criminal Justice Realignment Funding
- 18. Attachment 1S: Preliminary One-Time Allocation Reduction for Fund Balance above the 1% Cap
- 19. Attachment 1T: 1% Fund Balance Cap Calculation Form
- 20. Attachment 1U: Allocation of \$22 Million in New Court-Appointed Dependency Counsel Funding

Summary of Court-Specific Allocations and Net Reallocations

	D	D	D	D	D	D	D	
	Recommendation 1 Preliminary 2017- 2018 Base Allocation (TCTF and GF)	Recommendation 2 Estimated 2016- 17 Benefits Funding (Full- Year)	2017-18 WAFM Allocation Adjustments	2017-18 Funding Floor Allocation Adjustment	Recommendation 5 Criminal Justice Realignment	Reduction for Fund Balance Above the 1% Cap	Recommendation 7 Court-Appointed Dependency Counsel (one-time)	Total
Court	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
Alameda	75,837,757	(117,415)	118,477	(13,184)	252,661	(604,310)	569,404	76,043,391
Alpine	747,863	11,842	(22,964)	11,128	273	(124,881)	288	623,549
Amador	2,282,693	21,663	(76,749)	(415)	5,742	=	21,243	2,254,178
Butte	10,114,841	65,290	(37,056)	(1,747)	86,750	(173,561)	122,046	10,176,563
Calaveras	2,167,578	20,469	(124,146)	(386)	1,641	=	32,964	2,098,120
Colusa	1,859,554	21,699	28,000	(356)	3,691	(150,000)	-	1,762,588
Contra Costa	38,889,573	(627,559)	1,188,741	(7,228)	116,281	(132,189)	346,377	39,773,995
Del Norte	2,633,386	39,609	(159,821)	(468)	8,613	(127,676)	=	2,393,644
El Dorado	6,642,102	38,696	(105,821)	(1,202)	44,298	-	72,078	6,690,151
Fresno	48,290,025	(240,622)	(568,451)	(8,672)	404,626	-	419,102	48,296,009
Glenn	1,863,179	48,933	(9,648)	(354)	2,256	-	-	1,904,365
Humboldt	6,248,744	92,631	(16,433)	(1,124)	51,065	-	-	6,374,883
Imperial	8,619,428	(31,042)	34,558	(1,500)	41,221	-	77,157	8,739,823
Inyo	2,037,844	21,672	(14,883)	(350)	5,947	-	-	2,050,231
Kern	46,077,544	(866,725)	818,353	(8,309)	335,103	(74,550)	411,043	46,692,458
Kings	6,773,927	105,069	194,175	(1,196)	61,319	-	134,165	7,267,459
Lake	3,325,219	48,157	34,075	(591)	12,510	-	-	3,419,370
Lassen	2,252,270	19,933	(217,457)	94,310	6,768	(5,987)	-	2,149,836
Los Angeles	531,344,108	(220,592)	1,019,170	(92,781)	3,128,520	(4,351,972)	10,529,583	541,356,037
Madera	7,708,366	32,254	(200,688)	(1,337)	43,887	-	94,929	7,677,412
Marin	12,036,922	158,933	(441,145)	(2,191)	17,432	-	-	11,769,951
Mariposa	1,125,254	30,528	6,808	75,747	4,512	-	-	1,242,849
Mendocino	5,494,485	(10,851)	(25,473)	(959)	39,786	ı	-	5,496,988
Merced	11,970,525	(245,209)	(295,321)	(2,060)	105,207	(107,734)	133,493	11,558,901
Modoc	882,073	4,475	(21,004)	3,187	2,461	-	-	871,192
Mono	1,711,215	66,471	(20,641)	124,225	2,666	1	-	1,883,936
Monterey	17,441,721	42,357	193,761	(3,088)	35,274	-	121,416	17,831,441
Napa	7,474,856	26,037	(50,148)	(1,262)	15,586	(317,287)	52,932	7,200,715
Nevada	4,929,175	119,536	134,931	(829)	2,461	-	-	5,185,274
Orange	140,624,899	(1,248,482)	(3,113,896)	(24,189)	384,528	-	830,179	137,453,039
Placer	15,552,392	199,413	364,804	(2,829)	44,503	(3,993)	160,260	16,314,549
Plumas	1,238,999	8,833	(160,301)	(203)	1,230	=	-	1,088,557
Riverside	83,672,043	1,370,087	2,540,906	(15,526)	915,074	-	1,571,901	90,054,485
Sacramento	74,858,578	(19,335)	1,033,549	(13,494)	143,557	(428,762)	814,561	76,388,654

Summary of Court-Specific Allocations and Net Reallocations

	Recommendation 1	Recommendation 2	Recommendation 3	Recommendation 4	Recommendation 5	Recommendation 6	Recommendation 7	
	Preliminary 2017- 2018 Base Allocation (TCTF and GF)	Estimated 2016- 17 Benefits Funding (Full- Year)	2017-18 WAFM Allocation Adjustments	2017-18 Funding Floor Allocation Adjustment	Criminal Justice Realignment	Reduction for Fund Balance Above the 1% Cap	Court-Appointed Dependency Counsel (one-time)	Total
Court	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
San Benito	2,357,689	8,326	105,382	(461)	8,819	=	19,999	2,499,753
San Bernardino	94,053,222	608,729	1,683,826	(16,776)	915,690	(697,433)	1,550,542	98,097,800
San Diego	134,855,407	1,879,544	(1,770,123)	(24,293)	495,887	-	753,988	136,190,410
San Francisco	56,752,139	314,519	(5,262,207)	(9,575)	45,323	(385,693)	460,215	51,914,722
San Joaquin	32,998,594	346,128	923,398	(6,191)	139,045	-	380,292	34,781,267
San Luis Obispo	13,048,708	282,758	(509,481)	(2,282)	70,753	=	96,497	12,986,954
San Mateo	34,370,309	(536,099)	214,992	(6,006)	42,657	=	180,595	34,266,448
Santa Barbara	21,536,091	(7,453)	225,361	(3,771)	74,240	=	115,756	21,940,224
Santa Clara	75,710,803	1,063,634	(1,844,229)	(13,861)	142,327	-	433,584	75,492,258
Santa Cruz	11,450,901	130,494	52,020	(2,167)	7,998	=	78,500	11,717,747
Shasta	12,716,411	125,540	331,747	(1,901)	73,419	=	101,252	13,346,468
Sierra	747,892	9,859	(23,205)	13,353	205	-	=	748,104
Siskiyou	3,018,787	36,257	(134,605)	(518)	9,229	=	=	2,929,150
Solano	20,251,598	274,323	330,966	(3,696)	122,639	=	120,704	21,096,534
Sonoma	23,077,930	417,021	(167,019)	(4,170)	115,051	-	139,947	23,578,760
Stanislaus	20,973,350	221,251	332,613	(3,925)	63,575	-	147,736	21,734,600
Sutter	4,849,495	118,527	79,670	(897)	19,141	(87,253)	37,897	5,016,580
Tehama	3,709,881	16,974	101,091	(715)	12,305	=	38,029	3,877,565
Trinity	1,839,749	21,055	34,338	(256)	2,393	=	=	1,897,278
Tulare	17,197,347	264,572	1,178,217	(3,411)	88,390	-	290,555	19,015,671
Tuolumne	3,155,789	51,126	139,768	(564)	6,973	-	18,663	3,371,754
Ventura	33,968,245	79,260	1,265,056	(6,189)	351,100	-	335,533	35,993,003
Yolo	9,193,432	38,378	587,674	(1,669)	51,886	-	100,262	9,969,962
Yuba	4,430,456	36,275	96,488	(824)	36,505	-	84,330	4,683,229
Total	1,820,993,364	4,787,751	(0)	(0)	9,223,000	(7,773,282)	22,000,000	1,849,230,834

Trial Court Trust Fund - Fund Condition Statement

	A	В	C	D	E	F
	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	FY 2017-18	FY 2018-19	FY 2019-20	FY 2020-21
	Year-End Financial Statement	Estimated	Estimated	Estimated	Estimated	Estimated
1 Beginning Fund Balance	6,614,017	34,829,875	32,348,422	27,177,351	17,145,675	6,691,866
2 Prior-Year Adjustments	7,208,461	1,215,301	(528,300)	-	-	-
3 Revenues and Transfers	2,431,232,814	2,489,690,319	2,513,477,404	2,485,775,392	2,485,775,392	2,485,104,392
4 Revenues						
5 Maintenance of Effort Obligation Revenue	659,050,502	659,050,502	659,050,502	659,050,502	659,050,502	659,050,502
6 Civil Fee Revenue	353,216,716	344,838,533	341,184,382	344,514,348	344,514,348	344,514,348
7 Court Security Fee/ Operations Assessment Revenue	120,193,147	106,217,441	131,186,979	122,317,989	122,317,989	122,317,989
8 Civil Assessment Revenue	128,402,757	127,729,329	162,971,715	165,107,610	165,107,610	165,107,610
9 Parking Penalty Assessment Revenue	25,069,491	27,248,562	28,085,130	29,004,384	29,004,384	29,004,384
10 Telephonic Appearances	6,812,310	7,089,512	7,279,711	7,535,874	7,535,874	7,535,874
11 Interest from SMIF	335,260	613,938	613,938	613,938	613,938	613,938
12 Sanctions and Contempt Fines	981,144	978,231	978,231	978,231	978,231	978,231
13 Miscellaneous Revenue	550,065	126,851	193,394	190,095	190,095	190,095
14 Transfers/Charges/Reimbursements						
15 General Fund Transfer	943,724,000	1,021,832,000	970,394,000	970,394,000	970,394,000	970,394,000
16 General Fund Transfer - Court-Appointed Dependency Counsel	114,700,000	114,700,000	136,700,000	114,700,000	114,700,000	114,700,000
17 General Fund Transfer - Revenue Backfill	58,900,000	61,300,000	55,000,000	52,200,000	52,200,000	52,200,000
General Fund Loan - Statewide E-Filing			671,000			(671,000)
18 Reduction Offset Transfers ²	6,080,000	6,080,000	6,080,000	6,080,000	6,080,000	6,080,000
19 Net Other Transfers/Charges/Reimbursements ³	13,217,422	11,885,422	13,088,422	13,088,422	13,088,422	13,088,422
20 Total Resources	2,445,055,292	2,525,735,495	2,545,297,526	2,512,952,743	2,502,921,067	2,491,796,258
21 Expenditures/Encumbrances/Allocations						
22 Program 30/30.05 (0140010) - Judicial Council	3,620,851	3,035,000	3,825,676	3,110,676	3,108,676	3,108,676
23 Program 30.15 (Formerly Program 45.10) (0140019) - Trial Court Ope	<i>′ ′</i>	2,022,000	2,022,070	2,110,070	5,100,070	5,100,070
	1,816,242,767	1,888,225,367	1,886,804,262	1,889,086,674	1,889,133,601	1,889,172,505
Program 45.10 (0150010) - Support for Operation of the Trial Courts Program 0150011 - Court Appointed Program Courts						
Program 0150011 - Court-Appointed Dependency Counsel	114,387,117 330,369,783	114,700,000	136,700,000 338,231,000	114,700,000	114,700,000 338,231,000	114,700,000 338,231,000
Program 45.25 (0150019) - Compensation of Superior Court Judges	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	338,231,000		338,231,000	· · · · · ·	
 27 Program 45.35 (0150028) - Assigned Judges 28 Program 45.45 (0150037) - Court Interpreters 	25,199,733 99,598,715	27,005,000 102,327,969	27,005,000 105,481,840	27,005,000 105,526,840	27,005,000 105,526,840	27,005,000 105,526,840
	8,146,000	8,147,000	9,242,960	8,406,446	8,366,653	8,366,653
g , , , ,	0,140,000	, , ,			· · · ·	
Program 0150095 - Expenses on Behalf of the Trial Courts No. (2) Program O150095 - Expenses on Behalf of the Trial Courts	201.170	11,607,369	10,829,437	9,740,431	10,157,431	9,740,431
31 Item 601 - Redevelopment Agency Writ Case Reimbursements	291,169	108,368	-	-	-	-
Total, Expenditures/Encumbrances/Allocations	2,410,225,417	2,493,387,073	2,518,120,175	2,495,807,067	2,496,229,201	2,495,851,105
33 Ending Fund Balance	34,829,875	32,348,422	27,177,351	17,145,675	6,691,866	(4,054,847)
35 Emergency Needs Reserve		10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000
36 Funds Held on Behalf of the Trial Courts		150,000	100,000	100,000		
37 Court Interpreter Program	9,043,514	7,399,362	5,549,522	3,699,682	1,849,842	(0)
38 Court-Appointed Dependency Counsel Collections	2,186,060	1,171,286	1,012,393	1,151,320	1,098,224	1,098,224
Redevelopment Agency Writ Case Reimbursements	636,668	528,300	. ,			
40 Refund to courts of overcharges for JCC services		,				
41 Equal Access Fund	454,039	859,678	-	(0)	(0)	(0)
	454,039 1,449,503	•	- 75.357			` '
41 Equal Access Fund		859,678 415,112 11,824,685	75,357 10,440,079	(0) 75,357 2,119,316	(0) 75,357 (6,331,557)	(0) 75,357 (15,228,428)
41 Equal Access Fund42 Sargent Shriver Civil Counsel	1,449,503	415,112		75,357	75,357	75,357

1. 2017-2018 amount does not include the preliminary 1% fund cap allocation reduction or the offset of the approved TCTF Funds Held on Behalf requests

		2016-17 JC- Approved	oproved Courts' Approved			Council Approv	ral for 2017-18	Program Allocation
#	Project and Program Title	Allocation	Program 45.10 TCTF Allocations	Total Allocation	Judicial Council (Staff) ¹	Expenses on Behalf of the Trial Courts	Total	Increase/ (Decrease)
		Col. A	Col. B	Col. C (Col A + B)	Col. D	Col. E	Col F (Col. D + E)	Col. G
1	Children in Dependency Case Training	-	113,000	113,000		113,000	113,000	_
2	Sargent Shriver Civil Counsel Pilot Program	500,000	8,473,964	8,973,964	500,000	7,244,437	7,744,437	(1,229,527)
3	Equal Access Fund	194,000	-	194,000	258,000	-	258,000	64,000
4	Court-Appointed Dependency Counsel Collections	260,000	-	260,000	260,000	-	260,000	_
5	Revenue and Collections Program	625,000	-	625,000	625,000	-	625,000	_
6	Programs Funded from Courts' TCTF Allocations							
7	Civil, Small Claims, Probate and Mental Health (V3) CMS	-	564,000	564,000	-	564,000	564,000	-
8	California Courts Technology Center	-	1,472,000	1,472,000	-	1,472,000	1,472,000	-
9	Interim Case Management System	-	842,000	842,000	-	361,000	361,000	(481,000)
10	Phoenix Financial Services	107,000	-	107,000	107,000	-	107,000	-
11	Phoenix HR Services	1,349,000	-	1,349,000	1,404,676	-	1,404,676	55,676
12	Other Post Employment Benefits Valuations	-	-	-		535,000	535,000	535,000
13	Statewide E-filing Implementation			-	671,000		671,000	671,000
14	SCO Audit - Pilot program per GC 77206 (h)(4)	-	-	-		540,000	540,000	540,000
15	Total, Program/Project Allocations	3,035,000	11,464,964	14,499,964	3,825,676	10,829,437	14,655,113	155,149
16	Department of Motor Vehicles Amnesty Program service charges	250,000		250,000	-	-	-	N/A
17	Estimated State Controller's Office services charges	219,399		219,399	303,000	-	303,000	83,601
	Estimated Budget Act Appropriation and Changes Using Provisional Language Authority ¹	N/A	N/A	N/A	4,011,000	13,025,000	17,036,000	N/A
20	Appropriation Balance	N/A	N/A	N/A	185,324	2,195,563	2,077,887	N/A

^{1.} Provisional language in the State Budget Act for 2016 allows the Judicial Council appropriation authority to be increased for support to the Sargent Shriver Civil Counsel Pilot, Equal Access Fund, and Court-Appointed Dependency Counsel Collections. Provisional language also allows up to \$11.274 million to be transferred to the Judicial Council appropriation authority for the recovery of costs for administrative services provided to the trial courts.

FY 2016-17 and FY 2017-18 Trial Court Trust Fund Support for Operation of the Trial Courts: Appropriation vs. Estimated/Approved Allocations

			2016-17	2017-18	
#	Description	Туре	Budget Act	Budget Act	For Judicial Council Approval for 2017-18
			Col. A	Col. B	Col. C
1	I. Prior-Year Ending Baseline Allocation	Base	1,704,515,909	1,752,585,987	1,752,585,987
3	II. Adjustments				
4	Reduction for Appointed Converted SJO Positions	Base	-400,067		
5	IV. FY 2016-2017 Allocations (Governor's Budget)				
6	\$8.6 Million in Benefits Cost Changes Funding	Base	8,452,388		
7	FY 2013-14 Restored Benefits Funding	Base	20,292,088		
8	\$412,000 in New Security Funding	Base	411,942		
9	Non-Sheriff's Security BCP Funding	Base	342,506		
10	\$19.6 Million in New Funding	Base	19,588,058		
	\$25.0 Million in Court Innovations Grants	Non-Base	25,000,000		
11	(Total Funding for Both Trial and Appellate Courts)				
12	\$21.4 Million in Proposition 47 Workload Funding	Non-Base	21,400,000		
13					
14	V. Statutory Allocation Adjustments				
15	1% Fund Balance Cap Reduction	Non-Base	-8,781,306	pending	
16	Adjustment for Funding to be Distributed from ICNA	Non-Base	-50,000,000	-50,000,000	
17	Criminal Justice Realignment Funding	Non-Base	9,223,000	9,223,000	
18	Reduction for Appointed Converted SJO Positions	Base	-616,836	pending	
19					
20	VI. Allocation for Reimbursements				
21	Court-Appointed Dependency Counsel 1	Non-Base	114,700,000	136,700,000	136,700,000
22	Jury	Non-Base	14,500,000	14,500,000	14,500,000
23	Replacement Screening Stations	Non-Base	2,286,000	2,286,000	2,286,000
24	Self-Help Center	Non-Base	2,500,000	2,500,000	2,500,000
25	Elder Abuse	Non-Base	332,000	332,000	332,000
26	CSA Audits ²	Non-Base	0	325,000	325,000
27	CAC Dependency Collections Reimbursement Rollover	Non-Base	755,678	pending	
28	CAC Dependency Collections Reimbursement	Non-Base	629,077	911,286	911,286

FY 2016-17 and FY 2017-18 Trial Court Trust Fund Support for Operation of the Trial Courts: Appropriation vs. Estimated/Approved Allocations

			2016-17	2017-18	
#	Description	Туре	Budget Act	Budget Act	For Judicial Council Approval for 2017-18
			Col. A	Col. B	Col. C
29	VII. Estimated Revenue Distributions				
30	Civil Assessment	Non-Base	79,426,599	114,668,986	
31	Fees Returned to Courts	Non-Base	21,348,123	21,272,860	
32	Replacement of 2% automation allocation from TCIF	Non-Base	10,907,494	10,907,494	
33	Children's Waiting Room	Non-Base	3,150,757	3,107,018	
34	Automated Recordkeeping and Micrographics	Non-Base	2,436,478	2,403,479	
35	Telephonic Appearances Revenue Sharing	Non-Base	943,840	943,840	
36	Prior Year Revenues	Non-Base	0	0	
37	VIII. Miscellaneous Charges				
38	Repayment of Prior Year Cash Advance	Non-Base			
39	State Admin Infrastructure Charges Prior Year Adjustment	Non-Base			
40	Statewide Administrative Infrastructure Charges	Non-Base	-4,389,676	-4,443,676	
41	Prior Year Facility Payments Charge Adjustments	Non-Base			
42	Total		1,998,954,052	2,018,223,275	1,910,140,273
43	Support for Operation of the Trial Courts Appropriation Budget Act ³		2,047,487,000	2,049,005,000	
	Transfer to Compensation of Superior Court Judges appropriation due to				
44	conversion of subordinate judicial officer positions to judgeships				
	Transfer to Court Interpreters appropriation due to court interpreter				
45	portion of \$42.8 million for new benefits funding				
46	Adjusted Appropriation		2,047,487,000	2,049,005,000	
47	Estimated Remaining Appropriation		48,532,948	30,781,725	

¹ Includes \$22,000,000 in new funding for Court-Appointed Dependency Counsel. court audits.

³ Includes the Budget Act Appropriation of \$114,700,000 for Item 0250-102-0932 - Court-Appointed Dependency Counsel plus \$22M in new funding.

2017-2018 Beginning Base Allocation: 2016-17 Beginning Base, 2016-2017 Base Allocations, and Annualization

	Beginning 2016-2017 TCTF Program 45.10 (0150010) Base Allocation ¹	WAFM Allocation	WAFM Funding Floor Adjustment	2015-16 Benefits Funding	2012-13 & 2013-14 Previously Unfunded Benefits Costs Allocation	TCTF Reduction for SJO Position Converted to Judgeship	Ending 2016-2017 TCTF Program 45.10 (0150010) Base Allocation	General Fund Benefits Base Allocation (2010- 11 and 2011-12)	Annualization of Reduction for SJO Position Converted to Judgeship	Preliminary Beginning Base in 2017-2018
Court	1	2	3	4	4A	5	6 = Sum of 1 to 5	7	8	9 = Sum of 6 to 8
Alameda	71,747,295	(436,743)	(16,517)	645,929	795,745	-	72,735,710	3,102,047	-	75,837,757
Alpine	725,356	(19,759)	35,931	(17,093)	3,088	-	727,523	20,340	-	747,863
Amador	2,119,319	59,048	(532)	41,319	11,783	-	2,230,937	51,756	-	2,282,693
Butte	9,327,363	375,299	(2,180)	211,906	78,377	-	9,990,764	124,077	-	10,114,841
Calaveras	1,998,768	22,043	(507)	74,133	22,634	-	2,117,072	50,506	-	2,167,578
Colusa	1,659,845	(17,236)	160,044	24,213	7,914	-	1,834,781	24,773	-	1,859,554
Contra Costa	38,588,448	(807,633)	(8,738)	(783,109)	504,413	-	37,493,381	1,396,192	-	38,889,573
Del Norte	2,375,600	82,386	(587)	59,258	22,599	-	2,539,256	94,130	-	2,633,386
El Dorado	6,357,534	(34,774)	(1,520)	98,371	9,371	-	6,428,982	213,120	-	6,642,102
Fresno	41,291,509	2,960,295	(11,030)	252,326	456,561	-	44,949,661	3,340,364	-	48,290,025
Glenn	1,800,097	(94,502)	63,519	27,501	11,899	-	1,808,514	54,665	-	1,863,179
Humboldt	5,878,695	173,992	(1,388)	56,493	67,869	-	6,175,660	73,084	-	6,248,744
Imperial	8,099,425	174,720	(1,872)	120,442	101,174	-	8,493,889	125,539	-	8,619,428
Inyo	1,944,821	(36,939)	(436)	38,621	16,191	-	1,962,258	75,586	-	2,037,844
Kern	38,252,631	3,029,898	(10,404)	988,357	272,793	-	42,533,275	3,544,269	-	46,077,544
Kings	6,320,421	349,995	(1,427)	48,872	10,949	-	6,728,809	45,118	-	6,773,927
Lake	3,183,913	95,340	(721)	35,981	1,582	-	3,316,096	9,123	-	3,325,219
Lassen	2,250,198	(24,851)	(458)	16,783	2,759	-	2,244,431	7,839	-	2,252,270
Los Angeles	501,189,322	6,939,407	(115,500)	(1,336,025)	5,984,546	=	512,661,751	18,887,969	(205,612)	531,344,108
Madera	6,840,957	219,947	(1,711)	241,857	22,490	-	7,323,540	384,826	-	7,708,366
Marin	11,514,997	(519,030)	(2,806)	221,932	177,317	-	11,392,410	644,512	-	12,036,922
Mariposa	1,124,763	(25,442)	(262)	2,134	1,761	-	1,102,953	22,301	-	1,125,254
Mendocino	4,741,649	137,568	(1,208)	188,392	116,313	-	5,182,714	311,771	-	5,494,485
Merced	10,663,269	227,209	(2,697)	154,519	153,398	-	11,195,698	774,827	-	11,970,525
Modoc	893,648	(55,866)	(206)	10,777	1,753	-	850,106	31,967	-	882,073
Mono	1,467,083	33,841	107,760	11,291	5,599	-	1,625,574	85,641	-	1,711,215
Monterey	16,112,007	564,039	(3,808)	361,192	130,795	-	17,164,225	277,496	-	17,441,721
Napa	6,805,485	164,861	(1,587)	106,421	89,880	=	7,165,060	309,796	-	7,474,856
Nevada	4,763,053	(87,424)	(980)	99,540	59,490	=	4,833,680	95,495	-	4,929,175
Orange	132,650,864	(1,851,991)	(31,299)	66,411	2,860,993	-	133,694,978	6,929,921	-	140,624,899
Placer	13,979,681	547,583	(3,419)	253,075	140,675	=	14,917,595	634,797	-	15,552,392
Plumas	1,244,991	(41,600)	4,938	12,766	2,974	=	1,224,070	14,929	-	1,238,999
Riverside	78,274,047	2,225,921	(18,537)	1,454,359	812,595	-	82,748,386	923,657	-	83,672,043
Sacramento	71,279,885	(69,047)	(16,579)	(1,032,400)	1,136,127	-	71,297,986	3,560,592	-	74,858,578
San Benito	2,387,768	(104,676)	(551)	32,176	8,330	-	2,323,047	34,642	-	2,357,689

2017-2018 Beginning Base Allocation: 2016-17 Beginning Base, 2016-2017 Base Allocations, and Annualization

	Beginning 2016-2017 TCTF Program 45.10 (0150010) Base Allocation ¹	WAFM Allocation	WAFM Funding Floor Adjustment		2012-13 & 2013-14 Previously Unfunded Benefits Costs Allocation	TCTF Reduction for SJO Position Converted to Judgeship	Ending 2016-2017 TCTF Program 45.10 (0150010) Base Allocation	General Fund Benefits Base Allocation (2010- 11 and 2011-12)	Annualization of Reduction for SJO Position Converted to Judgeship	Preliminary Beginning Base in 2017-2018
							6 = Sum of 1 to			9 = Sum of 6 to
Court	1	2	3	4	4A	5	5	7	8	8
San Bernardino	87,615,726	2,446,434	(20,474)	2,087,322	659,482	=	92,788,489	1,264,733	-	94,053,222
San Diego	132,336,756	(2,953,490)	(30,342)	1,021,966	2,038,142	(200,528)	132,212,504	2,853,599	(210,696)	134,855,407
San Francisco	52,204,792	(350,192)	(13,130)	(1,316,245)	739,779	-	51,265,004	5,487,135	-	56,752,139
San Joaquin	29,298,243	1,772,837	(7,450)	424,617	264,991	-	31,753,237	1,245,357	-	32,998,594
San Luis Obispo	12,841,872	(4,685)	(2,902)	60,624	60,453	(189,796)	12,765,566	298,958	(15,816)	13,048,708
San Mateo	31,789,179	(174,732)	(7,682)	54,150	298,280	-	31,959,196	2,411,113	-	34,370,309
Santa Barbara	19,404,818	427,936	(4,669)	50,020	60,324	=	19,938,429	1,597,662	-	21,536,091
Santa Clara	72,569,297	(165,418)	(17,232)	606,490	408,201	-	73,401,336	2,309,467	-	75,710,803
Santa Cruz	10,973,474	166,897	(2,614)	238,885	76,312	(16,381)	11,436,574	203,558	(189,231)	11,450,901
Shasta	11,781,356	348,727	(2,276)	235,389	90,993	=	12,454,189	262,222	-	12,716,411
Sierra	735,183	(39,021)	28,370	9,323	4,422	-	738,276	9,616	-	747,892
Siskiyou	2,924,261	(162,978)	(672)	137,750	29,388	-	2,927,749	91,038	-	3,018,787
Solano	19,069,043	(10,465)	(4,488)	597,865	245,864	-	19,897,819	353,779	-	20,251,598
Sonoma	21,951,153	(409,145)	(5,158)	63,956	305,073	-	21,905,880	1,172,050	-	23,077,930
Stanislaus	18,420,143	752,566	(4,784)	95,213	404,982	-	19,668,120	1,305,230	-	20,973,350
Sutter	4,344,980	276,084	(1,076)	34,036	35,710	-	4,689,734	159,761	-	4,849,495
Tehama	3,360,712	174,873	(866)	54,682	12,296	-	3,601,697	108,184	-	3,709,881
Trinity	1,700,727	65,685	(308)	10,086	9,880	-	1,786,070	53,679	-	1,839,749
Tulare	15,593,035	1,112,551	(3,937)	410,850	51,104	-	17,163,603	33,744	-	17,197,347
Tuolumne	2,932,871	72,658	(664)	91,053	9,519	-	3,105,437	50,352	-	3,155,789
Ventura	31,195,656	1,092,944	(7,430)	450,232	268,090	-	32,999,492	968,753	-	33,968,245
Yolo	8,373,491	405,133	(1,944)	123,356	83,319	-	8,983,355	210,077	-	9,193,432
Yuba	3,598,815	586,977	(1,000)	122,050	32,747	-	4,339,589	90,867	-	4,430,456
Total	1,704,870,290	19,588,058	(0)	8,452,388	20,292,088	(406,705)	1,752,796,119	68,818,601	(621,355)	1,820,993,364

¹⁾ Includes Judicial Council approved Non-sheriff Security Non-BCP funding and Non-sheriff BCP funding allocations that are now part of the base allocation

1,752,174,763

Proposed Allocation of New Funding for 2016-2017 Benefit Cost Changes

		enefit Cost Changes 20 ed in 2017 Governor's			fit Cost Changes 2016 Sclusion in 2017 Budget	
Court	Total Non-Interpreter Cost Changes	Total Interpreter Cost Changes	Total Cost Changes as of 2016 Fall Request	Total Non-Interpreter Cost Changes	Total Interpreter Cost Changes	Proposed Allocation of Total Cost Changes (D + E)
	A	В	С	D	E	F
Alameda	(133,809)	(28,184)	(161,993)	(117,415)	(28,184)	(145,600)
Alpine	6,614	-	6,614	11,842	-	11,842
Amador	21,663	ı	21,663	21,663	-	21,663
Butte	65,745	ı	65,745	65,290	•	65,290
Calaveras	22,185	•	22,185	20,469	•	20,469
Colusa	10,573	ı	10,573	21,699	-	21,699
Contra Costa	(176,225)	(12,335)	(188,561)	(627,559)	(24,211)	(651,770)
Del Norte	44,883	-	44,883	39,609	-	39,609
El Dorado	47,636	3,341	50,977	38,696	3,341	42,037
Fresno	(615,644)	(15,679)	(631,323)	(240,622)	(5,414)	(246,036)
Glenn	25,741	-	25,741	48,933	-	48,933
Humboldt	98,823	936	99,759	92,631	780	93,411
Imperial	20,929	18	20,948	(31,042)	(394)	(31,436)
Inyo	37,275	-	37,275	21,672	-	21,672
Kern	(866,725)	(39,596)	(906,322)	(866,725)	(39,596)	(906,322)
Kings	157,933	6,350	164,283	105,069	3,949	109,018
Lake	41,154	-	41,154	48,157	-	48,157
Lassen	16,333	3,389	19,722	19,933	_	19,933
Los Angeles	92,404	(7,168)	85,236	(220,592)	(48,610)	(269,202)
Madera	135,015	9,227	144,242	32,254	55	32,309
Marin	207,082	20,404	227,487	158,933	19,939	178,873
Mariposa	16,764	20,404	16,764	30,528	17,737	30,528
Mendocino	6,647	5,135	11,781	(10,851)	4,789	(6,062)
Merced	(101,556)	810	(100,746)	(245,209)	(4,216)	(249,425)
	, , ,		` ' '	. , , ,		` , ,
Modoc	4,475	-	4,475	4,475	-	4,475
Mono	64,257	4.641	64,257	66,471	4.641	66,471
Monterey	102,885	4,641	107,526	42,357	4,641	46,998
Napa	23,399	2,380	25,779	26,037	2,380	28,416
Nevada	138,923		138,923	119,536		119,536
Orange	(1,248,482)	(114,482)	(1,362,964)	(1,248,482)	(114,482)	(1,362,964)
Placer	199,413	2,503	201,916	199,413	2,503	201,916
Plumas	7,441		7,441	8,833	- 42.610	8,833
Riverside	1,801,314	59,988	1,861,302	1,370,087	43,619	1,413,706
Sacramento	304,262	10,827	315,089	(19,335)	(646)	(19,981)
San Benito	8,326	-	8,326	8,326	-	8,326
San Bernardino	585,917	26,421	612,338	608,729	103,097	711,826
San Diego	1,879,544	103,455	1,982,999	1,879,544	103,455	1,982,999
San Francisco	24,373	12,306	36,679	314,519	12,331	326,851
San Joaquin	296,026	8,881	304,907	346,128	10,988	357,116
San Luis Obispo	282,758	9,865	292,624	282,758	10,653	293,411
San Mateo	(185,641)	141	(185,500)	(536,099)	(9,367)	(545,466)
Santa Barbara	(7,453)	(9,435)	(16,887)	(7,453)	(9,435)	(16,887)
Santa Clara	1,063,635	94,312	1,157,947	1,063,634	94,312	1,157,947
Santa Cruz	272,516	28,969	301,485	130,494	8,938	139,432
Shasta	128,636	-	128,636	125,540	-	125,540
Sierra	12,199	-	12,199	9,859	-	9,859
Siskiyou	36,257	-	36,257	36,257	-	36,257
Solano	355,626	4,188	359,814	274,323	2,070	276,393
Sonoma	417,021	11,796	428,817	417,021	11,796	428,817
Stanislaus	212,165	2,343	214,508	221,251	3,024	224,274
Sutter	102,896	3,070	105,966	118,527	3,069	121,596
Tehama	58,190	1,010	59,200	16,974	(104)	16,870
Trinity	21,055		21,055	21,055		21,055
Tulare	573,588	11,720	585,308	264,572	6,267	270,839
Tuolumne	51,952	-	51,952	51,126	-	51,126
Ventura	(42,435)	(3,921)	(46,356)	79,260	(267)	78,993
Yolo	33,911	1,545	35,457	38,378	2,497	40,875
Yuba	86,536	1	86,536	36,275	-	36,275
Total:	6,846,924	219,170	7,066,095	4,787,751	173,566	4,961,317

1 Alpin 1 Amac 2 Butte 1 Calav 1 Colus 3 Contr 1 Del N 2 El Doi 3 Fresn 1 Glenr 2 Humbe 1 Inyo 3 Kern 2 Kings 2 Lake 1 Lasse 4 Los A 2 Made 2 Marir		RAS Program 10 FTE Need	RAS Program 90 FTE Need	RAS Total FTE Need C = (A + B) 576	RAS FTE Need multiplied by allotment factor ⁽²⁾ D= (C-1)* Dollar Factor	CEO Cluster Average Salary (as of 7/1/2016)	RAS FTE Need plus CEO, multiplied by Allotment Factor	BLS Factor	Pre-Benefits Adjusted Base H=(C-1)*BLS-
4 Alam 1 Alpin 1 Amac 2 Butte 1 Calav 1 Colus 3 Contr 1 Del N 2 El Doi 3 Fresn 1 Glenr 2 Humt 2 Impei 1 Inyo 3 Kern 2 Kings 4 Los A 2 Made 2 Marir	meda ine	495 2	81	= (A + B)			F		H=(C-1)*BLS-
4 Alam 1 Alpin 1 Amac 2 Butte 1 Calav 1 Colus 3 Contr 1 Del N 2 El Doi 3 Fresn 1 Glenr 2 Humt 2 Impei 1 Inyo 3 Kern 2 Kings 4 Los A 2 Made 2 Marir	meda ine	495 2	81		Factor	-	= D+E		Adjusted Dollar
1 Alpin 1 Amac 2 Butte 1 Calav 1 Colus 3 Contr 1 Del N 2 El Doi 3 Fresn 1 Glenr 2 Humb 1 Inyo 3 Kern 2 Kings 2 Lake 1 Lasse 4 Los A 2 Made 2 Marir	ine	2				220.740		G 1.43	Factor+(E*G)
1 Amac 2 Butte 1 Calav 1 Colus 3 Contr 1 Del N 2 El Doi 3 Fresn 1 Glenr 2 Humb 2 Impec 1 Inyo 3 Kern 2 Lake 1 Lasse 4 Los A 2 Made 2 Marir				3/6	\$34,208,845 \$118.987	230,748 114.099	34,439,594 233.086	1.43 0.85	49,281,297 199.006
2 Butte 1 Calav 1 Colus 3 Contr 1 Del N 2 El Doi 3 Fresn 1 Glenr 2 Humb 2 Impei 1 Inyo 3 Kern 2 Kings 2 Lake 1 Lasse 4 Los A 2 Made 2 Marir	lauui		4	24	\$1,368,354	114,099	1,482,453	0.83	1,467,771
1 Calav 1 Colus 3 Contr 1 Del N 2 El Doi 3 Fresn 1 Glenr 2 Humb 2 Imper 1 Inyo 3 Kern 2 Kings 2 Lake 1 Lasse 4 Los A 2 Made 2 Marir	rto.	109	20	129	\$7,615,186	166,269	7,781,455	0.90	7,013,547
1 Colus 3 Contr 1 Del N 2 El Doi 3 Fresn 1 Glenr 2 Humb 2 Impei 1 Inyo 3 Kern 2 Kings 2 Lake 1 Lasse 4 Los A 2 Made 2 Marir		20	4	24	\$1,368,354	114,099	1,482,453	0.89	1,314,333
3		14	3	17	\$951,898	114,099	1,065,997	0.73	811.721
2 El Doi 3 Fresn 1 Glenr 2 Humb 2 Impei 1 Inyo 3 Kern 2 Kings 2 Lake 1 Lasse 4 Los A 2 Made 2 Marin	ntra Costa	333	52	385	\$22,845,559	197,644	23,043,203	1.25	28,755,275
3 Fresn 1 Glenr 2 Humb 2 Imper 1 Inyo 3 Kern 2 Kings 2 Lake 1 Lasse 4 Los A 2 Made 2 Marin	Norte	20	5	25	\$1,427,847	114,099	1,541,946	0.74	1,177,177
1 Glenr 2 Humb 2 Impei 1 Inyo 3 Kern 2 Kings 2 Lake 1 Lasse 4 Los A 2 Made 2 Marin	Oorado	65	11	76	\$4,462,023	166,269	4,628,292	1.04	4,819,519
2 Humber 1 Inyo 3 Kern 2 Kings 2 Lake 1 Lasse 4 Los A 2 Made 2 Marin	sno	459	72	531	\$31,531,631	197,644	31,729,275	0.98	30,972,667
2 Imper 1 Inyo 3 Kern 2 Kings 2 Lake 1 Lasse 4 Los A 2 Made 2 Marin	nn	16	4	20	\$1,130,379	114,099	1,244,478	0.67	940,703
1 Inyo 3 Kern 2 Kings 2 Lake 1 Lasse 4 Los A 2 Made 2 Marir	mboldt	74	12	86	\$5,056,960	166,269	5,223,229	0.77	4,005,583
3 Kern 2 Kings 2 Lake 1 Lasse 4 Los A 2 Made 2 Marin	perial	110	20	130	\$7,674,680	166,269	7,840,949	0.80	6,245,020
2 Kings 2 Lake 1 Lasse 4 Los A 2 Made 2 Marir	0	15	4	19	\$1,070,886	114,099	1,184,984	0.84	995,069
2 Lake 1 Lasse 4 Los A 2 Made 2 Marin	'n	441	74	515	\$30,579,733	197,644	30,777,377	1.04	32,031,662
1 Lasse 4 Los A 2 Made 2 Marir	gs	86	15	101	\$5,949,364	166,269	6,115,633	0.86	5,252,219
4 Los A 2 Made 2 Marin	e	40	7	47	\$2,736,708	166,269	2,902,976	0.75	2,218,501
2 Made 2 Marir	sen	18	4	22	\$1,249,367	114,099	1,363,465	0.78	1,059,561
2 Marir	Angeles	4,082	634	4,716	\$280,512,533	230,748	280,743,281	1.37	385,202,236
		76	13	89	\$5,235,441	166,269	5,401,709	0.92	4,987,670
		83	14	97	\$5,711,390	166,269	5,877,659	1.30	7,625,613
	riposa	9	3	12	\$654,430	114,099	768,529	0.82	630,966
	ndocino	55	10	65	\$3,807,593	166,269	3,973,862	0.81	3,201,177
2 Merc		117	21	138	\$8,150,629	166,269	8,316,898	0.88	7,352,718
1 Mode	doc	7	2	9	\$475,949	114,099	590,048	0.55	426,808
1 Mond		10	3	13	\$713,924	114,099	828,023	1.01	833,061
<u> </u>	-	159	26	185	\$10,946,831	197,644	11,144,474	1.19	13,248,688
2 Napa 2 Neva	nterey	58 42	11 8	69 50	\$4,045,568 \$2,915,189	166,269 166,269	4,211,837 3,081,457	1.22 0.99	5,156,483 3,056,530

		RAS II I	Model FTE N	Need ⁽¹⁾	FTE Need Multipl	ied by FTE Allotme BLS Adjustment	ent Factor, Prior to		e Dollars for Local or; Apply FTE Dollar Factor
		RAS Program 10 FTE Need	RAS Program 90 FTE Need	RAS Total FTE Need	RAS FTE Need multiplied by allotment factor ⁽²⁾	CEO Cluster Average Salary (as of 7/1/2016)	RAS FTE Need plus CEO, multiplied by Allotment Factor	BLS Factor	Pre-Benefits Adjusted Base
									H=(C-1)*BLS-
				с	D= (C-1)* Dollar		F		Adjusted Dollar
Cluste	r Court	Α	В	= (A + B)	Factor	E	= D+E	G	Factor+(E*G)
4	Orange	1,032	168	1,200	\$71,332,879	230,748	71,563,628	1.29	92,491,956
2	Placer	134	23	157	\$9,281,009	166,269	9,447,277	1.20	11,366,487
1	Plumas	10	2	12	\$654,430	114,099	768,529	0.69	579,103
4	Riverside	882	141	1,023	\$60,802,504	230,748	61,033,253	1.11	67,779,970
4	Sacramento	614	93	707	\$42,002,513	230,748	42,233,261	1.28	54,054,697
1	San Benito	22	5	27	\$1,546,835	114,099	1,660,934	0.96	1,600,815
4	San Bernardino	970	146	1,116	\$66,335,413	230,748	66,566,162	1.06	70,437,390
4	San Diego	1,030	157	1,187	\$70,559,462	230,748	70,790,211	1.18	83,727,333
4	San Francisco	306	48	354	\$21,001,256	230,748	21,232,005	1.71	36,315,395
3	San Joaquin	314	49	363	\$21,536,699	197,644	21,734,343	1.09	23,685,691
2	San Luis Obispo	118	20	138	\$8,150,629	166,269	8,316,898	1.06	8,818,251
3	San Mateo	237	38	275	\$16,301,259	197,644	16,498,902	1.46	24,005,912
3	Santa Barbara	180	31	211	\$12,493,665	197,644	12,691,309	1.20	15,216,351
4	Santa Clara	490	73	563	\$33,435,428	230,748	33,666,177	1.44	48,381,496
2	Santa Cruz	104	20	124	\$7,317,718	166,269	7,483,987	1.14	8,505,788
2	Shasta	116	27	143	\$8,448,097	166,269	8,614,366	0.88	7,552,196
1	Sierra	2	1	3	\$118,987	114,099	233,086	0.62	161,980
2	Siskiyou	27	5	32	\$1,844,303	166,269	2,010,572	0.70	1,527,341
3	Solano	177	28	205	\$12,136,703	197,644	12,334,347	1.17	14,485,169
3	Sonoma	181	31	212	\$12,553,159	197,644	12,750,803	1.12	14,263,926
3	Stanislaus	232	36	268	\$15,884,803	197,644	16,082,447	1.01	16,274,533
2	Sutter	51	10	61	\$3,569,619	166,269	3,735,887	0.97	3,607,757
2	Tehama	45	8	53	\$3,093,670	166,269	3,259,938	0.79	2,568,331
1	Trinity	11	3	14	\$773,417	114,099	887,516	0.66	666,367
3	Tulare	211	35 6	246	\$14,575,943	197,644	14,773,587	0.89	13,081,354
2	Tuolumne	34		40	\$2,320,252	166,269	2,486,521	0.80	1,993,321
3	Ventura	299	55	354	\$21,001,256	197,644	21,198,900	1.23	26,086,578
2	Yolo	89	16	105	\$6,187,339	166,269	6,353,608	1.07	6,828,892
2	Yuba	45	8	53	\$3,093,670	166,269	3,259,938	1.17	3,805,155

Statewide 14,998 2,421 17,419 1,042,687,016 1,270,152,113

NOTES:

(1) Estimated need based on 3-year average filings data from FY 2012-2013 through FY 2014-2015.

\$59,494

(2) Unadjusted base funding per RAS FTE, based on **FY 2016-2017 Schedule 7A**; does not include collections staff, SJOs, CEO, security, nor vacant positions; in January 2014 the TCBAC approved a dollar factor adjustment for courts with fewer than 50 FTE.

(3)) Bureau of Labor Statistics Cost of Labor adjustment based on Quarterly Census of Wages & Employment, three year average from 2013 through 2015. Salaries of Local Government used for comparison based on Public Administration (North American Industrial Classification System, 92) unless proportion of state government workers in total employment exceeds 50% in which case three-year average of local and state salaries for Public Administration is used for comparison.

		_	ry-Driven Benefits a n Benefits Per FTE (•	· ·	_	cted Benefits Expe benefits based on		OE&E (Based on Cluster Average OE&E / FTE) (Cluster 1: \$32,622; Clusters 2-4 \$22,756)	Remove AB 1058		Proportion of Total
		Average % of Salary-Driven Benefits (Program 10)	Non-Salary- Driven Benefits	Average % of Salary-Driven Benefits (Program 90)	Average Actual Non-Salary- Driven Benefits per FTE (Program 90)	Benefits Needed for RAS Program 10 FTE Need			Estimated OE&E Needed (Excludes funding for operations contracts) (Using FY 2013-14 to 2015-16 data)	staff/FLF costs (Using FY 2015-16 data)	Total WAFM Funding Need	WAFM Estimated Funding Need
			•	•	,		L =(((((B-1)*FTE					
						К	Dollar					
	_		12	14			Factor)+E*G)*J1)	M	N = C * OE&E	0	P = (H+ M + N) - O	Q - D / Statemida
Cluster 4	Alameda	rt /1 40.3%		J1 40.1%	\$16,876		+ (B*J2) 4,230,168	= (K + L)) 28,903,168	13,107,375	1,710,153	89,581,687	= P / Statewide 3.83%
1	Alpine	21.9%		21.9%			4,230,108	120,554	97,865	1,710,133	417,426	0.02%
1	Amador	27.8%	1 -7-		\$10,432	,	122,323	664,443	782,923	102,861	2,812,276	0.12%
2	Butte	25.4%		25.4%		,	562,804	3,281,669	2,935,506	380,315	12,850,408	0.55%
1	Calaveras	19.0%	\$16,609	19.2%	\$18,301	532,549	123,072	655,621	782,923	201,655	2,551,223	0.11%
1	Colusa	41.2%	\$17,778	41.2%	\$17,778	511,558	125,324	636,882	554,571	69,413	1,933,761	0.08%
3	Contra Costa	39.8%		39.7%		14,794,779	2,455,188	17,249,967	8,761,006	1,182,552	53,583,695	2.29%
1	Del Norte	24.5%		24.5%	\$29,448		212,750	1,024,525	815,545	101,786	2,915,461	0.12%
2	El Dorado	23.8%		23.8%	- ' '	2,023,691	355,248	2,378,939	1,729,445	318,144	8,609,759	0.37%
3	Fresno	62.3%	1 - 7	62.6%	\$8,686	20,654,875	3,327,891	23,982,766	12,083,362	1,571,803	65,466,991	2.80%
2	Glenn Humboldt	31.0% 32.1%		34.4% 32.1%	\$21,799 \$10,548	453,057 1,837,541	160,414 328,842	613,470 2,166,383	652,436 1,957,004	193,117 122,748	2,013,492 8,006,222	0.09% 0.34%
2	Imperial	27.5%			\$6,471	1,966,203	416,577	2,382,780	2,958,262	313,124	11,272,937	0.48%
1	Inyo	24.3%		21.0%	\$15,181	407,360	112,330	519,690	619,814	116,078	2,018,495	0.09%
3	Kern	56.3%		56.2%	\$15,493	22,192,001	3,801,879	25,993,879	11,719,268	1,209,732	68,535,077	2.93%
2	Kings	21.8%		21.8%	\$10,232	1,740,901	340,344	2,081,245	2,298,342	326,203	9,305,603	0.40%
2	Lake	22.4%	\$10,521	22.4%	\$10,521	828,623	162,852	991,475	1,069,525	189,471	4,090,030	0.18%
1	Lassen	22.3%	\$11,180	22.3%	\$11,452	386,655	96,467	483,122	717,680	96,978	2,163,384	0.09%
4	Los Angeles	22.1%		32.5%	\$21,669	175,494,318	30,631,251	206,125,569	107,316,636	7,334,813	691,309,628	29.58%
2	Madera	35.0%		35.0%	\$10,864		426,038	2,707,759	2,025,272	296,055	9,424,646	0.40%
2	Marin	30.1%		29.7%			548,399	3,576,948	2,207,318	230,582	13,179,298	0.56%
2	Mariposa	31.2% 45.5%		31.2%	\$15,432	227,980	106,059	334,039	391,462	94,433	1,262,034	0.05%
2	Mendocino Merced	45.5% 54.1%		43.8% 56.0%	\$11,827 \$13,439	1,803,149 4,814,574	365,829 953,405	2,168,978 5,767,979	1,479,131 3,140,309	201,223 590,548	6,648,062 15,670,457	0.28% 0.67%
1	Modoc	26.2%		26.2%		173,528	54,090	227,617	293,596	72,673	875,348	0.04%
1	Mono	38.8%		38.8%	\$24,141	482,512	163,436	645,948	424,083	82,255	1,820,837	0.08%
3	Monterey	21.0%		20.8%	\$17,492		870,597	5,755,604	4,209,834	484,812	22,729,314	0.97%
2	Napa	19.4%		20.1%		2,050,430	445,157	2,495,587	1,570,154	238,015	8,984,209	0.38%
2	Nevada	39.5%	\$12,727	41.1%	\$13,020	1,513,005	341,570	1,854,575	1,137,793	430,984	5,617,914	0.24%

			y-Driven Benefits a n Benefits Per FTE (•	•	•	cted Benefits Expe benefits based on A		OE&E (Based on Cluster Average OE&E / FTE) (Cluster 1: \$32,622; Clusters 2-4 \$22,756)	Remove AB 1058		Proportion of Total
		Average % of Salary-Driven Benefits (Program 10)	Average Actual Non-Salary- Driven Benefits per FTE (Program 10)	Average % of Salary-Driven Benefits (Program 90)	Average Actual Non-Salary- Driven Benefits per FTE (Program 90)	Benefits Needed for RAS Program 10 FTE Need			Estimated OE&E Needed (Excludes funding for operations contracts) (Using FY 2013-14 to 2015-16 data)	staff/FLF costs (Using FY 2015-16 data)	Total WAFM Funding Need	WAFM Estimated Funding Need
							L =(((((B-1)*FTE					
						К						
						•	Factor)+E*G)*J1)	М	N		P	Q
Cluste			12	J1	J2	Factor*I1)+(A*I2)	+ (B*J2)	= (K + L))	= C * OE&E	0	= (H+ M + N) - O	
4	Orange	35.8%	\$11,700		\$13,152	40,483,794	6,982,978	47,466,772	27,307,032	2,058,332	165,207,428	7.07%
2	Placer	31.8%	\$20,386	31.8%	\$20,386	5,784,953	1,032,980	6,817,933	3,572,670	410,586	21,346,504	0.91% 0.05%
4	Plumas Riverside	27.4% 27.4%	\$14,627 \$11,129	27.3% 27.7%	\$19,320 \$12,577	270,730 25,798,358	72,562 4,406,451	343,291 30,204,810	391,462 23,279,245	181,395 1,799,697	1,132,462 119,464,328	5.11%
4	Sacramento	36.0%	\$11,129	37.1%	\$12,577	25,798,338	4,406,451	31,729,814	16,088,393	1,461,942	100,410,962	4.30%
1	San Benito	26.3%	\$12,920	26.3%	\$16,773	615,868	173,068	788,936	880,789	175,956	3,094,583	0.13%
4	San Bernardino	32.2%	\$10,241	35.3%	\$12,793	29,580,807	5,178,940	34,759,747	25,395,540	2,712,608	127,880,069	5.47%
4	San Diego	50.1%	\$10,347	49.8%	\$11,049	46,937,547	7,338,661	54,276,209	27,011,206	2,438,370	162,576,377	6.96%
4	San Francisco	26.2%	\$17,907	26.1%	\$19,367	13,623,662	2,283,430	15,907,092	8,055,574	1,353,464	58,924,598	2.52%
3	San Joaquin	45.2%	\$14,145	47.6%	\$10,159	13,646,057	2,082,920	15,728,976	8,260,377	621,636	47,053,408	2.01%
2	San Luis Obispo	34.7%	\$11,205	37.9%	\$11,344	3,904,120	748,004	4,652,124	3,140,309	438,989	16,171,695	0.69%
3	San Mateo	34.7%	\$18,716	35.2%	\$18,845	11,558,130	1,944,442	13,502,572	6,257,862	617,695	43,148,650	1.85%
3	Santa Barbara	39.2%	\$7,914	41.3%	\$8,280	6,458,083	1,238,527	7,696,610	4,801,486	566,254	27,148,194	1.16%
4	Santa Clara	31.7%	\$25,337	30.7%	\$26,452	25,693,880	3,922,840	29,616,720	12,811,549	1,945,010	88,864,755	3.80%
2	Santa Cruz	26.3%	\$17,614	26.1%	\$19,058	3,681,892	766,008	4,447,901	2,821,727	215,201	15,560,215	0.67%
2	Shasta	23.7%	\$10,745	24.4%	\$13,111	2,682,708	720,803	3,403,511	3,254,088	545,146	13,664,649	0.58%
1	Sierra	35.2%	\$18,987	35.2%	\$18,987	69,976	43,939	113,915	97,865	6,413	367,347	0.02%
2	Siskiyou	31.4%	\$19,826	31.4%	\$18,525	921,478	186,489	1,107,967	728,188	374,410	2,989,086	0.13%
3	Solano	34.2%	\$14,199	34.3%	\$17,933	6,739,670	1,228,312	7,967,982	4,664,951	573,127	26,544,975	1.14%
3	Sonoma	43.5%	\$19,997	42.5%	\$18,415	8,859,382	1,513,297	10,372,679	4,824,242	717,058	28,743,789	1.23%
3	Stanislaus	30.4%	\$17,465	30.4%	\$19,164	8,295,636	1,390,251	9,685,887	6,098,570	983,141	31,075,849	1.33%
2	Sutter	31.8%	\$13,833	32.8%	\$16,695	1,637,448	389,369	2,026,818	1,388,107	263,557	6,759,126	0.29%
2	Tehama	24.9%	\$19,102	24.9%	\$19,230	1,385,294	268,272	1,653,567	1,206,061	88,521	5,339,437	0.23%
1	Trinity	34.6%	\$14,674	40.3%	\$15,268	334,630	112,562	447,192	456,705	39,251	1,531,014	0.07%
3	Tulare	23.6%	\$20,136	22.8%	\$19,528	6,870,058	1,132,219	8,002,277	5,597,942	678,773	26,002,799	1.11%
2	Tuolumne	30.8%	\$16,328	31.9%	\$16,369	1,054,518	216,929	1,271,446	910,234	220,562	3,954,439	0.17%
2	Ventura Yolo	37.5% 31.4%	\$10,787 \$13,683	39.9% 35.1%	\$12,802 \$25,856	11,431,296 3,002,449	2,378,110 813,259	13,809,406 3,815,708	8,055,574	878,902 244,079	47,072,655 12,789,887	2.01% 0.55%
2	Yuba	19.8%	\$13,683	19.8%	\$25,856	1,209,542	243,413	1,452,955	2,389,365 1,206,061	235,480	6,228,690	0.55%

Statewide 603,861,725 105,000,273 708,861,998 398,791,615 41,108,081 **2,336,697,645** 100%

NOTES: \$59,494

	OEE \$ / FTE	
Weighted	\$32,622	Cluster 1
Mean	\$22,756	Clusters 2-4

FY 2017-18 RAS FTE Need

Court Cour						Program 1	.0 (Operatio	ns) Staff Ne	ed				Program 90 (A	dministration) Staff Need	
Named		Infractions	Criminal	Civil	- 1	Pr/MH	Juvenile	Program 10 Need	inter- preter	Supervisor Ratio	Supervisor Need	Program 10 Need (rounded up)	(for Program 90 Need	ratio	Need (rounded up)	
Alimen							-	(A thru F)		ı	((G+H)/I)	(H + J)			((K+L)/M)	(K+N)
Amador 21 70 23 34 14 11 173 - 71 24 70 23 57 4 24 20 23 25 28 86 70 23 25 28 86 70 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 2																
Botto															-	
Colura																129
Contractact 409 2.6 62.8 80.8 28.9 19.4 225.4 127 8.2 37.6 333 17.6 6.8 52 25.8 18.0	Calaveras	1.5	5.0	2.6	4.2	2.2	1.7	17.1	0.4	7.1	2.5	20	2.5	5.7	4	24
De Note 24																17
Bornesian Sala 1846																
Freedom 3-60 158.5 67.7 97.6 20.4 2-66 407.8 10.9 8.2 5.11 459 2.2 6.8 72 2.5 1.4 1.0 1.8 1.0 1.8 1.0																
Humboild S.																531
Imperial 231 299 88 244 50 49 972 50 82 1125 110 157 64 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 2		3.5	3.7	1.3	2.9	1.4		13.8	-		1.9	16			4	20
Image 19																86
Kem																130
Marion																
Leke																101
Los Angeles			14.1		8.8	3.5						40	1.7			47
Maderian S.3 24.3 8.5 18.7 4.6 5.6 67.0 4.0 8.2 8.7 76 5.6 6.4 13 88	Lassen															22
Marin	-															
Maripola																
Mendocin																12
Monco	-								1.0							65
Monterey 166	Merced	13.9	34.1	13.5	27.2	7.8	6.7	103.3	5.5	8.2	13.3	117	13.8	6.4		138
Monterey 16.6 54.5 20.1 31.6 10.2 7.8 140.6 8.0 8.2 18.1 159 13.3 6.8 26 18.5 Rapa 57 17.8 8.0 11.1 5.1 3.1 5.09 3.0 8.2 4.5 4.2 8.4 6.4 8 5.0 Nevada 7.3 11.4 5.4 7.9 3.4 1.3 36.9 .									-			-				9
Napa																
Nevada 7.3																
Paleer																50
Plumas Q.9 Q.7 Q.7 Q.1 Q.0 Q.8 Q.8 Q.5 Q.1 Q.0 Q.8 Q.8 Q.5 Q	Orange	87.7	293.8	232.8	223.3	66.2	38.2	941.9	68.8	11.3	89.4	1,032	176.1	7.2	168	1,200
Riverside 72.5 227.8 161.9 228.9 51.7 64.3 807.1 39.0 11.3 74.9 882 131.1 7.2 141 1,023 Sacramento 44.8 170.6 123.8 144.1 50.0 28.6 562.0 25.7 11.3 52.0 614 57.3 7.2 93 707 San Benito 1.8 7.1 3.5 3.9 1.4 0.9 18.6 - 7.1 2.6 22 1.3 5.7 5 27 San Benito 1.8 7.1 3.5 3.9 1.4 0.9 18.6 - 7.1 2.6 22 1.3 5.7 5 27 San Diago 105 255.7 229.3 256.6 57.3 35.7 914.4 48.6 11.3 87.6 1,030 103.6 7.2 145 1,187 San Diago 106.9 255.7 203 33.0 17.3 279.1 21.1																157
Sacramento 44.8 170.6 123.8 144.1 50.0 28.6 562.0 25.7 11.3 52.0 61.4 57.3 7.2 93 707 San Benradino 54.9 315.0 171.5 226.9 54.8 64.3 887.4 40.1 11.3 82.1 970 82.2 7.2 116 1,116 San Diego 106.9 255.7 229.3 256.6 57.3 35.7 941.4 48.6 11.3 82.1 970 82.2 7.2 116 1,116 San Diego 106.9 255.7 229.3 256.6 57.3 35.7 941.4 48.6 11.3 87.6 1,030 103.6 7.2 157 1,188 San Joaquin 25.7 106.3 45.7 60.8 24.5 15.6 278.5 7.9 8.2 34.9 314 12.2 6.8 49 363 San Los Olisos 13.3 44.7 13.0 18.3 82.4															-	
San Benito 1.8 7.1 3.5 3.9 1.4 0.9 18.6 - 7.1 2.6 22 1.3 5.7 5 27 San Bernardino 54.9 315.0 17.15 22.9 54.8 64.3 887.4 40.1 11.3 82.1 970 82.2 7.2 146 1,116 San Diego 106.9 255.7 229.3 256.6 57.3 35.7 991.4 48.6 11.3 87.6 1,030 103.6 7.2 178 1,187 San Individual 25.7 106.3 45.7 60.8 24.5 15.6 278.5 7.9 8.2 34.9 314 12.2 6.8 49 363 San Luis Obispo 13.3 44.7 13.0 18.3 10.3 48.8 104.5 45 8.2 23.4 314 12.2 6.8 49 363 San Luis Obispo 33.3 9.8 24.5 15.8 10.9 20.6																-
San Diego 106.9 255.7 229.3 256.6 57.3 35.7 941.4 48.6 11.3 87.6 1,030 103.6 7.2 157 1,187 San Francisco 51.7 47.5 79.4 50.2 33.0 17.3 279.1 21.3 11.3 26.6 306 36.3 7.2 48 354 San Joaquin 25.7 106.3 45.7 60.8 24.5 15.6 278.5 7.9 8.2 34.9 314 12.2 6.8 49 363 San Luis Obispo 13.3 44.7 13.0 18.3 10.3 4.8 104.5 4.5 8.2 13.3 118 7.5 6.4 20 138 San Mateo 33.9 68.1 29.2 43.3 14.2 20.9 20.6 13.3 8.2 27.2 237 19.3 6.8 38 275 Santa Barbara 28.0 58.6 23.9 27.1 11.6 9.5 <td></td> <td>27</td>																27
San Francisco 51.7 47.5 79.4 50.2 33.0 17.3 27.1 21.3 11.3 26.6 306 36.3 7.2 48 354 San Joaquin 25.7 106.3 45.7 60.8 24.5 15.6 278.5 7.9 8.2 34.9 314 11.2 6.8 49 363 San Luis Obispo 13.3 44.7 13.0 18.3 10.3 4.8 104.5 4.5 8.2 13.3 11.8 7.5 6.4 20 138 San Mateo 33.9 68.1 29.2 43.3 14.2 20.9 20.6 13.3 8.2 27.2 237 19.3 6.8 38 275 Santa Clara 38.6 23.9 27.1 11.6 9.5 158.7 11.9 8.2 20.8 180 29.1 6.8 31 211 Santa Clara 43.5 155.0 91.2 103.4 38.3 14.8 447.1	San Bernardino	54.9	315.0	171.5	226.9	54.8	64.3	887.4	40.1	11.3	82.1	970	82.2	7.2		1,116
San Joaquin 25.7 106.3 45.7 60.8 24.5 15.6 278.5 7.9 8.2 34.9 314 12.2 6.8 49 363 San Luis Obispo 13.3 44.7 13.0 18.3 10.3 4.8 104.5 4.5 8.2 13.3 118 7.5 6.4 20 138 San Mateo 33.9 68.1 29.2 43.3 14.2 20.9 209.6 13.3 8.2 27.2 237 19.3 6.8 38 275 Santa Barbara 28.0 58.6 23.9 27.1 11.6 9.5 158.7 11.9 8.2 20.8 180 29.1 6.8 31 221 Santa Clara 43.5 155.0 91.2 103.4 39.3 14.8 447.1 27.8 11.3 42.0 490 36.8 7.2 73 563 Santa Clara 15.7 13.7 13.4 18.5 5.4 5.1																
San Luis Obispo 13.3 44.7 13.0 18.3 10.3 4.8 104.5 4.5 8.2 13.3 118 7.5 6.4 20 138 San Mateo 33.9 68.1 29.2 43.3 14.2 20.9 209.6 13.3 8.2 27.2 237 19.3 6.8 38 275 Santa Barbara 28.0 58.6 23.9 27.1 11.6 9.5 158.7 11.9 8.2 20.8 180 29.1 6.8 33 275 Santa Clara 43.5 155.0 91.2 103.4 39.3 14.8 447.1 27.8 11.3 42.0 490 36.8 7.2 73 563 Santa Clara 43.5 155.0 91.2 103.4 39.3 14.8 447.1 27.8 11.3 42.0 490 36.8 7.2 73 563 Santa Educar 15.7 3.7 18.2 12.1 104 20.4																
San Mateo 33.9 68.1 29.2 43.3 14.2 20.9 209.6 13.3 8.2 27.2 237 19.3 6.8 38 275 Santa Barbara 28.0 58.6 23.9 27.1 11.6 9.5 158.7 11.9 8.2 20.8 180 29.1 6.8 31 211 Santa Clara 43.5 155.0 91.2 103.4 39.3 14.8 447.1 27.8 11.3 42.0 490 36.8 7.2 73 553 Santa Cruz 15.7 33.7 13.4 18.5 5.4 5.1 91.7 7.1 8.2 12.1 104 20.4 6.4 20 124 Shasta 12.2 44.2 11.5 20.4 8.3 6.3 102.9 - 8.2 12.5 116 54.0 6.4 27 143 Sierra 0.2 0.6 0.2 0.2 0.1 1.4 - 7.																
Santa Barbara 28.0 58.6 23.9 27.1 11.6 9.5 158.7 11.9 8.2 20.8 180 29.1 6.8 31 211 Santa Clara 43.5 155.0 91.2 103.4 39.3 14.8 447.1 27.8 11.3 42.0 490 36.8 7.2 73 563 Santa Cruz 15.7 33.7 13.4 18.5 5.4 5.1 91.7 7.1 8.2 12.1 104 20.4 6.4 20 124 Shasta 12.2 44.2 11.5 20.4 8.3 6.3 102.9 - 8.2 12.5 116 54.0 6.4 27 143 Shasta 12.2 44.2 11.5 20.4 8.3 6.3 102.9 - 8.2 12.5 116 54.0 6.4 27 143 Shasta 12.2 44.2 11.5 20.1 11.4 - 7.1 0.2 </td <td>-</td> <td></td> <td>275</td>	-															275
Santa Cruz 15.7 33.7 13.4 18.5 5.4 5.1 91.7 7.1 8.2 12.1 104 20.4 6.4 20 124 Shasta 12.2 44.2 11.5 20.4 8.3 6.3 102.9 - 8.2 12.5 116 54.0 6.4 27 143 Sierra 0.2 0.6 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.1 1.4 - 7.1 0.2 2 1.1 5.7 1 3 Siskiyou 5.2 7.4 2.8 5.2 1.9 1.5 24.0 0.3 8.2 3.0 27 4.4 6.4 5 32 Solano 16.0 46.4 28.4 45.0 15.1 6.5 157.4 2.0 8.2 19.4 177 7.0 6.8 28 205 Sonoma 20.8 54.5 26.4 33.7 17.3 6.9 159.5 8.9 8.2	Santa Barbara									8.2						211
Shasta 12.2 44.2 11.5 20.4 8.3 6.3 102.9 - 8.2 12.5 116 54.0 6.4 27 143 Sierra 0.2 0.6 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.1 1.4 - 7.1 0.2 2 1.1 5.7 1 3 Siskiyou 5.2 7.4 2.8 5.2 1.9 1.5 24.0 0.3 8.2 3.0 27 4.4 6.4 5 32 Solano 16.0 46.4 28.4 45.0 15.1 6.5 157.4 2.0 8.2 19.4 177 7.0 6.8 28 205 Sonoma 20.8 54.5 26.4 33.7 17.3 6.9 159.5 8.9 8.2 20.5 181 23.9 6.8 31 212 Stanislaus 14.9 76.9 28.6 55.8 20.7 8.9 205.7 2.0 8.2 <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>ļ</td><td>563</td></t<>															ļ	563
Sierra 0.2 0.6 0.2 0.2 0.1 1.4 - 7.1 0.2 2 1.1 5.7 1 3 Siskiyou 5.2 7.4 2.8 5.2 1.9 1.5 24.0 0.3 8.2 3.0 27 4.4 6.4 5 32 Solano 16.0 46.4 28.4 45.0 15.1 6.5 157.4 2.0 8.2 19.4 177 7.0 6.8 28 205 Sonoma 20.8 54.5 26.4 33.7 17.3 6.9 159.5 8.9 8.2 20.5 181 23.9 6.8 31 212 Stanislaus 14.9 76.9 28.6 55.8 20.7 8.9 205.7 2.0 8.2 25.3 232 10.6 6.8 36 268 Sutter 4.9 16.3 6.3 10.9 4.6 2.1 45.1 1.5 8.2 5.7 <th< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></th<>																
Siskiyou 5.2 7.4 2.8 5.2 1.9 1.5 24.0 0.3 8.2 3.0 27 4.4 6.4 5 32 Solano 16.0 46.4 28.4 45.0 15.1 6.5 157.4 2.0 8.2 19.4 177 7.0 6.8 28 205 Sonoma 20.8 54.5 26.4 33.7 17.3 6.9 159.5 8.9 8.2 20.5 181 23.9 6.8 31 212 Stanislaus 14.9 76.9 28.6 55.8 20.7 8.9 205.7 2.0 8.2 25.3 232 10.6 6.8 36 268 Sutter 4.9 16.3 6.3 10.9 4.6 2.1 45.1 1.5 8.2 25.7 51 8.9 6.4 10 61 Tehama 5.2 15.9 4.5 8.0 3.0 2.8 39.5 1.0 8.2																
Solano 16.0 46.4 28.4 45.0 15.1 6.5 157.4 2.0 8.2 19.4 177 7.0 6.8 28 205 Sonoma 20.8 54.5 26.4 33.7 17.3 6.9 159.5 8.9 8.2 20.5 181 23.9 6.8 31 212 Stanislaus 14.9 76.9 28.6 55.8 20.7 8.9 205.7 2.0 8.2 25.3 232 10.6 6.8 36 268 Sutter 4.9 16.3 6.3 10.9 4.6 2.1 45.1 1.5 8.2 5.7 51 8.9 6.4 10 61 Tehama 5.2 15.9 4.5 8.0 3.0 2.8 39.5 1.0 8.2 4.9 45 3.0 6.4 8 53 Trinity 0.6 3.4 1.1 2.3 0.6 0.8 8.9 - 7.1																32
Stanislaus 14.9 76.9 28.6 55.8 20.7 8.9 205.7 2.0 8.2 25.3 232 10.6 6.8 36 268 Sutter 4.9 16.3 6.3 10.9 4.6 2.1 45.1 1.5 8.2 5.7 51 8.9 6.4 10 61 Tehama 5.2 15.9 4.5 8.0 3.0 2.8 39.5 1.0 8.2 4.9 45 3.0 6.4 8 53 Trinity 0.6 3.4 1.1 2.3 0.6 0.8 8.9 - 7.1 1.3 11 5.0 5.7 3 14 Tulare 20.8 72.8 24.4 40.8 12.1 16.2 187.1 5.0 8.2 23.4 211 20.9 6.8 35 246 Tuolumne 2.4 11.7 3.5 6.2 2.5 3.2 29.5 0.3 8.2 3.	-			28.4	45.0				2.0				7.0		28	205
Sutter 4.9 16.3 6.3 10.9 4.6 2.1 45.1 1.5 8.2 5.7 51 8.9 6.4 10 61 Tehama 5.2 15.9 4.5 8.0 3.0 2.8 39.5 1.0 8.2 4.9 45 3.0 6.4 8 53 Trinity 0.6 3.4 1.1 2.3 0.6 0.8 8.9 - 7.1 1.3 11 5.0 5.7 3 14 Tulare 20.8 72.8 24.4 40.8 12.1 16.2 187.1 5.0 8.2 23.4 211 20.9 6.8 35 246 Tuolumne 2.4 11.7 3.5 6.2 2.5 3.2 29.5 0.3 8.2 3.6 34 2.1 6.4 6 40 Ventura 33.1 72.8 48.5 65.0 26.1 19.9 265.4 8.0 8.2 33.3																212
Tehama 5.2 15.9 4.5 8.0 3.0 2.8 39.5 1.0 8.2 4.9 45 3.0 6.4 8 53 Trinity 0.6 3.4 1.1 2.3 0.6 0.8 8.9 - 7.1 1.3 11 5.0 5.7 3 14 Tulare 20.8 72.8 24.4 40.8 12.1 16.2 187.1 5.0 8.2 23.4 211 20.9 6.8 35 246 Tuolumne 2.4 11.7 3.5 6.2 2.5 3.2 29.5 0.3 8.2 3.6 34 2.1 6.4 6 40 Ventura 33.1 72.8 48.5 65.0 26.1 19.9 265.4 8.0 8.2 33.3 299 74.2 6.8 55 354 Yolo 10.0 33.0 9.4 15.3 5.3 78.3 2.0 8.2 9.8 89 <td></td> <td>268</td>																268
Trinity 0.6 3.4 1.1 2.3 0.6 0.8 8.9 - 7.1 1.3 11 5.0 5.7 3 14 Tulare 20.8 72.8 24.4 40.8 12.1 16.2 187.1 5.0 8.2 23.4 211 20.9 6.8 35 246 Tuolumne 2.4 11.7 3.5 6.2 2.5 3.2 29.5 0.3 8.2 3.6 34 2.1 6.4 6 40 Ventura 33.1 72.8 48.5 65.0 26.1 19.9 265.4 8.0 8.2 33.3 299 74.2 6.8 55 354 Yolo 10.0 33.0 9.4 15.3 5.3 5.3 78.3 2.0 8.2 9.8 89 13.0 6.4 16 105 Yuba 3.8 15.1 4.7 9.0 3.2 3.9 39.7 - 8.2 4.8 <td></td>																
Tulare 20.8 72.8 24.4 40.8 12.1 16.2 187.1 5.0 8.2 23.4 211 20.9 6.8 35 246 Tuolumne 2.4 11.7 3.5 6.2 2.5 3.2 29.5 0.3 8.2 3.6 34 2.1 6.4 6 40 Ventura 33.1 72.8 48.5 65.0 26.1 19.9 265.4 8.0 8.2 33.3 299 74.2 6.8 55 354 Yolo 10.0 33.0 9.4 15.3 5.3 5.3 78.3 2.0 8.2 9.8 89 13.0 6.4 16 105 Yuba 3.8 15.1 4.7 9.0 3.2 3.9 39.7 - 8.2 4.8 45 3.0 6.4 8 53																14
Tuolumne 2.4 11.7 3.5 6.2 2.5 3.2 29.5 0.3 8.2 3.6 34 2.1 6.4 6 40 Ventura 33.1 72.8 48.5 65.0 26.1 19.9 265.4 8.0 8.2 33.3 299 74.2 6.8 55 354 Yolo 10.0 33.0 9.4 15.3 5.3 5.3 78.3 2.0 8.2 9.8 89 13.0 6.4 16 105 Yuba 3.8 15.1 4.7 9.0 3.2 3.9 39.7 - 8.2 4.8 45 3.0 6.4 8 53	·														-	246
Yolo 10.0 33.0 9.4 15.3 5.3 5.3 78.3 2.0 8.2 9.8 89 13.0 6.4 16 105 Yuba 3.8 15.1 4.7 9.0 3.2 3.9 39.7 - 8.2 4.8 45 3.0 6.4 8 53 Yuba 3.0 4.7 9.0 3.2 3.9 39.7 - 8.2 4.8 45 3.0 6.4 8 53		2.4	11.7	3.5	6.2		3.2	29.5	0.3	8.2		34	2.1		6	40
Yuba 3.8 15.1 4.7 9.0 3.2 3.9 39.7 - 8.2 4.8 45 3.0 6.4 8 53																354
		1,412.5	4,174.0	2,828.6	3,183.9	1,037.6	912.3		727.2	8.2	1,422.2	14,998.0	1,761.9	0.4	2,421.0	17,419

^{*}Reported on FY 14-15 Schedule 7A; non-RAS staff include categories such as SJOs, Enhanced Collections Staff, and Interpreters

2017-18 BLS Factor

				ı			
				State		3-Year	3-Year Avg
. .				Employment	3-Year	Avg BLS	(2013-2015)
Cluster	County	% Local	% State	More than 50%	Avg BLS	(State &	BLS Factor
				of Govt	Local (92)	Local 92)	(50% Workforce
4	Alameda	100%	0%	Workforce? No	1.43	1.43	Threshold) 1.43
1	Alpine	100%	0% 0%	No No	0.85	0.85	0.85
1	Amador	34%	66%	Yes	0.83	0.83	0.99
2	Butte	86%	14%	No	0.90	0.99	0.90
1	Calaveras	94%	6%	No No	0.89	0.94	0.89
1	Colusa	96%	4%	No	0.73	0.89	0.73
3	Contra Costa	96%	4%	No	1.25	1.12	1.25
1	Del Norte	33%	4% 67%	Yes	0.61	0.74	0.74
2	El Dorado	96%	4%	No	1.04	1.09	1.04
3	Fresno	70%	30%	No No	0.98	1.04	0.98
1	Glenn	96%	4%	No	0.67	0.82	0.67
2	Humboldt	84%	16%	No	0.07	0.92	0.77
2	Imperial	51%	49%	No No	0.80	0.83	0.80
1	•	72%	28%	No	0.84	0.88	0.84
3	Inyo	59%	41%	No No	1.04	0.88	1.04
2	Kern Kings	33%	41% 67%	Yes	0.86	0.99	1.04 0.86
2	Lake	96%	67% 4%	No	0.86	0.86	0.86
1	Lassen	21%	4% 79%	Yes	0.75	0.86	0.75 0.78
4		92%	79% 8%	No	1.37	1.26	1.37
2	Los Angeles Madera	40%	60%	Yes	0.82		0.92
2	Marin	67%		No		0.92	1.30
1		92%	33% 8%	No No	1.30 0.82	1.15 0.96	0.82
2	Mariposa	83%	8% 17%	No No		0.96	0.82
2	Mendocino Merced	100%	0%	No No	0.81 0.88	0.82	0.88
		88%	12%				0.55
1	Modoc	93%	7%	No No	0.55	0.79 0.93	1.01
3	Mono Monterey	63%	7% 37%	No No	1.01 1.19	1.05	1.19
2		85%	37% 15%	No No	1.19	1.05	1.19
2	Napa Nevada	84%	16%	No No	0.99	0.87	0.99
4	Orange	91%	9%	No	1.29	1.17	1.29
2	Placer	95%	5%	No No	1.29	1.05	1.20
1	Plumas	93%	7%	No No	0.69	0.80	0.69
4	Riverside	81%	19%	No	1.11	1.01	1.11
4	Sacramento	15%	85%	Yes	1.21	1.01	1.28
1	San Benito	100%	0%	No	0.96	0.96	0.96
4	San Bernardino	80%	20%	No	1.06	1.07	1.06
4	San Diego	86%	14%	No	1.18	1.15	1.18
4	San Francisco	53%	47%	No	1.71	1.60	1.71
3	San Joaquin	68%	32%	No	1.09	1.05	1.09
2	San Luis Obispo	57%	43%	No	1.06	1.08	1.06
3	San Mateo	95%	5%	No	1.46	1.17	1.46
3	Santa Barbara	93%	7 %	No	1.20	1.10	1.20
4	Santa Clara	95%	5%	No	1.44	1.20	1.44
2	Santa Cruz	91%	9%	No	1.14	0.90	1.14
2	Shasta	64%	36%	No	0.88	0.94	0.88
1	Sierra	100%	0%	No	0.62	0.62	0.62
2	Siskiyou	84%	16%	No	0.70	0.74	0.70
3	Solano	66%	34%	No	1.17	1.06	1.17
3	Sonoma	90%	10%	No	1.12	1.05	1.12
3	Stanislaus	96%	4%	No	1.01	0.97	1.01
2	Sutter	95%	5%	No	0.97	0.94	0.97
2	Tehama	93%	7%	No	0.79	0.96	0.79
1	Trinity	93%	7%	No	0.66	0.81	0.66
3	Tulare	94%	6%	No	0.89	0.90	0.89
2	Tuolumne	52%	48%	No	0.80	0.87	0.80
3	Ventura	91%	9%	No	1.23	1.10	1.23
2	Yolo	81%	19%	No	1.07	1.29	1.07

FY 2017-18 FTE Allotment Factor

		BLS Factor	FTE Dollar Factor Applied (Current \$59,494*BLS)		Eligible for FTE Floor ?	Has FTE Need <50 AND FTE Dollar Factor is Less Than Median of \$45,508?	Final FTE Dollar Factor
Cluster	Court	A	В	С	D	E	F
4	Alameda	1.43	\$ 85,132	576			\$ 85,132
1	Alpine	0.85	\$ 50,795	3	Yes		\$ 50,795
1	Amador	0.99	\$ 58,904	24	Yes		\$ 58,904
2	Butte	0.90	\$ 53,623 \$ 52,747	129	V		\$ 53,623
1	Calaveras Colusa	0.89	\$ 52,747 \$ 43,591	24 17	Yes Yes	Yes	\$ 52,747 \$ 45,508
3	Contra Costa	1.25	\$ 74,241	385	165	168	\$ 74,241
1	Del Norte	0.74	\$ 44,319	25	Yes	Yes	\$ 45,508
2	El Dorado	1.04	\$ 61,952	76	100	100	\$ 61,952
3	Fresno	0.98	\$ 58,075	531			\$ 58,075
1	Glenn	0.67	\$ 39,659	20	Yes	Yes	\$ 45,508
2	Humboldt	0.77	\$ 45,624	86			\$ 45,624
2	Imperial	0.80	\$ 47,384	130			\$ 47,384
1	Inyo	0.84	\$ 49,959	19	Yes		\$ 49,959
3	Kern	1.04	\$ 61,918	515			\$ 61,918
2	Kings	0.86	\$ 51,094	101			\$ 51,094
2	Lake	0.75	\$ 44,782	47	Yes	Yes	\$ 45,508
1	Lassen	0.78	\$ 46,233	22	Yes		\$ 46,233
4	Los Angeles	1.37	\$ 81,630	4,716			\$ 81,630
2	Madera Marin	0.92 1.30	\$ 54,933 \$ 77,186	89 97			\$ 54,933 \$ 77,186
1	Mariposa	0.82	\$ 48,845	12	Yes		\$ 48,845
2	Mendocino	0.82	\$ 47,926	65	168		\$ 47,926
2	Merced	0.88	\$ 52,597	138			\$ 52,597
1	Modoc	0.55	\$ 32,718	9	Yes	Yes	\$ 45,508
1	Mono	1.01	\$ 59,856	13	Yes		\$ 59,856
3	Monterey	1.19	\$ 70,727	185			\$ 70,727
2	Napa	1.22	\$ 72,837	69			\$ 72,837
2	Nevada	0.99	\$ 59,012	50			\$ 59,012
4	Orange	1.29	\$ 76,892	1,200			\$ 76,892
2	Placer	1.20	\$ 71,580	157			\$ 71,580
1	Plumas	0.69	\$ 40,942	12	Yes	Yes	\$ 45,508
4	Riverside	1.11	\$ 66,070	1,023			\$ 66,070
4	Sacramento	1.28	\$ 76,146	707			\$ 76,146
1	San Benito	0.96	\$ 57,340	27	Yes		\$ 57,340
4	San Bernardino	1.06	\$ 62,954	1,116			\$ 62,954
4	San Diego San Francisco	1.18	\$ 70,366 \$ 101,758	1,187 354			\$ 70,366 \$ 101,758
3	San Joaquin	1.09	\$ 64,835	363			\$ 64,835
2	San Luis Obispo	1.06	\$ 63,080	138			\$ 63,080
3	San Mateo	1.46	\$ 86,563	275			\$ 86,563
3	Santa Barbara	1.20	\$ 71,330	211			\$ 71,330
4	Santa Clara	1.44	\$ 85,498	563			\$ 85,498
2	Santa Cruz	1.14	\$ 67,616	124			\$ 67,616
2	Shasta	0.88	\$ 52,158	143			\$ 52,158
1	Sierra	0.62	\$ 37,003	3	Yes	Yes	\$ 45,508
	Siskiyou	0.70			Yes	Yes	\$ 45,508
3	Solano	1.17	\$ 69,868	205			\$ 69,868
3	Sonoma	1.12	\$ 66,554	212			\$ 66,554
2	Stanislaus Sutter	1.01 0.97	\$ 60,204 \$ 57,453	268 61			\$ 60,204 \$ 57,453
2	Tehama	0.97	\$ 57,453 \$ 46,872	53			\$ 57,453
1	Trinity	0.79	\$ 46,872	14	Yes	Yes	\$ 45,508
3	Tulare	0.89	\$ 52,679	246	100	2 0.3	\$ 52,679
2	Tuolumne	0.80	\$ 47,693	40	Yes		\$ 47,693
3	Ventura	1.23		354			\$ 73,211
2	Yolo	1.07	\$ 63,944	105			\$ 63,944
2	Yuba	1.17	\$ 69,444	53			\$ 69,444

17,419

WAFM Post BLS FTE Allotment: Median \$ 45,508

			Funding vs. FY	Current Historical Y 17-18 WAFM ng Need		Re	eallocation of 50%	
		(Historical) Funding Subject to Reallocation	Share of Total Funding Subject to Reallocation Using WAFM (Historical funding proportion)	Share of Total WAFM Funding Need (FY 17-18)	Reallocation Ratio	50 Percent of Funding Subject to Reallocation	Reallocation Using WAFM Proportion	Net
	Court	Α	В	С	D = C / B	E = 50% * Col. A	F = \$720.2M * Col. C	G = E + F
4	Alameda	69,586,867	4.83%	3.83%	79.4%	(34,793,434)	27,611,904	(7,181,529)
1	Alpine	552,142	0.04%	0.02%	46.6%	(276,071)	128,664	(147,407)
1	Amador	2,080,491	0.14%	0.12%	83.3%	(1,040,246)	866,832	(173,413)
2	Butte	7,287,810	0.51%	0.55%	108.7%	(3,643,905)	3,960,901	316,996
1	Calaveras	1,950,892	0.14%	0.11%	80.6%	(975,446)	786,367	(189,078)
1	Colusa	1,368,302	0.09%	0.08%	87.1%	(684,151)	596,046	(88,105)
3	Contra Costa	32,906,460	2.28%	2.29%	100.4%	(16,453,230)	16,516,187	62,957
1	Del Norte	2,202,321	0.15%	0.12%	81.6%	(1,101,160)	898,637	(202,523)
2	El Dorado	5,880,901	0.41%	0.37%	90.3%	(2,940,450)	2,653,800	(286,651)
3	Fresno	34,456,224	2.39%	2.80%	117.1%	(17,228,112)	20,178,993	2,950,881
1	Glenn	1,811,707	0.13%	0.09%	68.5%	(905,853)	620,622	(285,232)
2	Humboldt	5,005,941	0.35%	0.34%	98.6%	(2,502,970)	2,467,770	(35,200)
2	Imperial .	6,294,286	0.44%	0.48%	110.4%	(3,147,143)	3,474,675	327,532
1	Inyo	1,722,461	0.12%	0.09%	72.2%	(861,231)	622,164	(239,067)
3	Kern	28,781,786	2.00%	2.93%	146.8%	(14,390,893)	21,124,674	6,733,781
2	Kings	4,765,510	0.33%	0.40%	120.4%	(2,382,755)	2,868,281	485,526
2	Lake	2,903,720	0.20%	0.18%	86.8%	(1,451,860)	1,260,676	(191,184)
4	Lassen	1,890,662	0.13%	0.09%	70.5%	(945,331)	666,823	(278,508)
	Los Angeles	392,482,162	27.25%	29.58%	108.6%	(196,241,081)	213,083,452	16,842,370
2	Madera Marin	5,953,244	0.41% 0.93%	0.40% 0.56%	97.6%	(2,976,622)	2,904,973	(71,649)
1		13,338,797 920,593	0.95%	0.36%	60.9% 84.5%	(6,669,399) (460,296)	4,062,276 388,999	(2,607,123)
2	Mariposa Mendocino	4,379,075	0.30%	0.03%	93.6%	(2,189,538)	2,049,143	(140,395)
2	Merced	9,033,368	0.63%	0.67%	106.9%	(4,516,684)	4,830,130	313,445
1	Modoc	890,668	0.05%	0.04%	60.6%	(445,334)	269,810	(175,524)
1	Mono	1,232,348	0.09%	0.04%	91.1%	(616,174)	561,239	(54,935)
3	Monterey	13,009,124	0.90%	0.97%	107.7%	(6,504,562)	7,005,892	501,330
2	Napa	6,088,978	0.42%	0.38%	91.0%	(3,044,489)	2,769,217	(275,272)
2	Nevada	3,817,225	0.26%	0.24%	90.7%	(1,908,612)	1,731,619	(176,994)
	Orange	122,983,490	8.54%	7.07%	82.8%	(61,491,745)	50,922,145	(10,569,600)
2	Placer	11,114,142	0.77%	0.91%	118.4%	(5,557,071)	6,579,666	1,022,595
1	Plumas	1,441,037	0.10%	0.05%	48.4%	(720,518)	349,060	(371,458)
4	Riverside	57,140,417	3.97%	5.11%	128.9%	(28,570,208)	36,822,677	8,252,469
4	Sacramento	61,567,979	4.27%	4.30%	100.5%	(30,783,990)	30,949,829	165,839
1	San Benito	2,496,024	0.17%	0.13%	76.4%	(1,248,012)	953,848	(294,164)
4	San Bernardino	61,335,147	4.26%	5.47%	128.5%	(30,667,573)	39,416,674	8,749,101
4	San Diego	122,736,644	8.52%	6.96%	81.7%	(61,368,322)	50,111,172	(11,257,150)
4	San Francisco	52,988,157	3.68%	2.52%	68.6%	(26,494,079)	18,162,421	(8,331,657)
3	San Joaquin	23,639,320	1.64%	2.01%	122.7%	(11,819,660)	14,503,346	2,683,686
2	San Luis Obispo	10,604,942	0.74%	0.69%	94.0%	(5,302,471)	4,984,627	(317,844)
3	San Mateo	29,770,060	2.07%	1.85%	89.4%	(14,885,030)	13,299,776	(1,585,254)
3	Santa Barbara	18,365,326	1.27%	1.16%	91.1%	(9,182,663)	8,367,930	(814,732)
4	Santa Clara	74,267,457	5.16%	3.80%	73.8%	(37,133,729)	27,390,923	(9,742,806)
2	Santa Cruz	9,910,386	0.69%	0.67%	96.8%	(4,955,193)	4,796,149	(159,044)
2	Shasta	7,409,092	0.51%	0.58%	113.7%	(3,704,546)	4,211,876	507,330
1	Sierra	542,215	0.04%	0.02%	41.8%	(271,108)	113,228	(157,880)
2	Siskiyou	3,254,627	0.23%	0.13%	56.6%	(1,627,314)	921,331	(705,983)
3	Solano	15,704,185	1.09%	1.14%	104.2%	(7,852,093)	8,181,999	329,907
3	Sonoma	18,845,883	1.31%	1.23%	94.0%	(9,422,941)	8,859,743	(563,198)

Cluster	Court	(Historical) Funding Subject to Reallocation	Funding vs. FY	Funding Subject to Reallocation Using WAFM (Historical funding proportion) Share of Total WAFM Funding Need (FY 17-18)	
3	Stanislaus	15,497,803	1.08%	1.33%	D=C/B 123.6%
2	Sutter	3,403,045	0.24%	0.29%	122.4%
2	Tehama	2,907,298	0.20%	0.23%	113.2%
1	Trinity	990,359	0.07%	0.07%	95.3%
3	Tulare	12,293,011	0.85%	1.11%	130.4%
2	Tuolumne	2,589,803	0.18%	0.17%	94.1%
3	Ventura	24,366,827	1.69%	2.01%	119.1%
2	Yolo	6,504,149	0.45%	0.55%	121.2%
2	Yuba	3,225,076	0.22%	0.27%	119.1%
	Statewide	1,440,487,965	100%	100%	100%

Reallocation of 50%						
50 Percent of Funding Subject to Reallocation	Reallocation Using WAFM Proportion	Net				
E = 50% * Col. A	F = \$720.2M * Col. C	G = E + F				
(7,748,902)	9,578,558	1,829,656				
(1,701,523)	2,083,376	381,853				
(1,453,649)	1,645,783	192,134				
(495,179)	471,907	(23,272)				
(6,146,506)	8,014,884	1,868,378				
(1,294,901)	1,218,883	(76,018)				
(12,183,413)	14,509,278	2,325,865				
(3,252,074)	3,942,247	690,172				
(1,612,538)	1,919,879	307,341				
(720.243.983)	720.243.983	0				

(720,243,983)

		New Ro	eallocation of \$233.8	М	Re	allocation of \$0M	1	Allocation of	New Money
		Allocation of \$233.8Million Using 17-18 WAFM	Original Share of \$233.8Million of Historical Allocation To Be Reallocated	Net	Allocation of \$0 Million Using 17-18 WAFM	Original Share of \$20.0 Million of Historical Allocation To Be Reallocated	Net	Allocation of \$233.8 Million Using 17-18 WAFM	Allocation of \$0Million Using 17-18 WAFM
Cluster	Court	H = \$233.8M*C	I = -\$233.8M*B	J = H + I	K = \$0M*C	L = -\$0M*B	M = K + L	N = \$0M * C	O = \$0M * C
4	Alameda	8,962,704	(11,293,797)	(2,331,093)	-	-	-	8,962,704	-
1	Alpine	41,764	(89,611)	(47,848)	-	-	-	41,764	-
1	Amador	281,370	(337,659)	(56,289)	-	-	-	281,370	-
2	Butte	1,285,691	(1,182,796)	102,896	-	-	-	1,285,691	-
1	Calaveras	255,251	(316,625)	(61,374)	-	-	-	255,251	-
1	Colusa	193,474	(222,072)	(28,598)	-	-	-	193,474	-
3	Contra Costa	5,361,082	(5,340,647)	20,435	-	-	-	5,361,082	-
1	Del Norte	291,694	(357,432)	(65,738)	-	-	-	291,694	-
2	El Dorado	861,412	(954,457)	(93,046)	-	-	-	861,412	-
3	Fresno	6,550,013	(5,592,170)	957,843	-	-	-	6,550,013	-
1	Glenn	201,451	(294,036)	(92,585)	-	-	-	201,451	-
2	Humboldt	801,027	(812,453)	(11,426)	-	-	-	801,027	-
2	Imperial	1,127,864	(1,021,549)	106,316	_	-	-	1,127,864	-
1	Inyo	201,952	(279,552)	(77,600)	-	-	-	201,952	-
3	Kern	6,856,977	(4,671,221)	2,185,756	_	-	-	6,856,977	-
2	Kings	931,031	(773,432)	157,599	-	-	-	931,031	-
2	Lake	409,210	(471,267)	(62,057)	-	-	-	409,210	-
1	Lassen	216,448	(306,850)	(90,402)	-	-	-	216,448	-
4	Los Angeles	69,165,960	(63,699,000)	5,466,960	-	-	-	69,165,960	-
2	Madera	942,942	(966,198)	(23,257)	-	-	-	942,942	-
2	Marin	1,318,597	(2,164,858)	(846,261)	-	-	-	1,318,597	-
1	Mariposa	126,267	(149,410)	(23,143)	-	-	-	126,267	-
2	Mendocino	665,143	(710,714)	(45,572)	-	-	-	665,143	-
2	Merced	1,567,839	(1,466,096)	101,743	-	-	-	1,567,839	-
1	Modoc	87,579	(144,553)	(56,974)	-	-	-	87,579	-
1	Mono	182,176	(200,007)	(17,832)	-	-	-	182,176	-
3	Monterey	2,274,082	(2,111,353)	162,730	-	-	-	2,274,082	-
2	Napa	898,876	(988,228)	(89,352)	-	-	-	898,876	-
2	Nevada	562,076	(619,527)	(57,451)	-	-	-	562,076	-
4	Orange	16,529,106	(19,959,952)		-	-	-	16,529,106	-
2	Placer	2,135,731	(1,803,801)	331,930	-	-	-	2,135,731	-
1	Plumas	113,304	(233,877)	(120,574)	-	-	-	113,304	-
4	Riverside	11,952,481	(9,273,765)	2,678,715	-	-	-	11,952,481	-
4	Sacramento	10,046,179	(9,992,349)	53,831	-	-	-	10,046,179	-
1	San Benito	309,615	(405,099)	(95,484)	-	-	-	309,615	-
4	San Bernardino	12,794,481	(9,954,561)	2,839,920	-	-	-	12,794,481	-
-	San Diego	16,265,868	(19,919,890)	(3,654,022)	-	-	-	16,265,868	-
3	San Francisco	5,895,443	(8,599,862)	(2,704,420)	-	-	-	5,895,443	-
	San Joaquin San Luis Obispo	4,707,723	(3,836,610)	871,113 (103 171)	-		-	4,707,723 1,617,988	-
3	San Luis Obispo San Mateo	1,617,988 4,317,049	(4,831,616)	(103,171) (514,567)	-	-	-	4,317,049	-
3	Santa Barbara	2,716,194	(2,980,652)	(264,459)		-	-	2,716,194	-
4	Santa Clara	8,890,974	(12,053,446)	(3,162,472)	-	-	-	8,890,974	-
2	Santa Cruz	1,556,809	(1,608,434)	(51,625)		-		1,556,809	-
2	Shasta	1,367,157	(1,808,434)	164,677	-	-		1,367,157	-
1	Sierra	36,753	(1,202,479)	(51,247)	-	_	-	36,753	-
2	Siskiyou	299,060	(528,219)	(229,159)		-	-	299,060	-
3	Solano	2,655,841	(2,548,755)	107,086	-	-	-	2,655,841	-
3	Sonoma	2,875,834	(3,058,646)	(182,812)	-	-	-	2,875,834	-

		New R	eallocation of \$233.8	М	Re	allocation of \$0M	Л	Allocation of New Money		
		Allocation of \$233.8Million Using 17-18 WAFM	Original Share of \$233.8Million of Historical Allocation To Be Reallocated	Net	Allocation of \$0 Million Using 17-18 WAFM	Original Share of \$20.0 Million of Historical Allocation To Be Reallocated	Net	Allocation of \$233.8 Million Using 17-18 WAFM	Allocation of \$0Million Using 17-18 WAFM	
Cluster	Court	H = \$233.8M*C	I = -\$233.8M*B	J = H + I	K = \$0M*C	L = -\$0M*B	M = K + L	N = \$0M * C	O = \$0M * C	
3	Stanislaus	3,109,158	(2,515,260)	593,898	-	-	-	3,109,158	-	
2	Sutter	676,255	(552,307)	123,948	-	-	-	676,255	-	
2	Tehama	534,214	(471,848)	62,366	-	-	-	534,214	-	
1	Trinity	153,179	(160,733)	(7,554)	-	-	-	153,179	-	
3	Tulare	2,601,596	(1,995,129)	606,467	-	-	-	2,601,596	-	
2	Tuolumne	395,644	(420,319)	(24,675)	-	-	-	395,644	-	
3	Ventura	4,709,649	(3,954,683)	754,966	-	-	-	4,709,649	-	
2	Yolo	1,279,636	(1,055,609)	224,027	-	-	-	1,279,636	-	
2	Yuba	623,184	(523,423)	99,762	-	-	-	623,184	-	
						1				
	Statewide	233,788,058	(233,788,058)	0	-	-	-	233,788,058	-	

233,788,058 - 233,788,058

			016-17 WAFM cation		
		40% Reallocation	\$233.8M Reallocation	Estimated 2017-18 Net Total Adjustments to Allocation	Estimated 2017- 18 Funding Floor Adjustment
Cluster	Court	Р	Q	R	S
4	Alameda	6,603,514	(5,935,117)	118,477	(13,184)
1	Alpine	121,523	9,004	(22,964)	11,128
1	Amador	115,509	(243,925)	(76,749)	(415)
2	Butte	(309,051)	(1,433,588)	(37,056)	(1,747)
1	Calaveras	103,606	(232,551)	(124,146)	(386)
1	Colusa	95,668	(144,439)	28,000	(356)
3	Contra Costa	598,907	(4,854,640)	1,188,741	(7,228)
2	Del Norte	96,152	(279,405)	(159,821)	(468)
3	El Dorado	202,552	(790,089)	(105,821)	(1,202)
1	Fresno Glenn	(3,000,304) 254,350	(8,026,884)	(568,451)	(8,672)
2	Humboldt	22,975	(87,633) (793,810)	(9,648)	(1,124)
2	Imperial	(279,110)	(1,248,044)	34,558	(1,500)
1	Inyo	209,432	(109,600)	(14,883)	(350)
3	Kern	(5,678,721)	(9,279,441)	818,353	(8,309)
2	Kings	(334,835)	(1,045,147)	194,175	(1,196)
2	Lake	192,865	(314,759)	34,075	(591)
1	Lassen	133,512	(198,507)	(217,457)	94,310
4	Los Angeles	(14,770,787)	(75,685,333)	1,019,170	(92,781)
2	Madera	(45,557)	(1,003,167)	(200,688)	(1,337)
2	Marin	2,130,015	(436,374)	(441,145)	(2,191)
1	Mariposa	68,668	(93,687)	6,808	75,747
2	Mendocino	113,755	(618,404)	(25,473)	(959)
2	Merced	(448,390)	(1,829,959)	(295,321)	(2,060)
1	Modoc	148,203	(24,288)	(21,004)	3,187
1	Mono	38,618	(168,669)	(20,641)	124,225
3	Monterey	(349,452)	(2,394,929)	193,761	(3,088)
2	Napa	222,926	(807,326)	(50,148)	(1,262)
2	Nevada	235,623	(428,322)	134,931	(829)
4	Orange	7,903,661	(13,546,218)	(3,113,896)	(24,189)
2	Placer	(729,594)	(2,395,859)	364,804	(2,829)
1	Plumas	249,686	(31,259)	(160,301)	(203)
4	Riverside	(6,110,439)	(14,232,320)	2,540,906	(15,526)
4	Sacramento	419,572	(9,651,871)	1,033,549	(13,494)
1	San Benito	325,983	(140,568)	105,382	(461)
4	San Bernardino	(7,035,711)	(15,663,964)	1,683,826	(16,776)
4	San Diego	9,271,417	(12,396,236)	(1,770,123)	(24,293)
3	San Francisco	4,680,288	(4,801,860)	(5,262,207)	(9,575)
2	San Joaquin	(1,933,500)	(5,405,624)	923,398	(6,191)
3	San Luis Obispo San Mateo	8,117 1,561,908	(1,714,572)	(509,481) 214,992	(2,282)
3	Santa Barbara	866,144	(3,564,145)	214,992	
4	Santa Clara	7,851,840	(5,681,764)	(1,844,229)	(3,771)
2	Santa Cruz	173,511	(1,467,632)	52,020	(2,167)
2	Shasta	(278,742)	(1,428,675)	331,747	(1,901)
1	Sierra	130,925	18,244	(23,205)	13,353
2	Siskiyou	568,425	(66,948)	(134,605)	(518)
3	Solano	(117,645)	(2,644,223)	330,966	(3,696)
3	Sonoma	420,539	(2,717,383)	(167,019)	(4,170)

			\$233.8M Reallocation
Cluster	Court	P	Q
3	Stanislaus	(1,482,118)	(3,717,982)
2	Sutter	(303,662)	(798,725)
2	Tehama	(119,115)	(568,508)
1	Trinity	40,143	(128,157)
3	Tulare	(1,050,570)	(2,847,655)
2	Tuolumne	146,364	(301,547)
3	Ventura	(1,419,131)	(5,106,292)
2	Yolo	(303,923)	(1,302,239)
2	Yuba	(226,541)	(707,258)
	Statewide	(0)	(233,788,058)

Estimated 2017-18 Net Total Adjustments to	Estimated 2017- 18 Funding Floor
Allocation	Adjustment
R	S
332,613	(3,925)
79,670	(897)
101,091	(715)
34,338	(256)
1,178,217	(3,411)
139,768	(564)
1,265,056	(6,189)
587,674	(1,669)
96,488	(824)
(0)	(0)

Historical Trial Court Funding Subject to Reallocation Using WAFM

	2013-14 Beginning Base (TCTF and GF) TCTF and GF (45.10)	ase (TCTF and GF) Adjustment Adjustment Self-I CTF and GF (45.10) TCTF (45.10) TCTF (45.10) TCTF (Self-Help TCTF (45.10)	Replacement of 2% Automation TCTF (45.10)	Automated Recordkeeping and Micrographics Distribution (11-12) TCTF (45.10)	Total	% of Total
Court	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
Alameda	74,069,725	(3,177,924)	(1,958,825)	101,575	424,792	127,523	69,586,867	4.83%
Alpine	549,977	-	-	83	2,034	47	552,142	0.04%
Amador	2,066,138	-	_	2,565	11,006	783	2,080,491	0.14%
Butte	7,956,105	(467,145)	(291,613)	14,608	59,332	16,523	7,287,810	0.51%
Calaveras	1,927,985	-	-	3,074	18,652	1,180	1,950,892	0.14%
Colusa	1,352,785	-	-	1,447	13,708	363	1,368,302	0.09%
Contra Costa	34,237,741	-	(1,705,774)	69,231	218,186	87,076	32,906,460	2.28%
Del Norte	2,315,586	-	(126,942)	1,964	11,208	505	2,202,321	0.15%
El Dorado	5,867,266	-	(57,081)	11,851	54,374	4,491	5,880,901	0.41%
Fresno	35,177,288	-	(1,032,025)	60,497	181,080	69,384	34,456,224	2.39%
Glenn	1,799,795	(9,779)	-	1,927	19,264	500	1,811,707	0.13%
Humboldt	5,258,372	(167,800)	(150,006)	8,913	48,160	8,302	5,005,941	0.35%
Imperial	6,805,406	(420,479)	(180,405)	11,204	67,678	10,882	6,294,286	0.44%
Inyo	1,919,492	(186,658)	(42,314)	1,245	30,402	294	1,722,461	0.12%
Kern	30,203,399	(65,567)	(1,750,452)	52,450	277,328	64,629	28,781,786	2.00%
Kings	5,292,481	(421,918)	(181,060)	9,935	57,026	9,045	4,765,510	0.33%
Lake	3,130,735	(196,493)	(56,758)	4,311	20,328	1,596	2,903,720	0.20%
Lassen	2,161,420	(293,836)	-	2,384	20,156	538	1,890,662	0.13%
Los Angeles	428,645,200	(14,294,467)	(26,758,268)	689,065	3,144,530	1,056,102	392,482,162	27.25%
Madera	6,269,329	(381,406)	-	9,711	52,502	3,108	5,953,244	0.41%
Marin	13,587,985	(9,625)	(391,957)	17,038	114,766	20,590	13,338,797	0.93%
Mariposa	943,529	-	(28,406)	1,225	3,904	341	920,593	0.06%
Mendocino	4,636,654	(299,349)	-	6,083	30,068	5,619	4,379,075	0.30%
Merced	9,195,644	-	(250,840)	16,595	55,652	16,318	9,033,368	0.63%
Modoc	947,828	(789)	(63,471)	662	6,134	304	890,668	0.06%
Mono	1,251,020	(24,156)	(8,201)	914	12,446	324	1,232,348	0.09%
Monterey	13,973,323	(870,000)	(333,656)	28,573	183,464	27,420	13,009,124	0.90%
Napa	6,628,648	(295,552)	(287,148)	9,042	30,550	3,438	6,088,978	0.42%
Nevada	4,478,125	(433,431)	(292,045)	6,730	49,946	7,900	3,817,225	0.26%
Orange	127,622,123	(2,733,776)	(3,329,845)	206,630	923,882	294,477	122,983,490	8.54%
Placer	11,920,337	-	(933,901)	21,287	77,378	29,042	11,114,142	0.77%
Plumas	1,429,991	-	-	1,442	9,206	398	1,441,037	0.10%
Riverside	61,221,794	(1,931,520)	(2,882,751)	131,371	532,226	69,297	57,140,417	3.97%
Sacramento	64,637,712	(1,864,424)	(1,824,452)	93,189	340,254	185,701	61,567,979	4.27%
San Benito	2,476,122	-	-	3,876	14,700	1,327	2,496,024	0.17%

Historical Trial Court Funding Subject to Reallocation Using WAFM

	2013-14 Beginning Base (TCTF and GF) TCTF and GF (45.10)	Security Base (FY 10-11) Adjustment	SJO Adjustment ¹ TCTF (45.10)	Self-Help TCTF (45.10)	Replacement of 2% Automation TCTF (45.10)	Automated Recordkeeping and Micrographics Distribution (11-12) TCTF (45.10)	Total	% of Total
Court	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
San Bernardino	66,832,972	(3,269,446)	(2,986,710)	133,960	435,474	188,896	61,335,147	4.26%
San Diego	126,960,874	(657,192)	(4,757,300)	206,259	718,422	265,582	122,736,644	8.52%
San Francisco	55,153,072	-	(2,582,976)	53,715	272,528	91,818	52,988,157	3.68%
San Joaquin	24,406,106	(287,747)	(779,859)	44,944	201,698	54,178	23,639,320	1.64%
San Luis Obispo	11,353,662	(241,676)	(673,831)	17,704	130,020	19,062	10,604,942	0.74%
San Mateo	31,297,630	(443,042)	(1,479,478)	48,700	329,518	16,733	29,770,060	2.07%
Santa Barbara	19,657,482	(1,055,112)	(457,408)	28,356	162,858	29,149	18,365,326	1.27%
Santa Clara	75,407,649	-	(1,833,360)	119,260	452,782	121,126	74,267,457	5.16%
Santa Cruz	10,187,917	-	(424,668)	17,644	113,210	16,283	9,910,386	0.69%
Shasta	10,063,775	(2,389,668)	(326,131)	12,206	44,394	4,517	7,409,092	0.51%
Sierra	540,106	-	-	235	1,830	44	542,215	0.04%
Siskiyou	3,317,504	-	(103,923)	3,104	37,000	943	3,254,627	0.23%
Solano	16,489,461	(435,400)	(535,433)	28,439	119,364	37,755	15,704,185	1.09%
Sonoma	19,577,796	(440,000)	(479,410)	32,278	119,004	36,215	18,845,883	1.31%
Stanislaus	15,772,316	(9,326)	(427,578)	34,594	88,718	39,080	15,497,803	1.08%
Sutter	3,604,262	(247,071)	-	6,150	37,382	2,322	3,403,045	0.24%
Tehama	2,879,149	-	(5,472)	4,138	28,100	1,382	2,907,298	0.20%
Trinity	1,431,739	(450,608)	-	943	7,648	636	990,359	0.07%
Tulare	12,726,148	(15,576)	(679,043)	28,289	204,932	28,262	12,293,011	0.85%
Tuolumne	2,819,593	(220,516)	(30,986)	3,916	16,642	1,152	2,589,803	0.18%
Ventura	26,332,175	(1,559,157)	(731,699)	54,971	205,304	65,233	24,366,827	1.69%
Yolo	7,474,390	(582,889)	(461,445)	12,802	48,556	12,735	6,504,149	0.45%
Yuba	3,335,312	(132,569)	-	4,696	15,788	1,849	3,225,076	0.22%
Total	1,529,578,150	(40,983,089)	(64,674,907)	2,500,000	10,907,494	3,160,318	1,440,487,965	100.00%

^{1.} Does not include compensation for AB 1058 commissioners.

Summary of Changes from 2016–2017 to 2017-2018 Total WAFM Funding Need

				Change in '	Vari	able	
Description	20	016-17 Amount	t 2017-18 Amount			Change in Amount	% Change
		Α		В		C	D (C. (A)
Total Funding Need	\$	2,350,120,506	\$	2,336,697,645	\$	(B - A) (13,422,861)	(C / A) -0.6%
	۲		7		۲		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
RAS FTE Need Adjustment		17,978		17,419		(559)	-3.1%
RAS-Related Salary Adjustment	\$	58,336	\$	59,494	\$	1,158	2.0%
OE&E per FTE Adjustment	\$29	9,621 / \$20,941	\$32	2,622 / \$22, 756		\$3,001/ \$1,815	10.1% / 8.7%
Benefits Adjustment	\$	730,675,209	\$	708,861,998	\$	(21,813,211)	-3.0%
BLS Salary Adjustment	\$	1,281,014,437	\$	1,270,152,113		(10,862,324)	-0.8%
AB 1058 Funding Adjustment		40,262,178		41,108,081		845,903	2.1%

FY 2017-2018 Allocation Adjustment Related to Funding Floor

	Total WAFM- Related Allocation for 2017-18 (Prior to implementing funding floor)	Floor Funding	Floor Allocation Adjustment	Share of reduction	Reduction Allocation
Court	A	В	C	D	E
Alameda	71,190,880	N/A	-	4.09%	(13,184)
Alpine	738,872	750,000	11,128	0.00%	-
Amador	2,241,748	N/A	-	0.13%	(415)
Butte	9,431,052	N/A	_	0.54%	(1,747)
Calaveras	2,086,403	N/A	_	0.12%	(386)
Colusa	1,924,695	N/A	_	0.11%	(356)
Contra Costa	39,033,643	N/A	-	2.25%	(7,228)
Del Norte	2,526,718	N/A	_	0.15%	(468)
El Dorado	6,491,374	N/A	_	0.37%	(1,202)
Fresno	46,825,838	N/A	_	2.69%	(8,672)
Glenn	1,914,224	N/A	_	0.11%	(354)
Humboldt	6,067,418	N/A	_	0.35%	(1,124)
Imperial	8,097,855	N/A	_	0.47%	(1,500)
Inyo	1,887,827	N/A	-	0.11%	(350)
Kern	44,870,145	N/A		2.58%	(8,309)
Kings		N/A		0.37%	(1,196)
Lake	6,457,573 3,192,505	N/A		0.37%	(591)
Lassen	1,780,689	1,874,999	94,310	0.18%	(391)
	, ,		94,310		
Los Angeles	501,014,246	N/A N/A	-	28.82%	(92,781)
Madera	7,218,959		-	0.42%	(1,337)
Marin	11,829,411	N/A		0.68%	(2,191)
Mariposa	1,167,970	1,243,717	75,747	0.00%	(050)
Mendocino	5,178,758	N/A	-	0.30%	(959)
Merced	11,122,840	N/A	- 2.107	0.64%	(2,060)
Modoc	871,813	875,000	3,187	0.00%	-
Mono	1,746,186	1,870,411	124,225	0.00%	- (2.000)
Monterey	16,675,449	N/A	-	0.96%	(3,088)
Napa	6,812,496	N/A	-	0.39%	(1,262)
Nevada	4,477,457	N/A	-	0.26%	(829)
Orange	130,620,384	N/A	-	7.51%	(24,189)
Placer	15,278,212	N/A	-	0.88%	(2,829)
Plumas	1,098,490	N/A	-	0.06%	(203)
Riverside	83,837,862	N/A	-	4.82%	(15,526)
Sacramento	72,867,798	N/A	-	4.19%	(13,494)
San Benito	2,490,893	N/A	-	0.14%	(461)
San Bernardino	90,590,969	N/A	-	5.21%	(16,776)
San Diego	131,181,973	N/A	-	7.55%	(24,293)
San Francisco	51,704,684	N/A	-	2.97%	(9,575)
San Joaquin	33,430,503	N/A	-	1.92%	(6,191)
San Luis Obispo	12,321,119	N/A	-	0.71%	(2,282)
San Mateo	32,430,165	N/A	-	1.87%	(6,006)
Santa Barbara	20,361,424	N/A	-	1.17%	(3,771)
Santa Clara	74,849,853	N/A	-	4.31%	(13,861)
Santa Cruz	11,700,064	N/A	-	0.67%	(2,167)
Shasta	10,267,709	N/A	12.252	0.59%	(1,901)
Sierra	736,647	750,000	13,353	0.00%	(510)
Siskiyou	2,796,466	N/A	-	0.16%	(518)
Solano	19,959,592	N/A	-	1.15%	(3,696)
Sonoma	22,518,261	N/A	-	1.30%	(4,170)
Stanislaus	21,196,457	N/A		1.22%	(3,925)
Sutter	4,843,196	N/A	-	0.28%	(897)
Tehama	3,861,352	N/A	-	0.22%	(715)
Trinity	1,383,914	N/A	-	0.08%	(256)
Tulare	18,418,388	N/A	-	1.06%	(3,411)
Tuolumne	3,047,086	N/A	-	0.18%	(564)
Ventura	33,422,006	N/A	-	1.92%	(6,189)
Yolo	9,013,254	N/A	-	0.52%	(1,669)
Yuba	4,451,056	N/A	-	0.26%	(824)
Total	1,745,554,822	7,364,127	321,949	100.00%	(321,949)

Determination of Funding Floor

					Determine A	djusted	Allocation if Flo	oor Applies	Funding Floor (for the graduated
Cluster	Court	WAFM Calculated Need	% of Statewide Need	Current adjusted allocation if no floor applied	Graduated Funding Floor That Would Apply	Apply Floor? Yes, if F>E	Prior Year Plus 10%	Adjusted allocation if no floor applied	floor, the lower of the floor or prior- year allocation plus 10%)
А	В	с	D	E	F	F1	F2	F3	G
4	Alameda	89,581,687	3.83%	71,190,880	1,874,999	N	N/A	N/A	N/A
1	Alpine	417,426	0.02%	738,872	750,000	Υ	825,000	738,872	750,000
1	Amador	2,812,276	0.12%	2,241,748	1,874,999	N	N/A	N/A	N/A
1	Butte Calaveras	12,850,408 2,551,223	0.55% 0.11%	9,431,052 2,086,403	1,874,999 1,874,999	N N	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A
1	Colusa	1,933,761	0.08%	1,924,695	1,874,999	N	N/A	N/A	N/A
3	Contra Costa	53,583,695	2.29%	39,033,643	1,874,999	N	N/A	N/A	N/A
1	Del Norte	2,915,461	0.12%	2,526,718	1,874,999	N	N/A	N/A	N/A
2	El Dorado	8,609,759	0.37%	6,491,374	1,874,999	N	N/A	N/A	N/A
3	Fresno	65,466,991	2.80%	46,825,838	1,874,999	N	N/A	N/A	N/A
1	Glenn	2,013,492	0.09%	1,914,224	1,874,999	N	N/A	N/A	N/A
2	Humboldt Imperial	8,006,222 11,272,937	0.34% 0.48%	6,067,418 8,097,855	1,874,999 1,874,999	N N	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A
1	Inyo	2,018,495	0.48%	1,887,827	1,874,999	N	N/A	N/A	N/A
3	Kern	68,535,077	2.93%	44,870,145	1,874,999	N	N/A	N/A	N/A
2	Kings	9,305,603	0.40%	6,457,573	1,874,999	N	N/A	N/A	N/A
2	Lake	4,090,030	0.18%	3,192,505	1,874,999	N	N/A	N/A	N/A
1	Lassen	2,163,384	0.09%	1,780,689	1,874,999	Υ	2,176,054	1,780,689	1,874,999
4	Los Angeles	691,309,628	29.58%	501,014,246	1,874,999	N	N/A	N/A	N/A
2	Madera Marin	9,424,646 13,179,298	0.40% 0.56%	7,218,959 11,829,411	1,874,999 1,874,999	N N	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A
1	Mariposa	1,262,034	0.05%	1,167,970	1,250,000	Y	1,243,717	1,167,970	1,243,717
2	Mendocino	6,648,062	0.28%	5,178,758	1,874,999	N	N/A	N/A	N/A
2	Merced	15,670,457	0.67%	11,122,840	1,874,999	N	N/A	N/A	N/A
1	Modoc	875,348	0.04%	871,813	875,000	Υ	977,167	871,813	875,000
1	Mono	1,820,837	0.08%	1,746,186	1,874,999	Υ	1,870,411	1,746,186	1,870,411
3	Monterey	22,729,314	0.97%	16,675,449	1,874,999	N	N/A	N/A	N/A
2	Napa Nevada	8,984,209	0.38%	6,812,496	1,874,999	N N	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A
4	Orange	5,617,914 165,207,428	7.07%	4,477,457 130,620,384	1,874,999 1,874,999	N	N/A	N/A	N/A
2	Placer	21,346,504	0.91%	15,278,212	1,874,999	N	N/A	N/A	N/A
1	Plumas	1,132,462	0.05%	1,098,490	875,000	N	N/A	N/A	N/A
4	Riverside	119,464,328	5.11%	83,837,862	1,874,999	N	N/A	N/A	N/A
4	Sacramento	100,410,962	4.30%	72,867,798	1,874,999	N	N/A	N/A	N/A
1	San Benito	3,094,583	0.13%	2,490,893	1,874,999	N	N/A	N/A	N/A
4	San Bernardino	127,880,069	5.47%	90,590,969	1,874,999	N N	N/A	N/A	N/A
4	San Diego San Francisco	162,576,377 58,924,598	6.96% 2.52%	131,181,973 51,704,684	1,874,999 1,874,999	N	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A
3	San Joaquin	47,053,408	2.01%	33,430,503	1,874,999	N	N/A	N/A	N/A
2	San Luis Obispo	16,171,695	0.69%	12,321,119	1,874,999	N	N/A	N/A	N/A
3	San Mateo	43,148,650	1.85%	32,430,165	1,874,999	N	N/A	N/A	N/A
3	Santa Barbara	27,148,194	1.16%	20,361,424	1,874,999	N	N/A	N/A	N/A
4	Santa Clara	88,864,755	3.80%	74,849,853	1,874,999	N	N/A	N/A	N/A
2	Santa Cruz	15,560,215	0.67%	11,700,064	1,874,999	N	N/A	N/A	N/A
1	Shasta Sierra	13,664,649 367,347	0.58% 0.02%	10,267,709 736,647	1,874,999 750,000	N Y	N/A 825,000	N/A 736,647	N/A 750,000
2	Siskiyou	2,989,086	0.02%	2,796,466	1,874,999	N	N/A	N/A	N/A
3	Solano	26,544,975	1.14%	19,959,592	1,874,999	N	N/A	N/A	N/A
3	Sonoma	28,743,789	1.23%	22,518,261	1,874,999	N	N/A	N/A	N/A
3	Stanislaus	31,075,849	1.33%	21,196,457	1,874,999	N	N/A	N/A	N/A
2	Sutter	6,759,126	0.29%	4,843,196	1,874,999	N	N/A	N/A	N/A
2	Tehama	5,339,437	0.23%	3,861,352	1,874,999	N	N/A	N/A	N/A
3	Trinity Tulare	1,531,014 26,002,799	0.07% 1.11%	1,383,914 18,418,388	1,250,000 1,874,999	N N	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A
2	Tuolumne	3,954,439	0.17%	3,047,086	1,874,999	N	N/A	N/A N/A	N/A
3	Ventura	47,072,655	2.01%	33,422,006	1,874,999	N	N/A	N/A	N/A
2	Yolo	12,789,887	0.55%	9,013,254	1,874,999	N	N/A	N/A	N/A
2	Yuba	6,228,690	0.27%	4,451,056	1,874,999	N	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Statewide	2,336,697,645	100.00%	1,745,554,822					7,364,127

Estimated FY 2017-2018 WAFM-Related Base Allocation

	2016-17 Ending TCTF and GF Base	TCTF Reduction for SJO Conversions	Security Base (FY 10-11) Adjustment	SJO Adjustment ¹	Self-Help	Replacement of 2% Automation	Automated Recordkeeping and Micrographics Distribution (15-16)	Estimated 2016- 17 Benefits Funding (Full- Year)	WAFM 17-18 Adjustment	Total 2017-18 WAFM-Related Allocation (Prior to implementing funding floor)	WAFM 17-18 Funding Floor Adjustment	Total 2017-18 WAFM-Related Allocation
Court	A	В	C	D	E	F	G	Н	I	(Sum A:I)	K	(Sum J:K)
Alameda	75,837,756	-	(3,212,246)	(2,059,535)	101,575	424,792	97,474	(117,415)	118,477	71,190,880	(13,184)	71,177,696
Alpine	747,863	=	-	-	83	2,034	14	11,842	(22,964)	738,872	11,128	750,000
Amador	2,282,693	-	-	-	2,565	11,006	570	21,663	(76,749)	2,241,748	(415)	2,241,333
Butte	10,114,840	-	(472,190)	(324,964)	14,608	59,332	11,191	65,290	(37,056)	9,431,052	(1,747)	9,429,305
Calaveras	2,167,577	-	-	-	3,074	18,652	776	20,469	(124,146)	2,086,403	(386)	2,086,017
Colusa	1,859,554	-	-	-	1,447	13,708	288	21,699	28,000	1,924,695	(356)	1,924,339
Contra Costa	38,889,572	-	-	(764,779)	69,231	218,186	60,251	(627,559)	1,188,741	39,033,643	(7,228)	39,026,415
Del Norte	2,633,385	-	-	-	1,964	11,208	373	39,609	(159,821)	2,526,718	(468)	2,526,250
El Dorado	6,642,102	-	-	(153,227)	11,851	54,374	3,399	38,696	(105,821)	6,491,374	(1,202)	6,490,171
Fresno	48,290,025	-	-	(954,187)	60,497	181,080	57,496	(240,622)	(568,451)	46,825,838	(8,672)	46,817,167
Glenn	1,863,179	-	(9,885)	-	1,927	19,264	454	48,933	(9,648)	1,914,224	(354)	1,913,869
Humboldt	6,248,744	-	(169,612)	(151,920)	8,913	48,160	6,936	92,631	(16,433)	6,067,418	(1,124)	6,066,295
Imperial	8,619,427	-	(425,020)	(186,361)	11,204	67,678	7,411	(31,042)	34,558	8,097,855	(1,500)	8,096,356
Inyo	2,037,844	-	(188,674)	-	1,245	30,402	221	21,672	(14,883)	1,887,827	(350)	1,887,477
Kern	46,077,544	-	(66,275)	(1,475,361)	52,450	277,328	52,832	(866,725)	818,353	44,870,145	(8,309)	44,861,835
Kings	6,773,927	-	(426,475)	(263,766)	9,935	57,026	7,682	105,069	194,175	6,457,573	(1,196)	6,456,377
Lake	3,325,218	-	(198,615)	(42,227)	4,311	20,328	1,257	48,157	34,075	3,192,505	(591)	3,191,914
Lassen	2,252,270	-	(297,009)	-	2,384	20,156	413	19,933	(217,457)	1,780,689	94,310	1,874,999
Los Angeles	531,549,720	-	(14,448,847)	(21,541,145)	689,065	3,144,530	822,345	(220,592)	1,019,170	501,014,246	(92,781)	500,921,465
Madera	7,708,366	-	(385,525)	-	9,711	52,502	2,340	32,254	(200,688)	7,218,959	(1,337)	7,217,623
Marin	12,036,922	-	(9,729)	(62,580)	17,038	114,766	15,205	158,933	(441,145)	11,829,411	(2,191)	11,827,220
Mariposa	1,125,254	-	-	-	1,225	3,904	251	30,528	6,808	1,167,970	75,747	1,243,717
Mendocino	5,494,484	-	(302,582)	(17,671)	6,083	30,068	4,699	(10,851)	(25,473)	5,178,758	(959)	5,177,799
Merced	11,970,524	-	-	(391,025)	16,595	55,652	11,623	(245,209)	(295,321)	11,122,840	(2,060)	11,120,780
Modoc	882,073	-	(798)	-	662	6,134	271	4,475	(21,004)	871,813	3,187	875,000
Mono	1,711,215	=	(24,417)	-	914	12,446	198	66,471	(20,641)	1,746,186	124,225	1,870,411
Monterey	17,441,721	-	(879,396)	(354,276)	28,573	183,464	19,246	42,357	193,761	16,675,449	(3,088)	16,672,361
Napa	7,474,856	-	(298,744)	(381,426)	9,042	30,550	2,330	26,037	(50,148)	6,812,496	(1,262)	6,811,234
Nevada	4,929,174	-	(438,112)	(329,444)	6,730	49,946	4,695	119,536	134,931	4,477,457	(829)	4,476,628
Orange	140,624,898	-	(2,763,301)	(4,225,735)	206,630	923,882	216,389	(1,248,482)	(3,113,896)	130,620,384	(24,189)	130,596,195
Placer	15,552,391	-	-	(957,821)	21,287	77,378	20,761	199,413	364,804	15,278,212	(2,829)	15,275,383
Plumas	1,238,999	-	-	-	1,442	9,206	312	8,833	(160,301)	1,098,490	(203)	1,098,287
Riverside	83,672,042	-	(1,952,380)	(2,505,778)	131,371	532,226	49,388	1,370,087	2,540,906	83,837,862	(15,526)	83,822,337
Sacramento	74,858,578	-	(1,884,560)	(1,854,416)	93,189	340,254	300,538	(19,335)	1,033,549	72,867,798	(13,494)	72,854,304
San Benito	2,357,689	=	-	-	3,876	14,700	920	8,326	105,382	2,490,893	(461)	2,490,431
San Bernardino	94,053,222	-	(3,304,756)	(3,157,212)	133,960	435,474	137,726	608,729	1,683,826	90,590,969	(16,776)	90,574,193
San Diego	135,266,631	(411,224)	(664,290)	(4,243,895)	206,259	718,422	200,649	1,879,544	(1,770,123)	131,181,973	(24,293)	131,157,679
San Francisco	56,752,138	-	-	(491,934)	53,715	272,528	65,924	314,519	(5,262,207)	51,704,684	(9,575)	51,695,109
San Joaquin	32,998,593	-	(290,855)	(836,081)	44,944	201,698	42,678	346,128	923,398	33,430,503	(6,191)	33,424,312
San Luis Obispo	13,254,319	(205,612)	(244,286)	(418,156)	17,704	130,020	13,852	282,758	(509,481)	12,321,119	(2,282)	12,318,837

	2016-17 Ending TCTF and GF Base	TCTF Reduction for SJO Conversions	Security Base (FY 10-11) Adjustment	SJO Adjustment ¹	Self-Help	Replacement of 2% Automation	Automated Recordkeeping and Micrographics Distribution (15-16)	Estimated 2016- 17 Benefits Funding (Full- Year)	WAFM 17-18 Adjustment	Total 2017-18 WAFM-Related Allocation (Prior to implementing funding floor)	WAFM 17-18 Funding Floor Adjustment	Total 2017-18 WAFM-Related Allocation L
Court	A	В	C	D	E	F	G	Н	I	(Sum A:I)	K	(Sum J:K)
San Mateo	34,370,308	-	(447,827)	(1,561,631)	48,700	329,518	12,205	(536,099)	214,992	32,430,165	(6,006)	32,424,159
Santa Barbara	21,536,091	ı	(1,066,507)	(540,097)	28,356	162,858	22,814	(7,453)	225,361	20,361,424	(3,771)	20,357,653
Santa Clara	75,710,803	ı	=	(739,281)	119,260	452,782	86,883	1,063,634	(1,844,229)	74,849,853	(13,861)	74,835,992
Santa Cruz	11,656,512	1	-	(281,243)	17,644	113,210	11,426	130,494	52,020	11,700,064	(2,167)	11,697,897
Shasta	12,716,411	1	(2,662,303)	(303,623)	12,206	44,394	3,337	125,540	331,747	10,267,709	(1,901)	10,265,807
Sierra	747,892	ı	=	-	235	1,830	36	9,859	(23,205)	736,647	13,353	750,000
Siskiyou	3,018,786	ı	=	(164,928)	3,104	37,000	852	36,257	(134,605)	2,796,466	(518)	2,795,948
Solano	20,251,598	ı	(440,102)	(633,026)	28,439	119,364	28,032	274,323	330,966	19,959,592	(3,696)	19,955,896
Sonoma	23,077,929	ı	(444,752)	(543,792)	32,278	119,004	27,592	417,021	(167,019)	22,518,261	(4,170)	22,514,091
Stanislaus	20,973,350	•	(9,427)	(473,697)	34,594	88,718	29,055	221,251	332,613	21,196,457	(3,925)	21,192,531
Sutter	4,849,495	ı	(249,739)	-	6,150	37,382	1,711	118,527	79,670	4,843,196	(897)	4,842,299
Tehama	3,709,881	ı	=	-	4,138	28,100	1,168	16,974	101,091	3,861,352	(715)	3,860,637
Trinity	1,839,749	ı	(520,479)	-	943	7,648	660	21,055	34,338	1,383,914	(256)	1,383,657
Tulare	17,197,347	-	(15,744)	(462,276)	28,289	204,932	23,051	264,572	1,178,217	18,418,388	(3,411)	18,414,977
Tuolumne	3,155,788	-	(222,898)	(98,238)	3,916	16,642	982	51,126	139,768	3,047,086	(564)	3,046,522
Ventura	33,968,245	-	(1,575,996)	(624,561)	54,971	205,304	49,729	79,260	1,265,056	33,422,006	(6,189)	33,415,817
Yolo	9,193,431	-	(589,184)	(286,928)	12,802	48,556	8,526	38,378	587,674	9,013,254	(1,669)	9,011,585
Yuba	4,430,455	-	(134,001)	-	4,696	15,788	1,354	36,275	96,488	4,451,056	(824)	4,450,232
Total	1,822,021,399	(616,836)	(41,737,537)	(54,858,243)	2,500,000	10,907,494	2,550,795	4,787,751	(0)	1,745,554,822	(0)	1,745,554,822

^{1.} Does not include compensation for AB 1058 commissioners.

Allocation of \$9.223 Million of Criminal Justice Realignment Funding Using Percentage of Petitions to Revoke/Modify Post Release Community Supervision and Parole

(Janury 1, 2016-December 31, 2016)

Alameda	Court	Total Petitions (PRCS + Parole)	Percent of Statewide Total (PRCS+Parole)	Allocation Amount (PRCS+ Parole)
Alpine 12		Column A	Column B	Column C
Amador 28 0.06% Butte 423 0.94% Calaveras 8 0.02% Colusa 18 0.04% Contra Costa 567 1.26% Del Norte 42 0.09% El Dorado 216 0.48% Fresno 1.973 4.39% Glenn 11 0.02% Humboldt 249 0.55% Inyo 29 0.06% Kern 1.634 3.63% Kings 299 0.66% Lake 61 0.14% Lassen 33 0.07% Lassen 33 0.07% Los Angeles 15,255 33.92% Madora 214 0.46% Marin 85 0.19% Marinosa 22 0.05% Marinosa 22 0.05% Marinosa 22 0.05% Marinosa 22 0.05% Marinosa<		1,232	2.74%	\$252,661
Suttle	ne ^{1,2}	1	0.00%	\$273
Calaveras 8 0.02% Colusa 18 0.04% Contra Costa 567				\$5,742
Colusa 18 0.04% Contra Costa 567 1.26% Del Norte 42 0.09% El Dorado 216 0.48% Fresno 1,973 4.39% Glenn 11 0.02% Humboldt 249 0.55% Imperial 201 0.45% Iny 29 0.06% Kern 1.634 3.63% Kings 299 0.66% Lake 61 0.14% Lassen 33 0.07% Los Angeles 15,255 33,92% Madera 214 0.48% Marin 85 0.19% Marinosa 22 0.05% Mendocino 194 0.43% Merced 513 1.14% Motoc 12 0.03% Monterey 172 0.38% Napa 76 0.17% Nevada 12 0.03% Orange <td>-</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>\$86,750</td>	-			\$86,750
Contra Costa 567 1.26% Del Norte 42 0.09% El Dorado 216 0.48% Fresno 1,973 4.39% Glenn 11 0.02% Humboldt 249 0.55% Imperial 201 0.45% Inyo 29 0.06% Kem 1,634 3.63% Kings 299 0.66% Lake 61 0.14% Lassen 33 0.07% Los Angeles 15,255 33.92% Madera 214 0.48% Marin 85 0.19% Mariposa 22 0.05% Mendocino 194 0.43% Meroed 513 1.14% Moro 12 0.03% Mono 13 0.03% Mono 13 0.03% Mono 13 0.03% Nevada 12 0.03% Nevada		·		\$1,641
Del Norte 42 0.09% El Dorado 216 0.48% Fresno 1.973 4.39% Glenn 11 0.02% Humboldt 249 0.55% Inyerial 201 0.45% Inyo 29 0.06% Kern 1.634 3.63% Kings 299 0.66% Lake 61 0.14% Lassen 33 0.07% Los Angeles 15.255 33.92% Madera 214 0.48% Marin 85 0.19% Mendocino 194 0.43% Meroed 513 1.14% Modoc 12 0.03% Monor 13 0.03% Monor 13 0.03% Monor 13 0.03% Napa 76 0.17% Nevada 12 0.03% Orange 1.875 4.17% Plumas¹²				\$3,691
El Dorado 216 0.48% Fresno 1,973 4.39% 6lenn 1,973 4.39% 6lenn 111 0.02% Humboldt 249 0.55% Imperial 201 0.45% Imperial 201 0.45% Imperial 201 0.45% Imperial 201 0.66% 6len 1.634 3.63% 6len 299 0.06% 6lex 299 0.06% 6lex 299 0.66% 6lex 299 0.66% 6lex 201 0.45% 6lex 201 0.14% 6lex 201 0.05% 6				\$116,281
Fresno 1,973 4,39% Glenn 111 0,02% Humboldt 249 0,55% Imperial 201 0,45% Imperial 201 0,66% Lake 61 0,14% Lassen 33 0,07% Lake 15,255 33,92% Imperial 201 0,48% Imperial 201 0,49% Imper				\$8,613
Glenn				\$44,298
Humboldt	-	,		\$404,626 \$2,256
Imperial 201				\$51,065
Inyo		•		\$41,221
Kem 1,634 3.63% Kings 299 0.66% Lake 61 0.14% Lassen 33 0.07% Los Angeles 15,255 33,92% Madera 214 0.48% Marin 85 0.19% Mariposa 22 0.05% Mendocino 194 0.43% Merced 513 1.14% Modoc 12 0.03% Mono 13 0.03% Mono 13 0.03% Mone 12 0.38% Napa 76 0.17% Nevada 12 0.03% Orange 1,875 4.17% Placer 217 0.48% Plumasi 2 6 0.01% Riverside 4,462 9.92% Sacramento 700 1.56% San Benito 43 0.10% San Benito 43 0.10% San Joaquin <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>\$5,947</td>				\$5,947
Kings 299 0.66% Lake 61 0.14% Lassen 33 0.07% Los Angeles 15,255 33,92% Madera 214 0.48% Marin 85 0.19% Mariposa 222 0.05% Mendocino 194 0.43% Merced 513 1.14% Modoc 12 0.03% Mono 13 0.03% Mono on 13 0.03% Nevada 0.17% 0.03% Nevada				\$335,103
Lake 61 0.14% Lassen 33 0.07% Los Angeles 15,255 33.92% Madera 214 0.48% Marin 85 0.19% Mariposa 22 0.05% Mendocino 194 0.43% Merced 513 1.14% Modoc 12 0.03% Mono 13 0.03% Monterey 172 0.38% Napa 76 0.17% Nevada 12 0.03% Orange 1,875 4.17% Placer 217 0.48% Riverside 4,462 9.92% Sacramento 700 1.56% San Bernardino 4,465 9.93% San Diego 2,418 5.38% San Joaquin 678 1.51% San Lis Obispo 345 0.77% San Mateo 208 0.46% Santa Barbara 362 0.80% Santa Cruz 39 0.09% Shata Stata 310 0.09% Sikiyou 45 0.10% Statis-12 12 0.09% Statis-12 10 0.09% San Seria 1 0.00% San Bernardino 5.38% Santa Cruz 39 0.09% Santa Cruz 39 0.09% Shata Sata 368 0.80% Sierra 1 0.00% Statis-12 0.09% Statis-12 0.09% Statis-12 0.09% Statis-13 0.09% Shata Sata 358 0.80% Sierra 1 0.00% Statis-12 0.09% Statis-12 0.09% Statis-12 0.09% Statis-12 0.09% Shata Sata 358 0.80% Sierra 1 0.00% Siskiyou 45 0.10% Statis-12 93 0.21% Tehama 12 0.03% Trinity 1 12 0.03% Trinity 1 12 0.03% Trinity 1 12 0.03% Trulare 431 0.96% Tuolumne		,		\$61,319
Lassen				\$12,510
Madera 214 0.48% Marin 85 0.19% Mariposa 22 0.05% Mendocino 194 0.43% Merced 513 1.14% Modoc 12 0.03% Mono 13 0.03% Monterey 172 0.38% Napa 76 0.17% Nevada 12 0.03% Orange 1,875 4.17% Placer 217 0.48% Plumas ¹² 6 0.01% Riverside 4,462 9.92% Sacramento 700 1.56% San Benito 43 0.10% San Diego 2,418 5.38% San Diego 2,418 5.38% San Diego 2,418 5.38% San Joaquin 678 1.51% San Luis Obispo 345 0.77% San Mateo 208 0.46% Santa Clara 694 1.54% <				\$6,768
Madera 214 0.48% Marin 85 0.19% Mariposa 22 0.05% Mendocino 194 0.43% Merced 513 1.14% Modoc 12 0.03% Mono 13 0.03% Monterey 172 0.38% Napa 76 0.17% Nevada 12 0.03% Orange 1,875 4.17% Placer 217 0.48% Plumas ¹² 6 0.01% Riverside 4,462 9.92% Sacramento 700 1.56% San Benito 43 0.10% San Diego 2,418 5.38% San Diego 2,418 5.38% San Diego 2,418 5.38% San Joaquin 678 1.51% San Luis Obispo 345 0.77% San Mateo 208 0.46% Santa Clara 694 1.54% <				\$3,128,520
Mariposa 22 0.05% Mendocino 194 0.43% Merced 513 1.14% Modoc 12 0.03% Monn 13 0.03% Monterey 172 0.38% Napa 76 0.17% Nevada 12 0.03% Orange 1,875 4.17% Placer 217 0.48% Plumas ^{1,2} 6 0.01% Riverside 4,462 9.92% Sacramento 700 1.56% San Benito 43 0.10% San Diego 2,418 5.38% San Francisco 221 0.49% San Joaquin 678 1.51% San Luis Obispo 345 0.77% San Mateo 208 0.46% Santa Cara 694 1.54% Santa Cara 694 1.54% Santa Cara 698 1.33% Solano 598 1.33% <td></td> <td>214</td> <td></td> <td>\$43,887</td>		214		\$43,887
Mendocino 194 0.43% Merced 513 1.14% Modoc 12 0.03% Mono 13 0.03% Monterey 172 0.38% Napa 76 0.17% Nevada 12 0.03% Orange 1,875 4.17% Placer 217 0.48% Plumas¹² 6 0.01% Riverside 4,462 9.92% Sacramento 700 1.56% San Benito 43 0.10% San Benrardino 4,465 9.93% San Francisco 221 0.49% San Joaquin 678 1.51% San Joaquin 678 1.51% San Mateo 208 0.46% Santa Barbara 362 0.80% Santa Clara 694 1.54% Santa Cruz 39 0.09% Shasta 358 0.80% Sierra 1 0.00%	n	85	0.19%	\$17,432
Merced 513 1.14% Modoc 12 0.03% Mono 13 0.03% Monterey 172 0.38% Napa 76 0.17% Nevada 12 0.03% Orange 1,875 4.17% Placer 217 0.48% Plumsi ^{1,2} 6 0.01% Riverside 4,462 9.92% Sacramento 700 1.56% San Benito 43 0.10% San Bernardino 4,465 9.93% San Diego 2,418 5.38% San Francisco 221 0.49% San Joaquin 678 1.51% San Luis Obispo 345 0.77% San Mateo 208 0.46% Santa Barbara 362 0.80% Santa Clara 694 1.54% Santa Clara 694 1.54% Salata 358 0.80% Sierra 1	posa	22	0.05%	\$4,512
Mono 12 0.03% Monterey 172 0.38% Napa 76 0.17% Nevada 12 0.03% Orange 1,875 4.17% Placer 217 0.48% Plumas¹² 6 0.01% Riverside 4,462 9.92% Sar Benito 43 0.10% San Benito 43 0.10% San Bernardino 4,465 9.93% San Diego 2,418 5.38% San Francisco 221 0.49% San Joaquin 678 1.51% San Lius Obispo 345 0.77% San Mateo 208 0.46% Santa Barbara 362 0.80% Santa Cruz 39 0.09% Shasta 358 0.80% Sierra 1 0.00% Sikiyou 45 0.10% Solano 598 1.33% Sonoma 561 1.25% <td>docino</td> <td>194</td> <td>0.43%</td> <td>\$39,786</td>	docino	194	0.43%	\$39,786
Mono 13 0.03% Monterey 172 0.38% Napa 76 0.17% Nevada 12 0.03% Orange 1,875 4,17% Placer 217 0.48% Plumas ^{1,2} 6 0.01% Riverside 4,462 9.92% Sacramento 700 1.56% San Benito 43 0.10% San Benito 4,465 9.93% San Diego 2,418 5.38% San Francisco 221 0.49% San Joaquin 678 1.51% San Luis Obispo 345 0.77% San Mateo 208 0.46% Santa Clara 694 1.54% Santa Cruz 39 0.09% Shasta 358 0.80% Sierra 1 0.00% Sierra 1 0.00% Sonoma 561 1.25% Sonoma 561 1.25%	ced	513	1.14%	\$105,207
Monterey	ОС	12	0.03%	\$2,461
Napa 76 0.17% Nevada 12 0.03% Orange 1,875 4,17% Placer 217 0.48% Plumas ^{1,2} 6 0.01% Riverside 4,462 9.92% Sacramento 700 1.56% San Benito 43 0.10% San Bernardino 4,465 9.93% San Diego 2,418 5.38% San Francisco 221 0.49% San Joaquin 678 1.51% San Mateo 208 0.46% Santa Barbara 362 0.80% Santa Clara 694 1.54% Santa Cruz 39 0.09% Shasta 358 0.80% Sierra 1 0.00% Siskiyou 45 0.10% Solano 598 1.33% Sonoma 561 1.25% Stanislaus 310 0.69% Sutter ^{1,2} 93 <td< td=""><td>0</td><td>13</td><td>0.03%</td><td>\$2,666</td></td<>	0	13	0.03%	\$2,666
Nevada 12 0.03% Orange 1,875 4.17% Placer 217 0.48% Plumas ^{1,2} 6 0.01% Riverside 4,462 9.92% Sacramento 700 1.56% San Benito 43 0.10% San Bernardino 4,465 9.93% San Francisco 221 0.49% San Joaquin 678 1.51% San Luis Obispo 345 0.77% San Mateo 208 0.46% Santa Barbara 362 0.80% Santa Clara 694 1.54% Santa Cruz 39 0.09% Shasta 358 0.80% Sierra 1 0.00% Siskiyou 45 0.10% Solano 598 1.33% Sonoma 561 1.25% Stanislaus 310 0.69% Sutter ^{1,2} 93 0.21% Tehama ^{1,2} 60	terey	172	0.38%	\$35,274
Orange 1,875 4.17% Placer 217 0.48% Plumas ^{1,2} 6 0.01% Riverside 4,462 9.92% Sacramento 700 1.56% San Benito 43 0.10% San Bernardino 4,465 9.93% San Diego 2,418 5.38% San Francisco 221 0.49% San Joaquin 678 1.51% San Luis Obispo 345 0.77% San Mateo 208 0.46% Santa Barbara 362 0.80% Santa Clara 694 1.54% Santa Cruz 39 0.09% Shasta 358 0.80% Sierra 1 0.00% Siskiyou 45 0.10% Solano 598 1.33% Sonoma 561 1.25% Stanislaus 310 0.69% Sutter ¹² 93 0.21% Trinity ¹ 12 </td <td>a</td> <td></td> <td>0.17%</td> <td>\$15,586</td>	a		0.17%	\$15,586
Placer 217 0.48% Plumas ^{1,2} 6 0.01% Riverside 4,462 9.92% Sacramento 700 1.56% San Benito 43 0.10% San Bernardino 4,465 9.93% San Diego 2,418 5.38% San Francisco 221 0.49% San Joaquin 678 1.51% San Luis Obispo 345 0.77% San Mateo 208 0.46% Santa Barbara 362 0.80% Santa Clara 694 1.54% Santa Cruz 39 0.09% Shasta 358 0.80% Sierra 1 0.00% Siskiyou 45 0.10% Solano 598 1.33% Sonoma 561 1.25% Stanislaus 310 0.69% Sutter ^{1,2} 93 0.21% Tehama ^{1,2} 60 0.13% Trinity ¹ 1	ada			\$2,461
Plumas ¹² 6 0.01% Riverside 4,462 9.92% Sacramento 700 1.56% San Benito 43 0.10% San Bernardino 4,465 9.93% San Diego 2,418 5.38% San Francisco 221 0.49% San Joaquin 678 1.51% San Luis Obispo 345 0.77% San Mateo 208 0.46% Santa Barbara 362 0.80% Santa Clara 694 1.54% Santa Cruz 39 0.09% Shasta 358 0.80% Sierra 1 0.00% Siskiyou 45 0.10% Solano 598 1.33% Sonoma 561 1.25% Stanislaus 310 0.69% Sutter ^{1,2} 93 0.21% Tehama ^{1,2} 60 0.13% Trinity ¹ 12 0.03% Tulare 431		,		\$384,528
Riverside 4,462 9.92% Sacramento 700 1.56% San Benito 43 0.10% San Bernardino 4,465 9.93% San Diego 2,418 5.38% San Francisco 221 0.49% San Joaquin 678 1.51% San Luis Obispo 345 0.77% San Mateo 208 0.46% Santa Barbara 362 0.80% Santa Clara 694 1.54% Santa Cruz 39 0.09% Shasta 358 0.80% Sierra 1 0.00% Siskiyou 45 0.10% Solano 598 1.33% Sonoma 561 1.25% Stanislaus 310 0.69% Sutter ^{1,2} 93 0.21% Tehama ^{1,2} 60 0.13% Trinity ¹ 12 0.03% Tulare 431 0.96% Tuolumne 34<		217	0.48%	\$44,503
Sacramento 700 1.56% San Benito 43 0.10% San Bernardino 4,465 9.93% San Diego 2,418 5.38% San Francisco 221 0.49% San Joaquin 678 1.51% San Luis Obispo 345 0.77% San Mateo 208 0.46% Santa Barbara 362 0.80% Santa Clara 694 1.54% Santa Cruz 39 0.09% Shasta 358 0.80% Sierra 1 0.00% Siskiyou 45 0.10% Solano 598 1.33% Sonoma 561 1.25% Stanislaus 310 0.69% Sutter ^{1,2} 93 0.21% Tehama ^{1,2} 60 0.13% Trinity ¹ 12 0.03% Tulare 431 0.96% Tuolumne 34 0.08%				\$1,230
San Benito 43 0.10% San Bernardino 4,465 9.93% San Diego 2,418 5.38% San Francisco 221 0.49% San Joaquin 678 1.51% San Luis Obispo 345 0.77% San Mateo 208 0.46% Santa Barbara 362 0.80% Santa Clara 694 1.54% Santa Cruz 39 0.09% Shasta 358 0.80% Sierra 1 0.00% Siskiyou 45 0.10% Solano 598 1.33% Sonoma 561 1.25% Stanislaus 310 0.69% Sutter ^{1,2} 93 0.21% Tehama ^{1,2} 60 0.13% Trinity ¹ 12 0.03% Tulare 431 0.96% Tuolumne 34 0.08%		, -		\$915,074
San Bernardino 4,465 9,93% San Diego 2,418 5,38% San Francisco 221 0,49% San Joaquin 678 1,51% San Luis Obispo 345 0,77% San Mateo 208 0,46% Santa Barbara 362 0,80% Santa Clara 694 1,54% Santa Cruz 39 0,09% Shasta 358 0,80% Sierra 1 0,00% Siskiyou 45 0,10% Solano 598 1,33% Sonoma 561 1,25% Stanislaus 310 0,69% Sutter ^{1,2} 93 0,21% Tehama ^{1,2} 60 0,13% Trinity ¹ 12 0,03% Tulare 431 0,96% Tuolumne 34 0,08%				\$143,557
San Diego 2,418 5,38% San Francisco 221 0,49% San Joaquin 678 1,51% San Luis Obispo 345 0,77% San Mateo 208 0,46% Santa Barbara 362 0,80% Santa Clara 694 1,54% Santa Cruz 39 0,09% Shasta 358 0,80% Sierra 1 0,00% Siskiyou 45 0,10% Solano 598 1,33% Sonoma 561 1,25% Stanislaus 310 0,69% Sutter ^{1,2} 93 0,21% Tehama ^{1,2} 60 0,13% Trinity ¹ 12 0,03% Tulare 431 0,96% Tuolumne 34 0,08%				\$8,819
San Francisco 221 0.49% San Joaquin 678 1.51% San Luis Obispo 345 0.77% San Mateo 208 0.46% Santa Barbara 362 0.80% Santa Clara 694 1.54% Santa Cruz 39 0.09% Shasta 358 0.80% Sierra 1 0.00% Siskiyou 45 0.10% Solano 598 1.33% Sonoma 561 1.25% Stanislaus 310 0.69% Sutter ^{1,2} 93 0.21% Tehama ^{1,2} 60 0.13% Trinity ¹ 12 0.03% Tulare 431 0.96% Tuolumne 34 0.08%		,		\$915,690
San Joaquin 678 1.51% San Luis Obispo 345 0.77% San Mateo 208 0.46% Santa Barbara 362 0.80% Santa Clara 694 1.54% Santa Cruz 39 0.09% Shasta 358 0.80% Sierra 1 0.00% Siskiyou 45 0.10% Solano 598 1.33% Sonoma 561 1.25% Stanislaus 310 0.69% Sutter ^{1,2} 93 0.21% Tehama ^{1,2} 60 0.13% Trinity ¹ 12 0.03% Tulare 431 0.96% Tuolumne 34 0.08%				\$495,887
San Luis Obispo 345 0.77% San Mateo 208 0.46% Santa Barbara 362 0.80% Santa Clara 694 1.54% Santa Cruz 39 0.09% Shasta 358 0.80% Sierra 1 0.00% Siskiyou 45 0.10% Solano 598 1.33% Sonoma 561 1.25% Stanislaus 310 0.69% Sutter ^{1,2} 93 0.21% Tehama ^{1,2} 60 0.13% Trinity ¹ 12 0.03% Tulare 431 0.96% Tuolumne 34 0.08%				\$45,323 \$139,045
San Mateo 208 0.46% Santa Barbara 362 0.80% Santa Clara 694 1.54% Santa Cruz 39 0.09% Shasta 358 0.80% Sierra 1 0.00% Siskiyou 45 0.10% Solano 598 1.33% Sonoma 561 1.25% Stanislaus 310 0.69% Sutter ^{1,2} 93 0.21% Tehama ^{1,2} 60 0.13% Trinity ¹ 12 0.03% Tulare 431 0.96% Tuolumne 34 0.08%				\$70,753
Santa Barbara 362 0.80% Santa Clara 694 1.54% Santa Cruz 39 0.09% Shasta 358 0.80% Sierra 1 0.00% Siskiyou 45 0.10% Solano 598 1.33% Sonoma 561 1.25% Stanislaus 310 0.69% Sutter ^{1,2} 93 0.21% Tehama ^{1,2} 60 0.13% Trinity ¹ 12 0.03% Tulare 431 0.96% Tuolumne 34 0.08%				\$42,657
Santa Clara 694 1.54% Santa Cruz 39 0.09% Shasta 358 0.80% Sierra 1 0.00% Siskiyou 45 0.10% Solano 598 1.33% Sonoma 561 1.25% Stanislaus 310 0.69% Sutter ^{1,2} 93 0.21% Tehama ^{1,2} 60 0.13% Trinity ¹ 12 0.03% Tulare 431 0.96% Tuolumne 34 0.08%				\$74,240
Santa Cruz 39 0.09% Shasta 358 0.80% Sierra 1 0.00% Siskiyou 45 0.10% Solano 598 1.33% Sonoma 561 1.25% Stanislaus 310 0.69% Sutter ^{1,2} 93 0.21% Tehama ^{1,2} 60 0.13% Trinity ¹ 12 0.03% Tulare 431 0.96% Tuolumne 34 0.08%				\$142,327
Shasta 358 0.80% Sierra 1 0.00% Siskiyou 45 0.10% Solano 598 1.33% Sonoma 561 1.25% Stanislaus 310 0.69% Sutter ^{1,2} 93 0.21% Tehama ^{1,2} 60 0.13% Trinity ¹ 12 0.03% Tulare 431 0.96% Tuolumne 34 0.08%				\$7,998
Sierra 1 0.00% Siskiyou 45 0.10% Solano 598 1.33% Sonoma 561 1.25% Stanislaus 310 0.69% Sutter ^{1,2} 93 0.21% Tehama ^{1,2} 60 0.13% Trinity ¹ 12 0.03% Tulare 431 0.96% Tuolumne 34 0.08%				\$73,419
Solano 598 1.33% Sonoma 561 1.25% Stanislaus 310 0.69% Sutter ^{1,2} 93 0.21% Tehama ^{1,2} 60 0.13% Trinity ¹ 12 0.03% Tulare 431 0.96% Tuolumne 34 0.08%	ra			\$205
Sonoma 561 1.25% Stanislaus 310 0.69% Sutter ^{1,2} 93 0.21% Tehama ^{1,2} 60 0.13% Trinity ¹ 12 0.03% Tulare 431 0.96% Tuolumne 34 0.08%				\$9,229
Stanislaus 310 0.69% Sutter ^{1,2} 93 0.21% Tehama ^{1,2} 60 0.13% Trinity ¹ 12 0.03% Tulare 431 0.96% Tuolumne 34 0.08%	ino	598	1.33%	\$122,639
Sutter ^{1,2} 93 0.21% Tehama ^{1,2} 60 0.13% Trinity ¹ 12 0.03% Tulare 431 0.96% Tuolumne 34 0.08%	oma	561		\$115,051
Tehama ¹² 60 0.13% Trinity ¹ 12 0.03% Tulare 431 0.96% Tuolumne 34 0.08%		310	0.69%	\$63,575
Tehama ¹² 60 0.13% Trinity ¹ 12 0.03% Tulare 431 0.96% Tuolumne 34 0.08%	er ^{1,2}	93	0.21%	\$19,141
Trinity¹ 12 0.03% Tulare 431 0.96% Tuolumne 34 0.08%		60		\$12,305
Tulare 431 0.96% Tuolumne 34 0.08%				\$2,393
Tuolumne 34 0.08%				\$88,390
				\$6,973
1,1 IZ 1 J.U1/01		1,712	3.81%	\$351,100
Yolo 253 0.56%		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		\$51,886
Yuba 178 0.40%				\$36,505
				\$9,223,000

¹proxy used for missing PRCS petitions (average of populated quarters)

²proxy used for missing Parole petitions (average of populated quarters)

Preliminary One-Time Allocation Reduction for Fund Balance above the 1% Cap (as of July 21, 2017)

		1			(as of July	21, 2017)					•	1
Court	Сар	FY 2016-17 Fund Balance	Encumbrances	Restricted	Prepayments	Balance of Approved 2016- 2017 TCTF Funds Held on Behalf	Fund Balance Subject to Cap Col. F	Current Year Reduction Col. G	Prior Year Disencum- brance	Total Preliminary Reduction Col. I	Approved 2017-2018 TCTF Funds Held on Behalf ¹	Net Reduction after Funds held on Behalf Col. K
	Col. A	Col. B	Col. C	Col. D	Col. E	Col. F	(B - C - D - E - F)	(F - A)	Col. H	(G + H)	Col. J	(I + J)
ALAMEDA	995,803	2,129,765	259,572	937,111	0	859,203	73,879	(1 //)	(604,310)	(604,310)		(604,310)
ALPINE	6,080	148,959	0	9,947	8,051	0	130,961	(124,881)	(004,310)	(124,881)	-	(124,881)
AMADOR	30.029	194.005	150.000	24.730	841	0	18.434	-	-	(== :,00=)	_	0
BUTTE	130,856	708,241	12,697	309,427	81,700	0	304,417	(173,561)	-	(173,561)	_	(173,561)
CALAVERAS	27,423	397,098	90,000	144,141	159,798	0	3.159	-	_	-	-	0
COLUSA	20,619	443,079	49,543	222,917	0	0	170,619	(150,000)	_	(150,000)	150,000	0
CONTRA COSTA	554,346	4,081,000	1,719,968	2,156,293	0	0	204,738	-	(132,189)	(132,189)	-	(132,189)
DEL NORTE	33,534	686,140	40,298	484,632	0	0	161,210	(127,676)	-	(127,676)	-	(127,676)
EL DORADO	87,287	27,818	0	0	21,147	0	6,671	-	-	-	-	0
FRESNO	638,518	2,536,925	16,160	2,100,857	0	0	419,908	-	-	-	-	0
GLENN	30,902	165,029	88,679	37,777	0	29,000	9,574	-	-	-	-	0
HUMBOLDT	85,161	289,588	35,014	145,141	59,000	0	50,433	-	-	-	-	0
IMPERIAL	124,070	2,477,031	1,280,695	944,482	204,445	0	47,409	-	-	-	-	0
INYO	26,654	460,342	10,558	427,086	645	0	22,053	-	-	-	-	0
KERN	666,644	6,660,794	1,830,750	2,783,995	628,167	676,688	741,194	(74,550)	-	(74,550)	-	(74,550)
KINGS	92,095	314,329	87,602	141,447	0	0	85,280	-	-	-	-	0
LAKE	40,448	259,619	79,581	142,171	0	0	37,867	-	-	-	-	0
LASSEN	28,725	342,235	146,918	110,608	0	75,925	8,784	-	(5,987)	(5,987)	-	(5,987)
LOS ANGELES	7,335,766	79,594,664	54,702,484	16,298,775	2,822,836	0	5,770,568	-	(4,351,972)	(4,351,972)	5,200,000	0
MADERA	105,085	1,186,896	756,251	430,253	0	0	392	-	-	-	-	0
MARIN	142,953	1,135,854	356,132	632,209	10,000	0	137,513	-	-	-	-	0
MARIPOSA	15,849	28,050	5,945	19,634	0	0	2,471	-	-	-	-	0
MENDOCINO	69,786	450,000	238,189	152,406	0	0	59,405	-	-	-	-	0
MERCED	169,298	3,872,838	581,312	2,765,048	159,919	298,878	67,681	-	(107,734)	(107,734)	-	(107,734)
MODOC	12,904	105,158	85,626	19,532	0	0	0	-	-	-	-	0
MONO	22,509	276,491	251,452	0	7,116	0	17,923	-	-	-	75,000	0
MONTEREY	227,338	1,375,132	308,739	747,167	73,750	51,914	193,562	-	-	-	-	0
NAPA	98,140	1,270,576	57,582	587,192	0	212,862	412,940	(314,800)	(2,487)	(317,287)	-	(317,287)
NEVADA	69,184	214,259	0	196,498	0	0	17,761	-	-	-	-	0
ORANGE	1,954,493	8,954,223	439,962	5,657,364	1,017,977	642,384	1,196,536	-	-	-	-	0
PLACER	207,532	1,669,684	776,956	425,809	57,744	199,650	209,525	(1,993)	(2,000)	(3,993)	-	(3,993)
PLUMAS	15,969	180,224	125,714	44,841	5,303	0	4,367	-	-	-	-	0
RIVERSIDE	1,501,785	8,437,553	3,761,244	3,327,089	0	0	1,349,220	-	-	-	-	0
SACRAMENTO	929,787	7,264,794	2,653,422	1,758,160	220,097	1,639,586	993,529	(63,742)	(365,020)	(428,762)	333,133	(95,629)
SAN BENITO	30,403	210,629	107,918	53,921	20,744	0	28,046	-	-	-	-	0
SAN BERNARDINO	1,197,465	12,375,799	5,700,336	2,519,580	4,155,882	0	0	-	(697,433)	(697,433)	1,718,000	0
SAN DIEGO	1,741,906	16,013,344	2,833,347	12,179,540	764,467	0	235,990	-	-	-	-	0
SAN FRANCISCO	777,343	5,101,601	3,104,482	1,547,022	0	0	450,097	-	(385,693)	(385,693)	447,147	0
SAN JOAQUIN	413,725	2,714,038	827,358	1,601,112	170,720	0	114,848	-	-	-	-	0
SAN LUIS OBISPO	167,949	2,248,127	578,491	1,516,880	0	0	152,756	-	-	-	-	0
SAN MATEO	406,309	2,855,778	1,371,356	1,184,417	0	0	300,005	-	-	-	-	0
SANTA BARBARA	319,598	3,281,512	185,510	2,837,593	116,738	0	141,671	-	-	-	-	0
SANTA CLARA	1,009,457	1,504,807	56,471	1,387,853	0	0	60,483	-	-	-	-	0
SANTA CRUZ	151,695	835,316	213,889	514,736	0	0	106,691	-	-	-	-	0

Preliminary One-Time Allocation Reduction for Fund Balance above the 1% Cap (as of July 21, 2017)

Court	Cap Col. A	FY 2016-17 Fund Balance Col. B	Encumbrances Col. C	Restricted Col. D	Prepayments Col. E	Balance of Approved 2016- 2017 TCTF Funds Held on Behalf Col. F	Fund Balance Subject to Cap Col. F (B - C - D - E - F)	Current Year Reduction Col. G (F - A)	Prior Year Disencum- brance Col. H	Total Preliminary Reduction Col. I (G + H)	Approved 2017-2018 TCTF Funds Held on Behalf ¹ Col. J	Net Reduction after Funds held on Behalf Col. K (I + J)
SHASTA	181,660	544,645	55,067	310,216	0	0	179,363	-	-	-	-	0
SIERRA	8,382	240,601	199,972	9,257	31,097	0	275	-	-	-	-	0
SISKIYOU	44,312	212,314	53,062	144,207	0	0	15,046	-	-	-	-	0
SOLANO	256,075	1,442,576	413,367	889,867	0	0	139,342	-	-	-	-	0
SONOMA	294,080	2,544,073	19,615	1,587,777	477,093	452,298	7,290	-	-	-	-	0
STANISLAUS	277,344	1,019,803	646,280	102,643	222,345	0	48,535	-	-	-	ı	0
SUTTER	64,775	840,469	482,312	255,913	9,763	60,840	31,641	-	(87,253)	(87,253)	80,837	(6,416)
TEHAMA	49,439	867,127	678,622	137,161	8,473	0	42,871	-	-	-	ı	0
TRINITY	21,059	45,658	0	28,359	0	0	17,299	-	-	-	ı	0
TULARE	285,022	1,651,001	1,138,625	211,992	130,035	0	170,348	-	-	-	49,200	0
TUOLUNME	40,965	107,267	0	66,302	0	0	40,965	-	-	-	-	0
VENTURA	517,580	3,694,160	3,382,663	123,161	0	0	188,335	-	-	-	-	0
YOLO	135,485	1,005,353	385,513	449,885	46,322	0	123,633	-	-	-	-	0
YUBA	65,844	729,384	537,971	80,642	48,303	0	62,468	-	-	-	-	0
TOTAL	24,955,445	200,423,775	93,971,273	73,924,774	11,740,517	5,199,228	15,587,983	(1,031,203)	(6,742,079)	(7,773,282)	8,053,317	(1,774,214)

^{1.} The approved TCTF Funds Held on Behalf Requests do not include those requests pending before the Judicial Council at its July 28, 2017 meeting

1% Fund Balance Cap Calculation Form

2016-17 SUMMARY

	[FY 2014-15	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	Instructions for current fiscal year only
Line #	Part A - Computation of Cap				non-sections to contentions your only
1	Expenditures	2,293,600,876	2,375,268,993	2,447,015,179	Court enters expenditures for ending fiscal year
2	Accruals	77,296,083	93,529,935	53,758,664	Court enters expense accruals for ending fiscal year
3	Less: Expenses related to Encumbrance Reserves for FY13/14	(61,278,971)	(38,372,588)	306,172	Current year expenditures relating to prior year encumbrance reserves.
	Ecos. Expenses related to Encumbrance Reserves for 1113/14	(01,270,371)	(30,372,300)	300,172	Court enters current year expenditures relating to prior year encumbrance reserves. Enter a
4	Less: Expenses related to Encumbrance Reserves for FY14/15	-	(33,391,308)	(20,276,778)	negative number.
					Court enters current year expenditures relating to prior year encumbrance reserves. Enter a
5	Less: Expenses related to Encumbrance Reserves for FY15/16	-	-	(51,431,795)	negative number.
					Court enters total year ending fund balance reserved for encumbrances (Do not include TCTF
6	Encumbrance Reserves as of June 30	109,465,327	117,105,715	93,971,273	Funds Held Purchase Order)
7	Lace remaining Englishman December from EV12/14	(45.704.405)	(7.504.400)		The amount of the fund balance reserved for encumbrances (line 6) that is related to prior fiscal years.
	Less: remaining Encumbrance Reserves from FY13/14	(45,701,195)	(7,501,128)		The amount of the fund balance reserved for encumbrances (line 6) that is related to prior
8	Less: remaining Encumbrance Reserves from FY14/15		(29,236,488)		fiscal years.
Ü	Less: remaining Encumerance Reserves from 1114/15		(23,230,400)		Court enters the amount of the fund balance reserved for encumbrances (line 6) that is related
9	Less: remaining Encumbrance Reserves from FY15/16	-	(342,890)	(27,798,177)	to prior fiscal years. Enter a negative number.
10	Operating Budget	2,373,382,119	2,477,060,242	2 405 544 529	This row calculates Operating Budget
	Fund Balance Cap (1% of Operating Budget)		, , ,		
11		23,733,821	24,770,602	24,955,445	This row calculates Fund Balance Cap
	Part B - Computation of Fund Balance Subject to Cap				
12	Ending fund balance	197,508,937	212,948,908	200,423,775	·
13	Less: Encumbrance Reserves as of June 30	109,465,327	117,105,715	93,971,273	This line is the encumbrance reserves amount entered above from line 6
14	Lossy Fueluded Funds Per CC 77303	C4 247 220	60 474 660	72.024.774	Current year cell is formula driven. Court enters details on the Excluded Detail tab. Any
15	Less: Excluded Funds Per GC 77203 Less: Prepayments	64,347,339 6,386,967	69,471,669 8,584,958	73,924,774	amounts entered on line 20 should not be included on this total.
15	Less: Prepayments	6,386,967	8,584,958	11,740,517	Court enters Prepayment amounts, if any. Cell is formula driven. Court enters TCTF Funds Held requested and approved on the TCTF
16	Less: TCTF Funds Held	_		5 199 228	Funds Held tab, if any.
17	Fund Balance Subject to Cap	47.000.004	47 700 500		
1/		17,309,304	17,786,566	15,587,983	This calculated line is what will be compared to the cap above on line 11.
	Part C - Potential Additional Allocation Reduction				
18	Amount above cap if no Encumbrance Reserves	103,132,622	110,858,201	84,603,810	The control of the limit detail 2 control of the co
19	Maximum amount of encumbered fund balance that, if not expensed in				These amounts will be liquidated 3 years from the original date of the encumbrance reserves if not spent (year of encumbrance, plus 2 additional). These are the encumbrance amounts for
19	the next two years, is subject to the cap	64,289,003	78,790,967	84,603,810	the fiscal year listed above.
		04,203,003	70,730,307	04,003,010	This is the amount that is included on line 13 that represents excluded funds encumbered and
20	Less: Encumbrance Reserves from Excluded Funds Per GC 77203	598,584	476,138	2,943,112	therefore are not subject to reversion.
	Maximum amount of encumbered fund balance that can be	·	·	, i	This total is the threshold the court can disencumber without having to reduce their
21	disencumbered without resulting in an allocation reduction	6,305,330	7,633,764	9,367,462	allocations.
	Part D - Liquidations				
	1 11 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1				This is the amount of unused encumbrance on closed contracts in year following original
22	FY13/14 Liquidation in second year	557,257		115,819,965	encumbrance.
		337,237		113,013,303	This is the amount of unused encumbrance on closed contracts in second year following
23	FY13/14 Liquidation in third year	431	6,556,868	9,367,463	original encumbrance.
24	EVA A/AE Limitables in second cons				This is the amount of unused encumbrance on closed contracts in year following original
24	FY14/15 Liquidation in second year	-	904,087	-	encumbrance.
25	FY14/15 Liquidation in third year				This is the amount of unused encumbrance on closed contracts in second year following
	1114/15 Elquidation in tima year	-	-	9,191,958	original encumbrance.
26	FY15/16 Liquidation in second year			4 400	This is the amount of unused encumbrance on closed contracts in year following original
	· ' '	-	-	1,138,127	encumbrance.
	Part E - Fund Balance Subject to Allocation Reduction				
27	Current Year Subject to Cap	178,123	2,117,636	-	This is the amount of fund balance over the cap
28	FY13/14 Encumbered Fund Balance Subject to Allocation Reduction				FY13/14 total liquidation less maximum amount of encumbered fund balance that can be
0		81,187	5,445,552	-	disencumbered without resulting in an allocation reduction
29	FY14/15 Encumbered Fund Balance Subject to Allocation Reduction			A	FY14/15 total liquidation less maximum amount of encumbered fund balance that can be
—		-	33,095	6,661,707	disencumbered without resulting in an allocation reduction FY15/16 total liquidation less maximum amount of encumbered fund balance that can be
30	FY15/16 Encumbered Fund Balance Subject to Allocation Reduction		-	80,372	disencumbered without resulting in an allocation reduction
31	Total Allocation Reduction	300,137	8,763,541	7,773,282	-
- 31	iotal Allocation Reduction	300,137	0,100,041	1,110,202	mis is the amount that will revert to the man court must rund

Printed: 7/24/2017 Page 33 of 34

2017-2018 Allocation of \$22 Million in new Court-Appointed Dependency Counsel Funding

		FY 2017-18 Proposed	
Court	FY 2017-18 Allocation at \$114,700,000	Allocation of New \$22,000,000 in funding	FY 2017-18 Total Allocation
	Col. A	Col. B	Col. C
Alameda	\$2,996,225	\$569,404	\$3,565,629
Alpine	\$1,510	\$288	\$1,799
Amador	\$122,453	\$21,243	\$143,696
Butte	\$672,500	\$122,046	\$794,546
Calaveras	\$187,858	\$32,964	\$220,822
Colusa	\$43,948	\$0	\$43,948
Contra Costa	\$2,017,233	\$346,377	\$2,363,610
Del Norte	\$214,730	\$0	\$214,730
El Dorado	\$476,686	\$72,078	\$548,764
Fresno	\$2,596,644	\$419,102	\$3,015,746
Glenn	\$111,158	\$0	\$111,158
Humboldt	\$522,682	\$0	\$522,682
Imperial	\$498,993	\$77,157	\$576,150
Inyo	\$45,459	\$0	\$45,459
Kern	\$2,253,767	\$411,043	\$2,664,810
Kings	\$566,591	\$134,165	\$700,757
Lake	\$272,201	\$0	\$272,201
Lassen	\$106,891	\$0	\$106,891
Los Angeles	\$50,031,302	\$10,529,583	\$60,560,884
Madera	\$440,145	\$94,929	\$535,074
Marin Mariposa	\$311,538 \$38,070	\$0 \$0	\$311,538 \$38,070
Mendocino	\$440,581	\$0 \$0	\$440,581
Merced	\$710,767	\$133,493	\$844,260
Modoc	\$24,065	\$155,475	\$24,065
Mono	\$13,956	\$0	\$13,956
Monterey	\$561,158	\$121,416	\$682,574
Napa	\$262,119	\$52,932	\$315,051
Nevada	\$202,832	\$0	\$202,832
Orange	\$4,535,960	\$830,179	\$5,366,139
Placer	\$735,292	\$160,260	\$895,552
Plumas	\$151,555	\$0	\$151,555
Riverside	\$7,234,109	\$1,571,901	\$8,806,009
Sacramento	\$4,794,519	\$814,561	\$5,609,080
San Benito	\$92,411	\$19,999	\$112,410
San Bernardino	\$6,964,161	\$1,550,542	\$8,514,703
San Diego	\$5,378,633	\$753,988	\$6,132,621
San Francisco	\$2,600,758	\$460,215	\$3,060,973
San Joaquin	\$2,099,985	\$380,292	\$2,480,278
San Luis Obispo	\$606,504	\$96,497	\$703,001
San Mateo	\$780,308	\$180,595 \$115,756	\$960,903
Santa Barbara	\$863,531	\$115,756 \$423,584	\$979,287
Santa Clara Santa Cruz	\$2,790,328 \$519,814	\$433,584 \$78,500	\$3,223,912 \$598,314
Shasta Cruz	\$519,814 \$578,824	\$78,500 \$101,252	\$598,314 \$680,076
Sierra	\$9,848	\$101,232	\$9,848
Siskiyou	\$245,373	\$0	\$245,373
Solano	\$762,644	\$120,704	\$883,349
Sonoma	\$778,154	\$139,947	\$918,101
Stanislaus	\$944,769	\$147,736	\$1,092,505
Sutter	\$182,614	\$37,897	\$220,511
Tehama	\$281,764	\$38,029	\$319,793
Trinity	\$96,021	\$0	\$96,021
Tulare	\$1,300,677	\$290,555	\$1,591,232
Tuolumne	\$140,483	\$18,663	\$159,147
Ventura	\$1,500,220	\$335,533	\$1,835,753
Yolo	\$496,241	\$100,262	\$596,503
Yuba	\$390,438	\$84,330	\$474,768
Reserve	\$100,000	\$0	\$100,000
Total	\$114,700,000	\$22,000,000	\$136,700,000