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## Executive Summary

For 2017-2018, the Trial Court Budget Advisory Committee recommends the Judicial Council allocate $\$ 1.849$ billion to the trial courts from the Trial Court Trust Fund (TCTF) and state General Fund for general court operations and specific costs. The recommended allocations include $\$ 1.821$ billion in 2017-2018 beginning base funding for general court operations, each court's share of $\$ 4.8$ million in new funding for non-interpreter employee benefits, a statewide net reallocation of $\$ 720.2$ million for general court operations using the Workload-Based Allocation and Funding Methodology (WAFM), a net zero allocation for the WAFM fundingfloor adjustment, a preliminary one-time allocation reduction related to the 1 percent cap on trial court fund balances, $\$ 22$ million in new funding for reimbursement of court-appointed dependency counsel costs, and $\$ 9.2$ million for criminal justice realignment costs. Assuming approval of the allocations and given current revenue projections and estimated savings from appropriations, the TCTF will end 2017-2018 with a fund balance of $\$ 27.2$ million, of which approximately $\$ 10.4$ million will be unrestricted.

## Recommendation

The Trial Court Budget Advisory Committee recommends that the Judicial Council, effective July 28, 2017:

1. Approve the 2017-2018 beginning base allocation for court operations of $\$ 1.821$ billion (see Attachment 1F, column 9), which carries forward the ending 2016-2017 TCTF base allocation (column 6), and adds the General Fund benefits base allocation (column 7) and adjustments to annualize partial-year allocations made in 2016-2017 (column 8) (see Attachment 1B, column 1).
2. Allocate a total of $\$ 4.8$ million for non-interpreter employee benefits funding from the TCTF for each court's share of 2016-2017 cost changes in the Budget Act of 2017 (the remaining \$174,000 provided for 2016-2017 court interpreter benefits cost changes would be added to the TCTF Court Interpreter Program 0150037). (See Attachment 1B, column 2.)
3. Allocate each court's share from the TCTF using the 2017-2018 WAFM consisting of a reallocation of 50 percent ( $\$ 720.2$ million) and an additional $\$ 233.8$ million of courts’ historical WAFM-related base allocation of $\$ 1.44$ billion, and reallocation of $\$ 233.8$ million in new funding provided from 2013-2014 through 2016-2017 for general court operations for general court operations for a net zero total allocation (see Attachment 1B, column 3).
4. Allocate each court's share of the 2017-2018 WAFM funding-floor allocation adjustment, which includes funding-floor allocations in which six courts receive a total of $\$ 321,949$ in floor adjustments and all other courts are allocated a reduction totaling $\$ 321,949$, for a net zero total allocation (see Attachment 1B, column 4).
5. Approve a one-time allocation of $\$ 9.2$ million for criminal justice realignment costs from the TCTF based on the most current available postrelease community supervision (PRCS) and parole workload data submitted to the Judicial Council's Criminal Justice Services office pursuant to Penal Code section 13155 (see Attachment 1B, column 5).
6. Approve a preliminary one-time allocation reduction of $\$ 7.77$ million to 16 courts that are projecting the portion of their 2016-2017 ending fund balance that is subject to the 1 percent fund balance cap to exceed the cap by $\$ 7.77$ million, as required by statute (see Attachment 1B, column 6).
7. Approve an allocation of $\$ 22$ million in new funding from the Trial Court Trust Fund for reimbursement of court-appointed dependency counsel costs based on the Judicial Councilapproved allocation methodology as follows:
Allocate $\$ 22$ million to trial courts with a ratio of 2017-2018 base funding to their workloadbased funding need that is below the statewide ratio of 2017-2018 base funding to funding
needed to meet the workload standard for juvenile dependency (see Attachment 1B, column 7).
8. Allocate $\$ 14.66$ million from the Programs 0140010 and 0150095 TCTF Judicial Council and Trial Court Operations appropriations (see Attachment 1D, column F) and \$157.6 million from the Program 0150010-Support for Operation of the Trial Courts appropriation (see Attachment 1E, column C, rows 21-28).

Recommendations 1 through 5 and a portion of recommendation 8 were voted on as a block at the June 8, 2017 meeting and two members opposed. One member did not state a reason for the opposition to the recommendations, and the other voted no due to frustrations related to the continued lack of adequate funding. The substance of the recommendations was not opposed. Recommendation 7 and the balance of recommendation 8 were approved by the majority (with one abstention) in the June 29, 2017, action by email.

For a summary of the allocations by court related to recommendations 1 through 7 , see Attachment 1B.

## Recommendation 1: 2017-2018 Beginning Base Allocation for Court Operations

1. Approve the 2017-2018 beginning base allocation for court operations of $\$ 1.821$ billion (see Attachment 1F, column 9), which carries forward the ending 2016-2017 TCTF base allocation (column 6), and adds the General Fund benefits base allocation (column 7) and adjustments to annualize partial-year allocations made in 2016-2017 (column 8) (see Attachment 1B, column 1).

## Previous council action

None.

## Rationale for recommendation 1

Government Code section 68502.5 (c)(2)(A) requires the council to make a preliminary allocation in July of each fiscal year and a final allocation before February of each fiscal year:

When setting the allocations for trial courts, the Judicial Council shall set a preliminary allocation in July of each fiscal year. The preliminary allocation shall include an estimate of available trial court reserves as of June 30 of the prior fiscal year and each court's preliminary allocation shall be offset by the amount of reserves in excess of the amount authorized to be carried over pursuant to subdivision (b) of Section 77203. In January of each fiscal year, after review of available trial court reserves as of June 30 of the prior fiscal year, the Judicial Council shall finalize allocations to trial courts and each court's finalized
allocation shall be offset by the amount of reserves in excess of the amount authorized to be carried over pursuant to subdivision (b) of Section 77203.

Given current revenue projections and estimated savings from appropriations and the proposed 2017-2018 allocations for the eight recommendations discussed in this report, the TCTF will end 2017-2018 with a fund balance of $\$ 27.2$ million (see Attachment 1C, column C, row 33 ). Excluding about $\$ 16.7$ million in fund balance that is either statutorily restricted or restricted by the council-mainly the emergency needs reserve and savings related to the TCTF Program 0150037-Court Interpreters appropriation - the unrestricted fund balance is projected to be $\$ 10.4$ million (see Attachment 1C, column C, row 43 ).

## Recommendation 2: 2016-2017 Benefits Costs Funding

2. Allocate a total of $\$ 4.8$ million for non-interpreter employee benefits funding from the TCTF for each court's share of 2016-2017 cost changes in the Budget Act of 2017. (The remaining \$174,000 provided for 2016-2017 court interpreter benefits cost changes would be added to the TCTF Court Interpreter Program 0150037). (See Attachment 1B, column 2.)

## Previous council action

None.

## Rationale for recommendation 2

In the fall of 2016, a budget augmentation of $\$ 7.1$ million was submitted to the Department of Finance to address the full-year impact to the trial courts in 2017-2018 of changes in costs for retirement, retiree health, and employee health that were anticipated to occur in 2016-2017. Many of the health-related costs were unconfirmed at that time. The understanding was that a revised request would be submitted in mid-March 2017 that would provide updated, confirmed amounts. The $\$ 4.96$ million in the 2017 May Revise submission reflected a reduction in the augmentation of $\$ 2.1$ million from the Governor's initial proposal, which is attributed to employee and retiree health premiums and/or employer share amounts coming in lower than estimated by courts at the time of original submission. The 2017 Budget Act reflects the reduced total of $\$ 4.96$ million (see Attachment 1G, column D).

## Recommendation 3: 2017-2018 WAFM Reallocation Adjustments

3. Allocate each court's share from the TCTF using the 2017-2018 WAFM consisting of a reallocation of 50 percent ( $\$ 720.2$ million) and an additional $\$ 233.8$ million of courts' historical WAFM-related base allocation of $\$ 1.44$ billion, and reallocation of $\$ 233.8$ million in new funding provided from 2013-2014 through 2016-2017 for general court operations for general court operations for a net zero total allocation (see Attachment 1B, column 3).

## Previous council action

On April 26, 2013, the council adopted a policy to phase in the use of WAFM for reallocating courts' historical WAFM base funding, as of the end of 2012-2013, over a five-year period starting in 2013-2014, in which 50 percent of historical funding would be reallocated according to WAFM by 2017-2018. The council adopted an exception to the phase-in of reallocation of historical funding in years when new funding for general court operations was provided. In such years, additional historical funding, above and beyond the phase-in level and up to the level of the new funding amount, would be reallocated.

The council adopted a number of revisions to WAFM on February 20, 2014. To determine funding need for courts whose WAFM workload need is fewer than 50 full-time equivalents (FTEs), the council:

- Adopted the use of the most current three-year average salary data to determine each court's Bureau of Labor Statistics (BLS) salary adjustment;
- Adopted a per-FTE dollar allotment floor that is the median, BLS-adjusted, average FTE dollar allotment; and
- Eliminated the "cluster 1" courts' exemption from having their historical base allocations reallocated using the WAFM.

At its June 25-26, 2015 business meeting, the council approved the Workload Assessment Advisory Committee (WAAC) recommendation to use an interim complex civil case weight in the Resource Assessment Study (RAS) model for purposes of 2015-2016 budget allocations. The council also approved the TCBAC recommendation to reduce the $\$ 90.6$ million of new funding provided in the 2015 Budget Act by the existing $\$ 22.7$ million revenue shortfall before allocating the monies using WAFM. The 2017-2018 WAFM computation reflects modifications to the calculation of WAFM operating expenses and equipment (OE\&E) per FTE amounts that were approved by the council on April 15, 2016. Changes to the RAS model FTE need parameters were approved by WAAC on May 2, 2017, and are scheduled to go before the Judicial Council for approval at the July 28, 2017 business meeting.

## Rationale for recommendation 3

The 2017-2018 WAFM computation reflects the council-approved modifications to the calculation of the WAFM OE\&E per FTE amounts and the updated RAS FTE need parameters. In addition, the 2017-2018 WAFM is updated to include:

- 2016-2017 Schedule 7A salary and benefit budgets (as of July 1, 2016);
- Average filings from 2013-2014 through 2015-2016;
- Three-year average salary data from 2013 to 2015 from the BLS;
- Three-year average OE\&E data from 2013-2014 to 2015-2016 from courts' fourth quarter quarterly financial statements; and
- 2015-2016 AB 1058 child support grant reimbursement data (see Attachment 1H).

Attachment 1L displays the various WAFM reallocation adjustments by court. Column G displays the net reallocation of 50 percent ( $\$ 720.2$ million) of courts' historical base funding using the current WAFM. Column P displays the reversal of the reallocation of 40 percent of courts' historical base funding that was allocated on an ongoing basis in 2016-2017. The sum of columns G and P provides the net change that is being reallocated in 2017-2018 due to the phase-in of WAFM. Columns $J$ and $N$ display the updated net reallocation of $\$ 233.8$ million in historical base funding using the current WAFM and the updated allocation of $\$ 233.8$ million in new funding in 2013-2014 through 2016-2017, respectively. Column Q displays the reversal of the ongoing allocations made in 2016-2017 related to the $\$ 233.8$ million. The sum of columns J, N , and Q provides the net change in the $\$ 233.8$ million that is being allocated in 2017-2018.

Other attachments provide detail underlying the information displayed in Attachments 1 H and 1 L . Attachments 1I, 1J, and 1 K provide detail related to the RAS workload/FTE need, BLS factor, and FTE allotment factor, respectively, displayed in Attachment 1H. Attachment 1M provides the detail of courts' historical WAFM-related base allocation of the $\$ 1.44$ billion that is used in Attachment 1L. Attachment 1N provides a summary comparison of changes in WAFM need from 2016-2017 through 2017-2018.

## Recommendation 4: 2017-2018 WAFM Funding-Floor Allocation Adjustment

4. Allocate each court's share of the 2017-2018 WAFM funding-floor allocation adjustment, which includes funding-floor allocations in which six courts receive a total of $\$ 321,949$ in floor adjustments and all other courts are allocated a reduction totaling $\$ 321,949$, for a net zero total allocation (see Attachment 1B, column 4).

## Previous council action

At its February 20, 2014 meeting, for allocating trial court base funding for court operations, the council established an absolute funding floor ( $\$ 750,000$ in 2015-2016) and a graduated funding floor that is based on a court's WAFM funding need ( $\$ 875,000, \$ 1,250,000$, and $\$ 1,875,000$ in 2015-2016). The council funded the funding-floor allocation by reducing, pro rata, the allocations of courts that do not qualify for an absolute or graduated funding floor.

## Rationale for recommendation 4

Each court's share of the 2017-2018 WAFM funding-floor allocation adjustment is based on the policy adopted by the council on February 20, 2014: Six courts receive a total of $\$ 321,949$ in floor adjustments and all other courts are allocated a reduction totaling $\$ 321,949$, for a net zero total allocation.

The allocation adjustment for each court is displayed in Attachment 1B (summary table) and Attachment 10 (columns C and E ). The funding-floor allocations that six courts received are displayed in column C of Attachment 1O. As displayed in Attachment 1P, two courts were eligible for the absolute funding-floor level of $\$ 750,000$, one court for the graduated level of
$\$ 875,000$, one for $\$ 1,250,000$, and two courts for the graduated level of $\$ 1,874,999$. The funding-floor adjustment for courts that did not receive a funding-floor allocation is displayed in column E of Attachment 1O. Attachment 1P displays whether a court is eligible for a fundingfloor adjustment and, if so, the court's maximum funding-floor amount. Attachment 1Q displays each court's 2017-2018 WAFM-related base allocation before and after any funding-floor adjustment.

## Recommendation 5: Criminal Justice Realignment Allocation

5. Approve a one-time allocation of $\$ 9.2$ million for criminal justice realignment costs from the TCTF based on the most current available postrelease community supervision (PRCS) and parole workload data submitted to the Judicial Council's Criminal Justice Services office pursuant to Penal Code section 13155 (see Attachment 1B, column 5).

## Previous council action

In 2011-2012 and 2012-2013, the funding provided in the Budget Act to address costs related to the Criminal Justice Realignment Act of 2011 was allocated to the trial courts based on each court's percentage of the total estimated petitions for revocation, as estimated by the California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation. Based on the recommendation of the TCBAC, in 2013-2014 the funding was split into two equal amounts. The first half was allocated in July 2013 based on the same methodology as previous allocations. The second half was allocated in February 2014 using a methodology that incorporated population (each court's percentage of the statewide population of individuals on PRCS and parole) and workload (each court's percentage of the statewide number of petitions filed and court motions made to revoke/modify PRCS and parole) equally. The Judicial Council approved the TCBAC's recommendation for the 2014 2015 criminal realignment allocation that it should be split into two equal amounts, with the first half being allocated in July 2014 using the same population and workload methodology, but that the second half in January 2015 be allocated based solely on workload related to PRCS and parole.

At its July 28, 2015 business meeting, the Judicial Council approved the TCBAC recommendation of a one-time 2015-2016 allocation of $\$ 9.2$ million for criminal justice realignment costs. The allocation was based on the most current available PRCS and parole workload data submitted to the council's Criminal Justice Services office pursuant to Penal Code section 13155-each court's percentage of the statewide number of petitions filed and court motions made to revoke/modify PRCS and parole.

## Rationale for recommendation 5

Allocate a one-time amount of $\$ 9.2$ million for criminal justice realignment costs based on the Judicial Council's approved methodology as indicated in column C of Attachment 1R.

## Recommendation 6: Preliminary One-Time Allocation Reduction for Fund Balance above the 1 Percent Cap

6. Approve a preliminary one-time allocation reduction of $\$ 7.77$ million to 16 courts that are projecting the portion of their 2016-2017 ending fund balance that is subject to the 1 percent fund balance cap to exceed the cap by $\$ 7.77$ million, as required by statute (see Attachment 1B, column 6).

## Previous council action

At its July 29, 2014 business meeting, to assist the Judicial Council in complying with
Government Code section $68502.5(\mathrm{c})(2)(\mathrm{A})$, the council approved an annual process starting in 2015-2016 for courts to provide preliminary and final computations of the portion of their ending fund balance that is subject to the 1 percent cap:

- Each year, courts will be required to submit the 1 percent computation form with preliminary year-end information by July 15 . The information provided by courts will be used by the council to make the preliminary allocation of reductions as required by statute. Courts would not be required to provide the details related to encumbrances, prepayments, and restricted revenue when submitting the form for the preliminary allocation.
- Each year, courts will be required to submit the 1 percent computation form with final yearend information by October 15.
- Before February, the Judicial Council's Chief Financial Officer will report to the council the information provided by courts for the final allocation reduction, if any.


## Rationale for recommendation 6

Government Code section $68502.5(\mathrm{c})(2)(\mathrm{A})$ requires the council to make a preliminary allocation reduction in July of each fiscal year (see Attachment 1S) and a final allocation reduction before February of each fiscal year to offset the amount of reserves (or fund balance) in excess of the amount authorized by Government Code section 77203 to be carried over from one year to the next beginning June 30, 2014. The reductions reflect the calculated preliminary allocation reduction amounts provided by the courts on the $1 \%$ Fund Balance Cap Calculation Form (see Attachment 1T).

## Recommendation 7: Allocation for Court-Appointed Dependency Counsel Costs

7. Approve the allocation of $\$ 22$ million in new funding from the Trial Court Trust Fund for reimbursement of court-appointed dependency counsel costs based on the Judicial Councilapproved allocation methodology as follows:

Allocate $\$ 22$ million to trial courts with a ratio of 2017-2018 base funding to their workload-based funding need that is below the statewide ratio of 2017-2018 base funding to funding needed to meet the workload standard for juvenile dependency (see Attachment 1B, column 7).

## Previous council action

The Judicial Council at its April 17, 2015 meeting approved several recommendations from the TCBAC that direct the allocation of court-appointed counsel funding to the courts. The council approved a methodology for allocating the existing base funding of $\$ 103,725,444$ in 2014-2015 based on each court's workload as calculated by the workload model for juvenile dependency, and adjusted to available funding statewide ("workload-based funding"). The council decided to phase in the new allocation methodology with annual increases or reductions in fiscal years 2015-2016, 2016-2017, and 2017-2018, and in 2018-2019 all courts will receive an equivalent percentage of statewide funding as calculated by the workload model. The allocations are to be phased in by basing each court's annual allocation on a percentage of its historical base in 2014-2015 and a percentage of its workload-based funding in the current fiscal year, with the percentages changing annually as follows

- 2015-2016: $10 \%$ of workload-based funding and $90 \%$ of historical base;
- 2016-2017: $40 \%$ of workload-based funding and $60 \%$ of historical base;
- 2017-2018: 80\% of workload-based funding and $20 \%$ of historical base; and
- 2018-2019: 100\% of workload-based funding.

The council also directed that any new state funds designated for court-appointed dependency counsel in addition to the current $\$ 103.7$ million be allocated to courts with a ratio of allocated base funding to their calculated workload-based funding need that is below the statewide ratio of base funding to workload-based funding required to meet the workload standard. Additional Judicial Council actions that impact funding allocations in 2015-2016 included revisions to how the workload methodology and funding need is calculated, and directed that a $\$ 100,000$ reserve be established for unexpected caseload increases. The council also approved revisions on May 19,2017 , related to small court allocations. ${ }^{1}$

## Rationale for recommendation 7

These allocations reflect the methodology and actions taken by the council mentioned above. Attachment 1 U summarizes the allocation of $\$ 22$ million in new funding for court-appointed dependency counsel funding to the local courts as calculated by this method for 2017-2018.

[^0]
## Recommendation 8: Allocations for Judicial Council, Support for Operation of Trial Courts, and Expenses on Behalf of the Trial Courts

8. Allocate $\$ 14.66$ million from the Programs 0140010 and 0150095 TCTF Judicial Council and Trial Court Operations appropriations (see Attachment 1D, columns D and E) and $\$ 157.6$ million from the Program 0150010-Support for Operation of the Trial Courts appropriation (see Attachment 1E, column C, rows 21-28).

## Previous council action

None.

## Rationale for recommendation 8

At its June 8, 2017 meeting, TCBAC approved recommending allocating the following in 20172018 from the TCTF: $\$ 13.44$ million from the Programs 0140010 and 0150095 TCTF Judicial Council and Trial Court Operations appropriations and $\$ 135.6$ million from the Program 0150010-Support for Operation of the Trial Courts appropriation. This recommendation essentially maintains 2016-2017 allocation levels for discretionary programs and uses the revenue estimates in the Budget Act of 2017 for items funded by restricted revenues.

In its June 29, 2017 action by email, TCBAC approved recommending the 2017-2018 allocation and subsequent distribution reduction of a maximum of $\$ 540,000$ for audits completed by the State Controller's Office on behalf of the trial courts pursuant to Budget Act of 2017, item 0250-101-0932, Provision 15. TCBAC also approved recommending the 2017-2018 allocation of a $\$ 671,000$ loan to implement and administer a statewide electronic filing program.

Based on its actions from June 8 and June 29, TCBAC approved recommending the following combined allocation in 2017-2018 from the TCTF:

- $\$ 14.66$ million from the Programs 0140010 and 0150095 TCTF Judicial Council and Trial Court Operations appropriations (see Attachment 1D); and
- $\$ 157.6$ million from the Program 0150010-Support for Operation of the Trial Courts appropriation (see Attachment 1E, column C, rows 21-28). This total includes the $\$ 114.7$ million in existing funding for the Court-Appointed Dependency Counsel program as well as the $\$ 22$ million in new funding for this program.


## Comments, Alternatives Considered, and Policy Implications

No public comments were received when the recommendations were considered by the TCBAC at its June 8, 2017 meeting or its June 29, 2017 action by email.

## Implementation Requirements, Costs, and Operational Impacts

The implementation requirements, costs, and operational impacts are detailed in the preceding sections.

## Attachments and Links

1. Attachment 1B: Summary of Court-Specific Allocations and Net Reallocations
2. Attachment 1C: Trial Court Trust Fund-Fund Condition Statement
3. Attachment 1D: TCTF 2017-2018 Judicial Council and Expenses on Behalf of the Trial Courts Appropriations Allocations (approved by the Judicial Council)
4. Attachment 1E: 2016-17 and 2017-18 Trial Court Trust Fund Support for Operation of the Trial Courts: Appropriation vs. Estimated/Approved Allocations
5. Attachment 1F: 2017-2018 Beginning Base Allocation: 2016-2017 Beginning Base, 2016-2017 Base Allocations, and Annualization
6. Attachment 1G: Proposed Allocation of New Funding for 2016-2017 Benefit Cost Changes
7. Attachment 1H: 2017-2018 Workload-Based Allocation and Funding Methodology (computation of funding need)
8. Attachment 1I: 2017-2018 RAS FTE Need
9. Attachment 1J: 2017-18 BLS Factor
10. Attachment 1K: 2017-18 FTE Allotment Factor
11. Attachment 1L: 2017-2018 Allocation of New Funding and Reallocation of Historical Funding
12. Attachment 1M: Historical Trial Court Funding Subject to Reallocation Using WAFM
13. Attachment 1N: Summary of Changes from 2016-2017 to 2017-2018 Total WAFM Funding Need
14. Attachment 1O: 2017-2018 Allocation Adjustment Related to Funding Floor
15. Attachment 1P: Determination of Funding Floor
16. Attachment 1Q: Estimated 2017-2018 WAFM-Related Base Allocation
17. Attachment 1R: Allocation of $\$ 9.223$ Million of Criminal Justice Realignment Funding
18. Attachment 1S: Preliminary One-Time Allocation Reduction for Fund Balance above the $1 \%$ Cap
19. Attachment 1T: $1 \%$ Fund Balance Cap Calculation Form
20. Attachment 1U: Allocation of $\$ 22$ Million in New Court-Appointed Dependency Counsel Funding

## Summary of Court-Specific Allocations and Net Reallocations

|  | Recommendation 1 | Recommendation 2 | Recommendation 3 | Recommendation 4 | Recommendation 5 | Recommendation 6 | Recommendation 7 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Preliminary $2017-$ 2018 Base <br> Allocation (TCTF and GF) | Estimated 201617 Benefits Funding (FullYear) | 2017-18 WAFM <br> Allocation <br> Adjustments | 2017-18 Funding Floor Allocation Adjustment | Criminal Justice Realignment | Reduction for Fund Balance Above the 1\% Cap | Court-Appointed Dependency Counsel (one-time) | Total |
| Court | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| Alameda | 75,837,757 | $(117,415)$ | 118,477 | $(13,184)$ | 252,661 | $(604,310)$ | 569,404 | 76,043,391 |
| Alpine | 747,863 | 11,842 | $(22,964)$ | 11,128 | 273 | $(124,881)$ | 288 | 623,549 |
| Amador | 2,282,693 | 21,663 | $(76,749)$ | (415) | 5,742 | - | 21,243 | 2,254,178 |
| Butte | 10,114,841 | 65,290 | $(37,056)$ | $(1,747)$ | 86,750 | $(173,561)$ | 122,046 | 10,176,563 |
| Calaveras | 2,167,578 | 20,469 | $(124,146)$ | (386) | 1,641 | - | 32,964 | 2,098,120 |
| Colusa | 1,859,554 | 21,699 | 28,000 | (356) | 3,691 | $(150,000)$ | - | 1,762,588 |
| Contra Costa | 38,889,573 | $(627,559)$ | 1,188,741 | $(7,228)$ | 116,281 | $(132,189)$ | 346,377 | 39,773,995 |
| Del Norte | 2,633,386 | 39,609 | $(159,821)$ | (468) | 8,613 | $(127,676)$ | - | 2,393,644 |
| El Dorado | 6,642,102 | 38,696 | $(105,821)$ | $(1,202)$ | 44,298 | - | 72,078 | 6,690,151 |
| Fresno | 48,290,025 | $(240,622)$ | $(568,451)$ | $(8,672)$ | 404,626 | - | 419,102 | 48,296,009 |
| Glenn | 1,863,179 | 48,933 | $(9,648)$ | (354) | 2,256 | - | - | 1,904,365 |
| Humboldt | 6,248,744 | 92,631 | $(16,433)$ | $(1,124)$ | 51,065 | - | - | 6,374,883 |
| Imperial | 8,619,428 | $(31,042)$ | 34,558 | $(1,500)$ | 41,221 | - | 77,157 | 8,739,823 |
| Inyo | 2,037,844 | 21,672 | $(14,883)$ | (350) | 5,947 | - | - | 2,050,231 |
| Kern | 46,077,544 | $(866,725)$ | 818,353 | $(8,309)$ | 335,103 | (74,550) | 411,043 | 46,692,458 |
| Kings | 6,773,927 | 105,069 | 194,175 | $(1,196)$ | 61,319 | - | 134,165 | 7,267,459 |
| Lake | 3,325,219 | 48,157 | 34,075 | (591) | 12,510 | - | - | 3,419,370 |
| Lassen | 2,252,270 | 19,933 | $(217,457)$ | 94,310 | 6,768 | $(5,987)$ | - | 2,149,836 |
| Los Angeles | 531,344,108 | $(220,592)$ | 1,019,170 | $(92,781)$ | 3,128,520 | $(4,351,972)$ | 10,529,583 | 541,356,037 |
| Madera | 7,708,366 | 32,254 | $(200,688)$ | $(1,337)$ | 43,887 | - | 94,929 | 7,677,412 |
| Marin | 12,036,922 | 158,933 | $(441,145)$ | $(2,191)$ | 17,432 | - | - | 11,769,951 |
| Mariposa | 1,125,254 | 30,528 | 6,808 | 75,747 | 4,512 | - | - | 1,242,849 |
| Mendocino | 5,494,485 | $(10,851)$ | $(25,473)$ | (959) | 39,786 | - | - | 5,496,988 |
| Merced | 11,970,525 | $(245,209)$ | $(295,321)$ | $(2,060)$ | 105,207 | $(107,734)$ | 133,493 | 11,558,901 |
| Modoc | 882,073 | 4,475 | $(21,004)$ | 3,187 | 2,461 | - | - | 871,192 |
| Mono | 1,711,215 | 66,471 | $(20,641)$ | 124,225 | 2,666 | - | - | 1,883,936 |
| Monterey | 17,441,721 | 42,357 | 193,761 | $(3,088)$ | 35,274 | - | 121,416 | 17,831,441 |
| Napa | 7,474,856 | 26,037 | $(50,148)$ | $(1,262)$ | 15,586 | $(317,287)$ | 52,932 | 7,200,715 |
| Nevada | 4,929,175 | 119,536 | 134,931 | (829) | 2,461 | - | - | 5,185,274 |
| Orange | 140,624,899 | (1,248,482) | $(3,113,896)$ | $(24,189)$ | 384,528 | - | 830,179 | 137,453,039 |
| Placer | 15,552,392 | 199,413 | 364,804 | $(2,829)$ | 44,503 | $(3,993)$ | 160,260 | 16,314,549 |
| Plumas | 1,238,999 | 8,833 | $(160,301)$ | (203) | 1,230 | - | - | 1,088,557 |
| Riverside | 83,672,043 | 1,370,087 | 2,540,906 | $(15,526)$ | 915,074 | - | 1,571,901 | 90,054,485 |
| Sacramento | 74,858,578 | $(19,335)$ | 1,033,549 | $(13,494)$ | 143,557 | $(428,762)$ | 814,561 | 76,388,654 |

## Summary of Court-Specific Allocations and Net Reallocations

|  | Recommendation 1 | Recommendation 2 | Recommendation 3 | Recommendation 4 | Recommendation 5 | Recommendation 6 | Recommendation 7 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Preliminary 20172018 Base Allocation (TCTF and GF) | Estimated 201617 Benefits Funding (FullYear) | 2017-18 WAFM <br> Allocation <br> Adjustments | 2017-18 Funding Floor Allocation Adjustment | Criminal Justice Realignment | Reduction for Fund Balance Above the 1\% Cap | Court-Appointed Dependency Counsel (one-time) | Total |
| Court | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| San Benito | 2,357,689 | 8,326 | 105,382 | (461) | 8,819 | - | 19,999 | 2,499,753 |
| San Bernardino | 94,053,222 | 608,729 | 1,683,826 | $(16,776)$ | 915,690 | $(697,433)$ | 1,550,542 | 98,097,800 |
| San Diego | 134,855,407 | 1,879,544 | $(1,770,123)$ | $(24,293)$ | 495,887 | - | 753,988 | 136,190,410 |
| San Francisco | 56,752,139 | 314,519 | $(5,262,207)$ | $(9,575)$ | 45,323 | $(385,693)$ | 460,215 | 51,914,722 |
| San Joaquin | 32,998,594 | 346,128 | 923,398 | $(6,191)$ | 139,045 | - | 380,292 | 34,781,267 |
| San Luis Obispo | 13,048,708 | 282,758 | $(509,481)$ | $(2,282)$ | 70,753 | - | 96,497 | 12,986,954 |
| San Mateo | 34,370,309 | $(536,099)$ | 214,992 | $(6,006)$ | 42,657 | - | 180,595 | 34,266,448 |
| Santa Barbara | 21,536,091 | $(7,453)$ | 225,361 | $(3,771)$ | 74,240 | - | 115,756 | 21,940,224 |
| Santa Clara | 75,710,803 | 1,063,634 | $(1,844,229)$ | $(13,861)$ | 142,327 | - | 433,584 | 75,492,258 |
| Santa Cruz | 11,450,901 | 130,494 | 52,020 | $(2,167)$ | 7,998 | - | 78,500 | 11,717,747 |
| Shasta | 12,716,411 | 125,540 | 331,747 | $(1,901)$ | 73,419 | - | 101,252 | 13,346,468 |
| Sierra | 747,892 | 9,859 | $(23,205)$ | 13,353 | 205 | - |  | 748,104 |
| Siskiyou | 3,018,787 | 36,257 | $(134,605)$ | (518) | 9,229 | - | - | 2,929,150 |
| Solano | 20,251,598 | 274,323 | 330,966 | $(3,696)$ | 122,639 | - | 120,704 | 21,096,534 |
| Sonoma | 23,077,930 | 417,021 | $(167,019)$ | $(4,170)$ | 115,051 | - | 139,947 | 23,578,760 |
| Stanislaus | 20,973,350 | 221,251 | 332,613 | $(3,925)$ | 63,575 | - | 147,736 | 21,734,600 |
| Sutter | 4,849,495 | 118,527 | 79,670 | (897) | 19,141 | $(87,253)$ | 37,897 | 5,016,580 |
| Tehama | 3,709,881 | 16,974 | 101,091 | (715) | 12,305 | - | 38,029 | 3,877,565 |
| Trinity | 1,839,749 | 21,055 | 34,338 | (256) | 2,393 | - | - | 1,897,278 |
| Tulare | 17,197,347 | 264,572 | 1,178,217 | $(3,411)$ | 88,390 | - | 290,555 | 19,015,671 |
| Tuolumne | 3,155,789 | 51,126 | 139,768 | (564) | 6,973 | - | 18,663 | 3,371,754 |
| Ventura | 33,968,245 | 79,260 | 1,265,056 | $(6,189)$ | 351,100 | - | 335,533 | 35,993,003 |
| Yolo | 9,193,432 | 38,378 | 587,674 | $(1,669)$ | 51,886 | - | 100,262 | 9,969,962 |
| Yuba | 4,430,456 | 36,275 | 96,488 | (824) | 36,505 | - | 84,330 | 4,683,229 |
| Total | 1,820,993,364 | 4,787,751 | (0) | (0) | 9,223,000 | (7,773,282) | 22,000,000 | 1,849,230,834 |

## Trial Court Trust Fund - Fund Condition Statement

|  |  |  | A | B | C | D | E | F |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | FY 2015-16 | FY 2016-17 | FY 2017-18 | FY 2018-19 | FY 2019-20 | FY 2020-21 |
|  |  |  | Year-End <br> Financial <br> Statement | Estimated | Estimated | Estimated | Estimated | Estimated |
| 123 |  | Beginning Fund Balance Prior-Year Adjustments | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \mathbf{6 , 6 1 4 , 0 1 7} \\ & 7,208,461 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline \mathbf{3 4 , 8 2 9 , 8 7 5} \\ 1,215,301 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \mathbf{3 2 , 3 4 8 , 4 2 2} \\ (528,300) \end{array}$ | 27,177,351 | 17,145,675 | 6,691,866 |
|  |  | Revenues and Transfers | 2,431,232,814 | 2,489,690,319 | 2,513,477,404 | 2,485,775,392 | 2,485,775,392 | 2,485,104,392 |
| 45678910 |  | Revenues |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Maintenance of Effort Obligation Revenue | 659,050,502 | 659,050,502 | 659,050,502 | 659,050,502 | 659,050,502 | 659,050,502 |
|  |  | Civil Fee Revenue | 353,216,716 | 344,838,533 | 341,184,382 | 344,514,348 | 344,514,348 | 344,514,348 |
|  |  | Court Security Fee/ Operations Assessment Revenue | 120,193,147 | 106,217,441 | 131,186,979 | 122,317,989 | 122,317,989 | 122,317,989 |
|  |  | Civil Assessment Revenue | 128,402,757 | 127,729,329 | 162,971,715 | 165,107,610 | 165,107,610 | 165,107,610 |
|  |  | Parking Penalty Assessment Revenue | 25,069,491 | 27,248,562 | 28,085,130 | 29,004,384 | 29,004,384 | 29,004,384 |
|  |  | Telephonic Appearances | 6,812,310 | 7,089,512 | 7,279,711 | 7,535,874 | 7,535,874 | 7,535,874 |
| 1011 |  | Interest from SMIF | 335,260 | 613,938 | 613,938 | 613,938 | 613,938 | 613,938 |
| 1213 |  | Sanctions and Contempt Fines | 981,144 | 978,231 | 978,231 | 978,231 | 978,231 | 978,231 |
|  |  | Miscellaneous Revenue | 550,065 | 126,851 | 193,394 | 190,095 | 190,095 | 190,095 |
|  |  | Transfers/Charges/Reimbursements |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1415 |  | General Fund Transfer | 943,724,000 | 1,021,832,000 | 970,394,000 | 970,394,000 | 970,394,000 | 970,394,000 |
| 1617 |  | General Fund Transfer - Court-Appointed Dependency Counsel | 114,700,000 | 114,700,000 | 136,700,000 | 114,700,000 | 114,700,000 | 114,700,000 |
|  |  | General Fund Transfer - Revenue Backfill | 58,900,000 | 61,300,000 | 55,000,000 | 52,200,000 | 52,200,000 | 52,200,000 |
|  |  | General Fund Loan - Statewide E-Filing |  |  | 671,000 |  |  | $(671,000)$ |
| 18 |  | Reduction Offset Transfers ${ }^{2}$ | 6,080,000 | 6,080,000 | 6,080,000 | 6,080,000 | 6,080,000 | 6,080,000 |
| 19 |  | Net Other Transfers/Charges/Reimbursements ${ }^{3}$ | 13,217,422 | 11,885,422 | 13,088,422 | 13,088,422 | 13,088,422 | 13,088,422 |
| 20 |  | Total Resources | 2,445,055,292 | 2,525,735,495 | 2,545,297,526 | 2,512,952,743 | 2,502,921,067 | 2,491,796,258 |
| 21 |  | Expenditures/Encumbrances/Allocations |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 22 |  | Program 30/30.05 (0140010) - Judicial Council | 3,620,851 | 3,035,000 | 3,825,676 | 3,110,676 | 3,108,676 | 3,108,676 |
| 23 |  | Program 30.15 (Formerly Program 45.10) (0140019) - Trial Court Op | 12,369,281 | - | - | - | - | - |
| 24 |  | Program 45.10 (0150010) - Support for Operation of the Trial Courts ${ }^{1}$ | 1,816,242,767 | 1,888,225,367 | 1,886,804,262 | 1,889,086,674 | 1,889,133,601 | 1,889,172,505 |
| 25 |  | Program 0150011 - Court-Appointed Dependency Counsel | 114,387,117 | 114,700,000 | 136,700,000 | 114,700,000 | 114,700,000 | 114,700,000 |
| 26 |  | Program 45.25 (0150019) - Compensation of Superior Court Judges | 330,369,783 | 338,231,000 | 338,231,000 | 338,231,000 | 338,231,000 | 338,231,000 |
| 27 |  | Program 45.35 (0150028) - Assigned Judges | 25,199,733 | 27,005,000 | 27,005,000 | 27,005,000 | 27,005,000 | 27,005,000 |
| 28 |  | Program 45.45 (0150037) - Court Interpreters | 99,598,715 | 102,327,969 | 105,481,840 | 105,526,840 | 105,526,840 | 105,526,840 |
| 29 |  | Program 45.55 (0150046) - Grants | 8,146,000 | 8,147,000 | 9,242,960 | 8,406,446 | 8,366,653 | 8,366,653 |
| 30 |  | Program 0150095 - Expenses on Behalf of the Trial Courts ${ }^{4}$ | - | 11,607,369 | 10,829,437 | 9,740,431 | 10,157,431 | 9,740,431 |
| 31 |  | Item 601 - Redevelopment Agency Writ Case Reimbursements | 291,169 | 108,368 | - | - | - | - |
| 32 |  | Total, Expenditures/Encumbrances/Allocations | 2,410,225,417 | 2,493,387,073 | 2,518,120,175 | 2,495,807,067 | 2,496,229,201 | 2,495,851,105 |
| 33 |  | Ending Fund Balance | 34,829,875 | 32,348,422 | 27,177,351 | 17,145,675 | 6,691,866 | $(4,054,847)$ |
| 3536 |  | Emergency Needs Reserve |  | 10,000,000 | 10,000,000 | 10,000,000 | 10,000,000 | 10,000,000 |
|  |  | Funds Held on Behalf of the Trial Courts |  | 150,000 | 100,000 | 100,000 |  |  |
| 3637 |  | Court Interpreter Program | 9,043,514 | 7,399,362 | 5,549,522 | 3,699,682 | 1,849,842 | (0) |
| 38 |  | Court-Appointed Dependency Counsel Collections | 2,186,060 | 1,171,286 | 1,012,393 | 1,151,320 | 1,098,224 | 1,098,224 |
|  |  | Redevelopment Agency Writ Case Reimbursements | 636,668 | 528,300 |  |  |  |  |
| 40 |  | Refund to courts of overcharges for JCC services |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 41 |  | Equal Access Fund | 454,039 | 859,678 | - | (0) | (0) | (0) |
|  |  | Sargent Shriver Civil Counsel | 1,449,503 | 415,112 | 75,357 | 75,357 | 75,357 | 75,357 |
|  |  | Ending Unrestricted Fund Balance | 21,060,092 | 11,824,685 | 10,440,079 | 2,119,316 | $(6,331,557)$ | $(15,228,428)$ |
| 4344 |  | Net Revenue/Transfers Over or (Under) Expenditures | 21,007,397 | $(3,696,754)$ | $(4,642,771)$ | $(10,031,676)$ | $(10,453,809)$ | $(10,746,713)$ |

1. 2017-2018 amount does not include the preliminary $1 \%$ fund cap allocation reduction or the offset of the approved TCTF Funds Held on Behalf requests

|  |  | 2016-17 JC- <br> Approved <br> Allocation | 2016-17 <br> Funded from Courts' Program 45.10 TCTF Allocations | 2016-17 <br> Approved <br> Total <br> Allocation | For Judicial Council Approval for 2017-18 |  |  | Program <br> Allocation <br> Increase/ <br> (Decrease) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \# | Project and Program Title |  |  |  | Judicial Council (Staff) ${ }^{1}$ | Expenses on Behalf of the Trial Courts | Total |  |
|  |  | Col. A | Col. B | $\begin{gathered} \text { Col. C } \\ (\operatorname{Col} \mathbf{A}+\mathbf{B}) \end{gathered}$ | Col. D | Col. E | $\begin{gathered} \text { Col F } \\ (\mathbf{C o l . D}+\mathbf{E}) \end{gathered}$ | Col. G |
| 1 | Children in Dependency Case Training | - | 113,000 | 113,000 | - | 113,000 | 113,000 | - |
| 2 | Sargent Shriver Civil Counsel Pilot Program | 500,000 | 8,473,964 | 8,973,964 | 500,000 | 7,244,437 | 7,744,437 | $(1,229,527)$ |
| 3 | Equal Access Fund | 194,000 | - | 194,000 | 258,000 | - | 258,000 | 64,000 |
| 4 | Court-Appointed Dependency Counsel Collections | 260,000 | - | 260,000 | 260,000 | - | 260,000 | - |
| 5 | Revenue and Collections Program | 625,000 | - | 625,000 | 625,000 | - | 625,000 |  |
| 6 | Programs Funded from Courts' TCTF Allocations |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | Civil, Small Claims, Probate and Mental Health (V3) CMS | - | 564,000 | 564,000 | - | 564,000 | 564,000 | - |
| 8 | California Courts Technology Center | - | 1,472,000 | 1,472,000 | - | 1,472,000 | 1,472,000 | - |
| 9 | Interim Case Management System | - | 842,000 | 842,000 | - | 361,000 | 361,000 | $(481,000)$ |
| 10 | Phoenix Financial Services | 107,000 | - | 107,000 | 107,000 | - | 107,000 |  |
| 11 | Phoenix HR Services | 1,349,000 | - | 1,349,000 | 1,404,676 | - | 1,404,676 | 55,676 |
| 12 | Other Post Employment Benefits Valuations | - | - | - |  | 535,000 | 535,000 | 535,000 |
| 13 | Statewide E-filing Implementation |  |  | - | 671,000 |  | 671,000 | 671,000 |
| 14 | SCO Audit - Pilot program per GC 77206 (h)(4) | - | - | - |  | 540,000 | 540,000 | 540,000 |
| 15 | Total, Program/Project Allocations | 3,035,000 | 11,464,964 | 14,499,964 | 3,825,676 | 10,829,437 | 14,655,113 | 155,149 |
| 16 | Department of Motor Vehicles Amnesty Program service charges | 250,000 |  | 250,000 | - | - | - | N/A |
| 17 | Estimated State Controller's Office services charges | 219,399 |  | 219,399 | 303,000 | - | 303,000 | 83,601 |
| 19 | Estimated Budget Act Appropriation and Changes Using Provisional Language Authority ${ }^{1}$ | N/A | N/A | N/A | 4,011,000 | 13,025,000 | 17,036,000 | N/A |
| 20 | Appropriation Balance | N/A | N/A | N/A | 185,324 | 2,195,563 | 2,077,887 | N/A |

[^1]
## FY 2016-17 and FY 2017-18 Trial Court Trust Fund Support for Operation of the Trial Courts: Appropriation vs. Estimated/Approved Allocations

| \# |  | Type | 2016-17 | 2017-18 | For Judicial Council Approval for 2017-18 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Description |  | Budget Act | Budget Act |  |
|  |  |  | Col. A | Col. B | Col. C |
| 1 | I. Prior-Year Ending Baseline Allocation | Base | 1,704,515,909 | 1,752,585,987 | 1,752,585,987 |
| 3 | II. Adjustments |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | Reduction for Appointed Converted SJO Positions | Base | -400,067 |  |  |
| 5 | IV. FY 2016-2017 Allocations (Governor's Budget) |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | \$8.6 Million in Benefits Cost Changes Funding | Base | 8,452,388 |  |  |
| 7 | FY 2013-14 Restored Benefits Funding | Base | 20,292,088 |  |  |
| 8 | \$412,000 in New Security Funding | Base | 411,942 |  |  |
| 9 | Non-Sheriff's Security BCP Funding | Base | 342,506 |  |  |
| 10 | \$19.6 Million in New Funding | Base | 19,588,058 |  |  |
| 11 | \$25.0 Million in Court Innovations Grants <br> (Total Funding for Both Trial and Appellate Courts) | Non-Base | 25,000,000 |  |  |
| 12 | \$21.4 Million in Proposition 47 Workload Funding | Non-Base | 21,400,000 |  |  |
| 13 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14 | V. Statutory Allocation Adjustments |  |  |  |  |
| 15 | 1\% Fund Balance Cap Reduction | Non-Base | -8,781,306 | pending |  |
| 16 | Adjustment for Funding to be Distributed from ICNA | Non-Base | -50,000,000 | -50,000,000 |  |
| 17 | Criminal Justice Realignment Funding | Non-Base | 9,223,000 | 9,223,000 |  |
| 18 | Reduction for Appointed Converted SJO Positions | Base | -616,836 | pending |  |
| 19 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20 | VI. Allocation for Reimbursements |  |  |  |  |
| 21 | Court-Appointed Dependency Counsel ${ }^{1}$ | Non-Base | 114,700,000 | 136,700,000 | 136,700,000 |
| 22 | Jury | Non-Base | 14,500,000 | 14,500,000 | 14,500,000 |
| 23 | Replacement Screening Stations | Non-Base | 2,286,000 | 2,286,000 | 2,286,000 |
| 24 | Self-Help Center | Non-Base | 2,500,000 | 2,500,000 | 2,500,000 |
| 25 | Elder Abuse | Non-Base | 332,000 | 332,000 | 332,000 |
| 26 | CSA Audits ${ }^{2}$ | Non-Base | 0 | 325,000 | 325,000 |
| 27 | CAC Dependency Collections Reimbursement Rollover | Non-Base | 755,678 | pending |  |
| 28 | CAC Dependency Collections Reimbursement | Non-Base | 629,077 | 911,286 | 911,286 |

## FY 2016-17 and FY 2017-18 Trial Court Trust Fund Support for Operation of the Trial Courts: Appropriation vs. Estimated/Approved Allocations

| \# |  |  | 2016-17 | 2017-18 | For Judicial Council Approval for 2017-18 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Description | Type | Budget Act | Budget Act |  |
|  |  |  | Col. A | Col. B | Col. C |
| 29 | VII. Estimated Revenue Distributions |  |  |  |  |
| 30 | Civil Assessment | Non-Base | 79,426,599 | 114,668,986 |  |
| 31 | Fees Returned to Courts | Non-Base | 21,348,123 | 21,272,860 |  |
| 32 | Replacement of 2\% automation allocation from TCIF | Non-Base | 10,907,494 | 10,907,494 |  |
| 33 | Children's Waiting Room | Non-Base | 3,150,757 | 3,107,018 |  |
| 34 | Automated Recordkeeping and Micrographics | Non-Base | 2,436,478 | 2,403,479 |  |
| 35 | Telephonic Appearances Revenue Sharing | Non-Base | 943,840 | 943,840 |  |
| 36 | Prior Year Revenues | Non-Base | 0 | 0 |  |
| 37 | VIII. Miscellaneous Charges |  |  |  |  |
| 38 | Repayment of Prior Year Cash Advance | Non-Base |  |  |  |
| 39 | State Admin Infrastructure Charges Prior Year Adjustment | Non-Base |  |  |  |
| 40 | Statewide Administrative Infrastructure Charges | Non-Base | -4,389,676 | -4,443,676 |  |
| 41 | Prior Year Facility Payments Charge Adjustments | Non-Base |  |  |  |
| 42 | Total |  | 1,998,954,052 | 2,018,223,275 | 1,910,140,273 |
| 43 | Support for Operation of the Trial Courts Appropriation Budget Act ${ }^{3}$ |  | 2,047,487,000 | 2,049,005,000 |  |
| 44 | Transfer to Compensation of Superior Court Judges appropriation due to conversion of subordinate judicial officer positions to judgeships |  |  |  |  |
| 45 | Transfer to Court Interpreters appropriation due to court interpreter portion of $\$ 42.8$ million for new benefits funding |  |  |  |  |
| 46 | Adjusted Appropriation |  | 2,047,487,000 | 2,049,005,000 |  |
| 47 | Estimated Remaining Appropriation |  | 48,532,948 | 30,781,725 |  |

1 Includes $\$ 22,000,000$ in new funding for Court-Appointed Dependency Counsel.
court audits.
3 Includes the Budget Act Appropriation of $\$ 114,700,000$ for Item 0250-102-0932 - Court-Appointed Dependency Counsel plus $\$ 22 \mathrm{M}$ in new funding.

2017-2018 Beginning Base Allocation: 2016-17 Beginning Base, 2016-2017 Base Allocations, and Annualization

|  | Beginning 2016-2017 <br> TCTF Program 45.10 <br> (0150010) Base <br> Allocation ${ }^{1}$ | WAFM <br> Allocation | WAFM Funding Floor Adjustment | 2015-16 Benefits Funding | 2012-13 \& 2013-14 <br> Previously <br> Unfunded Benefits <br> Costs Allocation | TCTF Reduction for SJO Position Converted to Judgeship | Ending 2016-2017 TCTF Program 45.10 (0150010) Base Allocation | General Fund <br> Benefits Base <br> Allocation (2010- <br> 11 and 2011-12) | Annualization of Reduction for SJO Position Converted to Judgeship | Preliminary Beginning Base in 2017-2018 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Court | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 4A | 5 | $\begin{gathered} 6=\text { Sum of } 1 \text { to } \\ 5 \end{gathered}$ | 7 | 8 | $\begin{gathered} 9=\text { Sum of } 6 \text { to } \\ 8 \end{gathered}$ |
| Alameda | 71,747,295 | $(436,743)$ | $(16,517)$ | 645,929 | 795,745 | - | 72,735,710 | 3,102,047 | - | 75,837,757 |
| Alpine | 725,356 | $(19,759)$ | 35,931 | $(17,093)$ | 3,088 | - | 727,523 | 20,340 | - | 747,863 |
| Amador | 2,119,319 | 59,048 | (532) | 41,319 | 11,783 | - | 2,230,937 | 51,756 | - | 2,282,693 |
| Butte | 9,327,363 | 375,299 | $(2,180)$ | 211,906 | 78,377 | - | 9,990,764 | 124,077 | - | 10,114,841 |
| Calaveras | 1,998,768 | 22,043 | (507) | 74,133 | 22,634 | - | 2,117,072 | 50,506 | - | 2,167,578 |
| Colusa | 1,659,845 | $(17,236)$ | 160,044 | 24,213 | 7,914 | - | 1,834,781 | 24,773 | - | 1,859,554 |
| Contra Costa | 38,588,448 | $(807,633)$ | $(8,738)$ | $(783,109)$ | 504,413 | - | 37,493,381 | 1,396,192 | - | 38,889,573 |
| Del Norte | 2,375,600 | 82,386 | (587) | 59,258 | 22,599 | - | 2,539,256 | 94,130 | - | 2,633,386 |
| El Dorado | 6,357,534 | (34,774) | $(1,520)$ | 98,371 | 9,371 | - | 6,428,982 | 213,120 | - | 6,642,102 |
| Fresno | 41,291,509 | 2,960,295 | $(11,030)$ | 252,326 | 456,561 | - | 44,949,661 | 3,340,364 | - | 48,290,025 |
| Glenn | 1,800,097 | $(94,502)$ | 63,519 | 27,501 | 11,899 | - | 1,808,514 | 54,665 | - | 1,863,179 |
| Humboldt | 5,878,695 | 173,992 | $(1,388)$ | 56,493 | 67,869 | - | 6,175,660 | 73,084 | - | 6,248,744 |
| Imperial | 8,099,425 | 174,720 | $(1,872)$ | 120,442 | 101,174 | - | 8,493,889 | 125,539 | - | 8,619,428 |
| Inyo | 1,944,821 | $(36,939)$ | (436) | 38,621 | 16,191 | - | 1,962,258 | 75,586 | - | 2,037,844 |
| Kern | 38,252,631 | 3,029,898 | $(10,404)$ | 988,357 | 272,793 | - | 42,533,275 | 3,544,269 | - | 46,077,544 |
| Kings | 6,320,421 | 349,995 | $(1,427)$ | 48,872 | 10,949 | - | 6,728,809 | 45,118 | - | 6,773,927 |
| Lake | 3,183,913 | 95,340 | (721) | 35,981 | 1,582 | - | 3,316,096 | 9,123 | - | 3,325,219 |
| Lassen | 2,250,198 | $(24,851)$ | (458) | 16,783 | 2,759 | - | 2,244,431 | 7,839 | - | 2,252,270 |
| Los Angeles | 501,189,322 | 6,939,407 | $(115,500)$ | (1,336,025) | 5,984,546 | - | 512,661,751 | 18,887,969 | $(205,612)$ | 531,344,108 |
| Madera | 6,840,957 | 219,947 | $(1,711)$ | 241,857 | 22,490 | - | 7,323,540 | 384,826 | - | 7,708,366 |
| Marin | 11,514,997 | $(519,030)$ | $(2,806)$ | 221,932 | 177,317 | - | 11,392,410 | 644,512 | - | 12,036,922 |
| Mariposa | 1,124,763 | $(25,442)$ | (262) | 2,134 | 1,761 | - | 1,102,953 | 22,301 | - | 1,125,254 |
| Mendocino | 4,741,649 | 137,568 | $(1,208)$ | 188,392 | 116,313 | - | 5,182,714 | 311,771 | - | 5,494,485 |
| Merced | 10,663,269 | 227,209 | $(2,697)$ | 154,519 | 153,398 | - | 11,195,698 | 774,827 | - | 11,970,525 |
| Modoc | 893,648 | $(55,866)$ | (206) | 10,777 | 1,753 | - | 850,106 | 31,967 | - | 882,073 |
| Mono | 1,467,083 | 33,841 | 107,760 | 11,291 | 5,599 | - | 1,625,574 | 85,641 | - | 1,711,215 |
| Monterey | 16,112,007 | 564,039 | $(3,808)$ | 361,192 | 130,795 | - | 17,164,225 | 277,496 | - | 17,441,721 |
| Napa | 6,805,485 | 164,861 | $(1,587)$ | 106,421 | 89,880 | - | 7,165,060 | 309,796 | - | 7,474,856 |
| Nevada | 4,763,053 | $(87,424)$ | (980) | 99,540 | 59,490 | - | 4,833,680 | 95,495 | - | 4,929,175 |
| Orange | 132,650,864 | $(1,851,991)$ | $(31,299)$ | 66,411 | 2,860,993 | - | 133,694,978 | 6,929,921 | - | 140,624,899 |
| Placer | 13,979,681 | 547,583 | $(3,419)$ | 253,075 | 140,675 | - | 14,917,595 | 634,797 | - | 15,552,392 |
| Plumas | 1,244,991 | $(41,600)$ | 4,938 | 12,766 | 2,974 | - | 1,224,070 | 14,929 | - | 1,238,999 |
| Riverside | 78,274,047 | 2,225,921 | $(18,537)$ | 1,454,359 | 812,595 | - | 82,748,386 | 923,657 | - | 83,672,043 |
| Sacramento | 71,279,885 | $(69,047)$ | $(16,579)$ | (1,032,400) | 1,136,127 | - | 71,297,986 | 3,560,592 | - | 74,858,578 |
| San Benito | 2,387,768 | $(104,676)$ | (551) | 32,176 | 8,330 | - | 2,323,047 | 34,642 | - | 2,357,689 |

## 2017-2018 Beginning Base Allocation: 2016-17 Beginning Base, 2016-2017 Base Allocations, and Annualization

|  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Beginning 2016-2017 } \\ \text { TCTF Program 45.10 } \\ \text { (0150010) Base } \\ \text { Allocation }{ }^{1} \end{array}$ | WAFM <br> Allocation | WAFM Funding Floor Adjustment | 2015-16 Benefits Funding | $\|$2012-13 \& 2013-14 <br> Previously <br> Unfunded Benefits <br> Costs Allocation | TCTF Reduction for SJO Position Converted to Judgeship | Ending 2016-2017 <br> TCTF Program 45.10 (0150010) Base Allocation | General Fund Benefits Base Allocation (201011 and 2011-12) | Annualization of Reduction for SJO Position Converted to Judgeship | Preliminary Beginning Base in 2017-2018 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Court | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 4A | 5 | $\begin{gathered} 6=\text { Sum of } 1 \text { to } \\ 5 \end{gathered}$ | 7 | 8 | $\begin{gathered} 9=\text { Sum of } 6 \text { to } \\ 8 \end{gathered}$ |
| San Bernardino | 87,615,726 | 2,446,434 | $(20,474)$ | 2,087,322 | 659,482 | - | 92,788,489 | 1,264,733 | - | 94,053,222 |
| San Diego | 132,336,756 | $(2,953,490)$ | $(30,342)$ | 1,021,966 | 2,038,142 | $(200,528)$ | 132,212,504 | 2,853,599 | $(210,696)$ | 134,855,407 |
| San Francisco | 52,204,792 | $(350,192)$ | $(13,130)$ | (1,316,245) | 739,779 | - | 51,265,004 | 5,487,135 | - | 56,752,139 |
| San Joaquin | 29,298,243 | 1,772,837 | $(7,450)$ | 424,617 | 264,991 | - | 31,753,237 | 1,245,357 | - | 32,998,594 |
| San Luis Obispo | 12,841,872 | $(4,685)$ | $(2,902)$ | 60,624 | 60,453 | $(189,796)$ | 12,765,566 | 298,958 | $(15,816)$ | 13,048,708 |
| San Mateo | 31,789,179 | $(174,732)$ | $(7,682)$ | 54,150 | 298,280 | - | 31,959,196 | 2,411,113 | - | 34,370,309 |
| Santa Barbara | 19,404,818 | 427,936 | $(4,669)$ | 50,020 | 60,324 | - | 19,938,429 | 1,597,662 | - | 21,536,091 |
| Santa Clara | 72,569,297 | $(165,418)$ | $(17,232)$ | 606,490 | 408,201 | - | 73,401,336 | 2,309,467 | - | 75,710,803 |
| Santa Cruz | 10,973,474 | 166,897 | $(2,614)$ | 238,885 | 76,312 | $(16,381)$ | 11,436,574 | 203,558 | $(189,231)$ | 11,450,901 |
| Shasta | 11,781,356 | 348,727 | $(2,276)$ | 235,389 | 90,993 | - | 12,454,189 | 262,222 | - | 12,716,411 |
| Sierra | 735,183 | $(39,021)$ | 28,370 | 9,323 | 4,422 | - | 738,276 | 9,616 | - | 747,892 |
| Siskiyou | 2,924,261 | $(162,978)$ | (672) | 137,750 | 29,388 | - | 2,927,749 | 91,038 | - | 3,018,787 |
| Solano | 19,069,043 | $(10,465)$ | $(4,488)$ | 597,865 | 245,864 | - | 19,897,819 | 353,779 | - | 20,251,598 |
| Sonoma | 21,951,153 | $(409,145)$ | $(5,158)$ | 63,956 | 305,073 | - | 21,905,880 | 1,172,050 | - | 23,077,930 |
| Stanislaus | 18,420,143 | 752,566 | $(4,784)$ | 95,213 | 404,982 | - | 19,668,120 | 1,305,230 | - | 20,973,350 |
| Sutter | 4,344,980 | 276,084 | $(1,076)$ | 34,036 | 35,710 | - | 4,689,734 | 159,761 | - | 4,849,495 |
| Tehama | 3,360,712 | 174,873 | (866) | 54,682 | 12,296 | - | 3,601,697 | 108,184 | - | 3,709,881 |
| Trinity | 1,700,727 | 65,685 | (308) | 10,086 | 9,880 | - | 1,786,070 | 53,679 | - | 1,839,749 |
| Tulare | 15,593,035 | 1,112,551 | $(3,937)$ | 410,850 | 51,104 | - | 17,163,603 | 33,744 | - | 17,197,347 |
| Tuolumne | 2,932,871 | 72,658 | (664) | 91,053 | 9,519 | - | 3,105,437 | 50,352 | - | 3,155,789 |
| Ventura | 31,195,656 | 1,092,944 | $(7,430)$ | 450,232 | 268,090 | - | 32,999,492 | 968,753 | - | 33,968,245 |
| Yolo | 8,373,491 | 405,133 | $(1,944)$ | 123,356 | 83,319 | - | 8,983,355 | 210,077 | - | 9,193,432 |
| Yuba | 3,598,815 | 586,977 | $(1,000)$ | 122,050 | 32,747 | - | 4,339,589 | 90,867 | - | 4,430,456 |
| Total | 1,704,870,290 | 19,588,058 | (0) | 8,452,388 | 20,292,088 | $(406,705)$ | 1,752,796,119 | 68,818,601 | $(621,355)$ | 1,820,993,364 |

1) Includes Judicial Council approved Non-sheriff Security Non-BCP funding and Non-sheriff BCP funding allocations that are now part of the base allocation

## Proposed Allocation of New Funding for 2016-2017 Benefit Cost Changes

| Court | 2016-2017 Total Benefit Cost Changes 2016 Fall Submission Included in 2017 Governor's Budget |  |  | 2016-2017 Total Benefit Cost Changes 2016 Spring Submission for Inclusion in 2017 Budget Act |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total Non-Interpreter Cost Changes | Total Interpreter Cost Changes | Total Cost Changes as of 2016 Fall Request | Total Non-Interpreter Cost Changes | Total Interpreter Cost Changes | Proposed Allocation of Total Cost Changes $(\mathbf{D}+\mathbf{E})$ |
|  | A | B | C | D | E | F |
| Alameda | $(133,809)$ | $(28,184)$ | $(161,993)$ | $(117,415)$ | $(28,184)$ | $(145,600)$ |
| Alpine | 6,614 | - | 6,614 | 11,842 | - | 11,842 |
| Amador | 21,663 | - | 21,663 | 21,663 | - | 21,663 |
| Butte | 65,745 | - | 65,745 | 65,290 | - | 65,290 |
| Calaveras | 22,185 | - | 22,185 | 20,469 | - | 20,469 |
| Colusa | 10,573 | - | 10,573 | 21,699 | - | 21,699 |
| Contra Costa | $(176,225)$ | $(12,335)$ | $(188,561)$ | $(627,559)$ | $(24,211)$ | $(651,770)$ |
| Del Norte | 44,883 | - | 44,883 | 39,609 | - | 39,609 |
| El Dorado | 47,636 | 3,341 | 50,977 | 38,696 | 3,341 | 42,037 |
| Fresno | $(615,644)$ | $(15,679)$ | $(631,323)$ | $(240,622)$ | $(5,414)$ | $(246,036)$ |
| Glenn | 25,741 | - | 25,741 | 48,933 | - | 48,933 |
| Humboldt | 98,823 | 936 | 99,759 | 92,631 | 780 | 93,411 |
| Imperial | 20,929 | 18 | 20,948 | $(31,042)$ | (394) | $(31,436)$ |
| Inyo | 37,275 | - | 37,275 | 21,672 | - | 21,672 |
| Kern | $(866,725)$ | $(39,596)$ | $(906,322)$ | $(866,725)$ | $(39,596)$ | $(906,322)$ |
| Kings | 157,933 | 6,350 | 164,283 | 105,069 | 3,949 | 109,018 |
| Lake | 41,154 | - | 41,154 | 48,157 | - | 48,157 |
| Lassen | 16,333 | 3,389 | 19,722 | 19,933 | - | 19,933 |
| Los Angeles | 92,404 | $(7,168)$ | 85,236 | $(220,592)$ | $(48,610)$ | $(269,202)$ |
| Madera | 135,015 | 9,227 | 144,242 | 32,254 | 55 | 32,309 |
| Marin | 207,082 | 20,404 | 227,487 | 158,933 | 19,939 | 178,873 |
| Mariposa | 16,764 | - | 16,764 | 30,528 | - | 30,528 |
| Mendocino | 6,647 | 5,135 | 11,781 | $(10,851)$ | 4,789 | $(6,062)$ |
| Merced | $(101,556)$ | 810 | $(100,746)$ | $(245,209)$ | $(4,216)$ | $(249,425)$ |
| Modoc | 4,475 | - | 4,475 | 4,475 | - | 4,475 |
| Mono | 64,257 | - | 64,257 | 66,471 | - | 66,471 |
| Monterey | 102,885 | 4,641 | 107,526 | 42,357 | 4,641 | 46,998 |
| Napa | 23,399 | 2,380 | 25,779 | 26,037 | 2,380 | 28,416 |
| Nevada | 138,923 | - | 138,923 | 119,536 | - | 119,536 |
| Orange | (1,248,482) | $(114,482)$ | $(1,362,964)$ | (1,248,482) | $(114,482)$ | (1,362,964) |
| Placer | 199,413 | 2,503 | 201,916 | 199,413 | 2,503 | 201,916 |
| Plumas | 7,441 | - | 7,441 | 8,833 | - | 8,833 |
| Riverside | 1,801,314 | 59,988 | 1,861,302 | 1,370,087 | 43,619 | 1,413,706 |
| Sacramento | 304,262 | 10,827 | 315,089 | $(19,335)$ | (646) | $(19,981)$ |
| San Benito | 8,326 | - | 8,326 | 8,326 | - | 8,326 |
| San Bernardino | 585,917 | 26,421 | 612,338 | 608,729 | 103,097 | 711,826 |
| San Diego | 1,879,544 | 103,455 | 1,982,999 | 1,879,544 | 103,455 | 1,982,999 |
| San Francisco | 24,373 | 12,306 | 36,679 | 314,519 | 12,331 | 326,851 |
| San Joaquin | 296,026 | 8,881 | 304,907 | 346,128 | 10,988 | 357,116 |
| San Luis Obispo | 282,758 | 9,865 | 292,624 | 282,758 | 10,653 | 293,411 |
| San Mateo | $(185,641)$ | 141 | $(185,500)$ | $(536,099)$ | $(9,367)$ | $(545,466)$ |
| Santa Barbara | $(7,453)$ | $(9,435)$ | $(16,887)$ | $(7,453)$ | $(9,435)$ | $(16,887)$ |
| Santa Clara | 1,063,635 | 94,312 | 1,157,947 | 1,063,634 | 94,312 | 1,157,947 |
| Santa Cruz | 272,516 | 28,969 | 301,485 | 130,494 | 8,938 | 139,432 |
| Shasta | 128,636 | - | 128,636 | 125,540 | - | 125,540 |
| Sierra | 12,199 | - | 12,199 | 9,859 | - | 9,859 |
| Siskiyou | 36,257 | - | 36,257 | 36,257 | - | 36,257 |
| Solano | 355,626 | 4,188 | 359,814 | 274,323 | 2,070 | 276,393 |
| Sonoma | 417,021 | 11,796 | 428,817 | 417,021 | 11,796 | 428,817 |
| Stanislaus | 212,165 | 2,343 | 214,508 | 221,251 | 3,024 | 224,274 |
| Sutter | 102,896 | 3,070 | 105,966 | 118,527 | 3,069 | 121,596 |
| Tehama | 58,190 | 1,010 | 59,200 | 16,974 | (104) | 16,870 |
| Trinity | 21,055 | - | 21,055 | 21,055 | - | 21,055 |
| Tulare | 573,588 | 11,720 | 585,308 | 264,572 | 6,267 | 270,839 |
| Tuolumne | 51,952 | - | 51,952 | 51,126 | - | 51,126 |
| Ventura | $(42,435)$ | $(3,921)$ | $(46,356)$ | 79,260 | (267) | 78,993 |
| Yolo | 33,911 | 1,545 | 35,457 | 38,378 | 2,497 | 40,875 |
| Yuba | 86,536 | - | 86,536 | 36,275 | - | 36,275 |
| Total: | $\mathbf{6 , 8 4 6 , 9 2 4}$ | 219,170 | 7,066,095 | 4,787,751 | 173,566 | 4,961,317 |






|  | Program 10 (Operations) Staff Need |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Program 90 (Administration) Staff Need |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Infractions | Criminal | Civil | Family Law | Pr/MH | Juvenile | Total Program 10 Need | Court <br> inter- <br> preter <br> FTEs | Manager/ <br> Supervisor Ratio (by cluster) | Manager/ Supervisor Need | $\begin{gathered} \text { Total } \\ \text { Program } 10 \\ \text { Need } \\ \text { (rounded up) } \end{gathered}$ | Non-RAS FTE <br> (for Program 90 Need Calculation)* | Program 90 <br> ratio <br> (by cluster) | Program 90 Need (rounded up) | Total RAS <br> Need |
| Court | A | B | C | D | E | F | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{G} \\ \text { (A thru } \mathrm{F} \text { ) } \end{gathered}$ | H | 1 | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{J} \\ ((\mathrm{G}+\mathrm{H}) / \mathrm{I}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} K \\ (H+J) \end{gathered}$ | L | M | $\begin{gathered} N \\ ((K+L) / M) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ (K+N) \end{gathered}$ |
| Alameda | 62.8 | 121.7 | 113.3 | 107.5 | 35.3 | 11.3 | 451.9 | 34.9 | 11.3 | 43.1 | 495 | 85.8 | 7.2 | 81 | 576 |
| Alpine | 0.6 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 1.1 | - | 7.1 | 0.2 | 2 | 1.8 | 5.7 | 1 | 3 |
| Amador | 2.1 | 7.0 | 2.3 | 3.4 | 1.4 | 1.1 | 17.3 | - | 7.1 | 2.4 | 20 | 2.3 | 5.7 | 4 | 24 |
| Butte | 8.5 | 32.3 | 13.5 | 23.5 | 12.8 | 6.4 | 97.0 | - | 8.2 | 11.8 | 109 | 15.7 | 6.4 | 20 | 129 |
| Calaveras | 1.5 | 5.0 | 2.6 | 4.2 | 2.2 | 1.7 | 17.1 | 0.4 | 7.1 | 2.5 | 20 | 2.5 | 5.7 | 4 | 24 |
| Colusa | 3.3 | 4.3 | 0.8 | 1.5 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 11.4 | 0.3 | 7.1 | 1.6 | 14 | 1.4 | 5.7 | 3 | 17 |
| Contra Costa | 40.9 | 62.6 | 62.8 | 80.8 | 28.9 | 19.4 | 295.4 | 12.7 | 8.2 | 37.6 | 333 | 17.6 | 6.8 | 52 | 385 |
| Del Norte | 2.4 | 4.6 | 1.7 | 4.3 | 2.4 | 1.8 | 17.1 | - | 7.1 | 2.4 | 20 | 2.9 | 5.7 | 5 | 25 |
| El Dorado | 6.1 | 14.6 | 10.9 | 15.3 | 5.2 | 5.4 | 57.4 | 1.2 | 8.2 | 7.1 | 65 | 4.7 | 6.4 | 11 | 76 |
| Fresno | 34.0 | 158.5 | 62.7 | 97.6 | 30.4 | 24.6 | 407.8 | 10.9 | 8.2 | 51.1 | 459 | 23.2 | 6.8 | 72 | 531 |
| Glenn | 3.5 | 3.7 | 1.3 | 2.9 | 1.4 | 1.0 | 13.8 | - | 7.1 | 1.9 | 16 | 6.2 | 5.7 | 4 | 20 |
| Humboldt | 6.8 | 25.9 | 8.8 | 13.2 | 7.1 | 4.1 | 65.9 | - | 8.2 | 8.0 | 74 | 3.0 | 6.4 | 12 | 86 |
| Imperial | 23.1 | 29.9 | 8.9 | 24.4 | 6.0 | 4.9 | 97.2 | 5.0 | 8.2 | 12.5 | 110 | 15.7 | 6.4 | 20 | 130 |
| Inyo | 3.9 | 3.7 | 1.1 | 2.2 | 0.8 | 0.5 | 12.3 | - | 7.1 | 1.7 | 15 | 3.0 | 5.7 | 4 | 19 |
| Kern | 38.0 | 165.3 | 45.2 | 90.0 | 31.1 | 21.9 | 391.4 | 15.0 | 8.2 | 49.6 | 441 | 55.0 | 6.8 | 74 | 515 |
| Kings | 8.8 | 35.1 | 6.7 | 14.8 | 4.7 | 5.5 | 75.6 | 2.0 | 8.2 | 9.5 | 86 | 4.6 | 6.4 | 15 | 101 |
| Lake | 2.3 | 14.1 | 5.4 | 8.8 | 3.5 | 1.4 | 35.4 | - | 8.2 | 4.3 | 40 | 1.7 | 6.4 | 7 | 47 |
| Lassen | 2.2 | 5.9 | 1.7 | 3.6 | 1.0 | 0.9 | 15.3 | - | 7.1 | 2.2 | 18 | 1.3 | 5.7 | 4 | 22 |
| Los Angeles | 346.9 | 999.3 | 955.1 | 806.2 | 267.6 | 352.3 | 3,727.4 | 270.0 | 11.3 | 353.8 | 4,082 | 497.0 | 7.2 | 634 | 4,716 |
| Madera | 5.3 | 24.3 | 8.5 | 18.7 | 4.6 | 5.6 | 67.0 | 4.0 | 8.2 | 8.7 | 76 | 5.6 | 6.4 | 13 | 89 |
| Marin | 14.1 | 16.6 | 16.6 | 15.1 | 7.8 | 2.8 | 73.2 | 3.0 | 8.2 | 9.3 | 83 | 4.7 | 6.4 | 14 | 97 |
| Mariposa | 0.8 | 3.3 | 0.6 | 1.5 | 0.7 | 0.3 | 7.2 | - | 7.1 | 1.0 | 9 | 3.5 | 5.7 | 3 | 12 |
| Mendocino | 5.0 | 18.9 | 6.9 | 9.8 | 3.8 | 4.2 | 48.6 | 1.0 | 8.2 | 6.0 | 55 | 3.4 | 6.4 | 10 | 65 |
| Merced | 13.9 | 34.1 | 13.5 | 27.2 | 7.8 | 6.7 | 103.3 | 5.5 | 8.2 | 13.3 | 117 | 13.8 | 6.4 | 21 | 138 |
| Modoc | 0.5 | 2.1 | 0.5 | 1.4 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 5.5 | - | 7.1 | 0.8 | 7 | 2.0 | 5.7 | 2 | 9 |
| Mono | 2.5 | 4.2 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 8.6 | 0.5 | 7.1 | 1.3 | 10 | 1.8 | 5.7 | 3 | 13 |
| Monterey | 16.6 | 54.5 | 20.1 | 31.6 | 10.2 | 7.8 | 140.6 | 8.0 | 8.2 | 18.1 | 159 | 13.3 | 6.8 | 26 | 185 |
| Napa | 5.7 | 17.8 | 8.0 | 11.1 | 5.1 | 3.1 | 50.9 | 3.0 | 8.2 | 6.6 | 58 | 6.3 | 6.4 | 11 | 69 |
| Nevada | 7.3 | 11.4 | 5.4 | 7.9 | 3.4 | 1.3 | 36.9 | - | 8.2 | 4.5 | 42 | 8.4 | 6.4 | 8 | 50 |
| Orange | 87.7 | 293.8 | 232.8 | 223.3 | 66.2 | 38.2 | 941.9 | 68.8 | 11.3 | 89.4 | 1,032 | 176.1 | 7.2 | 168 | 1,200 |
| Placer | 11.4 | 35.6 | 22.7 | 29.5 | 9.5 | 9.8 | 118.4 | 3.0 | 8.2 | 14.8 | 134 | 9.0 | 6.4 | 23 | 157 |
| Plumas | 0.9 | 2.7 | 1.1 | 2.0 | 1.0 | 0.8 | 8.5 | 0.1 | 7.1 | 1.2 | 10 | 1.1 | 5.7 | 2 | 12 |
| Riverside | 72.5 | 227.8 | 161.9 | 228.9 | 51.7 | 64.3 | 807.1 | 39.0 | 11.3 | 74.9 | 882 | 131.1 | 7.2 | 141 | 1,023 |
| Sacramento | 44.8 | 170.6 | 123.8 | 144.1 | 50.0 | 28.6 | 562.0 | 25.7 | 11.3 | 52.0 | 614 | 57.3 | 7.2 | 93 | 707 |
| San Benito | 1.8 | 7.1 | 3.5 | 3.9 | 1.4 | 0.9 | 18.6 | - | 7.1 | 2.6 | 22 | 1.3 | 5.7 | 5 | 27 |
| San Bernardino | 54.9 | 315.0 | 171.5 | 226.9 | 54.8 | 64.3 | 887.4 | 40.1 | 11.3 | 82.1 | 970 | 82.2 | 7.2 | 146 | 1,116 |
| San Diego | 106.9 | 255.7 | 229.3 | 256.6 | 57.3 | 35.7 | 941.4 | 48.6 | 11.3 | 87.6 | 1,030 | 103.6 | 7.2 | 157 | 1,187 |
| San Francisco | 51.7 | 47.5 | 79.4 | 50.2 | 33.0 | 17.3 | 279.1 | 21.3 | 11.3 | 26.6 | 306 | 36.3 | 7.2 | 48 | 354 |
| San Joaquin | 25.7 | 106.3 | 45.7 | 60.8 | 24.5 | 15.6 | 278.5 | 7.9 | 8.2 | 34.9 | 314 | 12.2 | 6.8 | 49 | 363 |
| San Luis Obispo | 13.3 | 44.7 | 13.0 | 18.3 | 10.3 | 4.8 | 104.5 | 4.5 | 8.2 | 13.3 | 118 | 7.5 | 6.4 | 20 | 138 |
| San Mateo | 33.9 | 68.1 | 29.2 | 43.3 | 14.2 | 20.9 | 209.6 | 13.3 | 8.2 | 27.2 | 237 | 19.3 | 6.8 | 38 | 275 |
| Santa Barbara | 28.0 | 58.6 | 23.9 | 27.1 | 11.6 | 9.5 | 158.7 | 11.9 | 8.2 | 20.8 | 180 | 29.1 | 6.8 | 31 | 211 |
| Santa Clara | 43.5 | 155.0 | 91.2 | 103.4 | 39.3 | 14.8 | 447.1 | 27.8 | 11.3 | 42.0 | 490 | 36.8 | 7.2 | 73 | 563 |
| Santa Cruz | 15.7 | 33.7 | 13.4 | 18.5 | 5.4 | 5.1 | 91.7 | 7.1 | 8.2 | 12.1 | 104 | 20.4 | 6.4 | 20 | 124 |
| Shasta | 12.2 | 44.2 | 11.5 | 20.4 | 8.3 | 6.3 | 102.9 | - | 8.2 | 12.5 | 116 | 54.0 | 6.4 | 27 | 143 |
| Sierra | 0.2 | 0.6 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 1.4 | - | 7.1 | 0.2 | 2 | 1.1 | 5.7 | 1 | 3 |
| Siskiyou | 5.2 | 7.4 | 2.8 | 5.2 | 1.9 | 1.5 | 24.0 | 0.3 | 8.2 | 3.0 | 27 | 4.4 | 6.4 | 5 | 32 |
| Solano | 16.0 | 46.4 | 28.4 | 45.0 | 15.1 | 6.5 | 157.4 | 2.0 | 8.2 | 19.4 | 177 | 7.0 | 6.8 | 28 | 205 |
| Sonoma | 20.8 | 54.5 | 26.4 | 33.7 | 17.3 | 6.9 | 159.5 | 8.9 | 8.2 | 20.5 | 181 | 23.9 | 6.8 | 31 | 212 |
| Stanislaus | 14.9 | 76.9 | 28.6 | 55.8 | 20.7 | 8.9 | 205.7 | 2.0 | 8.2 | 25.3 | 232 | 10.6 | 6.8 | 36 | 268 |
| Sutter | 4.9 | 16.3 | 6.3 | 10.9 | 4.6 | 2.1 | 45.1 | 1.5 | 8.2 | 5.7 | 51 | 8.9 | 6.4 | 10 | 61 |
| Tehama | 5.2 | 15.9 | 4.5 | 8.0 | 3.0 | 2.8 | 39.5 | 1.0 | 8.2 | 4.9 | 45 | 3.0 | 6.4 | 8 | 53 |
| Trinity | 0.6 | 3.4 | 1.1 | 2.3 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 8.9 | - | 7.1 | 1.3 | 11 | 5.0 | 5.7 | 3 | 14 |
| Tulare | 20.8 | 72.8 | 24.4 | 40.8 | 12.1 | 16.2 | 187.1 | 5.0 | 8.2 | 23.4 | 211 | 20.9 | 6.8 | 35 | 246 |
| Tuolumne | 2.4 | 11.7 | 3.5 | 6.2 | 2.5 | 3.2 | 29.5 | 0.3 | 8.2 | 3.6 | 34 | 2.1 | 6.4 | 6 | 40 |
| Ventura | 33.1 | 72.8 | 48.5 | 65.0 | 26.1 | 19.9 | 265.4 | 8.0 | 8.2 | 33.3 | 299 | 74.2 | 6.8 | 55 | 354 |
| Yolo | 10.0 | 33.0 | 9.4 | 15.3 | 5.3 | 5.3 | 78.3 | 2.0 | 8.2 | 9.8 | 89 | 13.0 | 6.4 | 16 | 105 |
| Yuba | 3.8 | 15.1 | 4.7 | 9.0 | 3.2 | 3.9 | 39.7 | - | 8.2 | 4.8 | 45 | 3.0 | 6.4 | 8 | 53 |
| Statewide | 1,412.5 | 4,174.0 | 2,828.6 | 3,183.9 | 1,037.6 | 912.3 | 13,548.9 | 727.2 |  | 1,422.2 | 14,998.0 | 1,761.9 |  | 2,421.0 | 17,419 |

*Reported on FY 14-15 Schedule 7A; non-RAS staff include categories such as SJOs, Enhanced Collections Staff, and Interpreters

| Cluster | County | \% Local | \% State | State <br> Employment <br> More than 50\% of Govt Workforce? | 3-Year <br> Avg BLS <br> Local (92) | 3-Year <br> Avg BLS <br>  <br> Local 92) | 3-Year Avg <br> (2013-2015) <br> BLS Factor <br> (50\% Workforce <br> Threshold) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4 | Alameda | 100\% | 0\% | No | 1.43 | 1.43 | 1.43 |
| 1 | Alpine | 100\% | 0\% | No | 0.85 | 0.85 | 0.85 |
| 1 | Amador | 34\% | 66\% | Yes | 0.96 | 0.99 | 0.99 |
| 2 | Butte | 86\% | 14\% | No | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 |
| 1 | Calaveras | 94\% | 6\% | No | 0.89 | 0.94 | 0.89 |
| 1 | Colusa | 96\% | 4\% | No | 0.73 | 0.89 | 0.73 |
| 3 | Contra Costa | 96\% | 4\% | No | 1.25 | 1.12 | 1.25 |
| 1 | Del Norte | 33\% | 67\% | Yes | 0.61 | 0.74 | 0.74 |
| 2 | El Dorado | 96\% | 4\% | No | 1.04 | 1.09 | 1.04 |
| 3 | Fresno | 70\% | 30\% | No | 0.98 | 1.04 | 0.98 |
| 1 | Glenn | 96\% | 4\% | No | 0.67 | 0.82 | 0.67 |
| 2 | Humboldt | 84\% | 16\% | No | 0.77 | 0.92 | 0.77 |
| 2 | Imperial | 51\% | 49\% | No | 0.80 | 0.83 | 0.80 |
| 1 | Inyo | 72\% | 28\% | No | 0.84 | 0.88 | 0.84 |
| 3 | Kern | 59\% | 41\% | No | 1.04 | 0.99 | 1.04 |
| 2 | Kings | 33\% | 67\% | Yes | 0.86 | 0.86 | 0.86 |
| 2 | Lake | 96\% | 4\% | No | 0.75 | 0.86 | 0.75 |
| 1 | Lassen | 21\% | 79\% | Yes | 0.66 | 0.78 | 0.78 |
| 4 | Los Angeles | 92\% | 8\% | No | 1.37 | 1.26 | 1.37 |
| 2 | Madera | 40\% | 60\% | Yes | 0.82 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| 2 | Marin | 67\% | 33\% | No | 1.30 | 1.15 | 1.30 |
| 1 | Mariposa | 92\% | 8\% | No | 0.82 | 0.96 | 0.82 |
| 2 | Mendocino | 83\% | 17\% | No | 0.81 | 0.82 | 0.81 |
| 2 | Merced | 100\% | 0\% | No | 0.88 | 0.88 | 0.88 |
| 1 | Modoc | 88\% | 12\% | No | 0.55 | 0.79 | 0.55 |
| 1 | Mono | 93\% | 7\% | No | 1.01 | 0.93 | 1.01 |
| 3 | Monterey | 63\% | 37\% | No | 1.19 | 1.05 | 1.19 |
| 2 | Napa | 85\% | 15\% | No | 1.22 | 1.09 | 1.22 |
| 2 | Nevada | 84\% | 16\% | No | 0.99 | 0.87 | 0.99 |
| 4 | Orange | 91\% | 9\% | No | 1.29 | 1.17 | 1.29 |
| 2 | Placer | 95\% | 5\% | No | 1.20 | 1.05 | 1.20 |
| 1 | Plumas | 93\% | 7\% | No | 0.69 | 0.80 | 0.69 |
| 4 | Riverside | 81\% | 19\% | No | 1.11 | 1.01 | 1.11 |
| 4 | Sacramento | 15\% | 85\% | Yes | 1.21 | 1.28 | 1.28 |
| 1 | San Benito | 100\% | 0\% | No | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 |
| 4 | San Bernardino | 80\% | 20\% | No | 1.06 | 1.07 | 1.06 |
| 4 | San Diego | 86\% | 14\% | No | 1.18 | 1.15 | 1.18 |
| 4 | San Francisco | 53\% | 47\% | No | 1.71 | 1.60 | 1.71 |
| 3 | San Joaquin | 68\% | 32\% | No | 1.09 | 1.05 | 1.09 |
| 2 | San Luis Obispo | 57\% | 43\% | No | 1.06 | 1.08 | 1.06 |
| 3 | San Mateo | 95\% | 5\% | No | 1.46 | 1.17 | 1.46 |
| 3 | Santa Barbara | 93\% | 7\% | No | 1.20 | 1.10 | 1.20 |
| 4 | Santa Clara | 95\% | 5\% | No | 1.44 | 1.20 | 1.44 |
| 2 | Santa Cruz | 91\% | 9\% | No | 1.14 | 0.90 | 1.14 |
| 2 | Shasta | 64\% | 36\% | No | 0.88 | 0.94 | 0.88 |
| 1 | Sierra | 100\% | 0\% | No | 0.62 | 0.62 | 0.62 |
| 2 | Siskiyou | 84\% | 16\% | No | 0.70 | 0.74 | 0.70 |
| 3 | Solano | 66\% | 34\% | No | 1.17 | 1.06 | 1.17 |
| 3 | Sonoma | 90\% | 10\% | No | 1.12 | 1.05 | 1.12 |
| 3 | Stanislaus | 96\% | 4\% | No | 1.01 | 0.97 | 1.01 |
| 2 | Sutter | 95\% | 5\% | No | 0.97 | 0.94 | 0.97 |
| 2 | Tehama | 93\% | 7\% | No | 0.79 | 0.96 | 0.79 |
| 1 | Trinity | 93\% | 7\% | No | 0.66 | 0.81 | 0.66 |
| 3 | Tulare | 94\% | 6\% | No | 0.89 | 0.90 | 0.89 |
| 2 | Tuolumne | 52\% | 48\% | No | 0.80 | 0.87 | 0.80 |
| 3 | Ventura | 91\% | 9\% | No | 1.23 | 1.10 | 1.23 |
| 2 | Yolo | 81\% | 19\% | No | 1.07 | 1.29 | 1.07 |
| 2 | Yuba | 46\% | 54\% | Yes | 0.94 | 1.17 | 1.17 |

FY 2017-18 FTE Allotment Factor


|  |  | (Historical) <br> Funding Subject to Reallocation | Court's Share of Current Historical Funding vs. FY 17-18 WAFM Funding Need |  |  | Reallocation of $\mathbf{5 0 \%}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Share of Total <br> Funding Subject <br> to Reallocation <br> Using WAFM <br> (Historical <br> funding <br> proportion) | Share of Total WAFM Funding Need (FY 17-18) | Reallocation Ratio | 50 Percent of Funding Subject to Reallocation | Reallocation Using WAFM Proportion | Net |
| Cluster | Court | A | B | c | D = $\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{B}$ | E = 50\% * Col. A | $\mathrm{F}=\mathbf{\$ 7 2 0 . 2 \mathrm { M }}$ * Col. C | G = E + F |
| 4 | Alameda | 69,586,867 | 4.83\% | 3.83\% | 79.4\% | $(34,793,434)$ | 27,611,904 | $(7,181,529)$ |
| 1 | Alpine | 552,142 | 0.04\% | 0.02\% | 46.6\% | $(276,071)$ | 128,664 | $(147,407)$ |
| 1 | Amador | 2,080,491 | 0.14\% | 0.12\% | 83.3\% | $(1,040,246)$ | 866,832 | $(173,413)$ |
| 2 | Butte | 7,287,810 | 0.51\% | 0.55\% | 108.7\% | $(3,643,905)$ | 3,960,901 | 316,996 |
| 1 | Calaveras | 1,950,892 | 0.14\% | 0.11\% | 80.6\% | $(975,446)$ | 786,367 | $(189,078)$ |
| 1 | Colusa | 1,368,302 | 0.09\% | 0.08\% | 87.1\% | $(684,151)$ | 596,046 | $(88,105)$ |
| 3 | Contra Costa | 32,906,460 | 2.28\% | 2.29\% | 100.4\% | $(16,453,230)$ | 16,516,187 | 62,957 |
| 1 | Del Norte | 2,202,321 | 0.15\% | 0.12\% | 81.6\% | $(1,101,160)$ | 898,637 | $(202,523)$ |
| 2 | El Dorado | 5,880,901 | 0.41\% | 0.37\% | 90.3\% | $(2,940,450)$ | 2,653,800 | $(286,651)$ |
| 3 | Fresno | 34,456,224 | 2.39\% | 2.80\% | 117.1\% | $(17,228,112)$ | 20,178,993 | 2,950,881 |
| 1 | Glenn | 1,811,707 | 0.13\% | 0.09\% | 68.5\% | $(905,853)$ | 620,622 | $(285,232)$ |
| 2 | Humboldt | 5,005,941 | 0.35\% | 0.34\% | 98.6\% | $(2,502,970)$ | 2,467,770 | $(35,200)$ |
| 2 | Imperial | 6,294,286 | 0.44\% | 0.48\% | 110.4\% | $(3,147,143)$ | 3,474,675 | 327,532 |
| 1 | Inyo | 1,722,461 | 0.12\% | 0.09\% | 72.2\% | $(861,231)$ | 622,164 | $(239,067)$ |
| 3 | Kern | 28,781,786 | 2.00\% | 2.93\% | 146.8\% | $(14,390,893)$ | 21,124,674 | 6,733,781 |
| 2 | Kings | 4,765,510 | 0.33\% | 0.40\% | 120.4\% | $(2,382,755)$ | 2,868,281 | 485,526 |
| 2 | Lake | 2,903,720 | 0.20\% | 0.18\% | 86.8\% | $(1,451,860)$ | 1,260,676 | $(191,184)$ |
| 1 | Lassen | 1,890,662 | 0.13\% | 0.09\% | 70.5\% | $(945,331)$ | 666,823 | $(278,508)$ |
| 4 | Los Angeles | 392,482,162 | 27.25\% | 29.58\% | 108.6\% | $(196,241,081)$ | 213,083,452 | 16,842,370 |
| 2 | Madera | 5,953,244 | 0.41\% | 0.40\% | 97.6\% | $(2,976,622)$ | 2,904,973 | $(71,649)$ |
| 2 | Marin | 13,338,797 | 0.93\% | 0.56\% | 60.9\% | $(6,669,399)$ | 4,062,276 | $(2,607,123)$ |
| 1 | Mariposa | 920,593 | 0.06\% | 0.05\% | 84.5\% | $(460,296)$ | 388,999 | $(71,298)$ |
| 2 | Mendocino | 4,379,075 | 0.30\% | 0.28\% | 93.6\% | $(2,189,538)$ | 2,049,143 | $(140,395)$ |
| 2 | Merced | 9,033,368 | 0.63\% | 0.67\% | 106.9\% | $(4,516,684)$ | 4,830,130 | 313,445 |
| 1 | Modoc | 890,668 | 0.06\% | 0.04\% | 60.6\% | $(445,334)$ | 269,810 | $(175,524)$ |
| 1 | Mono | 1,232,348 | 0.09\% | 0.08\% | 91.1\% | $(616,174)$ | 561,239 | $(54,935)$ |
| 3 | Monterey | 13,009,124 | 0.90\% | 0.97\% | 107.7\% | $(6,504,562)$ | 7,005,892 | 501,330 |
| 2 | Napa | 6,088,978 | 0.42\% | 0.38\% | 91.0\% | $(3,044,489)$ | 2,769,217 | $(275,272)$ |
| 2 | Nevada | 3,817,225 | 0.26\% | 0.24\% | 90.7\% | $(1,908,612)$ | 1,731,619 | $(176,994)$ |
| 4 | Orange | 122,983,490 | 8.54\% | 7.07\% | 82.8\% | $(61,491,745)$ | 50,922,145 | (10,569,600) |
| 2 | Placer | 11,114,142 | 0.77\% | 0.91\% | 118.4\% | $(5,557,071)$ | 6,579,666 | 1,022,595 |
| 1 | Plumas | 1,441,037 | 0.10\% | 0.05\% | 48.4\% | $(720,518)$ | 349,060 | $(371,458)$ |
| 4 | Riverside | 57,140,417 | 3.97\% | 5.11\% | 128.9\% | $(28,570,208)$ | 36,822,677 | 8,252,469 |
| 4 | Sacramento | 61,567,979 | 4.27\% | 4.30\% | 100.5\% | $(30,783,990)$ | 30,949,829 | 165,839 |
| 1 | San Benito | 2,496,024 | 0.17\% | 0.13\% | 76.4\% | $(1,248,012)$ | 953,848 | $(294,164)$ |
| 4 | San Bernardino | 61,335,147 | 4.26\% | 5.47\% | 128.5\% | $(30,667,573)$ | 39,416,674 | 8,749,101 |
| 4 | San Diego | 122,736,644 | 8.52\% | 6.96\% | 81.7\% | $(61,368,322)$ | 50,111,172 | (11,257,150) |
| 4 | San Francisco | 52,988,157 | 3.68\% | 2.52\% | 68.6\% | $(26,494,079)$ | 18,162,421 | $(8,331,657)$ |
| 3 | San Joaquin | 23,639,320 | 1.64\% | 2.01\% | 122.7\% | $(11,819,660)$ | 14,503,346 | 2,683,686 |
| 2 | San Luis Obispo | 10,604,942 | 0.74\% | 0.69\% | 94.0\% | $(5,302,471)$ | 4,984,627 | $(317,844)$ |
| 3 | San Mateo | 29,770,060 | 2.07\% | 1.85\% | 89.4\% | $(14,885,030)$ | 13,299,776 | $(1,585,254)$ |
| 3 | Santa Barbara | 18,365,326 | 1.27\% | 1.16\% | 91.1\% | $(9,182,663)$ | 8,367,930 | $(814,732)$ |
| 4 | Santa Clara | 74,267,457 | 5.16\% | 3.80\% | 73.8\% | $(37,133,729)$ | 27,390,923 | $(9,742,806)$ |
| 2 | Santa Cruz | 9,910,386 | 0.69\% | 0.67\% | 96.8\% | $(4,955,193)$ | 4,796,149 | $(159,044)$ |
| 2 | Shasta | 7,409,092 | 0.51\% | 0.58\% | 113.7\% | $(3,704,546)$ | 4,211,876 | 507,330 |
| 1 | Sierra | 542,215 | 0.04\% | 0.02\% | 41.8\% | $(271,108)$ | 113,228 | $(157,880)$ |
| 2 | Siskiyou | 3,254,627 | 0.23\% | 0.13\% | 56.6\% | $(1,627,314)$ | 921,331 | $(705,983)$ |
| 3 | Solano | 15,704,185 | 1.09\% | 1.14\% | 104.2\% | $(7,852,093)$ | 8,181,999 | 329,907 |
| 3 | Sonoma | 18,845,883 | 1.31\% | 1.23\% | 94.0\% | $(9,422,941)$ | 8,859,743 | $(563,198)$ |


|  |  |  |  | Court's Share of Current Historical <br> Funding vs. FY 17-18 WAFM <br> Funding Need |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  | (Historical) <br> Funding Subject <br> to Reallocation | Share of Total <br> Funding Subject <br> to Reallocation |  |


| Reallocation of $\mathbf{5 0 \%}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 50 Percent of Funding Subject to Reallocation | Reallocation Using WAFM Proportion | Net |
| E = 50\% * Col. A | F = \$720.2M * Col. C | G = E + F |
| $(7,748,902)$ | 9,578,558 | 1,829,656 |
| $(1,701,523)$ | 2,083,376 | 381,853 |
| $(1,453,649)$ | 1,645,783 | 192,134 |
| $(495,179)$ | 471,907 | $(23,272)$ |
| $(6,146,506)$ | 8,014,884 | 1,868,378 |
| $(1,294,901)$ | 1,218,883 | $(76,018)$ |
| $(12,183,413)$ | 14,509,278 | 2,325,865 |
| $(3,252,074)$ | 3,942,247 | 690,172 |
| $(1,612,538)$ | 1,919,879 | 307,341 |
|  |  |  |
| $(720,243,983)$ | 720,243,983 | 0 |
| $(720,243,983)$ |  |  |


|  |  | New Reallocation of \$233.8M |  |  | Reallocation of \$0M |  |  | Allocation of New Money |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Allocation of \$233.8Million Using 17-18 WAFM | Original Share of \$233.8Million of <br> Historical Allocation To Be Reallocated | Net | Allocation of \$0 Million Using 17-18 WAFM | Original <br> Share of $\$ 20.0$ <br> Million of <br> Historical <br> Allocation To <br> Be <br> Reallocated | Net | Allocation of \$233.8 Million Using 17-18 WAFM | Allocation of \$0Million <br> Using 17-18 WAFM |
| Cluster | Court | $\mathrm{H}=\mathbf{\$ 2 3 3 . 8 M} \mathrm{M}^{\text {C }}$ | I = - $\mathbf{2}^{\text {233.8M*B }}$ | $\mathrm{J}=\mathrm{H}+\mathrm{I}$ | K = \$0M* ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | L = - 0 OM ${ }^{\text {B }}$ | $\mathrm{M}=\mathrm{K}+\mathrm{L}$ | N = \$0M * C | O = \$0M * C |
| 4 | Alameda | 8,962,704 | $(11,293,797)$ | $(2,331,093)$ | - | - | - | 8,962,704 | - |
| 1 | Alpine | 41,764 | $(89,611)$ | $(47,848)$ | - | - | - | 41,764 | - |
| 1 | Amador | 281,370 | $(337,659)$ | $(56,289)$ | - | - | - | 281,370 | - |
| 2 | Butte | 1,285,691 | $(1,182,796)$ | 102,896 | - | - | - | 1,285,691 | - |
| 1 | Calaveras | 255,251 | $(316,625)$ | $(61,374)$ | - | - | - | 255,251 | - |
| 1 | Colusa | 193,474 | $(222,072)$ | $(28,598)$ | - | - | - | 193,474 | - |
| 3 | Contra Costa | 5,361,082 | $(5,340,647)$ | 20,435 | - | - | - | 5,361,082 | - |
| 1 | Del Norte | 291,694 | $(357,432)$ | $(65,738)$ | - | - | - | 291,694 | - |
| 2 | El Dorado | 861,412 | $(954,457)$ | $(93,046)$ | - | - | - | 861,412 | - |
| 3 | Fresno | 6,550,013 | $(5,592,170)$ | 957,843 | - | - | - | 6,550,013 | - |
| 1 | Glenn | 201,451 | $(294,036)$ | $(92,585)$ | - | - | - | 201,451 | - |
| 2 | Humboldt | 801,027 | $(812,453)$ | $(11,426)$ | - | - | - | 801,027 | - |
| 2 | Imperial | 1,127,864 | $(1,021,549)$ | 106,316 | - | - | - | 1,127,864 | - |
| 1 | Inyo | 201,952 | $(279,552)$ | $(77,600)$ | - | - | - | 201,952 | - |
| 3 | Kern | 6,856,977 | $(4,671,221)$ | 2,185,756 | - | - | - | 6,856,977 | - |
| 2 | Kings | 931,031 | $(773,432)$ | 157,599 | - | - | - | 931,031 | - |
| 2 | Lake | 409,210 | $(471,267)$ | $(62,057)$ | - | - | - | 409,210 | - |
| 1 | Lassen | 216,448 | $(306,850)$ | $(90,402)$ | - | - | - | 216,448 | - |
| 4 | Los Angeles | 69,165,960 | $(63,699,000)$ | 5,466,960 | - | - | - | 69,165,960 | - |
| 2 | Madera | 942,942 | $(966,198)$ | $(23,257)$ | - | - | - | 942,942 | - |
| 2 | Marin | 1,318,597 | $(2,164,858)$ | $(846,261)$ | - | - | - | 1,318,597 | - |
| 1 | Mariposa | 126,267 | $(149,410)$ | $(23,143)$ | - | - | - | 126,267 | - |
| 2 | Mendocino | 665,143 | $(710,714)$ | $(45,572)$ | - | - | - | 665,143 | - |
| 2 | Merced | 1,567,839 | $(1,466,096)$ | 101,743 | - | - | - | 1,567,839 | - |
| 1 | Modoc | 87,579 | $(144,553)$ | $(56,974)$ | - | - | - | 87,579 | - |
| 1 | Mono | 182,176 | $(200,007)$ | $(17,832)$ | - | - | - | 182,176 | - |
| 3 | Monterey | 2,274,082 | $(2,111,353)$ | 162,730 | - | - | - | 2,274,082 | - |
| 2 | Napa | 898,876 | $(988,228)$ | $(89,352)$ | - | - | - | 898,876 | - |
| 2 | Nevada | 562,076 | $(619,527)$ | $(57,451)$ | - | - | - | 562,076 | - |
| 4 | Orange | 16,529,106 | $(19,959,952)$ | $(3,430,846)$ | - | - | - | 16,529,106 | - |
| 2 | Placer | 2,135,731 | $(1,803,801)$ | 331,930 | - | - | - | 2,135,731 | - |
| 1 | Plumas | 113,304 | $(233,877)$ | $(120,574)$ | - | - | - | 113,304 | - |
| 4 | Riverside | 11,952,481 | $(9,273,765)$ | 2,678,715 | - | - | - | 11,952,481 | - |
| 4 | Sacramento | 10,046,179 | $(9,992,349)$ | 53,831 | - | - | - | 10,046,179 | - |
| 1 | San Benito | 309,615 | $(405,099)$ | $(95,484)$ | - | - | - | 309,615 | - |
| 4 | San Bernardino | 12,794,481 | (9,954,561) | 2,839,920 | - | - | - | 12,794,481 | - |
| 4 | San Diego | 16,265,868 | $(19,919,890)$ | $(3,654,022)$ | - | - | - | 16,265,868 | - |
| 4 | San Francisco | 5,895,443 | $(8,599,862)$ | $(2,704,420)$ | - | - | - | 5,895,443 | - |
| 3 | San Joaquin | 4,707,723 | $(3,836,610)$ | 871,113 | - | - | - | 4,707,723 | - |
| 2 | San Luis Obispo | 1,617,988 | $(1,721,159)$ | $(103,171)$ | - | - | - | 1,617,988 | - |
| 3 | San Mateo | 4,317,049 | $(4,831,616)$ | $(514,567)$ | - | - | - | 4,317,049 | - |
| 3 | Santa Barbara | 2,716,194 | $(2,980,652)$ | $(264,459)$ | - | - | - | 2,716,194 | - |
| 4 | Santa Clara | 8,890,974 | $(12,053,446)$ | $(3,162,472)$ | - | - | - | 8,890,974 | - |
| 2 | Santa Cruz | 1,556,809 | $(1,608,434)$ | $(51,625)$ | - | - | - | 1,556,809 | - |
| 2 | Shasta | 1,367,157 | $(1,202,479)$ | 164,677 | - | - | - | 1,367,157 | - |
| 1 | Sierra | 36,753 | $(88,000)$ | $(51,247)$ | - | - | - | 36,753 | - |
| 2 | Siskiyou | 299,060 | $(528,219)$ | $(229,159)$ | - | - | - | 299,060 | - |
| 3 | Solano | 2,655,841 | $(2,548,755)$ | 107,086 | - | - | - | 2,655,841 | - |
| 3 | Sonoma | 2,875,834 | $(3,058,646)$ | $(182,812)$ | - | - | - | 2,875,834 | - |



|  |  | Reversal of 2016-17 WAFM Allocation |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $40 \%$ <br> Reallocation | \$233.8M <br> Reallocation | Estimated <br> 2017-18 <br> Net Total Adjustments to Allocation | Estimated 201718 Funding Floor Adjustment |
| Cluster | Court | P | Q | R | $s$ |
| 4 | Alameda | 6,603,514 | $(5,935,117)$ | 118,477 | $(13,184)$ |
| 1 | Alpine | 121,523 | 9,004 | $(22,964)$ | 11,128 |
| 1 | Amador | 115,509 | $(243,925)$ | $(76,749)$ | (415) |
| 2 | Butte | $(309,051)$ | $(1,433,588)$ | $(37,056)$ | $(1,747)$ |
| 1 | Calaveras | 103,606 | $(232,551)$ | $(124,146)$ | (386) |
| 1 | Colusa | 95,668 | $(144,439)$ | 28,000 | (356) |
| 3 | Contra Costa | 598,907 | $(4,854,640)$ | 1,188,741 | $(7,228)$ |
| 1 | Del Norte | 96,152 | $(279,405)$ | $(159,821)$ | (468) |
| 2 | El Dorado | 202,552 | $(790,089)$ | $(105,821)$ | $(1,202)$ |
| 3 | Fresno | $(3,000,304)$ | $(8,026,884)$ | $(568,451)$ | $(8,672)$ |
| 1 | Glenn | 254,350 | $(87,633)$ | $(9,648)$ | (354) |
| 2 | Humboldt | 22,975 | $(793,810)$ | $(16,433)$ | $(1,124)$ |
| 2 | Imperial | $(279,110)$ | $(1,248,044)$ | 34,558 | $(1,500)$ |
| 1 | Inyo | 209,432 | $(109,600)$ | $(14,883)$ | (350) |
| 3 | Kern | $(5,678,721)$ | $(9,279,441)$ | 818,353 | $(8,309)$ |
| 2 | Kings | $(334,835)$ | $(1,045,147)$ | 194,175 | $(1,196)$ |
| 2 | Lake | 192,865 | $(314,759)$ | 34,075 | (591) |
| 1 | Lassen | 133,512 | $(198,507)$ | $(217,457)$ | 94,310 |
| 4 | Los Angeles | $(14,770,787)$ | $(75,685,333)$ | 1,019,170 | $(92,781)$ |
| 2 | Madera | $(45,557)$ | $(1,003,167)$ | $(200,688)$ | $(1,337)$ |
| 2 | Marin | 2,130,015 | $(436,374)$ | $(441,145)$ | $(2,191)$ |
| 1 | Mariposa | 68,668 | $(93,687)$ | 6,808 | 75,747 |
| 2 | Mendocino | 113,755 | $(618,404)$ | $(25,473)$ | (959) |
| 2 | Merced | $(448,390)$ | $(1,829,959)$ | $(295,321)$ | $(2,060)$ |
| 1 | Modoc | 148,203 | $(24,288)$ | $(21,004)$ | 3,187 |
| 1 | Mono | 38,618 | $(168,669)$ | $(20,641)$ | 124,225 |
| 3 | Monterey | $(349,452)$ | $(2,394,929)$ | 193,761 | $(3,088)$ |
| 2 | Napa | 222,926 | $(807,326)$ | $(50,148)$ | $(1,262)$ |
| 2 | Nevada | 235,623 | $(428,322)$ | 134,931 | (829) |
| 4 | Orange | 7,903,661 | $(13,546,218)$ | $(3,113,896)$ | $(24,189)$ |
| 2 | Placer | $(729,594)$ | $(2,395,859)$ | 364,804 | $(2,829)$ |
| 1 | Plumas | 249,686 | $(31,259)$ | $(160,301)$ | (203) |
| 4 | Riverside | $(6,110,439)$ | $(14,232,320)$ | 2,540,906 | $(15,526)$ |
| 4 | Sacramento | 419,572 | $(9,651,871)$ | 1,033,549 | $(13,494)$ |
| 1 | San Benito | 325,983 | $(140,568)$ | 105,382 | (461) |
| 4 | San Bernardino | (7,035,711) | $(15,663,964)$ | 1,683,826 | $(16,776)$ |
| 4 | San Diego | 9,271,417 | $(12,396,236)$ | $(1,770,123)$ | $(24,293)$ |
| 4 | San Francisco | 4,680,288 | $(4,801,860)$ | $(5,262,207)$ | $(9,575)$ |
| 3 | San Joaquin | $(1,933,500)$ | $(5,405,624)$ | 923,398 | $(6,191)$ |
| 2 | San Luis Obispo | 8,117 | $(1,714,572)$ | $(509,481)$ | $(2,282)$ |
| 3 | San Mateo | 1,561,908 | $(3,564,145)$ | 214,992 | $(6,006)$ |
| 3 | Santa Barbara | 866,144 | $(2,277,786)$ | 225,361 | $(3,771)$ |
| 4 | Santa Clara | 7,851,840 | $(5,681,764)$ | $(1,844,229)$ | $(13,861)$ |
| 2 | Santa Cruz | 173,511 | $(1,467,632)$ | 52,020 | $(2,167)$ |
| 2 | Shasta | $(278,742)$ | $(1,428,675)$ | 331,747 | $(1,901)$ |
| 1 | Sierra | 130,925 | 18,244 | $(23,205)$ | 13,353 |
| 2 | Siskiyou | 568,425 | $(66,948)$ | $(134,605)$ | (518) |
| 3 | Solano | $(117,645)$ | $(2,644,223)$ | 330,966 | $(3,696)$ |
| 3 | Sonoma | 420,539 | $(2,717,383)$ | $(167,019)$ | $(4,170)$ |


|  |  | Reversal of 2016-17 WAFM Allocation |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $40 \%$ <br> Reallocation | \$233.8M <br> Reallocation | Estimated <br> 2017-18 <br> Net Total <br> Adjustments to <br> Allocation | Estimated 201718 Funding Floor Adjustment |
| Cluster | Court | P | Q | R | S |
| 3 | Stanislaus | $(1,482,118)$ | $(3,717,982)$ | 332,613 | $(3,925)$ |
| 2 | Sutter | $(303,662)$ | $(798,725)$ | 79,670 | (897) |
| 2 | Tehama | $(119,115)$ | $(568,508)$ | 101,091 | (715) |
| 1 | Trinity | 40,143 | $(128,157)$ | 34,338 | (256) |
| 3 | Tulare | $(1,050,570)$ | $(2,847,655)$ | 1,178,217 | $(3,411)$ |
| 2 | Tuolumne | 146,364 | $(301,547)$ | 139,768 | (564) |
| 3 | Ventura | $(1,419,131)$ | $(5,106,292)$ | 1,265,056 | $(6,189)$ |
| 2 | Yolo | $(303,923)$ | $(1,302,239)$ | 587,674 | $(1,669)$ |
| 2 | Yuba | $(226,541)$ | $(707,258)$ | 96,488 | (824) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Statewide | (0) | $(233,788,058)$ | (0) | (0) |

Historical Trial Court Funding Subject to Reallocation Using WAFM

|  | 2013-14 Beginning <br> Base (TCTF and GF) | Security Base (FY 10-11) Adjustment | SJO <br> Adjustment ${ }^{1}$ | Self-Help | Replacement of 2\% <br> Automation | Automated Recordkeeping and Micrographics Distribution (11-12) | Total | \% of Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | TCTF and GF (45.10) | TCTF (45.10) | TCTF (45.10) | TCTF (45.10) | TCTF (45.10) | TCTF (45.10) |  |  |
| Court | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| Alameda | 74,069,725 | (3,177,924) | (1,958,825) | 101,575 | 424,792 | 127,523 | 69,586,867 | 4.83\% |
| Alpine | 549,977 | - | - | 83 | 2,034 | 47 | 552,142 | 0.04\% |
| Amador | 2,066,138 | - | - | 2,565 | 11,006 | 783 | 2,080,491 | 0.14\% |
| Butte | 7,956,105 | $(467,145)$ | $(291,613)$ | 14,608 | 59,332 | 16,523 | 7,287,810 | 0.51\% |
| Calaveras | 1,927,985 | - | - | 3,074 | 18,652 | 1,180 | 1,950,892 | 0.14\% |
| Colusa | 1,352,785 | - | - | 1,447 | 13,708 | 363 | 1,368,302 | 0.09\% |
| Contra Costa | 34,237,741 | - | (1,705,774) | 69,231 | 218,186 | 87,076 | 32,906,460 | 2.28\% |
| Del Norte | 2,315,586 | - | $(126,942)$ | 1,964 | 11,208 | 505 | 2,202,321 | 0.15\% |
| El Dorado | 5,867,266 | - | $(57,081)$ | 11,851 | 54,374 | 4,491 | 5,880,901 | 0.41\% |
| Fresno | 35,177,288 | - | (1,032,025) | 60,497 | 181,080 | 69,384 | 34,456,224 | 2.39\% |
| Glenn | 1,799,795 | $(9,779)$ | - | 1,927 | 19,264 | 500 | 1,811,707 | 0.13\% |
| Humboldt | 5,258,372 | $(167,800)$ | $(150,006)$ | 8,913 | 48,160 | 8,302 | 5,005,941 | 0.35\% |
| Imperial | 6,805,406 | $(420,479)$ | $(180,405)$ | 11,204 | 67,678 | 10,882 | 6,294,286 | 0.44\% |
| Inyo | 1,919,492 | $(186,658)$ | $(42,314)$ | 1,245 | 30,402 | 294 | 1,722,461 | 0.12\% |
| Kern | 30,203,399 | $(65,567)$ | (1,750,452) | 52,450 | 277,328 | 64,629 | 28,781,786 | 2.00\% |
| Kings | 5,292,481 | $(421,918)$ | $(181,060)$ | 9,935 | 57,026 | 9,045 | 4,765,510 | 0.33\% |
| Lake | 3,130,735 | $(196,493)$ | $(56,758)$ | 4,311 | 20,328 | 1,596 | 2,903,720 | 0.20\% |
| Lassen | 2,161,420 | $(293,836)$ | - | 2,384 | 20,156 | 538 | 1,890,662 | 0.13\% |
| Los Angeles | 428,645,200 | (14,294,467) | $(26,758,268)$ | 689,065 | 3,144,530 | 1,056,102 | 392,482,162 | 27.25\% |
| Madera | 6,269,329 | $(381,406)$ | - | 9,711 | 52,502 | 3,108 | 5,953,244 | 0.41\% |
| Marin | 13,587,985 | $(9,625)$ | $(391,957)$ | 17,038 | 114,766 | 20,590 | 13,338,797 | 0.93\% |
| Mariposa | 943,529 | - | $(28,406)$ | 1,225 | 3,904 | 341 | 920,593 | 0.06\% |
| Mendocino | 4,636,654 | $(299,349)$ | - | 6,083 | 30,068 | 5,619 | 4,379,075 | 0.30\% |
| Merced | 9,195,644 | - | $(250,840)$ | 16,595 | 55,652 | 16,318 | 9,033,368 | 0.63\% |
| Modoc | 947,828 | (789) | $(63,471)$ | 662 | 6,134 | 304 | 890,668 | 0.06\% |
| Mono | 1,251,020 | $(24,156)$ | $(8,201)$ | 914 | 12,446 | 324 | 1,232,348 | 0.09\% |
| Monterey | 13,973,323 | $(870,000)$ | $(333,656)$ | 28,573 | 183,464 | 27,420 | 13,009,124 | 0.90\% |
| Napa | 6,628,648 | $(295,552)$ | $(287,148)$ | 9,042 | 30,550 | 3,438 | 6,088,978 | 0.42\% |
| Nevada | 4,478,125 | $(433,431)$ | $(292,045)$ | 6,730 | 49,946 | 7,900 | 3,817,225 | 0.26\% |
| Orange | 127,622,123 | $(2,733,776)$ | $(3,329,845)$ | 206,630 | 923,882 | 294,477 | 122,983,490 | 8.54\% |
| Placer | 11,920,337 | - | $(933,901)$ | 21,287 | 77,378 | 29,042 | 11,114,142 | 0.77\% |
| Plumas | 1,429,991 | - | - | 1,442 | 9,206 | 398 | 1,441,037 | 0.10\% |
| Riverside | 61,221,794 | $(1,931,520)$ | $(2,882,751)$ | 131,371 | 532,226 | 69,297 | 57,140,417 | 3.97\% |
| Sacramento | 64,637,712 | (1,864,424) | $(1,824,452)$ | 93,189 | 340,254 | 185,701 | 61,567,979 | 4.27\% |
| San Benito | 2,476,122 | - | - | 3,876 | 14,700 | 1,327 | 2,496,024 | 0.17\% |

Historical Trial Court Funding Subject to Reallocation Using WAFM

|  | 2013-14 Beginning Base (TCTF and GF) | Security Base (FY 10-11) Adjustment | $\begin{gathered} \text { SJO } \\ \text { Adjustment }{ }^{1} \end{gathered}$ | Self-Help | $\begin{gathered} \text { Replacement of } \\ 2 \% \\ \text { Automation } \end{gathered}$ | Automated Recordkeeping and Micrographics Distribution (11-12) | Total | \% of Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | TCTF and GF (45.10) | TCTF (45.10) | TCTF (45.10) | TCTF (45.10) | TCTF (45.10) | TCTF (45.10) |  |  |
| Court | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| San Bernardino | 66,832,972 | $(3,269,446)$ | (2,986,710) | 133,960 | 435,474 | 188,896 | 61,335,147 | 4.26\% |
| San Diego | 126,960,874 | $(657,192)$ | $(4,757,300)$ | 206,259 | 718,422 | 265,582 | 122,736,644 | 8.52\% |
| San Francisco | 55,153,072 | - | $(2,582,976)$ | 53,715 | 272,528 | 91,818 | 52,988,157 | 3.68\% |
| San Joaquin | 24,406,106 | $(287,747)$ | $(779,859)$ | 44,944 | 201,698 | 54,178 | 23,639,320 | 1.64\% |
| San Luis Obispo | 11,353,662 | $(241,676)$ | $(673,831)$ | 17,704 | 130,020 | 19,062 | 10,604,942 | 0.74\% |
| San Mateo | 31,297,630 | $(443,042)$ | $(1,479,478)$ | 48,700 | 329,518 | 16,733 | 29,770,060 | 2.07\% |
| Santa Barbara | 19,657,482 | $(1,055,112)$ | $(457,408)$ | 28,356 | 162,858 | 29,149 | 18,365,326 | 1.27\% |
| Santa Clara | 75,407,649 | - | $(1,833,360)$ | 119,260 | 452,782 | 121,126 | 74,267,457 | 5.16\% |
| Santa Cruz | 10,187,917 | - | $(424,668)$ | 17,644 | 113,210 | 16,283 | 9,910,386 | 0.69\% |
| Shasta | 10,063,775 | (2,389,668) | $(326,131)$ | 12,206 | 44,394 | 4,517 | 7,409,092 | 0.51\% |
| Sierra | 540,106 | - | - | 235 | 1,830 | 44 | 542,215 | 0.04\% |
| Siskiyou | 3,317,504 | - | $(103,923)$ | 3,104 | 37,000 | 943 | 3,254,627 | 0.23\% |
| Solano | 16,489,461 | $(435,400)$ | $(535,433)$ | 28,439 | 119,364 | 37,755 | 15,704,185 | 1.09\% |
| Sonoma | 19,577,796 | $(440,000)$ | $(479,410)$ | 32,278 | 119,004 | 36,215 | 18,845,883 | 1.31\% |
| Stanislaus | 15,772,316 | $(9,326)$ | $(427,578)$ | 34,594 | 88,718 | 39,080 | 15,497,803 | 1.08\% |
| Sutter | 3,604,262 | $(247,071)$ | - | 6,150 | 37,382 | 2,322 | 3,403,045 | 0.24\% |
| Tehama | 2,879,149 | - | $(5,472)$ | 4,138 | 28,100 | 1,382 | 2,907,298 | 0.20\% |
| Trinity | 1,431,739 | $(450,608)$ | - | 943 | 7,648 | 636 | 990,359 | 0.07\% |
| Tulare | 12,726,148 | $(15,576)$ | $(679,043)$ | 28,289 | 204,932 | 28,262 | 12,293,011 | 0.85\% |
| Tuolumne | 2,819,593 | $(220,516)$ | $(30,986)$ | 3,916 | 16,642 | 1,152 | 2,589,803 | 0.18\% |
| Ventura | 26,332,175 | $(1,559,157)$ | $(731,699)$ | 54,971 | 205,304 | 65,233 | 24,366,827 | 1.69\% |
| Yolo | 7,474,390 | $(582,889)$ | $(461,445)$ | 12,802 | 48,556 | 12,735 | 6,504,149 | 0.45\% |
| Yuba | 3,335,312 | $(132,569)$ | - | 4,696 | 15,788 | 1,849 | 3,225,076 | 0.22\% |
| Total | 1,529,578,150 | $(40,983,089)$ | (64,674,907) | 2,500,000 | 10,907,494 | 3,160,318 | 1,440,487,965 | 100.00\% |

1. Does not include compensation for AB 1058 commissioners.

Summary of Changes from 2016-2017 to 2017-2018 Total WAFM Funding Need

|  | Change in Variable |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| Description |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2016-17 Amount | 2017-18 Amount | Change in Amount | \% Change |  |
|  |  | A |  | C |  |


|  | Total WAFMRelated Allocation for 2017-18 (Prior to implementing funding floor) | Floor Funding | Floor Allocation Adjustment | Share of reduction | Reduction Allocation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Court | A | B | C | D | E |
| Alameda | 71,190,880 | N/A | - | 4.09\% | $(13,184)$ |
| Alpine | 738,872 | 750,000 | 11,128 | 0.00\% | - |
| Amador | 2,241,748 | N/A | - | 0.13\% | (415) |
| Butte | 9,431,052 | N/A | - | 0.54\% | $(1,747)$ |
| Calaveras | 2,086,403 | N/A | - | 0.12\% | (386) |
| Colusa | 1,924,695 | N/A | - | 0.11\% | (356) |
| Contra Costa | 39,033,643 | N/A | - | 2.25\% | $(7,228)$ |
| Del Norte | 2,526,718 | N/A | - | 0.15\% | (468) |
| El Dorado | 6,491,374 | N/A | - | 0.37\% | $(1,202)$ |
| Fresno | 46,825,838 | N/A | - | 2.69\% | $(8,672)$ |
| Glenn | 1,914,224 | N/A | - | 0.11\% | (354) |
| Humboldt | 6,067,418 | N/A | - | 0.35\% | $(1,124)$ |
| Imperial | 8,097,855 | N/A | - | 0.47\% | $(1,500)$ |
| Inyo | 1,887,827 | N/A | - | 0.11\% | (350) |
| Kern | 44,870,145 | N/A | - | 2.58\% | $(8,309)$ |
| Kings | 6,457,573 | N/A | - | 0.37\% | $(1,196)$ |
| Lake | 3,192,505 | N/A | - | 0.18\% | (591) |
| Lassen | 1,780,689 | 1,874,999 | 94,310 | 0.00\% | - |
| Los Angeles | 501,014,246 | N/A | - | 28.82\% | $(92,781)$ |
| Madera | 7,218,959 | N/A | - | 0.42\% | $(1,337)$ |
| Marin | 11,829,411 | N/A | - | 0.68\% | $(2,191)$ |
| Mariposa | 1,167,970 | 1,243,717 | 75,747 | 0.00\% | - |
| Mendocino | 5,178,758 | N/A | - | 0.30\% | (959) |
| Merced | 11,122,840 | N/A | - | 0.64\% | $(2,060)$ |
| Modoc | 871,813 | 875,000 | 3,187 | 0.00\% | - |
| Mono | 1,746,186 | 1,870,411 | 124,225 | 0.00\% |  |
| Monterey | 16,675,449 | N/A | - | 0.96\% | $(3,088)$ |
| Napa | 6,812,496 | N/A | - | 0.39\% | $(1,262)$ |
| Nevada | 4,477,457 | N/A | - | 0.26\% | (829) |
| Orange | 130,620,384 | N/A | - | 7.51\% | $(24,189)$ |
| Placer | 15,278,212 | N/A | - | 0.88\% | $(2,829)$ |
| Plumas | 1,098,490 | N/A | - | 0.06\% | (203) |
| Riverside | 83,837,862 | N/A | - | 4.82\% | $(15,526)$ |
| Sacramento | 72,867,798 | N/A | - | 4.19\% | $(13,494)$ |
| San Benito | 2,490,893 | N/A | - | 0.14\% | (461) |
| San Bernardino | 90,590,969 | N/A | - | 5.21\% | $(16,776)$ |
| San Diego | 131,181,973 | N/A | - | 7.55\% | $(24,293)$ |
| San Francisco | 51,704,684 | N/A | - | 2.97\% | $(9,575)$ |
| San Joaquin | 33,430,503 | N/A | - | 1.92\% | $(6,191)$ |
| San Luis Obispo | 12,321,119 | N/A | - | 0.71\% | $(2,282)$ |
| San Mateo | 32,430,165 | N/A | - | 1.87\% | $(6,006)$ |
| Santa Barbara | 20,361,424 | N/A | - | 1.17\% | $(3,771)$ |
| Santa Clara | 74,849,853 | N/A | - | 4.31\% | $(13,861)$ |
| Santa Cruz | 11,700,064 | N/A | - | 0.67\% | $(2,167)$ |
| Shasta | 10,267,709 | N/A | - | 0.59\% | $(1,901)$ |
| Sierra | 736,647 | 750,000 | 13,353 | 0.00\% | - |
| Siskiyou | 2,796,466 | N/A | - | 0.16\% | (518) |
| Solano | 19,959,592 | N/A | - | 1.15\% | $(3,696)$ |
| Sonoma | 22,518,261 | N/A | - | 1.30\% | $(4,170)$ |
| Stanislaus | 21,196,457 | N/A | - | 1.22\% | $(3,925)$ |
| Sutter | 4,843,196 | N/A | - | 0.28\% | (897) |
| Tehama | 3,861,352 | N/A | - | 0.22\% | (715) |
| Trinity | 1,383,914 | N/A | - | 0.08\% | (256) |
| Tulare | 18,418,388 | N/A | - | 1.06\% | $(3,411)$ |
| Tuolumne | 3,047,086 | N/A | - | 0.18\% | (564) |
| Ventura | 33,422,006 | N/A | - | 1.92\% | $(6,189)$ |
| Yolo | 9,013,254 | N/A | - | 0.52\% | $(1,669)$ |
| Yuba | 4,451,056 | N/A | - | 0.26\% | (824) |
| Total | 1,745,554,822 | 7,364,127 | 321,949 | 100.00\% | $(321,949)$ |


| Cluster | Court |  |  | Current adjusted allocation if no floor applied | Determine Adjusted Allocation if Floor Applies |  |  |  | Funding Floor (for the graduated floor, the lower of the floor or prioryear allocation plus $\mathbf{1 0 \%}$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | WAFM <br> Calculated Need | $\begin{gathered} \% \text { of } \\ \text { Statewide } \\ \text { Need } \end{gathered}$ |  | Graduated Funding Floor That Would Apply | Apply Floor? Yes, if $\mathbf{F}>\mathbf{E}$ | Prior Year Plus 10\% | Adjusted allocation if no floor applied |  |
| A | B | c | D | E | F | F1 | F2 | F3 | G |
| 4 | Alameda | 89,581,687 | 3.83\% | 71,190,880 | 1,874,999 | N | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 1 | Alpine | 417,426 | 0.02\% | 738,872 | 750,000 | Y | 825,000 | 738,872 | 750,000 |
| 1 | Amador | 2,812,276 | 0.12\% | 2,241,748 | 1,874,999 | N | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 2 | Butte | 12,850,408 | 0.55\% | 9,431,052 | 1,874,999 | N | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 1 | Calaveras | 2,551,223 | 0.11\% | 2,086,403 | 1,874,999 | N | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 1 | Colusa | 1,933,761 | 0.08\% | 1,924,695 | 1,874,999 | N | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 3 | Contra Costa | 53,583,695 | 2.29\% | 39,033,643 | 1,874,999 | N | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 1 | Del Norte | 2,915,461 | 0.12\% | 2,526,718 | 1,874,999 | N | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 2 | El Dorado | 8,609,759 | 0.37\% | 6,491,374 | 1,874,999 | N | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 3 | Fresno | 65,466,991 | 2.80\% | 46,825,838 | 1,874,999 | N | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 1 | Glenn | 2,013,492 | 0.09\% | 1,914,224 | 1,874,999 | N | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 2 | Humboldt | 8,006,222 | 0.34\% | 6,067,418 | 1,874,999 | N | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 2 | Imperial | 11,272,937 | 0.48\% | 8,097,855 | 1,874,999 | N | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 1 | Inyo | 2,018,495 | 0.09\% | 1,887,827 | 1,874,999 | N | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 3 | Kern | 68,535,077 | 2.93\% | 44,870,145 | 1,874,999 | N | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 2 | Kings | 9,305,603 | 0.40\% | 6,457,573 | 1,874,999 | N | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 2 | Lake | 4,090,030 | 0.18\% | 3,192,505 | 1,874,999 | N | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 1 | Lassen | 2,163,384 | 0.09\% | 1,780,689 | 1,874,999 | Y | 2,176,054 | 1,780,689 | 1,874,999 |
| 4 | Los Angeles | 691,309,628 | 29.58\% | 501,014,246 | 1,874,999 | N | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 2 | Madera | 9,424,646 | 0.40\% | 7,218,959 | 1,874,999 | N | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 2 | Marin | 13,179,298 | 0.56\% | 11,829,411 | 1,874,999 | N | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 1 | Mariposa | 1,262,034 | 0.05\% | 1,167,970 | 1,250,000 | Y | 1,243,717 | 1,167,970 | 1,243,717 |
| 2 | Mendocino | 6,648,062 | 0.28\% | 5,178,758 | 1,874,999 | N | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 2 | Merced | 15,670,457 | 0.67\% | 11,122,840 | 1,874,999 | N | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 1 | Modoc | 875,348 | 0.04\% | 871,813 | 875,000 | Y | 977,167 | 871,813 | 875,000 |
| 1 | Mono | 1,820,837 | 0.08\% | 1,746,186 | 1,874,999 | Y | 1,870,411 | 1,746,186 | 1,870,411 |
| 3 | Monterey | 22,729,314 | 0.97\% | 16,675,449 | 1,874,999 | N | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 2 | Napa | 8,984,209 | 0.38\% | 6,812,496 | 1,874,999 | N | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 2 | Nevada | 5,617,914 | 0.24\% | 4,477,457 | 1,874,999 | N | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 4 | Orange | 165,207,428 | 7.07\% | 130,620,384 | 1,874,999 | N | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 2 | Placer | 21,346,504 | 0.91\% | 15,278,212 | 1,874,999 | N | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 1 | Plumas | 1,132,462 | 0.05\% | 1,098,490 | 875,000 | N | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 4 | Riverside | 119,464,328 | 5.11\% | 83,837,862 | 1,874,999 | N | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 4 | Sacramento | 100,410,962 | 4.30\% | 72,867,798 | 1,874,999 | N | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 1 | San Benito | 3,094,583 | 0.13\% | 2,490,893 | 1,874,999 | N | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 4 | San Bernardino | 127,880,069 | 5.47\% | 90,590,969 | 1,874,999 | N | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 4 | San Diego | 162,576,377 | 6.96\% | 131,181,973 | 1,874,999 | N | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 4 | San Francisco | 58,924,598 | 2.52\% | 51,704,684 | 1,874,999 | N | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 3 | San Joaquin | 47,053,408 | 2.01\% | 33,430,503 | 1,874,999 | N | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 2 | San Luis Obispo | 16,171,695 | 0.69\% | 12,321,119 | 1,874,999 | N | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 3 | San Mateo | 43,148,650 | 1.85\% | 32,430,165 | 1,874,999 | N | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 3 | Santa Barbara | 27,148,194 | 1.16\% | 20,361,424 | 1,874,999 | N | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 4 | Santa Clara | 88,864,755 | 3.80\% | 74,849,853 | 1,874,999 | N | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 2 | Santa Cruz | 15,560,215 | 0.67\% | 11,700,064 | 1,874,999 | N | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 2 | Shasta | 13,664,649 | 0.58\% | 10,267,709 | 1,874,999 | N | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 1 | Sierra | 367,347 | 0.02\% | 736,647 | 750,000 | Y | 825,000 | 736,647 | 750,000 |
| 2 | Siskiyou | 2,989,086 | 0.13\% | 2,796,466 | 1,874,999 | N | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 3 | Solano | 26,544,975 | 1.14\% | 19,959,592 | 1,874,999 | N | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 3 | Sonoma | 28,743,789 | 1.23\% | 22,518,261 | 1,874,999 | N | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 3 | Stanislaus | 31,075,849 | 1.33\% | 21,196,457 | 1,874,999 | N | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 2 | Sutter | 6,759,126 | 0.29\% | 4,843,196 | 1,874,999 | N | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 2 | Tehama | 5,339,437 | 0.23\% | 3,861,352 | 1,874,999 | N | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 1 | Trinity | 1,531,014 | 0.07\% | 1,383,914 | 1,250,000 | N | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 3 | Tulare | 26,002,799 | 1.11\% | 18,418,388 | 1,874,999 | N | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 2 | Tuolumne | 3,954,439 | 0.17\% | 3,047,086 | 1,874,999 | N | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 3 | Ventura | 47,072,655 | 2.01\% | 33,422,006 | 1,874,999 | N | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 2 | Yolo | 12,789,887 | 0.55\% | 9,013,254 | 1,874,999 | N | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 2 | Yuba | 6,228,690 | 0.27\% | 4,451,056 | 1,874,999 | N | N/A | N/A | N/A |
|  | Statewide | 2,336,697,645 | 100.00\% | 1,745,554,822 |  |  |  |  | 7,364,127 |

## Estimated FY 2017-2018 WAFM-Related Base Allocation

|  | 2016-17 Ending TCTF and GF Base | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TCTF Reduction } \\ & \text { for SJO } \\ & \text { Conversions } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { Security Base (FY } \\ \text { 10-11) } \\ \text { Adjustment } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | SJO <br> Adjustment ${ }^{1}$ | Self-Help | Replacement of 2\% Automation | Automated Recordkeeping and Micrographics Distribution (15-16) | Estimated 2016- <br> 17 Benefits Funding (FullYear) | WAFM 17-18 <br> Adjustment | Total 2017-18 WAFM-Related Allocation (Prior to implementing funding floor) | WAFM 17-18 Funding Floor Adjustment | Total 2017-18 WAFM-Related Allocation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Court | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H | I | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{J} \\ (\operatorname{Sum} \mathrm{~A}: \mathbf{I}) \end{gathered}$ | K | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{L} \\ (\mathrm{Sum} \mathrm{~J}: \mathrm{K}) \end{gathered}$ |
| Alameda | 75,837,756 | - | $(3,212,246)$ | $(2,059,535)$ | 101,575 | 424,792 | 97,474 | $(117,415)$ | 118,477 | 71,190,880 | $(13,184)$ | 71,177,696 |
| Alpine | 747,863 | - | - | - | 83 | 2,034 | 14 | 11,842 | $(22,964)$ | 738,872 | 11,128 | 750,000 |
| Amador | 2,282,693 | - | - | - | 2,565 | 11,006 | 570 | 21,663 | $(76,749)$ | 2,241,748 | (415) | 2,241,333 |
| Butte | 10,114,840 | - | $(472,190)$ | $(324,964)$ | 14,608 | 59,332 | 11,191 | 65,290 | $(37,056)$ | 9,431,052 | $(1,747)$ | 9,429,305 |
| Calaveras | 2,167,577 | - | - | - | 3,074 | 18,652 | 776 | 20,469 | $(124,146)$ | 2,086,403 | (386) | 2,086,017 |
| Colusa | 1,859,554 | - | - | - | 1,447 | 13,708 | 288 | 21,699 | 28,000 | 1,924,695 | (356) | 1,924,339 |
| Contra Costa | 38,889,572 | - | - | $(764,779)$ | 69,231 | 218,186 | 60,251 | $(627,559)$ | 1,188,741 | 39,033,643 | $(7,228)$ | 39,026,415 |
| Del Norte | 2,633,385 | - | - | - | 1,964 | 11,208 | 373 | 39,609 | $(159,821)$ | 2,526,718 | (468) | 2,526,250 |
| El Dorado | 6,642,102 | - | - | $(153,227)$ | 11,851 | 54,374 | 3,399 | 38,696 | $(105,821)$ | 6,491,374 | $(1,202)$ | 6,490,171 |
| Fresno | 48,290,025 | - | - | $(954,187)$ | 60,497 | 181,080 | 57,496 | $(240,622)$ | $(568,451)$ | 46,825,838 | $(8,672)$ | 46,817,167 |
| Glenn | 1,863,179 | - | $(9,885)$ | - | 1,927 | 19,264 | 454 | 48,933 | $(9,648)$ | 1,914,224 | (354) | 1,913,869 |
| Humboldt | 6,248,744 | - | $(169,612)$ | $(151,920)$ | 8,913 | 48,160 | 6,936 | 92,631 | $(16,433)$ | 6,067,418 | $(1,124)$ | 6,066,295 |
| Imperial | 8,619,427 | - | $(425,020)$ | $(186,361)$ | 11,204 | 67,678 | 7,411 | $(31,042)$ | 34,558 | 8,097,855 | $(1,500)$ | 8,096,356 |
| Inyo | 2,037,844 | - | $(188,674)$ | - | 1,245 | 30,402 | 221 | 21,672 | (14,883) | 1,887,827 | (350) | 1,887,477 |
| Kern | 46,077,544 | - | $(66,275)$ | $(1,475,361)$ | 52,450 | 277,328 | 52,832 | $(866,725)$ | 818,353 | 44,870,145 | $(8,309)$ | 44,861,835 |
| Kings | 6,773,927 | - | $(426,475)$ | $(263,766)$ | 9,935 | 57,026 | 7,682 | 105,069 | 194,175 | 6,457,573 | $(1,196)$ | 6,456,377 |
| Lake | 3,325,218 | - | $(198,615)$ | $(42,227)$ | 4,311 | 20,328 | 1,257 | 48,157 | 34,075 | 3,192,505 | (591) | 3,191,914 |
| Lassen | 2,252,270 | - | $(297,009)$ | - | 2,384 | 20,156 | 413 | 19,933 | $(217,457)$ | 1,780,689 | 94,310 | 1,874,999 |
| Los Angeles | 531,549,720 | - | $(14,448,847)$ | $(21,541,145)$ | 689,065 | 3,144,530 | 822,345 | $(220,592)$ | 1,019,170 | 501,014,246 | $(92,781)$ | 500,921,465 |
| Madera | 7,708,366 | - | $(385,525)$ | - | 9,711 | 52,502 | 2,340 | 32,254 | $(200,688)$ | 7,218,959 | $(1,337)$ | 7,217,623 |
| Marin | 12,036,922 | - | $(9,729)$ | $(62,580)$ | 17,038 | 114,766 | 15,205 | 158,933 | $(441,145)$ | 11,829,411 | $(2,191)$ | 11,827,220 |
| Mariposa | 1,125,254 | - | - | - | 1,225 | 3,904 | 251 | 30,528 | 6,808 | 1,167,970 | 75,747 | 1,243,717 |
| Mendocino | 5,494,484 | - | $(302,582)$ | $(17,671)$ | 6,083 | 30,068 | 4,699 | $(10,851)$ | $(25,473)$ | 5,178,758 | (959) | 5,177,799 |
| Merced | 11,970,524 | - | - | $(391,025)$ | 16,595 | 55,652 | 11,623 | $(245,209)$ | $(295,321)$ | 11,122,840 | $(2,060)$ | 11,120,780 |
| Modoc | 882,073 | - | (798) | - | 662 | 6,134 | 271 | 4,475 | $(21,004)$ | 871,813 | 3,187 | 875,000 |
| Mono | 1,711,215 | - | $(24,417)$ | - | 914 | 12,446 | 198 | 66,471 | $(20,641)$ | 1,746,186 | 124,225 | 1,870,411 |
| Monterey | 17,441,721 | - | $(879,396)$ | $(354,276)$ | 28,573 | 183,464 | 19,246 | 42,357 | 193,761 | 16,675,449 | $(3,088)$ | 16,672,361 |
| Napa | 7,474,856 | - | $(298,744)$ | $(381,426)$ | 9,042 | 30,550 | 2,330 | 26,037 | $(50,148)$ | 6,812,496 | $(1,262)$ | 6,811,234 |
| Nevada | 4,929,174 | - | $(438,112)$ | $(329,444)$ | 6,730 | 49,946 | 4,695 | 119,536 | 134,931 | 4,477,457 | (829) | 4,476,628 |
| Orange | 140,624,898 | - | $(2,763,301)$ | $(4,225,735)$ | 206,630 | 923,882 | 216,389 | $(1,248,482)$ | $(3,113,896)$ | 130,620,384 | $(24,189)$ | 130,596,195 |
| Placer | 15,552,391 | - | - | $(957,821)$ | 21,287 | 77,378 | 20,761 | 199,413 | 364,804 | 15,278,212 | $(2,829)$ | 15,275,383 |
| Plumas | 1,238,999 | - | - | - | 1,442 | 9,206 | 312 | 8,833 | $(160,301)$ | 1,098,490 | (203) | 1,098,287 |
| Riverside | 83,672,042 | - | $(1,952,380)$ | (2,505,778) | 131,371 | 532,226 | 49,388 | 1,370,087 | 2,540,906 | 83,837,862 | $(15,526)$ | 83,822,337 |
| Sacramento | 74,858,578 | - | $(1,884,560)$ | (1,854,416) | 93,189 | 340,254 | 300,538 | $(19,335)$ | 1,033,549 | 72,867,798 | $(13,494)$ | 72,854,304 |
| San Benito | 2,357,689 | - | - | - | 3,876 | 14,700 | 920 | 8,326 | 105,382 | 2,490,893 | (461) | 2,490,431 |
| San Bernardino | 94,053,222 | - | $(3,304,756)$ | (3,157,212) | 133,960 | 435,474 | 137,726 | 608,729 | 1,683,826 | 90,590,969 | $(16,776)$ | 90,574,193 |
| San Diego | 135,266,631 | $(411,224)$ | $(664,290)$ | (4,243,895) | 206,259 | 718,422 | 200,649 | 1,879,544 | $(1,770,123)$ | 131,181,973 | $(24,293)$ | 131,157,679 |
| San Francisco | 56,752,138 | - | - | $(491,934)$ | 53,715 | 272,528 | 65,924 | 314,519 | $(5,262,207)$ | 51,704,684 | $(9,575)$ | 51,695,109 |
| San Joaquin | 32,998,593 | - | $(290,855)$ | $(836,081)$ | 44,944 | 201,698 | 42,678 | 346,128 | 923,398 | 33,430,503 | $(6,191)$ | 33,424,312 |
| San Luis Obispo | 13,254,319 | $(205,612)$ | $(244,286)$ | $(418,156)$ | 17,704 | 130,020 | 13,852 | 282,758 | $(509,481)$ | 12,321,119 | $(2,282)$ | 12,318,837 |


|  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { 2016-17 Ending TCTF } \\ \text { and GF Base } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TCTF Reduction } \\ & \text { for SJO } \\ & \text { Conversions } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} \hline \text { Security Base (FY } \\ \text { 10-11) } \\ \text { Adjustment } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { SJO } \\ \text { Adjustment }^{1} \end{gathered}$ | Self-Help | Replacement of 2\% Automation | Automated Recordkeeping and Micrographics Distribution (15-16) | Estimated 201617 Benefits Funding (FullYear) | WAFM 17-18 <br> Adjustment | Total 2017-18 WAFM-Related Allocation (Prior to implementing funding floor) | WAFM 17-18 Funding Floor Adjustment | Total 2017-18 WAFM-Related Allocation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Court | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H | I | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{J} \\ \text { (Sum A:I) } \end{gathered}$ | K | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{L} \\ (\mathrm{Sum} \mathrm{~J}: \mathrm{K}) \end{gathered}$ |
| San Mateo | 34,370,308 | - | $(447,827)$ | (1,561,631) | 48,700 | 329,518 | 12,205 | $(536,099)$ | 214,992 | 32,430,165 | $(6,006)$ | 32,424,159 |
| Santa Barbara | 21,536,091 | - | $(1,066,507)$ | $(540,097)$ | 28,356 | 162,858 | 22,814 | $(7,453)$ | 225,361 | 20,361,424 | $(3,771)$ | 20,357,653 |
| Santa Clara | 75,710,803 | - | - | $(739,281)$ | 119,260 | 452,782 | 86,883 | 1,063,634 | $(1,844,229)$ | 74,849,853 | $(13,861)$ | 74,835,992 |
| Santa Cruz | 11,656,512 | - | - | $(281,243)$ | 17,644 | 113,210 | 11,426 | 130,494 | 52,020 | 11,700,064 | $(2,167)$ | 11,697,897 |
| Shasta | 12,716,411 | - | $(2,662,303)$ | $(303,623)$ | 12,206 | 44,394 | 3,337 | 125,540 | 331,747 | 10,267,709 | $(1,901)$ | 10,265,807 |
| Sierra | 747,892 | - | - | - | 235 | 1,830 | 36 | 9,859 | $(23,205)$ | 736,647 | 13,353 | 750,000 |
| Siskiyou | 3,018,786 | - | - | $(164,928)$ | 3,104 | 37,000 | 852 | 36,257 | $(134,605)$ | 2,796,466 | (518) | 2,795,948 |
| Solano | 20,251,598 | - | $(440,102)$ | $(633,026)$ | 28,439 | 119,364 | 28,032 | 274,323 | 330,966 | 19,959,592 | $(3,696)$ | 19,955,896 |
| Sonoma | 23,077,929 | - | $(444,752)$ | $(543,792)$ | 32,278 | 119,004 | 27,592 | 417,021 | $(167,019)$ | 22,518,261 | $(4,170)$ | 22,514,091 |
| Stanislaus | 20,973,350 | - | $(9,427)$ | $(473,697)$ | 34,594 | 88,718 | 29,055 | 221,251 | 332,613 | 21,196,457 | $(3,925)$ | 21,192,531 |
| Sutter | 4,849,495 | - | $(249,739)$ | - | 6,150 | 37,382 | 1,711 | 118,527 | 79,670 | 4,843,196 | (897) | 4,842,299 |
| Tehama | 3,709,881 | - | - | - | 4,138 | 28,100 | 1,168 | 16,974 | 101,091 | 3,861,352 | (715) | 3,860,637 |
| Trinity | 1,839,749 | - | $(520,479)$ | - | 943 | 7,648 | 660 | 21,055 | 34,338 | 1,383,914 | (256) | 1,383,657 |
| Tulare | 17,197,347 | - | $(15,744)$ | $(462,276)$ | 28,289 | 204,932 | 23,051 | 264,572 | 1,178,217 | 18,418,388 | $(3,411)$ | 18,414,977 |
| Tuolumne | 3,155,788 | - | $(222,898)$ | $(98,238)$ | 3,916 | 16,642 | 982 | 51,126 | 139,768 | 3,047,086 | (564) | 3,046,522 |
| Ventura | 33,968,245 | - | $(1,575,996)$ | $(624,561)$ | 54,971 | 205,304 | 49,729 | 79,260 | 1,265,056 | 33,422,006 | $(6,189)$ | 33,415,817 |
| Yolo | 9,193,431 | - | $(589,184)$ | $(286,928)$ | 12,802 | 48,556 | 8,526 | 38,378 | 587,674 | 9,013,254 | $(1,669)$ | 9,011,585 |
| Yuba | 4,430,455 | - | $(134,001)$ | - | 4,696 | 15,788 | 1,354 | 36,275 | 96,488 | 4,451,056 | (824) | 4,450,232 |
| Total | 1,822,021,399 | $(616,836)$ | $(41,737,537)$ | (54,858,243) | 2,500,000 | 10,907,494 | 2,550,795 | 4,787,751 | (0) | 1,745,554,822 | (0) | 1,745,554,822 |

[^2]Allocation of $\$ 9.223$ Million of Criminal Justice Realignment Funding Using Percentage of Petitions to Revoke/Modify Post Release Community Supervision and Parole
(Janury 1, 2016-December 31, 2016)

| Court | Total Petitions (PRCS + Parole) | Percent of Statewide Total (PRCS+Parole) | Allocation Amount (PRCS+ Parole) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Column A | Column B | Column C |
| Alameda | 1,232 | 2.74\% | \$252,661 |
| Alpine ${ }^{1,2}$ | 1 | 0.00\% | \$273 |
| Amador | 28 | 0.06\% | \$5,742 |
| Butte | 423 | 0.94\% | \$86,750 |
| Calaveras | 8 | 0.02\% | \$1,641 |
| Colusa | 18 | 0.04\% | \$3,691 |
| Contra Costa | 567 | 1.26\% | \$116,281 |
| Del Norte | 42 | 0.09\% | \$8,613 |
| El Dorado | 216 | 0.48\% | \$44,298 |
| Fresno | 1,973 | 4.39\% | \$404,626 |
| Glenn | 11 | 0.02\% | \$2,256 |
| Humboldt | 249 | 0.55\% | \$51,065 |
| Imperial | 201 | 0.45\% | \$41,221 |
| Inyo | 29 | 0.06\% | \$5,947 |
| Kern | 1,634 | 3.63\% | \$335,103 |
| Kings | 299 | 0.66\% | \$61,319 |
| Lake | 61 | 0.14\% | \$12,510 |
| Lassen | 33 | 0.07\% | \$6,768 |
| Los Angeles | 15,255 | 33.92\% | \$3,128,520 |
| Madera | 214 | 0.48\% | \$43,887 |
| Marin | 85 | 0.19\% | \$17,432 |
| Mariposa | 22 | 0.05\% | \$4,512 |
| Mendocino | 194 | 0.43\% | \$39,786 |
| Merced | 513 | 1.14\% | \$105,207 |
| Modoc | 12 | 0.03\% | \$2,461 |
| Mono | 13 | 0.03\% | \$2,666 |
| Monterey | 172 | 0.38\% | \$35,274 |
| Napa | 76 | 0.17\% | \$15,586 |
| Nevada | 12 | 0.03\% | \$2,461 |
| Orange | 1,875 | 4.17\% | \$384,528 |
| Placer | 217 | 0.48\% | \$44,503 |
| Plumas ${ }^{1,2}$ | 6 | 0.01\% | \$1,230 |
| Riverside | 4,462 | 9.92\% | \$915,074 |
| Sacramento | 700 | 1.56\% | \$143,557 |
| San Benito | 43 | 0.10\% | \$8,819 |
| San Bernardino | 4,465 | 9.93\% | \$915,690 |
| San Diego | 2,418 | 5.38\% | \$495,887 |
| San Francisco | 221 | 0.49\% | \$45,323 |
| San Joaquin | 678 | 1.51\% | \$139,045 |
| San Luis Obispo | 345 | 0.77\% | \$70,753 |
| San Mateo | 208 | 0.46\% | \$42,657 |
| Santa Barbara | 362 | 0.80\% | \$74,240 |
| Santa Clara | 694 | 1.54\% | \$142,327 |
| Santa Cruz | 39 | 0.09\% | \$7,998 |
| Shasta | 358 | 0.80\% | \$73,419 |
| Sierra | 1 | 0.00\% | \$205 |
| Siskiyou | 45 | 0.10\% | \$9,229 |
| Solano | 598 | 1.33\% | \$122,639 |
| Sonoma | 561 | 1.25\% | \$115,051 |
| Stanislaus | 310 | 0.69\% | \$63,575 |
| Sutter ${ }^{1,2}$ | 93 | 0.21\% | \$19,141 |
| Tehama ${ }^{1,2}$ | 60 | 0.13\% | \$12,305 |
| Trinity ${ }^{1}$ | 12 | 0.03\% | \$2,393 |
| Tulare | 431 | 0.96\% | \$88,390 |
| Tuolumne | 34 | 0.08\% | \$6,973 |
| Ventura | 1,712 | 3.81\% | \$351,100 |
| Yolo | 253 | 0.56\% | \$51,886 |
| Yuba | 178 | 0.40\% | \$36,505 |
| Total (statewide) | 44,972 | 100\% | \$9,223,000 |
| ${ }^{1}$ proxy used for missing PRCS petitions (average of populated quarters) |  |  | \$9,223,000 |
| ${ }^{2}$ proxy used for missing Parole petitions (average of populated quarters) |  |  |  |

Preliminary One-Time Allocation Reduction for Fund Balance above the 1\% Cap

| Court | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cap } \\ & \text { Col. A } \end{aligned}$ | FY 2016-17 Fund Balance <br> Col. B | Encumbrances <br> Col. C | Restricted Col. D | Prepayments <br> Col. E | Balance of Approved 20162017 TCTF Funds Held on Behalf <br> Col. F | Fund Balance Subject to Cap Col. F $(B-C-D-E-F)$ | Current Year Reduction Col. G ( F - A) | Prior Year Disencumbrance <br> Col. H | Total Preliminary Reduction Col. I ( $\mathrm{G}+\mathrm{H}$ ) | Approved 2017-2018 TCTF Funds Held on Behalf ${ }^{1}$ <br> Col. J | Net Reduction after Funds held on Behalf Col. K ( $1+\mathrm{J}$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ALAMEDA | 995,803 | 2,129,765 | 259,572 | 937,111 | 0 | 859,203 | 73,879 | - | $(604,310)$ | $(604,310)$ | - | $(604,310)$ |
| ALPINE | 6,080 | 148,959 | 0 | 9,947 | 8,051 | 0 | 130,961 | $(124,881)$ | - | $(124,881)$ | - | $(124,881)$ |
| AMADOR | 30,029 | 194,005 | 150,000 | 24,730 | 841 | 0 | 18,434 | - | - | - | - | 0 |
| BUTTE | 130,856 | 708,241 | 12,697 | 309,427 | 81,700 | 0 | 304,417 | $(173,561)$ | - | $(173,561)$ | - | (173,561) |
| CALAVERAS | 27,423 | 397,098 | 90,000 | 144,141 | 159,798 | 0 | 3,159 | - | - | - | - | 0 |
| COLUSA | 20,619 | 443,079 | 49,543 | 222,917 | 0 | 0 | 170,619 | $(150,000)$ | - | $(150,000)$ | 150,000 | 0 |
| CONTRA COSTA | 554,346 | 4,081,000 | 1,719,968 | 2,156,293 | 0 | 0 | 204,738 | - | $(132,189)$ | $(132,189)$ | - | $(132,189)$ |
| DEL NORTE | 33,534 | 686,140 | 40,298 | 484,632 | 0 | 0 | 161,210 | $(127,676)$ | - | $(127,676)$ | - | $(127,676)$ |
| EL DORADO | 87,287 | 27,818 | 0 | 0 | 21,147 | 0 | 6,671 | - | - | - | - | 0 |
| FRESNO | 638,518 | 2,536,925 | 16,160 | 2,100,857 | 0 | 0 | 419,908 | - | - | - | - | 0 |
| GLENN | 30,902 | 165,029 | 88,679 | 37,777 | 0 | 29,000 | 9,574 | - | - | - | - | 0 |
| HUMBOLDT | 85,161 | 289,588 | 35,014 | 145,141 | 59,000 | 0 | 50,433 | - | - | - | - | 0 |
| IMPERIAL | 124,070 | 2,477,031 | 1,280,695 | 944,482 | 204,445 | 0 | 47,409 | - | - | - | - | 0 |
| INYO | 26,654 | 460,342 | 10,558 | 427,086 | 645 | 0 | 22,053 | - | - | - | - | 0 |
| KERN | 666,644 | 6,660,794 | 1,830,750 | 2,783,995 | 628,167 | 676,688 | 741,194 | $(74,550)$ | - | $(74,550)$ | - | (74,550) |
| KINGS | 92,095 | 314,329 | 87,602 | 141,447 | 0 | 0 | 85,280 | - | - | - | - | 0 |
| LAKE | 40,448 | 259,619 | 79,581 | 142,171 | 0 | 0 | 37,867 | - | - | - | - | 0 |
| LASSEN | 28,725 | 342,235 | 146,918 | 110,608 | 0 | 75,925 | 8,784 | - | $(5,987)$ | $(5,987)$ | - | $(5,987)$ |
| LOS ANGELES | 7,335,766 | 79,594,664 | 54,702,484 | 16,298,775 | 2,822,836 | 0 | 5,770,568 | - | $(4,351,972)$ | $(4,351,972)$ | 5,200,000 | 0 |
| MADERA | 105,085 | 1,186,896 | 756,251 | 430,253 | 0 | 0 | 392 | - | - | - | - | 0 |
| MARIN | 142,953 | 1,135,854 | 356,132 | 632,209 | 10,000 | 0 | 137,513 | - | - | - | - | 0 |
| MARIPOSA | 15,849 | 28,050 | 5,945 | 19,634 | 0 | 0 | 2,471 | - | - | - | - | 0 |
| MENDOCINO | 69,786 | 450,000 | 238,189 | 152,406 | 0 | 0 | 59,405 | - | - | - | - | 0 |
| MERCED | 169,298 | 3,872,838 | 581,312 | 2,765,048 | 159,919 | 298,878 | 67,681 | - | $(107,734)$ | $(107,734)$ | - | $(107,734)$ |
| MODOC | 12,904 | 105,158 | 85,626 | 19,532 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | - | - | - | 0 |
| MONO | 22,509 | 276,491 | 251,452 | 0 | 7,116 | 0 | 17,923 | - | - | - | 75,000 | 0 |
| MONTEREY | 227,338 | 1,375,132 | 308,739 | 747,167 | 73,750 | 51,914 | 193,562 | - | - | - | - | 0 |
| NAPA | 98,140 | 1,270,576 | 57,582 | 587,192 | 0 | 212,862 | 412,940 | $(314,800)$ | $(2,487)$ | $(317,287)$ | - | $(317,287)$ |
| NEVADA | 69,184 | 214,259 | 0 | 196,498 | 0 | 0 | 17,761 | - | - | - | - | 0 |
| ORANGE | 1,954,493 | 8,954,223 | 439,962 | 5,657,364 | 1,017,977 | 642,384 | 1,196,536 | - | - | - | - | 0 |
| PLACER | 207,532 | 1,669,684 | 776,956 | 425,809 | 57,744 | 199,650 | 209,525 | $(1,993)$ | $(2,000)$ | $(3,993)$ | - | $(3,993)$ |
| PLUMAS | 15,969 | 180,224 | 125,714 | 44,841 | 5,303 | 0 | 4,367 | - | - | - | - | 0 |
| RIVERSIDE | 1,501,785 | 8,437,553 | 3,761,244 | 3,327,089 | 0 | 0 | 1,349,220 | - | - | - | - | 0 |
| SACRAMENTO | 929,787 | 7,264,794 | 2,653,422 | 1,758,160 | 220,097 | 1,639,586 | 993,529 | $(63,742)$ | $(365,020)$ | $(428,762)$ | 333,133 | $(95,629)$ |
| SAN BENITO | 30,403 | 210,629 | 107,918 | 53,921 | 20,744 | 0 | 28,046 | - | - | - | - | 0 |
| SAN BERNARDINO | 1,197,465 | 12,375,799 | 5,700,336 | 2,519,580 | 4,155,882 | 0 | 0 | - | $(697,433)$ | $(697,433)$ | 1,718,000 | 0 |
| SAN DIEGO | 1,741,906 | 16,013,344 | 2,833,347 | 12,179,540 | 764,467 | 0 | 235,990 | - | - | - | - | 0 |
| SAN FRANCISCO | 777,343 | 5,101,601 | 3,104,482 | 1,547,022 | 0 | 0 | 450,097 | - | $(385,693)$ | $(385,693)$ | 447,147 | 0 |
| SAN JOAQUIN | 413,725 | 2,714,038 | 827,358 | 1,601,112 | 170,720 | 0 | 114,848 | - | - | - | - | 0 |
| SAN LUIS OBISPO | 167,949 | 2,248,127 | 578,491 | 1,516,880 | 0 | 0 | 152,756 | - | - | - | - | 0 |
| SAN MATEO | 406,309 | 2,855,778 | 1,371,356 | 1,184,417 | 0 | 0 | 300,005 | - | - | - | - | 0 |
| SANTA BARBARA | 319,598 | 3,281,512 | 185,510 | 2,837,593 | 116,738 | 0 | 141,671 | - | - | - | - | 0 |
| SANTA CLARA | 1,009,457 | 1,504,807 | 56,471 | 1,387,853 | 0 | 0 | 60,483 | - | - | - | - | 0 |
| SANTA CRUZ | 151,695 | 835,316 | 213,889 | 514,736 | 0 | 0 | 106,691 | - | - | - | - | 0 |

Preliminary One-Time Allocation Reduction for Fund Balance above the 1\% Cap

| Court | Cap <br> Col. A | FY 2016-17 Fund Balance <br> Col. B | Encumbrances <br> Col. C | Restricted Col. D | Prepayments <br> Col. E | Balance of Approved 20162017 TCTF Funds Held on Behalf <br> Col. F | Fund Balance Subject to Cap Col. F $(B-C-D-E-F)$ | Current Year Reduction Col. G ( F - A ) | Prior Year Disencumbrance <br> Col. H | Total Preliminary Reduction Col. I $(\mathrm{G}+\mathrm{H})$ | Approved 2017-2018 TCTF Funds Held on Behalf ${ }^{1}$ <br> Col. J | Net Reduction after Funds held on Behalf Col. K (I + J) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SHASTA | 181,660 | 544,645 | 55,067 | 310,216 | 0 | 0 | 179,363 | - | - | - | - | 0 |
| SIERRA | 8,382 | 240,601 | 199,972 | 9,257 | 31,097 | 0 | 275 | - | - | - | - | 0 |
| SISKIYOU | 44,312 | 212,314 | 53,062 | 144,207 | 0 | 0 | 15,046 | - | - | - | - | 0 |
| SOLANO | 256,075 | 1,442,576 | 413,367 | 889,867 | 0 | 0 | 139,342 | - | - | - | - | 0 |
| SONOMA | 294,080 | 2,544,073 | 19,615 | 1,587,777 | 477,093 | 452,298 | 7,290 | - | - | - | - | 0 |
| STANISLAUS | 277,344 | 1,019,803 | 646,280 | 102,643 | 222,345 | 0 | 48,535 | - | - | - | - | 0 |
| SUTTER | 64,775 | 840,469 | 482,312 | 255,913 | 9,763 | 60,840 | 31,641 | - | $(87,253)$ | $(87,253)$ | 80,837 | $(6,416)$ |
| TEHAMA | 49,439 | 867,127 | 678,622 | 137,161 | 8,473 | 0 | 42,871 | - | - | - | - | 0 |
| TRINITY | 21,059 | 45,658 | 0 | 28,359 | 0 | 0 | 17,299 | - | - | - | - | 0 |
| TULARE | 285,022 | 1,651,001 | 1,138,625 | 211,992 | 130,035 | 0 | 170,348 | - | - | - | 49,200 | 0 |
| TUOLUNME | 40,965 | 107,267 | 0 | 66,302 | 0 | 0 | 40,965 | - | - | - | - | 0 |
| VENTURA | 517,580 | 3,694,160 | 3,382,663 | 123,161 | 0 | 0 | 188,335 | - | - | - | - | 0 |
| YOLO | 135,485 | 1,005,353 | 385,513 | 449,885 | 46,322 | 0 | 123,633 | - | - | - | - | 0 |
| YUBA | 65,844 | 729,384 | 537,971 | 80,642 | 48,303 | 0 | 62,468 | - | - | - | - | 0 |
| TOTAL | 24,955,445 | 200,423,775 | 93,971,273 | 73,924,774 | 11,740,517 | 5,199,228 | 15,587,983 | $(1,031,203)$ | $(6,742,079)$ | $(7,773,282)$ | 8,053,317 | (1,774,214) |

1. The approved TCTF Funds Held on Behalf Requests do not include those requests pending before the Judicial Council at its July 28,2017 meeting

|  |  | FY 2014-15 | FY 2015-16 | FY 2016-17 | Instructions for current fiscal year only |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Line \# | Part A - Computation of Cap |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | Expenditures | 2,293,600,876 | 2,375,268,993 | 2,447,015,179 | Court enters expenditures for ending fiscal year |
| 2 | Accruals | 77,296,083 | 93,529,935 | 53,758,664 | Court enters expense accruals for ending fiscal year |
| 3 | Less: Expenses related to Encumbrance Reserves for FY13/14 | (61,278,971) | $(38,372,588)$ | 306,172 | Current year expenditures relating to prior year encumbrance reserves. |
| 4 | Less: Expenses related to Encumbrance Reserves for FY14/15 | - | $(33,391,308)$ | $(20,276,778)$ | Court enters current year expenditures relating to prior year encumbrance reserves. Enter a negative number. |
| 5 | Less: Expenses related to Encumbrance Reserves for FY15/16 | - | - | (51,431,795) | Court enters current year expenditures relating to prior year encumbrance reserves. Enter a negative number. |
| 6 | Encumbrance Reserves as of June 30 | 109,465,327 | 117,105,715 | 93,971,273 | Court enters total year ending fund balance reserved for encumbrances (Do not include TCTF Funds Held Purchase Order) |
| 7 | Less: remaining Encumbrance Reserves from FY13/14 | $(45,701,195)$ | $(7,501,128)$ |  | The amount of the fund balance reserved for encumbrances (line 6) that is related to prior fiscal years. |
| 8 | Less: remaining Encumbrance Reserves from FY14/15 | - | $(29,236,488)$ |  | The amount of the fund balance reserved for encumbrances (line 6) that is related to prior fiscal years. |
| 9 | Less: remaining Encumbrance Reserves from FY15/16 | - | $(342,890)$ | $(27,798,177)$ | Court enters the amount of the fund balance reserved for encumbrances (line 6) that is related to prior fiscal years. Enter a negative number. |
| 10 | Operating Budget | 2,373,382,119 | 2,477,060,242 | 2,495,544,538 | This row calculates Operating Budget |
| 11 | Fund Balance Cap (1\% of Operating Budget) | 23,733,821 | 24,770,602 | 24,955,445 | This row calculates Fund Balance Cap |
|  | Part B - Computation of Fund Balance Subject to Cap |  |  |  |  |
| 12 | Ending fund balance | 197,508,937 | 212,948,908 | 200,423,775 | Court enters actual year end fund balance |
| 13 | Less: Encumbrance Reserves as of June 30 | 109,465,327 | 117,105,715 | 93,971,273 | This line is the encumbrance reserves amount entered above from line 6 |
| 14 | Less: Excluded Funds Per GC 77203 | 64,347,339 | 69,471,669 | 73,924,774 | Current year cell is formula driven. Court enters details on the Excluded Detail tab. Any amounts entered on line $\mathbf{2 0}$ should not be included on this total. |
| 15 | Less: Prepayments | 6,386,967 | 8,584,958 | 11,740,517 | Court enters Prepayment amounts, if any. |
| 16 | Less: TCTF Funds Held | - | - | 5,199,228 | Cell is formula driven. Court enters TCTF Funds Held requested and approved on the TCTF Funds Held tab, if any. |
| 17 | Fund Balance Subject to Cap | 17,309,304 | 17,786,566 | 15,587,983 | This calculated line is what will be compared to the cap above on line 11. |
|  | Part C - Potential Additional Allocation Reduction |  |  |  |  |
| 18 | Amount above cap if no Encumbrance Reserves | 103,132,622 | 110,858,201 | 84,603,810 |  |
| 19 | Maximum amount of encumbered fund balance that, if not expensed in the next two years, is subject to the cap | 64,289,003 | 78,790,967 | 84,603,810 | These amounts will be liquidated 3 years from the original date of the encumbrance reserves if not spent (year of encumbrance, plus 2 additional). These are the encumbrance amounts for the fiscal year listed above. |
| 20 | Less: Encumbrance Reserves from Excluded Funds Per GC 77203 | 598,584 | 476,138 | 2,943,112 | This is the amount that is included on line 13 that represents excluded funds encumbered and therefore are not subject to reversion. |
| 21 | Maximum amount of encumbered fund balance that can be disencumbered without resulting in an allocation reduction | 6,305,330 | 7,633,764 | 9,367,462 | This total is the threshold the court can disencumber without having to reduce their allocations. |
|  | Part D-Liquidations |  |  |  |  |
| 22 | FY13/14 Liquidation in second year | 557,257 | - | 115,819,965 | This is the amount of unused encumbrance on closed contracts in year following original encumbrance. |
| 23 | FY13/14 Liquidation in third year | 431 | 6,556,868 | 9,367,463 | This is the amount of unused encumbrance on closed contracts in second year following original encumbrance. |
| 24 | FY14/15 Liquidation in second year | - | 904,087 |  | This is the amount of unused encumbrance on closed contracts in year following original encumbrance. |
| 25 | FY14/15 Liquidation in third year | - | - | 9,191,958 | This is the amount of unused encumbrance on closed contracts in second year following original encumbrance. |
| 26 | FY15/16 Liquidation in second year | - | - | 1,138,127 | This is the amount of unused encumbrance on closed contracts in year following original encumbrance. |
|  | Part E-Fund Balance Subject to Allocation Reduction |  |  |  |  |
| 27 | Current Year Subject to Cap | 178,123 | 2,117,636 |  | This is the amount of fund balance over the cap |
| 28 | FY13/14 Encumbered Fund Balance Subject to Allocation Reduction | 81,187 | 5,445,552 |  | FY13/14 total liquidation less maximum amount of encumbered fund balance that can be disencumbered without resulting in an allocation reduction |
| 29 | FY14/15 Encumbered Fund Balance Subject to Allocation Reduction | - | 33,095 | 6,661,707 | FY14/15 total liquidation less maximum amount of encumbered fund balance that can be disencumbered without resulting in an allocation reduction |
| 30 | FY15/16 Encumbered Fund Balance Subject to Allocation Reduction |  | - | 80,372 | FY15/16 total liquidation less maximum amount of encumbered fund balance that can be disencumbered without resulting in an allocation reduction |
| 31 | Total Allocation Reduction | 300,137 | 8,763,541 | 7,773,282 | This is the amount that will revert to the Trial Court Trust Fund |

2017-2018 Allocation of $\mathbf{\$ 2 2}$ Million in new Court-Appointed Dependency Counsel Funding

| Court | FY 2017-18 Allocation <br> at $\$ 114,700,000$ <br> Col. A | FY 2017-18 Proposed <br> Allocation of New $\$ 22,000,000$ in funding <br> Col. B | FY 2017-18 Total Allocation Col. C |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alameda | \$2,996,225 | \$569,404 | \$3,565,629 |
| Alpine | \$1,510 | \$288 | \$1,799 |
| Amador | \$122,453 | \$21,243 | \$143,696 |
| Butte | \$672,500 | \$122,046 | \$794,546 |
| Calaveras | \$187,858 | \$32,964 | \$220,822 |
| Colusa | \$43,948 | \$0 | \$43,948 |
| Contra Costa | \$2,017,233 | \$346,377 | \$2,363,610 |
| Del Norte | \$214,730 | \$0 | \$214,730 |
| El Dorado | \$476,686 | \$72,078 | \$548,764 |
| Fresno | \$2,596,644 | \$419,102 | \$3,015,746 |
| Glenn | \$111,158 | \$0 | \$111,158 |
| Humboldt | \$522,682 | \$0 | \$522,682 |
| Imperial | \$498,993 | \$77,157 | \$576,150 |
| Inyo | \$45,459 | \$0 | \$45,459 |
| Kern | \$2,253,767 | \$411,043 | \$2,664,810 |
| Kings | \$566,591 | \$134,165 | \$700,757 |
| Lake | \$272,201 | \$0 | \$272,201 |
| Lassen | \$106,891 | \$0 | \$106,891 |
| Los Angeles | \$50,031,302 | \$10,529,583 | \$60,560,884 |
| Madera | \$440,145 | \$94,929 | \$535,074 |
| Marin | \$311,538 | \$0 | \$311,538 |
| Mariposa | \$38,070 | \$0 | \$38,070 |
| Mendocino | \$440,581 | \$0 | \$440,581 |
| Merced | \$710,767 | \$133,493 | \$844,260 |
| Modoc | \$24,065 | \$0 | \$24,065 |
| Mono | \$13,956 | \$0 | \$13,956 |
| Monterey | \$561,158 | \$121,416 | \$682,574 |
| Napa | \$262,119 | \$52,932 | \$315,051 |
| Nevada | \$202,832 | \$0 | \$202,832 |
| Orange | \$4,535,960 | \$830,179 | \$5,366,139 |
| Placer | \$735,292 | \$160,260 | \$895,552 |
| Plumas | \$151,555 | \$0 | \$151,555 |
| Riverside | \$7,234,109 | \$1,571,901 | \$8,806,009 |
| Sacramento | \$4,794,519 | \$814,561 | \$5,609,080 |
| San Benito | \$92,411 | \$19,999 | \$112,410 |
| San Bernardino | \$6,964,161 | \$1,550,542 | \$8,514,703 |
| San Diego | \$5,378,633 | \$753,988 | \$6,132,621 |
| San Francisco | \$2,600,758 | \$460,215 | \$3,060,973 |
| San Joaquin | \$2,099,985 | \$380,292 | \$2,480,278 |
| San Luis Obispo | \$606,504 | \$96,497 | \$703,001 |
| San Mateo | \$780,308 | \$180,595 | \$960,903 |
| Santa Barbara | \$863,531 | \$115,756 | \$979,287 |
| Santa Clara | \$2,790,328 | \$433,584 | \$3,223,912 |
| Santa Cruz | \$519,814 | \$78,500 | \$598,314 |
| Shasta | \$578,824 | \$101,252 | \$680,076 |
| Sierra | \$9,848 | \$0 | \$9,848 |
| Siskiyou | \$245,373 | \$0 | \$245,373 |
| Solano | \$762,644 | \$120,704 | \$883,349 |
| Sonoma | \$778,154 | \$139,947 | \$918,101 |
| Stanislaus | \$944,769 | \$147,736 | \$1,092,505 |
| Sutter | \$182,614 | \$37,897 | \$220,511 |
| Tehama | \$281,764 | \$38,029 | \$319,793 |
| Trinity | \$96,021 | \$0 | \$96,021 |
| Tulare | \$1,300,677 | \$290,555 | \$1,591,232 |
| Tuolumne | \$140,483 | \$18,663 | \$159,147 |
| Ventura | \$1,500,220 | \$335,533 | \$1,835,753 |
| Yolo | \$496,241 | \$100,262 | \$596,503 |
| Yuba | \$390,438 | \$84,330 | \$474,768 |
| Reserve | \$100,000 | \$0 | \$100,000 |
| Total | \$114,700,000 | \$22,000,000 | \$136,700,000 |


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Judicial Council of Cal., Advisory Com. Rep., Juvenile Dependency: Small Court Dependency Workload Working Group Final Recommendations (May 5, 2017), https://jcc.legistar.com/View.ashx?M=F\&ID=5150554\&GUID= 7D8E5F4F-6D83-4C73-A246-4F11E877A411.

[^1]:     language also allows up to $\$ 11.274$ million to be transferred to the Judicial Council appropriation authority for the recovery of costs for administrative services provided to the trial courts.

[^2]:    1. Does not include compensation for AB 1058 commissioners.
