

JUDICIAL COUNCIL OF CALIFORNIA

455 Golden Gate Avenue · San Francisco, California 94102-3688 www.courts.ca.gov

REPORT TO THE JUDICIAL COUNCIL

For business meeting on October 27-28, 2016

Title Agenda Item Type

Juvenile Law: Court Orders Action Required

Rules, Forms, Standards, or Statutes Affected Effective Date

Amend Cal. Rules of Court, rule 5.504 January 1, 2017

Recommended by Date of Report

Family and Juvenile Law Advisory

August 16, 2016

Committee
Hon. Jerilyn L. Borack, Cochair

Contact

Hon. Mark A. Juhas, Cochair

Audrey Fancy, 415-865-7706

audrey.fancy@jud.ca.gov

Executive Summary

The Family and Juvenile Law Advisory Committee recommends that rule 5.504 of the California Rules of Court be amended to grant courts an extra two years to produce modified versions of mandatory juvenile forms for court orders. This change will help reduce the financial burden associated with changes to mandatory forms and ensure that courts continue to have the flexibility in the production of forms to meet local needs.

Recommendation

The Family and Juvenile Law Advisory Committee recommends that the Judicial Council, effective January 1, 2017, amend subdivision (c)(2) of rule 5.504 of the California Rules of Court to extend to January 1, 2019 the date by which courts are required to implement mandatory Judicial Council juvenile forms.

Text of the amended rule is attached at page 3.

Previous Council Action

In 2006, after several courts expressed concern about how to incorporate mandatory juvenile forms for court orders into their case management systems and paper processes (e.g. use of legal

size NCR paper), given varying local form practices and the pending implementation of the California Case Management System (CCMS), the Judicial Council approved amending California Rule of Court, rule 5.504(c)(2), effective January 1, 2007, to permit juvenile courts to generate modified versions of mandatory Judicial Council forms. This portion of the rule was set to sunset on January 1, 2012, with the expectation that the CCMS would have been implemented by this date.

Effective January 1, 2012, the Judicial Council amended rule 5.504 of the California Rules of Court to grant courts an extra five years to produce modified versions of mandatory juvenile court order forms. The Judicial Council also acted to change most mandatory delinquency court order forms to optional court order forms.

Rationale for Recommendation

This amendment would extend the life of rule 5.504(c)(2) for an additional two years to ensure that local courts can continue to have the flexibility to use their preferred formatting for juvenile court orders. During this two-year period the committee anticipates further activity on the Rules Modernization Project, a branchwide effort led by the Information Technology Advisory Committee, to comprehensively review and modernize the California Rules of Court so that the rules will be consistent with, and foster, modern e-business practices.

The extension of the sunset date is unlikely to generate controversy. When the original version of rule 5.504 was circulated for comment in 2006, the committee did not recommend a sunset date. No commentators are on record as having requested a sunset. The committee inserted the sunset date after comment, however, in anticipation that CCMS would be completed and online by January 1, 2012. The initial extension to January 1, 2017 was enacted as a technical change without circulation for comment to allow for implementation of CCMS.

Comments, Alternatives Considered, and Policy Implications

This proposal has not been circulated for public comment. While this is a substantive change, as noted above, it is a minor change unlikely to create controversy and is therefore within the Judicial Council's purview to adopt without circulation. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 10.22(d)(2).) As noted above, when the rule initially circulated it did not include a sunset date nor were any comments received.

Implementation Requirements, Costs, and Operational Impacts

The committee does not anticipate that this proposal will result in costs to the courts and it is likely to create savings for courts that otherwise would need to incorporate mandatory court forms into processes in the traditional format or into local case management systems.

Attachments and Links

1. Cal. Rules of Court, rule 5.504, at page 3

Rule 5.504. Judicial Council forms 1 2 (a)-(b) *** 3 4 5 (c) Implementation of new and revised mandatory forms 6 7 To help implement mandatory Judicial Council juvenile forms: 8 9 New and revised mandatory forms produced by computer, word-processor (1) 10 printer, or similar process must be implemented within one year of the 11 effective date of the form. During that one-year period the court may 12 authorize the use of a legally accurate alternative form, including any existing 13 local form or the immediate prior version of the Judicial Council form. 14 15 Until January 1, 20179, a court may produce court orders in any form or (2) 16 format as long as: 17 18 The document is substantively identical to the mandatory Judicial (A) 19 Council form it is modifying; 20 21 Any electronically generated form is identical in both language and (B) 22 legally mandated elements, including all notices and advisements, to 23 the mandatory Judicial Council form it is modifying; 24 25 The order is an otherwise legally sufficient court order, as provided in (C) 26 rule 1.31(g), concerning orders not on Judicial Council mandatory 27 forms; and 28 29 (D) The court sends written notice of its election to change the form or 30 format of the mandatory form to the Family and Juvenile Law Advisory 31 Committee and submits additional informational reports as requested 32 by the committee.