

JUDICIAL COUNCIL OF CALIFORNIA

455 Golden Gate Avenue · San Francisco, California 94102-3688 www.courts.ca.gov

REPORT TO THE JUDICIAL COUNCIL

For business meeting on: October 27-28, 2016

Title

Juvenile Law: Dependency Hearings

Rules, Forms, Standards, or Statutes Affected Amend Cal. Rules of Court, rules 5.534, 5.668, 5.670, 5.674, 5.682, 5.684, 5.690, 5.695, 5.706, 5.708, 5.710, 5.715, 5.720, 5.722, 5.725, 5.726, 5.727, 5.728, 5.730, 5.735, 5.740; repeal rules 5.680, 5.686, 5.688; revise form JV-421

Recommended by

Family and Juvenile Law Advisory Committee Hon. Jerilyn L. Borack, Cochair Hon. Mark A. Juhas, Cochair Agenda Item Type

Action Required

Effective Date

January 1, 2017

Date of Report

September 15, 2016

Contact

Tracy Kenny, 916-263-2838 tracy.kenny@jud.ca.gov Kerry Doyle, 415-865-8791 kerry.doyle@jud.ca.gov

Executive Summary

The Family and Juvenile Law Advisory Committee recommends amending the rules in title 5 of the California Rules of Court that set forth the procedures to be followed during dependency court hearings, from the initiation of the case through each of the status review hearings, to delete unnecessary repetitions of statutory text or replace them with references to the relevant code sections. These amendments will enhance the brevity and accuracy of the rules while also consolidating some shorter rules where appropriate and reduce the frequency with which the rules need to be amended to reflect changes in the statutory text. In addition, proposed amendments clarify and update provisions in the rules concerning case plan requirements, relative placement, notice of subsequent dependency guardianship proceedings, and the legal distinctions between admitting petition allegations and submitting on the facts set forth in the petition.

Recommendation

The Family and Juvenile Law Advisory Committee recommends that the Judicial Council, effective January 1, 2017, amend or repeal the following rules of the California Rules of Court (all statutory references below are to the Welfare and Institutions Code):

- 1. Amend rule 5.534 to delete subdivisions (a), (b), (c), (d), (o), and (p) that restate provisions of sections 349, 350, and 365;
- 2. Amend rule 5.668 to clarify subdivision (a) and delete language from subdivision (b) that restates provisions of sections 316.2;
- 3. Amend rule 5.670 to delete subdivisions (b), (c), (d), and (f) that restate provisions of sections 311, 313, 309(b), 315, and 334, and include a reference to section 309(b) in former subdivision (e);
- 4. Amend rule 5.674 to delete subdivision (c) that restates section 319 and substitute a reference to this deleted subdivision with a reference to section 319, and add provisions from repealed rule 5.680 concerning the procedures for detention hearings;
- 5. Amend rule 5.682 to delete subdivision (a) that restates section 353, delete the reference to rule 5.686, which is recommended to be repealed, delete provisions in subdivision (b) that restate provisions of section 353, and revise subdivisions (e) and (f) to clarify the differences between a parent or guardian admitting or not contesting the jurisdictional allegations, as distinguished from submitting the jurisdictional determination to the court based upon the report of the social worker;
- 6. Amend rule 5.684 to remove restatement of statutory text from subdivisions (c) and (d) concerning testimony of the social worker and hearsay exceptions and replace with a reference to section 355(c), and add provisions on the continuance pending a disposition hearing from repealed rule 5.686 to subdivision (f);
- 7. Amend rule 5.690 to update case plan finding requirements to reflect recent statutory changes, and include a provision concerning sibling placement;
- 8. Amend rule 5.695 to delete subdivision (b) that repeats provisions of section 360 and clarify and add clerk requirements to subdivision (a), delete specific required removal findings from subdivision (d) and replace with a reference to subdivision (c) of section 361, delete extensive text sections drawn from section 361.5 contained in subdivision (h) of the rule and replace with appropriate code references, and delete subdivision (j) that restates timing for status reviews contained in various sections, and subdivision (k) that restates section 367 timing provisions;

- 9. Amend rule 5.706 to delete subdivisions (a), (c), and (e) that restate provisions of section 364:
- 10. Amend rule 5.708 to delete all or part of subdivisions (a), (d), (e), (h), (i), (m), and (n) that restate provisions of sections 366, 366.21, 366.22, and 366.25, and incorporate recently enacted case plan requirements for older youth into the required determinations of the court concerning the case plan;
- 11. Amend rule 5.710 to delete all or part of subdivisions (a), (b), and (d), and redraft subdivision (c) to remove restated language from sections 364, 366, and 366.21;
- 12. Amend rule 5.715 to delete language from subdivisions (a) and (b) that restates sections 293 and 366.21;
- 13. Amend rule 5.720 to delete subdivision (a) and language in subdivision (b) that restate provisions of sections 293 and 366.22;
- 14. Amend rule 5.722 to delete subdivision (a) and language in subdivision (b) that restate provisions of section 366.25;
- 15. Amend rule 5.725 to delete language from subdivisions (a), (d), and (e) that restates language that is duplicative of section 366.26, and add a missing reference to section 727.31 to subdivision (a);
- 16. Amend rule 5.726 to redraft subdivisions (b), (c), and (e) to delete restatements of section 366.26(n), to change a reference to the rule to a reference to section 366.26(n)(1), and to clarify the existing procedures in the rule;
- 17. Amend rule 5.727 to replace references to rule 5.726 in subdivisions (a) and (b) with references to section 366.26(n)(1), and clarify the procedures in the rule;
- 18. Amend rule 5.728 to substitute references to rule 5.726 in subdivisions (a) and (b) with references to section 366.26(n)(1), and clarify the procedures and notice requirements in the rule;
- 19. Amend rule 5.730 to add code references to the title of the rule;
- 20. Amend rule 5.735 to delete subdivision (c) that restates section 366.26(d), update provisions on visitation in subdivision (d) to be consistent with current law, and correct an erroneous rule citation in subdivision (e);
- 21. Amend rule 5.740 to delete language from subdivision (b) that restates provisions of section 366.3, clarify that notice of a petition to terminate, modify, or appoint a successor guardian

shall be accomplished by the court, and not the petitioner, and include required findings concerning identifying relatives who may present placement options;

- 22. Repeal rule 5.680 and move its key provisions into rule 5.674;
- 23. Repeal rule 5.686 and add its substance to rule 5.684;
- 24. Repeal rule 5.688 as it simply restates section 360(b);
- 25. Revise *Dispositional Attachment: Removal From Custodial Parent—Placement With Nonparent* (form JV-421) to add recently enacted statutory grounds for bypassing reunification services at item 20a, conform item 32 to recent statutory changes on case plan requirements, correct legal inaccuracies concerning the date a permanency hearing must be set in item 33a, and to reflect new Judicial Council form names in items 27b and 35d.

The text of the amended or repealed rules and the revised form are attached at pages 10–96.

Previous Council Action

The Judicial Council adopted rules 5.686, 5.688, 5.710, 5.715, and 5.720 effective January 1, 1990, as rules 1451, 1452, 1460, 1461, and 1462 respectively. Rules 5.534, 5.682, 5.684, 5.690, 5.695, 5.725, 5.735, and 5.740 were adopted effective January 1, 1991, as rules 1412, 1449, 1450, 1455, 1456, 1463, 1464, and 1465 respectively. Rules 5.668, 5.670, 5.674, and 5.680 were adopted effective January 1, 1998, as rules 1441, 1442, 1444, and 1447 respectively. Rules 5.726, 5.727, and 5.728 were adopted effective July 1, 2006, as rules 1463.1, 1463.3, and 1463.5 respectively. All of these rules were renumbered effective January 1, 2007. Rules 5.706, 5.708, and 5.722 were adopted effective January 1, 2010. Many of these rules have been amended numerous times, frequently to reflect amendments in the statutory text that they restate.

The Judicial Council approved optional form JV-421 effective January 1, 2006, as part of a large package of optional forms designed to assist the courts in documenting required findings and orders. It was most recently revised effective January 1, 2014, to clarify references and numbering within the form.

Rationale for Recommendation

Many of the rules of court concerning juvenile dependency court hearings were adopted in the early 1990s at a time when access to statutory materials via electronic devices and online resources was far less available to judicial officers and the public than at present. To ensure that juvenile courts and the public had comprehensive information about the requirements in these cases, the original drafters of the rules paraphrased or directly included extensive sections of the relevant underlying statutes in the rules. Since that time, the statutes have become longer and more complicated, and the rules have been repeatedly amended to include the amended statutory provisions. The rule amendments frequently lag the underlying statutory amendments by a year

due to the time needed for the Judicial Council rule-making process. At the same time, the growth of online legal resources such as the California Legislative Information website allows any judicial officer or member of the public to access up-to-date statutory materials easily at no cost. This major change in the information infrastructure for juvenile courts warrants a reexamination of the roles of the rules of court in these proceedings. This proposal was spurred by recent legislation that would have required three different proposals amending multiple rules of court to include minor statutory expansions of existing provisions, under the council's past practices. Instead, the legislative changes will be addressed by rule amendments that include statutory references rather than a paraphrase of the full statutory text or by deleting those provisions of the rule that restate the statutory text.

Rule amendments to delete unnecessary statutory text

This proposal would amend numerous juvenile dependency proceedings rules to delete unnecessary statutory text or, when necessary, replace that text with appropriate references to the underlying code sections. These changes would streamline the rules and reduce the frequency with which the rules need to be amended to reflect changes in the statutory text. Notably, legislation was enacted in the current legislative session that would have required rule changes under the current text of the rules, but would not require any rule changes if this proposal is approved.²

Clarifying legal distinctions between admission and submitting on petition at jurisdiction hearing

Rule 5.682, which concerns jurisdiction hearings, currently treats an admission or noncontest of jurisdictional allegations as equivalent to a parent or guardian entering a submission to the facts of the report of the social worker in support of the petition allegations. This blurring of the concepts implies that the court would be required to find that jurisdiction was established in all submission cases, even if the court found that the report did not support the petition allegations. To eliminate this blurring of the concepts, the committee recommends amending rule 5.682 to clarify that when a party submits to the report of the social worker, the court is still required to find that the petition allegations are true as alleged—rather than treating the submission as a waiver of any further jurisdictional hearing.

Updating and streamlining case plan finding provisions

There are many requirements placed on child welfare agencies when developing case plans for children and families in dependency proceedings, which are set forth in section 16501.1. Rule 5.708, which sets forth the general provisions that apply in dependency proceedings, sets forth a set of specific findings that the court is required to make to ensure that the agency has fulfilled its obligation Recent amendments to section 16501.1 require that these findings be expanded. Consistent with the efforts to generally streamline the rules, the committee proposes including

¹ Assem. Bill 217 (Maienschein); Stats. 2015, ch. 36; Sen. Bill 68 (Liu); Stats. 2015, ch. 284; and Sen. Bill 794 (Human Services); Stats. 2015, ch. 425.

² Assem. Bill 1702 (Stone); Stats. 2016, ch. 324.

those additional case plan findings in rule 5.708(e) by requiring the court to find that the case plan was created in compliance with section 16501.1(g), which contains the recently enacted additional requirements. For consistency, the committee also proposes amending the rule provisions concerning case plans in rules 5.725 and 5.740 to delete specific findings and instead require the court to make the relevant findings and determinations from rule 5.708.

Relative placement

Legislation enacted last year³ amended Family Code section 7950 to require the court to make a finding that the county child welfare agency has made diligent efforts to locate an appropriate relative, and that each relative whose name has been submitted to the agency or entity as a possible caregiver has been evaluated as an appropriate placement resource:

- At any permanency hearing in which the court terminates reunification services; or
- At any postpermanency hearing for a child not placed for adoption.

Before this amendment, the court was required to make this finding only before a child was placed in long-term foster care. Because this is such an important change in the law to help ensure both relative placement and permanency for children, and because it is in a code not often reviewed by juvenile court judges and attorneys, the committee proposes adding these new requirements to the rules governing permanency and postpermanency hearings.⁴

Clarify responsibility for service of a petition to terminate or modify dependency guardianship

The current text of rule 5.740(c)(2), which sets forth the procedures to be followed when a petition is filed to terminate, modify, or appoint a successor guardian for a juvenile court guardianship, expressly requires the petitioner to serve notice of the hearing on the other parties. However, the rule also provides that these proceedings shall be subject to the procedures in rule 5.570, which directs the clerk of the court to cause notice to be served on the parties. This requirement is in keeping with section 297(c), which governs notice for supplemental petitions in dependency cases and also provides that the clerk must arrange for service when a hearing is set on the petition. Given this inconsistency between the two provisions, the committee opted to clarify the rule to require the clerk to cause notice to be served rather than the petitioner. The committee was concerned that the petitioner in these matters would often be the guardian who would not be well equipped or informed to accomplish this service, whereas the court regularly provides notice of hearings in analogous proceedings and has the information on who needs to be served and the best means of accomplishing that service.

³ Sen. Bill 794 (Human Services); Stats. 2015, ch. 425.

⁴ Cal. Rules of Court, rules 5.715, 5.720, 5.722, 5.740.

Update and clarify *Dispositional Attachment: Removal from Custodial Parent—Placement With Nonparent* (form JV-421)

Form JV-421 currently misstates the law. Item 33a provides the option for the court to record that it has informed all parties that, for a child under the age of three, failure to participate and make substantive progress in court-ordered treatment programs may result in the termination of reunification services at the hearing scheduled within six months from the date the child entered foster care under section 366.21(e). This language, however, does not track the requirement in section 366.21(e) that the hearing should be scheduled on a date within six months of the date of the dispositional hearing, but no later than 12 months from the date the child entered foster care, as defined by section 361.49, whichever occurs earlier. The form is therefore legally inaccurate, and the committee proposes amending it to accurately reflect the law.

The committee also proposes amending form JV-421 at item 32 to conform to changes in section 16501.1, made by Senate Bill 794—that lowered the age of children for whom the case plan must include a description of the services that will help the child transition to successful adulthood—from 16 years of age to 14 years of age or older.

The committee further proposes amending form JV-421 to reflect new Judicial Council form names at item 27(b), updating a rule reference consistent with this proposal at item 35(d), and adding two additional statutory findings to item 20 of the form to reflect recent changes in law establishing additional bases for bypassing reunification services.⁵

Comments, Alternatives Considered, and Policy Implications

Comments

This proposal circulated for comment as part of the spring 2016 invitation-to-comment cycle, from April 15, 2016, to June 14, 2016, to the standard mailing list for family and juvenile law proposals. Included on the list were appellate presiding justices, appellate court administrators, trial court presiding judges, trial court executive officers, judges, court administrators and clerks, attorneys, family law facilitators and self-help center staff, legal services attorneys, social workers, probation officers, Court Appointed Special Advocate (CASA) programs, and other juvenile and family law professionals. Four organizations provided comment: two agreed with the proposal, and two agreed with the proposal if modified; no commentators opposed the proposal. A chart with the full text of the comments received and the committee's responses is attached at pages 76–79, as well as an attachment containing one commentator's extensive proposed modifications that were too long to include verbatim in the chart at pages 80-119.

The bulk of the comments received on the proposal suggested modifications to clarify the text of the amended rules and forms, to correct statutory and rule references, and to improve the style and clarity of the rule text. The committee adopted nearly all of these suggested modifications to improve the accessibility and effectiveness of the rules proposed to be amended.

⁵ Assem. Bill 1702 (Stone); Stats. 2016, ch. 324, and Sen Bill 1521 (Liu); Stats. Of 2012, ch. 847.

The committee also made changes to rules 5.708, 5.725, and 5.740 regarding the findings to be made by the court concerning the case plan to make these rules internally consistent and to include recent statutory changes as suggested by a commentator. The committee opted to make these changes in a more succinct and streamlined manner than the approach proposed by the commentator by adding a provision to rule 5.708 referencing the relevant statutory case plan requirements, and then cross-referencing rule 5.708 in the subsequent case plan provisions in rules 5.725 and 5.740.

A commentator noted that the rules are currently internally inconsistent with regard to who (the court or the petitioner) should provide notice of a hearing to modify or terminate a juvenile court guardianship, and that the provision in the rule placing the responsibility for notice on the petitioner would be onerous and unrealistic if the petitioner was the child's guardian. As noted above, to remedy this inconsistency, the committee proposes to delete the requirement that the petitioner serve notice and instead require the clerk of the court to ensure that notice is provided. The committee made this change only after consulting with the Joint Rules Subcommittee (JRS) of the Trial Court Presiding Judges and Court Executives Advisory Committees to ensure that it would not impose an undue burden on the trial courts. The JRS concurred that this change would not burden the courts, and was a more workable and consistent practice that what is provided in the current rule.

Alternatives

In addition to the alternatives considered in response to the public comments, initially the committee considered simply amending the existing rules of court to reflect the new statutory language, but determined that it would be preferable in the long run to abbreviate the rules by replacing unneeded text with code references in order to obviate the need for further amendments in the future when these statutes are again amended.

Implementation Requirements, Costs, and Operational Impacts

Because this proposal chiefly amends rules of court to make them more concise without changing the underlying statutory requirements, it should have very little cost to the courts and the main operational impact will be limited to ensuring that stakeholders understand that the amendments do not change the underlying requirements for these proceedings but simply delete provisions duplicative of statute. The proposed revision to require the court to provide notice of hearings to terminate or modify dependency guardianships may impose a small additional workload for a task that courts are already routinely performing for notice of other juvenile

dependency hearings. In implementing the changes to form JV-421, courts that use this optional form may incur costs for reproducing the revised form.

Attachments and Links

- 1. Cal. Rules of Court, rules 5.534, 5.668, 5.670, 5.674, 5.680, 5.682, 5.684, 5.686, 5.688, 5.690, 5.695, 5.706, 5.708, 5.710, 5.715, 5.720, 5.722, 5.725, 5.726, 5.727, 5.728, 5.730, 5.735, and 5.740 at pages 10–68.
- 2. Judicial Council form JV-421 at pages 69–75
- 3. Chart of comments, at pages 76–79
- 4. Attachment A: Comments from the Superior Court of San Diego County, at pages 80–99.
- 5. Assembly Bill 217 http://leginfo.legislature.ca.gov/faces/billNavClient.xhtml?bill_id=201520160AB217
- 6. Senate Bill 68 http://leginfo.legislature.ca.gov/faces/billNavClient.xhtml?bill_id=201520160SB68
- 7. Senate Bill 794 http://leginfo.legislature.ca.gov/faces/billNavClient.xhtml?bill_id=201520160SB794
- 8. Assembly Bill 1702 http://leginfo.legislature.ca.gov/faces/billNavClient.xhtml?bill_id=201520160AB1702

Rules 5.534, 5.668, 5.670, 5.674, 5.682, 5.684, 5.690, 5.695, 5.706, 5.708, 5.710, 5.715, 5.720, 5.722, 5.725, 5.726, 5.727, 5.728, 5.730, 5.735, and 5.740 of the California Rules of Court are amended, and rules 5.680, 5.686, and 5.688 are repealed, effective January 1, 2017, to read:

Rule 5.534. General provisions—all proceedings

(a) Control of proceedings (§§ 350, 680)

2 3

The court must control all proceedings with a view to quickly and effectively ascertaining the jurisdictional facts and all information relevant to the present condition and welfare of the child.

(b) Conduct of proceedings (§§ 350, 680)

Unless there is a contested issue of fact or law, the proceedings must be conducted in a nonadversarial atmosphere.

(c) Testimony of child in chambers (§ 350)

In a hearing under section 300 et seq., a child may testify in chambers and outside the presence of the child's parent or guardian if the parent or guardian is represented by counsel who is present, subject to the right of the parent or guardian to have the court reporter read back the child's testimony, and if the court determines, based on the petitioner's report or other offers of proof or other evidence, that any of the following circumstances exist:

- (1) Testimony in chambers is necessary to ensure truthful testimony;
- (2) The child is likely to be intimidated by a formal courtroom setting; or
- (3) The child is afraid to testify in front of the parent or guardian.

(d) Burden of proof (§§ 350, 701.1)

Meeting the burden of proof:

(1) In any hearing under section 300 in which the county welfare agency has the burden of proof, the court may consider whether the burden of proof has been met only after completion of the agency's case and the presentation of any material evidence offered by the child. The court may then, on motion of any party or on the court's own motion, order whatever action the law requires if the court, based on all the evidence then before it, finds that the burden of proof has not been met.

```
1
            (2) In any hearing under section 601 or 602, after the completion of the
 2
                   petitioner's case, the court may, on the motion of any party or on the court's
 3
                   own motion, order whatever action the law requires if the court, based on all
 4
                   the evidence then before it, finds that the burden of proof has not been met.
 5
 6
      (e)(a) * * *
 7
 8
      (f)(b) * * * *
 9
      \frac{(\mathbf{g})(\mathbf{c})}{(\mathbf{c})} * * *
10
11
      (h)(d) * * *
12
13
14
       (i)(e) * * *
15
      (j)(f) ***
16
17
18
      (k)(g) Advisement of hearing rights (§§ 301, 311, 341, 630, 702.5, 827)
19
20
            (1)
                   The court must advise the child, parent, and guardian in section 300 cases,
21
                   and the child in section 601 or section 602 cases, of the following rights:
22
23
                         Any The right to assert the privilege against self-incrimination;
                   (A)
24
25
                         The right to confront and cross-examine the persons who prepared
                   (B)
26
                         reports or documents submitted to the court by the petitioner and the
27
                         witnesses called to testify at the hearing;
28
                         The right to use the process of the court to bring in witnesses; and
29
                   (C)
30
31
                         The right to present evidence to the court.
                   (D)
32
33
            (2) - (3)* * *
34
      (l)(h) * * *
35
36
37
      (m)(i) * * *
38
39
      \frac{(n)}{(j)} * * * *
40
41
      (o) Periodic reports (§ 365)
```

The court may require the petitioner or any other agency to submit reports concerning a child or youth subject to the jurisdiction of the court.

(p) Presence of child (§ 349)

(1) A child who is the subject of a juvenile court hearing is entitled to be present at the hearing. If the child is present at the hearing, the court must allow the child, if the child so desires, to address the court and participate in the hearing.

(2) If the child is 10 years of age or older and he or she is not present at the hearing, the court must determine whether the child was properly notified of his or her right to attend the hearing and ask why the child is not present at the hearing and whether the child was given an opportunity to attend. If the court finds that the child was not properly notified or that the child wished to be present and was not given an opportunity to be present, the court must continue the hearing to allow the child to attend unless the court finds that it is in the best interest of the child not to continue the hearing. Any such continuance must be only for that period of time necessary to provide notice and secure the presence of the child. The court may issue any and all orders reasonably necessary to ensure that the child has an opportunity to attend.

Advisory Committee Comment

Because the intent of subdivision (n) (j) is to expand access to the courts for caregivers of children in out-of-home care, the rule should be liberally construed. To promote caregiver participation and input, judicial officers are encouraged to permit caregivers to orally address the court when caregivers would like to share information about the child. In addition, court clerks should allow filings by caregivers even if the caregiver has not strictly adhered to the requirements in the rule regarding number of copies and filing deadlines.

Rule 5.668. Commencement of hearing—explanation of proceedings (§§ 316, 316.2)

(a) Commencement of hearing

At the beginning of the initial hearing on the petition, whether the child is detained or not detained, the court must give advisement as required by rule 5.534 and must inform each parent and guardian present, and the child, if present:

(1) Of the contents of the petition;

Of the nature of, and possible consequences of, juvenile court proceedings; 2 3 If the child has been taken into custody, of the reasons for the initial detention (3) 4 and the purpose and scope of the detention hearing; and 5 6 (4) If the petition is sustained and the child is declared a dependent of the court 7 and removed from the custody of the parent or guardian, the court-ordered 8 reunification services must be considered to have been offered or provided on 9 the date the petition is sustained or 60 days after the child's initial removal, 10 whichever is earlier. The time for services must not exceed 12 months for a 11 child three years of age or older aged three or over at the time of the initial 12 removal and must not exceed 6 months for a child who was under the age of 13 three years of age or who is in a sibling group in which one sibling was under 14 three years of age at the time of the initial removal if the parent or guardian 15 fails to participate regularly and make substantive progress in any court-16 ordered treatment program. 17 18 Parentage inquiry **(b)** 19 20 The court must also inquire of the child's mother and of any other appropriate 21 person present as to the identity and address of any and all presumed or alleged 22 parents of the child as set forth in section 316.2. Questions, at the discretion of the 23 court, may include: 24 25 (1) Has there been a judgment of parentage? 26 27 (2) Was the mother married, or did she believe she was married, at or any time 28 after the time of conception? 29 30 (3) Was the mother cohabiting at the time of conception? 31 32 (4) Has the mother received support payments or promises of support for the 33 child or for the mother during her pregnancy? 34 35 (5) Has anyone formally or informally acknowledged parentage, including 36 through the execution of a voluntary declaration under Family Code section 7571? 37 38 39 (6) Have tests to determine biological parentage been administered and, if so, 40 what were the results? 41 * * * 42 (c)

1

43

(2)

1 Rule 5.670. Initial hearing; detention hearings; time limit on custody; setting 2 jurisdiction hearing; visitation (§§ 309, 311, 313, 315, 362.1) 3 * * * 4 (a) 5 6 Time limit on custody, filing petition, setting hearing (§§ 311, 313) 7 8 If the social worker takes the child into custody, the social worker must 9 immediately file a petition with the clerk of the juvenile court, and the clerk must 10 immediately set the matter for hearing on the detention hearing calendar. A child 11 who is detained must be released within 48 hours, excluding noncourt days, unless 12 a petition has been filed. 13 (c) Detention—child in medical facility (§ 309(b)) 14 15 16 For purposes of these rules, a child is deemed taken into custody and delivered to the social worker if the child is under medical care and cannot immediately be 17 18 moved and there is reasonable cause to believe the child is described by section 19 300. 20 21 (d) Detention hearing—time of (§ 315) 22 23 Unless the child has been released sooner, the matter concerning a child who is 24 taken into custody must be brought before the juvenile court for a detention hearing 25 as soon as possible, but in any event before the end of the next court day after a 26 petition has been filed. At the detention hearing, the court must determine whether 27 the child is to continue to be detained in custody. If the detention hearing is not 28 commenced within that time, the child must be immediately released from custody. 29 30 (e)(b) Detention hearing—warrant cases, transfers in, changes in placement 31 32 Notwithstanding (e) section 309(b), and unless the child has been released sooner, a 33 detention hearing must be held as soon as possible, but no later than 48 hours, 34 excluding noncourt days, after the child arrives at a facility within the county if: 35 36 The child was taken into custody in another county and transported in (1) 37 custody to the requesting county under a protective custody warrant issued by 38 the juvenile court; 39 40 The child was taken into custody in the county in which a protective custody (2) 41 warrant was issued by the juvenile court; or 42

1 The matter was transferred from the juvenile court of another county under (3) 2 rule 5.610 and the child was ordered transported in custody. 3 4 At the hearing the court must determine whether the child is to continue to be 5 detained in custody. If the hearing is not commenced within that time, the child 6 must be immediately released from custody. 7 8 (f) Setting jurisdiction hearing (§ 334) 9 10 If the child is not detained, the court must set a jurisdiction hearing to be held within 30 days of the date the petition is filed. If the court orders the child to be 11 detained, the court must set a jurisdiction hearing within 15 court days of the order 12 of detention. 13 14 $\frac{(\mathbf{g})(\mathbf{c})}{(\mathbf{c})} * * *$ 15 16 17 Rule 5.674. Conduct of hearing; admission, no contest, submission 18 (a) - (b)* * *19 20 21 (c) Detention hearing; examination by court (§ 319) 22 23 Subject to (d), the court must examine the child's parent, guardian, or other person 24 having knowledge relevant to the issue of detention and must receive any relevant 25 evidence that the petitioner, the child, a parent, a guardian, or counsel for a party 26 wishes to present. 27 28 (d)(c) Detention hearing; rights of child, parent, or guardian (§§ 311, 319) 29 30 At the detention hearing, the child, the parent, and the guardian have the right to 31 assert the privilege against self-incrimination and the right to confront and cross-32 examine: 33 34 (1) The preparer of a police report, probation or social worker report, or other 35 document submitted to the court; and 36 37 (2) Any person examined by the court under (c) section 319. If the child, parent, 38 or guardian asserts the right to cross-examine preparers of documents 39 submitted for court consideration, the court may not consider any such report 40 or document unless the preparer is made available for cross-examination.

(d) No parent or guardian present and not noticed (§ 321)

41 42

If the court orders the child detained at the detention hearing and no parent or guardian is present and no parent or guardian has received actual notice of the detention hearing, a parent or guardian may file an affidavit alleging the failure of notice and requesting a detention rehearing. The clerk must set the rehearing for a time within 24 hours of the filing of the affidavit, excluding noncourt days. At the rehearing the court must proceed under rules 5.670–5.678.

(e) Hearing for further evidence; prima facie case (§ 321)

If the court orders the child detained, and the child, a parent, a guardian, or counsel requests that evidence of the prima facie case be presented, the court must set a prima facie hearing for a time within 3 court days to consider evidence of the prima facie case or set the matter for jurisdiction hearing within 10 court days. If at the hearing the petitioner fails to establish the prima facie case, the child must be released from custody.

Rule 5.680. Detention rehearings; prima facie hearings

(a) No parent or guardian present and not noticed (§ 321)

If the court orders the child detained at the detention hearing and no parent or guardian is present and no parent or guardian has received actual notice of the detention hearing, a parent or guardian may file an affidavit alleging the failure of notice and requesting a detention rehearing. The clerk must set the rehearing for a time within 24 hours of the filing of the affidavit, excluding noncourt days. At the rehearing the court must proceed under rules 5.670–5.678.

(b) Parent or guardian noticed, not present (§ 321)

If the court determines that the parent or guardian received adequate notice of the detention hearing, and the parent or guardian fails to appear at the hearing, the request of the parent or guardian for a detention rehearing must be denied absent a finding that the failure to appear at the hearing was due to good cause.

(e) Parent or guardian present; preparers available (§ 321)

If a parent or guardian has received notice of the detention hearing, is present at the hearing, and the preparers of any reports or other documents relied on by the court in its order detaining the child are present in court or otherwise available for cross-examination, the request for a detention rehearing must be denied.

(d) Hearing for further evidence; prima facie case (§ 321)

If the court orders the child detained, and the child, a parent, a guardian, or counsel 2 requests that evidence of the prima facie case be presented, the court must set a 3 prima facie hearing for a time within 3 court days to consider evidence of the prima 4 facie case or set the matter for jurisdiction hearing within 10 court days. If at the hearing petitioner fails to establish the prima facie case, the child must be released 6 from custody. 8 Rule 5.682. Commencement of jurisdiction hearing—advisement of trial rights; 9 admission, no contest, submission 10

(a) Petition read and explained (§ 353)

1

5

7

11

12 13

14

15

16

17 18

19 20

21

22 23

24 25

26 27

28 29

30

31 32

33 34

35 36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

At the beginning of the jurisdiction hearing, the petition must be read to those present. On request of the child or the parent, guardian, or adult relative, the court must explain the meaning and contents of the petition and the nature of the hearing, its procedures, and the possible consequences.

(b)(a) Rights explained (§§ 341, 353, 361.1)

After giving the advisement required by rule 5.534, the court must advise the parent or guardian of the following rights:

- The right to a hearing by the court on the issues raised by the petition; and (1)
- (2) The right to assert any privilege against self-incrimination;
- (3) The right to confront and to cross examine all witnesses called to testify;
- (4) The right to use the process of the court to compel attendance of witnesses on behalf of the parent or guardian; and

(5)(2)***

(e)(b) Admission of allegations; prerequisites to acceptance

The court must then inquire whether the parent or guardian intends to admit or deny the allegations of the petition. If the parent or guardian neither admits nor denies the allegations, the court must state on the record that the parent or guardian does not admit the allegations. If the parent or guardian wishes to admit the allegations, the court must first find and state on the record that it is satisfied that the parent or guardian understands the nature of the allegations and the direct consequences of the admission, and understands and waives the rights in (b) (a) and (e)(3).

1 2 $\frac{(d)}{(c)} * * *$ 3 4 (e)(d) Admission, no contest, submission 5 6 The parent or guardian may elect to admit the allegations of the petition, or plead 7 no contest, or submit the jurisdictional determination to the court based on the 8 information provided to the court and waive further jurisdictional hearing. The 9 parent or guardian may elect to submit the jurisdictional determination to the court 10 based on the information provided to the court and choose whether to waive further 11 jurisdictional hearing. If the parent or guardian submits to the jurisdictional determination in writing, Waiver of Rights—Juvenile Dependency (form JV-190) 12 13 may must be completed by the parent or guardian and counsel and submitted to the 14 court. 15 (f)(e) Findings of court (§ 356) 16 17 18 After admission, plea of no contest, or submission, the court must make the 19 following findings noted in the order of the court: 20 21 (1) - (6)***22 23 Those allegations of the petition as admitted are true as alleged; or and 24 25 Whether the allegations of the petition as submitted are true as alleged; and (8) 26 27 (8)(9) The child is described under by one or more specific subdivisions of section 28 300. 29 30 (g)(f) Disposition 31 32 After accepting an admission, plea of no contest, or submission, the court must 33 proceed to a disposition hearing under rules 5.686 and 5.690. 34 35 Rule 5.684. Contested hearing on petition 36 * * * 37 (a) 38 39 Admissibility of evidence—general (§§ 355, 355.1) **(b)** 40 41 Except as provided in sections 355(c) and 355.1 and (c), $\frac{(d)}{(d)}$, and $\frac{(e)}{(e)}$ (d) of this rule, the admission and exclusion of evidence must be in accordance with the Evidence 42 43 Code as it applies to civil cases.

1		
2	(c)	Reports
3		
4		(1) A social study, with hearsay evidence contained in it, is admissible and is
5		sufficient to support a finding that the child is described by section 300. as
6		provided in section 355.
7		
8		(1) (2) The social study must be provided to all parties and their counsel by the
9		county welfare department within a reasonable time before the hearing.
10		
11		(2) The preparer of the report must be made available for cross-examination on
12		the request of any party. The preparer may be on telephone standby if the
13		preparer can be present in court within a reasonable time.
14		
15	(d)	Hearsay in the report (§ 355)
16	` ´	
17		If a party makes an objection with reasonable specificity to particular hearsay in the
18		report and provides petitioner a reasonable period to meet the objection, that
19		evidence must not be sufficient in and of itself to support a jurisdictional finding,
20		unless:
21		
22		(1) The hearsay is admissible under any statutory or judicial hearsay exception;
23		
24		(2) The hearsay declarant is a child under 12 years of age who is the subject of
25		the petition, unless the objecting party establishes that the statement was
26		produced by fraud, deceit, or undue influence and is therefore unreliable;
27		
28		The hearsay declarant is a peace officer, a health practitioner, a social worker, or a
29		teacher and the statement would be admissible if the declarant were testifying in
30		court; or
31		
32		The hearsay declarant is available for cross examination.
33		
34	(e)(d	<u>)</u> ***
35		
36	(f)(e)	Findings of court—allegations true (§ 356)
37		
38		If the court determines by a preponderance of the evidence that the allegations of
39		the petition are true, the court must make findings on each of the following, noted
40		in the minutes:
41		
42		(1) ***
43		

1		(2)	* * *
2			
3		(3)	* * *
4			
5		(4)	The child is described under by one or more specific subdivisions of section
6			300.
7			
8 9	(g) (f	<u>)</u> Disp	position and continuance pending disposition hearing (§§ 356, 358)
10		A fto	or making the findings in (f) (e), the court must proceed to a disposition hearing
11			er rules 5.686 and 5.690. The court may continue the disposition hearing as
12			rided in section 358.
13		prov	ided in section 336.
14	(h) (g	<u>)</u> ***	k
15	() <u>(-</u>	<u> </u>	
16	Rule	5.68	6. Continuance pending disposition hearing
17			S and the second
18	(a)	Con	tinuance pending disposition hearing (§ 358)
19	, ,		
20		Exce	ept as provided in (b), the court may continue the disposition hearing to a date
21		not t	to exceed 10 court days if the child is detained or, if the child is not detained, to
22		a da	te not to exceed 30 calendar days from the date of the finding under section
23		356.	The court may for good cause continue the hearing for an additional 15
24		cale	ndar days if the child is not detained.
25			
26	(b)	-Con	tinuance if nonreunification is requested
27			
28			etitioner alleges that section 361.5(b) is applicable, the court must continue the
29			eedings not more than 30 calendar days. The court must order the petitioner to
30			fy each parent or guardian of the contents of section 361.5(b) and must inform
31			parent that if reunification is not ordered at the disposition hearing, a section
32		366.	26 implementation hearing will be held and parental rights may be terminated.
33		_	
34	(c)	-Dete	ention pending continued hearing (§ 358)
35		CEN I	
36			court in its discretion may order release or detention of the child during the
37		cont	inuance.
38	n i	. E /0/	9 F-21 4
39	Kule	: 5.68	8. Failure to cooperate with services (§ 360(b))
40	(5)	D-4°	4:
41	(a)	- Peti	uon
42			

1 If the court has ordered services under section 360(b), and within the time period 2 consistent with section 301 the family is unable or unwilling to cooperate with the 3 services provided, a petition may be filed as provided in section 360(c). 4 5 (b) Order 6 7 At the hearing on the petition the court must dismiss the petition or order a new 8 disposition hearing to be conducted under rule 5.690. 9 10 Rule 5.690. General conduct of disposition hearing 11 12 Social study (§§ 280, 358, 358.1, 360, 361.5, 16002(b)) (a) 13 14 The petitioner must prepare a social study of the child. The social study must 15 include a discussion of all matters relevant to disposition and a recommendation for 16 disposition. 17 18 The petitioner must comply with the following when preparing the social (1) 19 study: 20 (A) - (B)***21 22 23 24 25 The social study should must include a discussion of the social (C) 26 worker's efforts to comply with rule 5.637, including but not limited to: 27 28 (i) - (iv)* * *29 30 (D) If siblings are not placed together, the social study must include an 31 explanation of why they have not been placed together in the same 32 home, what efforts are being made to place the siblings together, or 33 why making those efforts would be contrary to the safety and well-34 being of any of the siblings. 35 (D)(E) * * * 36 37 38 (E)(F) * * * 39 * * * 40 (2) 41 (b)

1 (c) Case plan (§ 16501.1) 2 3 Whenever child welfare services are provided, the social worker must prepare a 4 case plan. 5 6 (1) - (2)***7 8 For a child 12 years of age or older and in a permanent placement, the court 9 must consider the case plan and must find as follows: 10 (A) - (B)***11 12 13 14 15 (C) Whether the case plan was developed in compliance with and meets the requirements of section 16501.1(g). If the court finds that the 16 17 development of the case plan does not comply with section 16501.1(g) 18 the court must order the agency to comply with the requirements of 19 section 16501.1(g). 20 21 Rule 5.695. Findings and orders of the court—disposition 22 23 Orders of the court (§§ 245.5, 358, 360, 361, 361.2, 390) (a) 24 25 At the disposition hearing, the court may: 26 27 * * * (1) 28 29 (2) Place the child under a program of supervision as provided in for a time period consistent with section 301 and order that services be provided; 30 31 32 Appoint a legal guardian for the child without declaring dependency and (3) 33 order the clerk to issue letters of guardianship, which are not subject to the 34 confidential protections of juvenile court documents as described in section 35 827; 36 37 Declare dependency and appoint a legal guardian for the child if the (4) 38 requirements of section 360 are met and order the clerk to issue letters of 39 guardianship, which are not subject to the confidential protections of juvenile 40 court documents as described in section 827; 41 (5) - (7)***42

1	
2	
3	(b) Appointment of a legal guardian (§ 360)
4	
5	(1) At the disposition hearing, the court may appoint a legal guardian for the
6	child if:
7	
8	(A) The parent has advised the court that the parent does not wish to
9	receive family maintenance services or family reunification services;
10	
11	(B) The parent has executed and submitted Waiver of Reunification
12	Services (Juvenile Dependency) (form JV-195);
13	
14	(C) The court finds that the parent, and the child if of sufficient age and
15	comprehension, knowingly and voluntarily waive their rights to
16	reunification services and agree to the appointment of the legal
17	guardian; and
18	
19	(D) The court finds that the appointment of the legal guardian is in the best
20	interest of the child.
21	
22	(2) If the court appoints a legal guardian, it must:
23	
24	(A) State on the record or in the minutes that it has read and considered the
25	assessment;
26	
27	(B) State on the record or in the minutes its findings and the factual bases
28	for them;
29 30	(C) A divise the report that we requification complete will be effected on
31	(C) Advise the parent that no reunification services will be offered or
32	provided;
33	(D) Make any annuanciate and are recording visitation between the shild and
33	(D) Make any appropriate orders regarding visitation between the child and the parent or other relative, including any sibling; and
35	the parent of other relative, mentang any storing, and
36	(E) Order the clerk to issue letters of guardianship, which are not subject to
37	
38	the confidential protections of juvenile court documents as described in section 827.
39	section 627.
40	(3) The court may appoint a legal guardian without declaring the child a
40	dependent of the court. If dependency is declared, a six-month review hearing
41	must be set.
42	must be set.
+3	

(e)(b) * * * 1 2 3 (d)(c) Removal of custody—required findings (§ 361) 4 5 The court may not order a dependent removed from the physical custody of a 6 parent or guardian with whom the child resided at the time the petition was filed, 7 unless the court finds makes one or more of the findings in subdivision (c) of 8 section 361 by clear and convincing evidence. any of the following: 9 10 (1) There is a substantial danger to the physical health, safety, protection, or 11 physical or emotional well-being of the child, or will be if the child is 12 returned home, and there is no reasonable alternative means to protect that 13 child: 14 15 (2) The parent or guardian is unwilling to have physical custody of the child and 16 has been notified that if the child remains out of the parent's or guardian's 17 physical custody for the period specified in section 366.26, the child may be 18 declared permanently free of his or her custody and control; 19 20 (3) The child is suffering severe emotional damage, as indicated by extreme 21 anxiety, depression, withdrawal, or untoward aggressive behavior toward self 22 or others, and no reasonable alternative means to protect the child's 23 emotional health exists: 24 25 (4) The child has been sexually abused by a parent or guardian or member of the 26 household or other person known to his or her parent and there is no 27 reasonable alternative means to protect the child or the child does not wish to 28 return to the parent or guardian; or 29 30 (5) The child has been left without any provisions for his or her support and there 31 is no parent or guardian available to maintain or provide for the care, custody, 32 and control of the child. 33 34 (e)(d) Reasonable efforts finding 35 36 The court must consider whether reasonable efforts to prevent or eliminate the need 37 for removal have been made and make one of the following findings: 38 39 Reasonable efforts have been made to prevent removal; or (1) 40 41 Reasonable efforts have not been made to prevent removal. (2)

(f)(e) Family-finding determination (§ 309)

(1) If the child is removed, the court must consider and determine whether the social worker has exercised due diligence in conducting the required investigation to identify, locate, and notify the child's relatives. The court may consider the activities listed in (g)(f) as examples of due diligence. The court must document its determination by making a finding on the record.

If the dispositional hearing is continued, the court may set a hearing to be held 30 days from the date of removal or as soon as possible thereafter to consider and determine whether the social worker has exercised due diligence in conducting the required investigation to identify, locate, and notify the child's relatives.

(2) ***

(g)(f) Due diligence (§ 309)

When making the determination required in (f)(e), the court may consider, among other examples of due diligence, whether the social worker has done any of the following:

(1) - (7)***

(h)(g) Provision of reunification services (§ 361.5)

Unless the court makes a finding that reunification services need not be (1) provided under subdivision (b) of section 361.5 Except as provided in (6), if a child is removed from the custody of a parent or legal guardian, the court must order the county welfare department to provide reunification services to the child and the child's mother and statutorily presumed parent, or the child's legal guardian, to facilitate reunification of the family as required in section 361.5. For a child who was three years of age or older on the date of initial removal, services must be provided during the time period beginning with the dispositional hearing and ending 12 months after the date the child entered foster care, as defined by section 361.49. For a child who was under three years of age on the date of initial removal, services must be provided for a period of 6 months from the dispositional hearing, but no longer than 12 months from the date the child entered foster care, as defined by section 361.49. The time period for the provision of family reunification services must be calculated consistent with section 361.5(a). The court must inform the parent or legal guardian of a child who was under three when initially

removed that failure to participate regularly and make substantive progress in court-ordered treatment programs may result in the termination of reunification efforts after 6 months from the date of the dispositional hearing. (2) If a child is a member of a sibling group removed from parental custody at the same time, and one member of the sibling group was under three at the time of the initial removal, reunification services for some or all members of the sibling group may be limited to 6 months from the dispositional hearing, and no later than 12 months from the date the children entered foster care.

(3)(2)***

of the sibling group.

(4) Any motion to terminate reunification services before the permanency hearing set under section 366.21(f) for a child age three or older, or before the 6 month review hearing set under section 366.21(e) for a child under age three, must follow the requirements in section 388(c) and rule 5.570. A motion to terminate reunification services at the 6 month review hearing is not required if the court finds by clear and convincing evidence that one or more of the circumstances described in section 361.5(a)(2) and rule 5.710(c)(1)(A) is true.

The court must inform the parent or legal guardian of a child who is a

substantive progress in court-ordered treatment programs may result in

member of such a sibling group that failure to participate regularly and make

termination of reunification efforts after 6 months for one or more members

(5)(3)***

(6)(4) Reunification services must not be provided when the parent has voluntarily relinquished the child and the relinquishment has been filed with the State Department of Social Services, or if the court has appointed a guardian under section 360. Reunification services need not be provided to a parent or guardian if the court finds, by clear and convincing evidence, any of the following:

(A) The whereabouts of the parent or guardian are unknown. This finding must be supported by a declaration or by proof that a reasonably diligent search has failed to locate the parent. Posting or publishing notice is not required.

(B) The parent or guardian is suffering from a mental disability described in chapter 2 (commencing with section 7820) of part 4 of division 12 of

1 2	the Family Code that renders the parent incapable of using those services.
3	SCIVICCS.
4	(C) The child had been previously declared a dependent under any
5	subdivision of section 300 as a result of physical or sexual abuse;
6	following that adjudication the child had been removed from the
7	custody of the parent or guardian under section 361; the child has been
8	returned to the custody of the parent or guardian from whom the child
9	had been taken originally; and the child is being removed under section
10	361 because of additional physical or sexual abuse.
11	Fy
12	(D) The parent or guardian of the child has caused the death of another
13	child through abuse or neglect.
14	
15	(E) The child was brought within the jurisdiction of the court under (e) of
16	section 300 because of the conduct of that parent or guardian.
17	
18	(F) The child is a dependent as a result of the determination that the child,
19	sibling, or a half sibling suffered severe sexual abuse, as defined in
20	section 361.5(b)(6), by the parent or guardian or that the parent or
21	guardian inflicted severe physical harm, as defined in section
22	361.5(b)(6), on the child, a sibling, or a half-sibling, and the court finds
23	that attempts to reunify would not benefit the child. The court must
24	specify on the record the basis for the finding that the child suffered
25	severe sexual abuse or the infliction of severe physical harm.
26	
27	(G) The parent or guardian is not receiving reunification services for a
28	sibling or half sibling of the child, for reasons under (C), (E), or (F).
29	
30	(H) The child was conceived as a result of the parent having committed an
31	offense listed in Penal Code section 288 or 288.5, or by an act
32	described by either section but committed outside California.
33	
34	(I) The court has found that the child is described by (g) of section 300,
35	that the child was willfully abandoned by the parent or guardian, and
36	that the abandonment constituted serious danger to the child as defined
37	in section 361.5(b)(9).
38	
39	(J) The court has terminated reunification services for a sibling or half-
40	sibling of the child because the parent failed to reunify with the sibling
41	or half sibling, and the parent or guardian has not made a reasonable
42	effort to treat the problems that led to the removal of the sibling or half
43	sibling from that parent or guardian.

1 2 (K) The parental rights of a parent over any sibling or half-sibling of the 3 child have been terminated, and the parent has not subsequently made a 4 reasonable effort to treat the problem that led to the removal of the 5 sibling or half-sibling. 6 7 (L) The parent or guardian has been convicted of a violent felony as 8 defined in Penal Code section 667.5(c). 9 10 (M) The parent or guardian has a history of extensive, abusive, and chronic 11 use of alcohol or other drugs and has not sought or participated in 12 treatment during the three years immediately prior to the filing of the 13 petition under section 300, or has failed, on at least two prior occasions, 14 to comply with an available and accessible treatment program 15 described in the case plan required by section 358.1, and the removal of 16 the child is based in whole or in part on the risk to the child presented 17 by the use of alcohol or other drugs. 18 19 (N) The parent or guardian, who must be represented by counsel, has 20 advised the court through the execution and submission of Waiver of 21 Reunification Services (Juvenile Dependency) (form JV-195) that that 22 parent or guardian does not wish to receive family maintenance or 23 reunification services and does not wish the child returned or placed in 24 the custody of that parent or guardian. The court may accept the waiver 25 only on a finding on the record that the parent or guardian has 26 knowingly and intelligently waived the right to services. 27 28 (O) On at least one occasion, the parent or guardian has abducted the child 29 or a sibling or half-sibling from placement and has refused to disclose 30 the abducted child's whereabouts or has refused to return custody of the 31 abducted child to the placement or to the social worker. 32 33 (7) In deciding whether to order reunification in any case in which petitioner 34 alleges that section 361.5(b) applies, the court must consider the report 35 prepared by petitioner, which must discuss the factors contained in section 36 361.5(c). 37 38 (8) If the petitioner alleges that section 361.5(c) applies, the report prepared for 39 disposition must address the issue of reunification services. At the disposition 40 hearing, the court must consider the factors stated in section 361.5. 41 42 (9) If the court finds under (6)(A) that the whereabouts of the parent or guardian 43 are unknown and that a diligent search has failed to locate the parent or

guardian, the court may not order reunification services and must set the matter for a 6-month review hearing. If the parent or guardian is located prior to the 6-month review and requests reunification services, the welfare department must seek a modification of the disposition orders. The time limits for reunification services must be calculated from the date of the initial removal, and not from the date the parent is located or services are ordered.

1 2

(10) If the court finds that allegations under (6)(B) are proved, the court must nevertheless order reunification services unless evidence by mental health professionals establishes by clear and convincing evidence that the parent is unlikely to be able to care for the child within the next 12 months.

(11) If the court finds that the allegations under (6)(C), (D), (F), (G), (H), (I), (J), (K), (L), (M), (N), or (O) have been proved, the court may not order reunification services unless the party seeking the order for services proves by clear and convincing evidence that reunification is in the best interest of the child. If (6)(F) is found to apply, the court must consider the factors in section 361.5(h) in determining whether the child will benefit from services and must specify on the record the factual findings on which it based its determination that the child will not benefit.

(12) If the court finds that the allegations under (6)(E) have been proved, the court may not order reunification services unless it finds, based on consideration of factors in section 361.5(b) and (c), that services are likely to prevent reabuse or continued neglect or that failure to attempt reunification will be detrimental to the child.

(13) If the parent or guardian is institutionalized, incarcerated, or detained by the United States Department of Homeland Security, or has been deported to his or her country of origin, the court must order reunification services unless it finds by clear and convincing evidence that the services would be detrimental to the child, with consideration of the factors in section 361.5(e). The court may order reunification services with an institutionalized, incarcerated, detained, or deported biological father whose paternity has been declared by the juvenile court or another court of competent jurisdiction, if the court determines that such services would benefit the child, with consideration of the factors in section 361.5(e).

(14) (5) If, with the exception of (6)(A)Except when the order is made under paragraph (1) of subdivision (b) of section 361.5, if the court orders no reunification services for every parent otherwise eligible for such services under (1) and (2), the court must conduct a hearing under section 366.26 within 120 days and:

1 (A) - (B)* * *2 3 4 (15) (6) A judgment, order, or decree setting a hearing under section 366.26 is not 5 an immediately appealable order. Review may be sought only by filing a 6 Notice of Intent to File Writ Petition and Request for Record (California 7 Rules of Court, Rules 8.450) (form JV-820) or other notice of intent to file a 8 writ petition and request for record, and a Petition for Extraordinary Writ 9 (California Rules of Court, Rules 8.452, 8.456) (form JV-825) or other 10 petition for extraordinary writ. If a party wishes to preserve any right to 11 review on appeal of the findings and orders made under this rule, the party 12 must seek an extraordinary writ under rules 8.450 and 8.452. 13 14 (16) (7) A judgment, order, or decree setting a hearing under section 366.26 may be 15 reviewed on appeal following the order of the 366.26 hearing only if the 16 following have occurred: 17 18 An extraordinary writ was sought by the timely filing of a *Notice of* 19 Intent to File Writ Petition and Request for Record (California Rules of 20 Court, Rules 8.450) (form JV-820) or other notice of intent to file a writ 21 petition and request for record and a Petition for Extraordinary Writ 22 (California Rules of Court, Rules 8.452, 8.456) (form JV-825) or other 23 petition for extraordinary writ; and 24 25 (B) 26 27 (17) (8) * * * 28 29 (18) (9) Failure to file a notice of intent to file a writ petition and request for record 30 and a petition for extraordinary writ review within the period specified by 31 rules 8.450 and 8.452, to substantively address the issues challenged, or to 32 support the challenge by an adequate record precludes subsequent review on 33 appeal of the findings and orders made under this rule. 34 35 (19) (10) * * * 36 (i)(h) *** 37 38 39 (j) Setting 6-month review (§§ 361.5, 366) 40 41 Review of the status of every dependent child must be performed within 6 months after the date of the original disposition order, and no later than 6 months after the 42

date the child is determined to have entered foster care; the review must be

scheduled on the appearance calendar. The court must advise the dependent child of the child's right to petition for modifications of court orders as required in section 353.1.

(k) Fifteen-day reviews (§ 367)

1 2

If a child is detained pending the execution of the disposition order, the court must review the case at least every 15 calendar days to determine whether the delay is reasonable. During each review the court must inquire about the action taken by the probation or welfare department to carry out the court's order, the reasons for the delay, and the effect of the delay on the child.

(*l*)(i) ***

Rule 5.706. Family maintenance review hearings (§ 364)

(a) Setting of hearing (§ 364)

If the child remains in the custody of the parent or legal guardian, a review hearing must be held within six months after the date of the original dispositional hearing and no less frequently than once every six months thereafter as long as the child remains a dependent.

(b)(a) * * *

(c) Reports (§ 364)

At least 10 calendar days before the hearing, the petitioner must file a supplemental report with the court describing the services offered to the family, the progress made by the family in eliminating the conditions or factors requiring court supervision, and the petitioner's recommendation regarding the necessity of continued supervision. A copy of the report must be provided to all parties at least 10 calendar days before the hearing.

(d)(b) * * *

(e)(c) Conduct of hearing (§ 364)

(1) The court must determine whether continued supervision is necessary. The court must terminate its dependency jurisdiction unless the court finds that the petitioner has established by a preponderance of the evidence that existing conditions would justify initial assumption of jurisdiction under section 300 or that such conditions are likely to exist if supervision is withdrawn. Failure

of the parent or legal guardian to participate regularly in any court ordered treatment program constitutes prima facie evidence that the conditions that justified initial assumption of jurisdiction still exist and that continued supervision is necessary.

(2) If the court retains jurisdiction, the court must order continued services and set a review hearing within six months. under this rule. The court must determine whether continued supervision is necessary under section 364(c).

(f)(d) * * *

(g)(e) Child's education (§§ 361, 366, 366.1)

The court must consider the child's education, including whether it is necessary to limit the right of the parent or legal guardian to make educational <u>or</u> <u>developmental-services</u> decisions for the child, following the requirements and procedures in rules <u>5.649</u>, 5.650, and 5.651 and in section 361(a).

Rule 5.708. General review hearing requirements

(a) Setting of review hearings (§ 366)

The status of every dependent child who has been removed from the custody of the parent or legal guardian must be reviewed periodically but no less frequently than once every 6 months until the section 366.26 hearing is completed. Review hearings must be set as described in rule 5.710 (for 6 month review hearings), rule 5.715 (for 12 month permanency hearings), rule 5.720 (for 18 month permanency review hearings), or rule 5.722 (for 24 month subsequent permanency review hearings).

(b)(a) Notice of hearing (§ 293)

The petitioner or the clerk must serve written notice of review hearings on *Notice* of *Review Hearing* (form JV-280), in the manner provided in sections 224.2 or 293 as appropriate, to all persons or entities entitled to notice under sections 224.2 and 293 and to any CASA volunteer, educational rights holder, or surrogate parent appointed to the case.

(e)(b) * * *

(d) Return of child—detriment finding (§§ 366.21, 366.22, 366.25)

1 (1) If the child was removed from the custody of the parent or legal guardian, the court must order the child returned unless the court finds by a preponderance 3 of the evidence that return of the child to the parent or legal guardian would 4 create a substantial risk of detriment to the safety, protection, or physical or 5 emotional well-being of the child. The social worker has the burden of 6 establishing that detriment. 7 8 (2) The court must consider whether the child can be returned to the custody of 9 his or her parent who is enrolled in a certified substance abuse treatment 10 facility that allows a dependent child to reside with his or her parent. 11 12 (3) Failure of the parent or legal guardian to regularly participate and make 13 substantive progress in any court-ordered treatment program is prima facie 14 evidence that continued supervision is necessary or that return would be 15 detrimental. 16 17 (4) In making its determination about whether returning the child would be 18 detrimental, the court must consider the following: 19 20 (A) The social worker's report and recommendations and the report and 21 recommendations of any CASA volunteer who has been appointed on 22 the case; 23 24 (B) The efforts or progress demonstrated by the parent or legal guardian; 25 and 26 27 (C) The extent to which the parent or legal guardian availed himself or 28 herself of the services provided, taking into account the particular 29 barriers to an incarcerated or institutionalized parent or legal guardian's 30 access to court mandated services and the ability to maintain contact 31 with his or her child. 32 33 (5) If the parent or legal guardian agreed to submit fingerprints to obtain criminal 34 history information as part of the case plan, the court must consider the 35 criminal history of the parent or legal guardian after the child's removal to 36 the extent that the criminal record is substantially related to the welfare of the 37 child or the parent's or legal guardian's ability to exercise custody and control regarding his or her child. 38 39 40 (6) Regardless of whether the child is returned home, the court must specify the factual basis for its conclusion that the return would or would not be 41 42 detrimental.

1	(e)(c) Rea	sonable services (§§ 366, 366.21, 366.22, 366.25 <u>, 366.3</u>)
2 3	(1)	If the child is not returned to the custody of the parent or legal guardian, the
4		court must consider whether reasonable services have been offered or
5		provided. The court must find that:
6		
7		(A) <u>Rreasonable</u> services have been offered or provided; or
8		
9		(B) Reasonable services have not been offered or provided.
10	<u>(2)</u>	If the child is not returned to the custody of the parent or legal guardian, the
11		court must consider the safety of the child and make the findings listed in
12		sections 366(a) and 16002.
13	(a)	
14	(2)	The following factors are not sufficient, in and of themselves, to support a
15		finding that reasonable services have not been offered or provided:
16		
17		(A) The child has been placed in a preadoptive home or with a family that
18		is eligible to adopt the child;
19		(D) The case plan includes services to achieve level nermanenes for the
2021		(B) The case plan includes services to achieve legal permanence for the child if reunification cannot be accomplished; or
22		cinic it reunification cannot be accomprished, or
23		(C) Services to achieve legal permanence for the child if reunification
24		efforts fail are being provided concurrently with reunification services
25		crious run are being provided concurrently with realistication services
26	(f)(d) * * *	*
27	(<u>-) (-) (</u>	
28	(g)(e) Case	e plan (§§ 16001.9, 16501.1)
29	(8)	
30	The	court must consider the case plan submitted for the hearing and must
31		rmine:
32		
33	(1)	Whether the child was actively involved, as age- and developmentally
34		appropriate, in the development of his or her own the case plan and plan for
35		permanent placement. If the court finds that the child or youth was not
36		appropriately involved, the court must order the agency to actively involve
37		the child in the development of his or her own the case plan and plan for
38		permanent placement, unless the court finds that the child is unable,
39		unavailable, or unwilling to participate.
40		
41	(2)	Whether each parent or legal guardian was actively involved in the
42		development of the case plan and plan for permanent placement. If the court
43		finds that any parent or legal guardian was not actively involved, the court

1 must order the agency to actively involve that parent or legal guardian in the 2 development of the case plan and plan for permanent placement, unless the 3 court finds that the parent is unable, unavailable, or unwilling to participate. 4 5 (3) - (4)***6 7 8 9 (5) Whether the case plan was developed in compliance with and meets the requirements of section 16501.1(g). If the court finds that the development of 10 11 the case plan does not comply with section 16501.1(g), the court must order 12 the agency to comply with the requirements of section 16501.1(g). 13 14 (h) Out-of-state placement (§§ 361.21, 366) 15 16 If the child has been placed out of the state, the court must consider whether the 17 placement continues to be the most appropriate placement for the child and in the 18 child's best interest. If the child is in an out-of-state group home, the court must 19 follow the requirements in section 361.21. 20 21 (i) Title IV-E findings (§ 366) 22 23 Regardless of whether or not the child is returned home, the court must consider the 24 safety of the child and must determine all of the following: 25 26 (1) The continuing necessity for and appropriateness of the placement; 27 28 (2) The extent of the agency's compliance with the case plan in making reasonable 29 efforts or, in the case of an Indian child, active efforts as described in section 361.7. to return the child to a safe home and to complete any steps necessary 30 31 to finalize the permanent placement of the child. These steps include efforts to 32 maintain relationships between a child who is 10 years or older who has been 33 in an out-of-home placement for 6 months or longer and individuals other than 34 the child's siblings who are important to the child, consistent with the child's 35 best interest: 36 37 (3) The extent of progress that has been made by the parents or legal guardians 38 toward alleviating or mitigating the causes necessitating placement in foster 39 care; and 40 41 (4) The likely date by which the child may be returned to and safely maintained in 42 the home or placed for adoption, legal guardianship, or in another planned

permanent living arrangement.

1	
2	(<u>j)(f)</u> * * *
3	
4	(k)(g) * * *
5	
6	(() (h) ***
7	
8	(m) Setting a hearing under section 366.26; reasonable services requirement (§§
9	366.21, 366.22)
10	
11	At any 6-month, 12-month, or 18-month hearing, the court may not set a hearing
12	under section 366.26 unless the court finds by clear and convincing evidence that
13	reasonable services have been provided or offered to the parent or legal guardian.
14	
15	(n)(i) Requirements on setting a section 366.26 hearing (§§ 366.21, 366.22, 366.25)
16	
17	The court must make the following orders and determinations when setting a
18	hearing under section 366.26:
19	
20	(1) The court must terminate reunification services to the parent or legal guardian
21	and:
22	
23	(A) Order that the social worker provide a copy of the child's birth
24	certificate to the caregiver as consistent with sections 16010.4(e)(5) and
25	16010.5(b) (c); and
26	
27	(B) Order that the social worker provide a child 16 years of age or older
28	with a copy of his or her birth certificate unless the court finds that
29	provision of the birth certificate would be inappropriate.
30	
31	(2) The court must continue to permit the parent or legal guardian to visit the
32	child, unless it finds that visitation would be detrimental to the child;
33	
34	(3) If the child is 10 years of age or older and is placed in an out-of-home
35	placement for 6 months or longer, the court must enter any other appropriate
36	orders to enable the child to maintain relationships with other individuals
37	who are important to the child, consistent with the child's best interest.
38	Specifically, the court:
39	(A) Must determine whether the course has identified in distilled.
40	(A) Must determine whether the agency has identified individuals, in
41	addition to the child's siblings, who are important to the child and will
42	maintain caring, permanent relationships with the child, consistent with
43	the child's best interest;

1	
2	(B) Must determine whether the agency has made reasonable efforts to
3	nurture and maintain the child's relationships with those individuals,
4	consistent with the child's best interest; and
5	
6	(C) May make any appropriate order to ensure that those relationships are
7	maintained.
8	
9	(4) The court must direct the county child welfare agency and the appropriate
10	county or state adoption agency to prepare an assessment under section
11	366.21(i), 366.22(c), or 366.25(b);
12	
13	(5)(1) The court must ensure that notice is provided as required by section 294.
14	
15	(6)(2) The court must follow all procedures in rule 5.590 regarding writ petition
16	rights, advisements, and forms.
17	
18	(0) (j) * * *
19	
20	Rule 5.710. Six-month review hearing
21	
22	(a) Setting 6-month review; notice (§§ 364, 366, 366.21)
23	
24	The case of any dependent child whom the court has removed from the custody of
25	the parent or legal guardian under section 361 or 361.5 must be set for a review
26	hearing within 6 months of the date of the dispositional hearing, but no later than
27	12 months from the date the child entered foster care, as defined by section 361.49,
28	whichever occurs earlier. Notice must be provided as described in section 293 and
29	rule 5.708.
30	
31	(b)(a) Determinations and conduct of hearing (§§ 364, 366, 366.1, 366.21)
32	
33	At the hearing, the court and all parties must comply with all relevant requirements
34	and procedures in rule 5.708, General review hearing requirements. The court must
35	make all appropriate findings and orders specified in rule 5.708 and proceed <u>under</u>
36	section 366.21(e) and as follows:
37	
38	(1) Order return of the child or find that return would be detrimental
39	()
40	The court must order the child returned to the custody of the parent or legal
41	guardian unless the court finds that the petitioner has established by a
12	preponderance of the evidence that return would create a substantial risk of
13	detriment to the safety, protection, or physical or emotional well-being of the

1 child. The requirements in rule 5.708(d) must be followed in establishing 2 detriment. The requirements in rule 5.708(e) must be followed in entering a 3 reasonable services finding. If the child is returned, the court may order the 4 termination of dependency jurisdiction or order continued dependency 5 services and set a review hearing within 6 months. 6 7 (2) Place with noncustodial parent 8 9 If the court has previously placed or at this hearing places the child with a 10 noncustodial parent, the court must follow the procedures in rule 5.708(k)(g) 11 and section 361.2. 12 13 (3) Set a section 366.26 hearing 14 15 If the court does not return custody of the child to the parent or legal 16 guardian, the court may set a hearing under section 366.26 within 120 days, 17 as provided in (c)(b). 18 19 (4) Continue the case for a 12-month permanency hearing 20 21 If the child is not returned and the court does not set a section 366.26 hearing, 22 the court must order that any reunification services previously ordered will 23 continue to be offered to the parent or legal guardian, if appropriate. The 24 court may modify those services as appropriate or order additional services 25 reasonably believed to facilitate the return of the child to the parent or legal 26 guardian. The court must set a date for the next hearing no later than 12 27 months from the date the child entered foster care as defined in section 28 361.49. 29 30 (e)(b) Setting a section 366.26 hearing (§§ 366.21, 366.215) 31 32 The court may set a hearing under section 366.26 within 120 days if any of (1) 33 the conditions in section 366.21(e) are met; or ÷ 34 35 (A) The child was removed under section 300(g) and the court finds by clear and convincing evidence that the parent's whereabouts are still 36 37 unknown, or the parent has failed to contact and visit the child, or the 38 parent has been convicted of a felony indicating parental unfitness. The 39 court must take into account any particular barriers to a parent's ability to maintain contact with his or her child due to the parent's 40 41 incarceration or institutionalization:

1	(B)	The court finds by clear and convincing evidence that the parent has
2		been convicted of a felony indicating parental unfitness;
3		
4	(C)	Tthe parent is deceased. ; or
5		
6	(D)	The child was under the age of three when initially removed, or a
7		member of a sibling group described in section 361.5(a)(1)(C), and the
8		court finds by clear and convincing evidence that the parent has failed
9		to participate regularly and make substantive progress in any court
10		ordered treatment plan. If, however, the court finds a substantial
11		probability that the child may be returned within 6 months or within 12
12		months of the date the child entered foster care, whichever is sooner, or
13		that reasonable services have not been offered or provided, the court
14		must continue the case to the 12 month permanency hearing.
15		
16		(i) In order to find a substantial probability that the child may be
17		returned within the applicable time period, the court should
18		consider the following factors along with any other relevant
19		evidence:
20		
21		a. Whether the parent or legal guardian has consistently and
22		regularly contacted and visited the child;
23		
24		b. Whether the parent or legal guardian has made significant
25		progress in resolving the problems that led to the removal
26		of the child; and
27		
28		c. Whether the parent or legal guardian has demonstrated the
29		capacity and ability to complete the objectives of the
30		treatment plan and to provide for the child's safety,
31		protection, physical and emotional health, and special
32		needs.
33		
34		(ii) The court, in determining whether court ordered services may be
35		extended to the 12 month point, must take into account any
36		particular barriers to a parent's or guardian's ability to maintain
37		contact with his or her child due to the parent's or guardian's
38		incarceration, institutionalization, detention by the United States
39		Department of Homeland Security, or deportation. The court may
40		also consider, among other factors, whether the incarcerated,
41		institutionalized, detained, or deported parent or guardian has
42		made good faith efforts to maintain contact with the child and

1		whether there are any other barriers to the parent's or guardian's
2		access to services.
3		
4		(2) ***
5		
6	(d)	Sibling groups (§ 366.21)
7		
8		In determining whether to set a hearing under section 366.26 for one or more
9		members of a sibling group when one member of that group was under the age of
10		three at the time of the initial removal, the court may terminate or continue services
11		for any or all members of the group, based on the following considerations and for
12		reasons specified on the record:
13		
14		(1) Whether the siblings were removed as a group;
15		
16		(2) The closeness and strength of the sibling bond;
17		
18		(3) The ages of the siblings;
19		
20		(4) The appropriateness of maintaining the sibling group together;
21		
22		(5) The detriment to the child if sibling ties are not maintained;
23		
24		(6) The likelihood of finding a permanent home for the group;
25		
26		(7) Whether the group is placed together in a preadoptive home, if there is a
27		concurrent plan for permanency for all siblings in the same home;
28		
29		(8) The wishes of each child; and
30		
31		(9) The best interest of each member of the sibling group.
32		
33	Rule	e 5.715. Twelve-month permanency hearing
34		•
35	(a)	Requirement for 12-month review; setting of hearing; notice (§§ 293, 366.21)
36	. ,	
37		The case of any dependent child whom the court has removed from the custody of
38		the parent or legal guardian must be set for a permanency hearing within 12 months
39		of the date the child entered foster care, as defined in section 361.49, and no later
40		than 18 months from the date of the initial removal. Notice of the hearing must be
41		provided as described in section 293 and rule 5.708.

1 Determinations and conduct of hearing (§§ 309(e), 361.5, 366, 366.1, 366.21) 2 3 At the hearing, the court and all parties must comply with all relevant requirements 4 and procedures in rule 5.708, General review hearing requirements. The court must 5 make all appropriate findings and orders specified in rule 5.708 and proceed under 6 section 366.21(f) and as follows: 7 8 (1) The court must order the child returned to the custody of the parent or legal 9 guardian unless the court finds the petitioner has established, by a 10 preponderance of the evidence, that return would create a substantial risk of 11 detriment to the safety, protection, or physical or emotional well-being of the 12 child. Failure of the parent or legal guardian to regularly participate and make 13 substantive progress in a court-ordered treatment program is prima facie 14 evidence that return would be detrimental. The requirements in rule 5.708(d) 15 must be followed in establishing detriment. 16 17 (2)(1) The requirements in rule 5.708(e)(c) must be followed in entering a 18 reasonable services finding. 19 20 (3)(2) If the court has previously placed or at this hearing places the child with a 21 noncustodial parent, the court must follow the procedures in rule 5.708(k)(g) 22 and section 361.2. 23 24 (4)(3) If the court does not order return of the child to the parent or legal guardian 25 and the time period for providing court-ordered services has been met or 26 exceeded, as provided in section 361.5(a)(1), the court must specify the 27 factual basis for its finding of risk of detriment to the child and proceed as 28 follows in selecting a permanent plan: 29 30 (A) If the court finds that there is a substantial probability that the child will 31 be returned within 18 months or that reasonable services have not been 32 offered or provided, the court must continue the case for a permanency 33 review hearing to a date not later than 18 months from the date of the 34 initial removal. If the court continues the case for an 18-month 35 permanency review hearing, the court must inform the parent or legal 36 guardian that if the child cannot be returned home by the next hearing, 37 a proceeding under section 366.26 may be instituted. 38 39 (i) In order to find a substantial probability that the child will be

the following:

returned within the 18 month period, the court must find all of

40

41

1	a. The parent or legal guardian has consistently and regularly
2	contacted and visited the child:
3	contacted and visited the chira,
4	b. The parent or legal guardian has made significant progress in
5	resolving the problems that led to the removal of the child;
6	and
7	
8	c. The parent or legal guardian has demonstrated the capacity
9	and ability to complete the objectives of the treatment plan
10	and to provide for the child's safety, protection, physical
11	and emotional health, and special needs.
12	and emotional nearth, and special needs.
13	(ii) In determining whether court ordered services may be extended
14	to the 18-month point, the court must consider the special
15	circumstances of a parent or legal guardian who is incarcerated,
16	institutionalized or court-ordered to a residential substance abuse
17	treatment program, or arrested and issued an immigration hold,
18	detained by the United States Department of Homeland Security,
19	or deported to his or her country of origin, including, but not
20	limited to, barriers to the parent's or legal guardian's access to
21	services and ability to maintain contact with his or her child. The
22	court must also consider, among other factors, good faith efforts
23	that the parent or legal guardian has made to maintain contact
24	with the child.
25	
26	(B) If (1), (4)(A), or (4)(C) do not apply, the court must terminate
27	reunification services and order a hearing under section 366.26 within
28	120 days. The court and all parties must comply with all relevant
29	requirements, procedures, findings, and orders related to section 366.26
30	hearings in rule 5.708.
31	
32	(C) If the court finds by clear and convincing evidence, including a
33	recommendation by the appropriate state or county adoption agency,
34	that there is a compelling reason for determining that a section 366.26
35	hearing is not in the best interest of the child because the child is not a
36	proper subject for adoption and has no one willing to accept legal
37	guardianship:
38	
39	(i) The court must terminate reunification services and order that the
40	child remain in a planned permanent living arrangement.
41	

1	(ii) If the court orders that the child remain in a planned permanent
2	living arrangement, it must identify the foster care setting by
3	name and identify a specific permanency goal for the child.
4	
5	(iii) The court may order that the name and address of the foster home
6	remain confidential.
7	
8	(iv) The court must continue to permit the parent or legal guardian to
9	visit the child, unless it finds that visitation would be detrimental
10	to the child.
11	
12	(v) If the child is 10 years of age or older and is placed in out of
13	home placement for six months or longer, the court must enter
14	any other appropriate orders to enable the child to maintain
15	relationships with other individuals who are important to the
16	child, consistent with the child's best interest. Specifically, the
17	court:
18	
19	a. Must determine whether the agency has identified
20	individuals, in addition to the child's siblings, who are
21	important to the child and will maintain caring, permanent
22	relationships with the child, consistent with the child's best
23	interest;
24	
25	b. Must determine whether the agency has made reasonable
26	efforts to nurture and maintain the child's relationships
27	with those individuals, consistent with the child's best
28	interest; and
29	
30	 May make any appropriate order to ensure that those
31	relationships are maintained.
32	
33	(5)(4) In the case of an Indian child, I if the child is not returned to his or her parent
34	or legal guardian, the court must consider and state, for the record, in-state
35	and out-of-state options for permanent placement, including, in the case of an
36	Indian child, determine whether:
37	
38	(A) The agency has consulted the child's tribe about tribal customary
39	adoption;
40	
41	(B) The child's tribe concurs with tribal customary adoption; and
42	

1		(C)	Tribal customary adoption is an appropriate permanent plan for the
2			child.
3			
4	<u>(5)</u>	If the	e child is not returned to his or her parent or legal guardian and the court
5		term	inates reunification services, the court must find as follows:
6			
7		(A)	The agency has made diligent efforts to locate an appropriate relative;
8			<u>or</u>
9			
10		<u>(B)</u>	The agency has not made diligent efforts to locate an appropriate
11			relative. If the court makes such a finding, the court or administrative
12			review panel must order the agency to make diligent efforts to locate an
13			appropriate relative; and
14			
15		<u>(C)</u>	Each relative whose name has been submitted to the agency as a
16			possible caregiver has been evaluated as an appropriate placement
17			resource; or
18			
19		(D)	Each relative whose name has been submitted to the agency as a
20		·	possible caregiver has not been evaluated as an appropriate placement
21			resource. If the court makes such a finding, the court must order the
22			agency to evaluate as an appropriate placement resource, each relative
23			whose name has been submitted to the agency as a possible caregiver.
24			
25	Rule 5.720). Eig	hteen-month permanency review hearing
26			
27	•		ent for 18-month permanency review hearing; setting of hearing;
28	notic	e (§§	293, 366.22)
29			
30		,	pendent child whom the court has removed from the custody of the
31			egal guardian, and who was not returned at the 6 or 12 month review
32			permanency review hearing must be held no later than 18 months from
33			the initial removal. Notice of the hearing must be given as provided in
34	secti-	on 29.	3 and rule 5.708(b).
35			
36	(b) (a) Dete	ermin	ations and conduct of hearing (§§ 309(e), 361.5, 366.22)
37		_	
38			ring the court and all parties must comply with all relevant requirements
39	-		lures in rule 5.708, General review hearing requirements. The court must
40		-	ppropriate findings and orders specified in rule 5.708, and proceed <u>under</u>
41	secti	on 360	6.22 and as follows:

- 1 (1)The court must order the child returned to the custody of the parent or legal 2 guardian unless the court finds the petitioner has established, by a 3 preponderance of the evidence, that return would create a substantial risk of 4 detriment to the safety, protection, or physical or emotional well-being of the 5 child. Failure of the parent or legal guardian to regularly participate and make 6 substantive progress in a court-ordered treatment program is prima facie 7 evidence that continued supervision is necessary or that return would be 8 detrimental. The requirements in rule 5.708(d) must be followed in 9 establishing detriment. The requirements in rule 5.708(e) must be followed in 10 entering a reasonable services finding. 11 12 (2)(1) If the court has previously placed or at this hearing places the child with a 13 noncustodial parent, the court must follow the procedures in rule 5.708(k)(g) 14 and section 361.2. 15 16 (3)(2) If the court does not order return of the child to the custody of the parent or 17 legal guardian, the court must specify the factual basis for its finding of risk 18
 - of detriment and do one of the following:

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36 37

38

39 40

41

42

(A) Continue the case for a subsequent permanency review hearing not later than 24 months from the date of the initial removal if the court finds that there is a substantial probability that the child will be returned within that time or that reasonable services have not been offered or provided. To extend services to the 24-month point, the court must also find by clear and convincing evidence that additional reunification services are in the best interest of the child and that the parent or legal guardian is making significant and consistent progress in a substance abuse treatment program, or a parent or legal guardian has recently been discharged from incarceration, institutionalization, or the custody of the United States Department of Homeland Security and is making significant and consistent progress in establishing a safe home for the child's return. The court must also inform the parent or legal guardian that, if the child cannot be returned home by the subsequent permanency review hearing, a hearing under section 366.26 may be instituted.

In order to find a substantial probability that the child will be returned within the 24-month period, the court must find all of the following:

The parent or legal guardian has consistently and regularly contacted and visited the child;

1 2 3	(ii) The parent or legal guardian has made significant and consistent progress in the prior 18 months in resolving the problems that led to the removal of the child; and
4	
5	(iii) The parent or legal guardian has demonstrated the capacity and
6	ability both to complete the objectives of his or her substance
7	abuse treatment plan as evidenced by reports from a substance
8	abuse provider, as applicable, or to complete a treatment plan
9	postdischarge from incarceration, institutionalization, or
10	detention or following deportation to his or her country of origin
11	or his or her return to the United States, and to provide for the
12	child's safety, protection, physical and emotional health, and
13	special needs.
14	
15	(B) Terminate reunification services and order that the child remain in a
16	planned permanent living arrangement, if it finds by clear and
17	convincing evidence already presented, including a recommendation by
18	the appropriate state or county adoption agency, that there is a
19	compelling reason for determining that a section 366.26 hearing is not
20	in the best interest of the child because the child is not a proper subject
21	for adoption and has no one willing to accept legal guardianship.
22	
23	(i) If the court orders that the child remain in a planned permanent
24	living arrangement, it must identify the foster care setting by
25	name and identify a specific permanency goal for the child.
26	
27	(ii) The court may order that the name and address of the foster home
28	remain confidential.
29	
30	(iii) The court must continue to permit the parent or legal guardian to
31	visit the child, unless it finds that visitation would be detrimental
32	to the child;
33	
34	(iv) If the child is 10 years of age or older and is placed in out of
35	home placement for six months or longer, the court must enter
36	any other appropriate orders to enable the child to maintain
37	relationships with other individuals who are important to the
38	child, consistent with the child's best interest. Specifically, the
39	court:
40	
41	 a. Must determine whether the agency has identified
42	individuals, in addition to the child's siblings, who are
43	important to the child and will maintain caring, permanent

1 2			relationships with the child, consistent with the child's best interest;
3			
4			b. Must determine whether the agency has made reasonable
5			efforts to nurture and maintain the child's relationships
6			with those individuals, consistent with the child's best
7			interest; and
8			
9			 May make any appropriate order to ensure that those
10			relationships are maintained.
11			
12		(C)	If (1), (3)(A), or (3)(B) do not apply, the court must terminate
13			reunification services and order a hearing under section 366.26 within
14			120 days. The court and all parties must comply with all relevant
15			requirements, procedures, and findings and orders related to section
16			366.26 hearings in rule 5.708.
17			
18	(4) (3)	<u>In th</u>	e case of an Indian child, If the child is not returned to his or her parent
19		or leg	gal guardian, the court must consider and state, for the record, in state
20		and c	out of state options for permanent placement, including, in the case of an
21		India	n child, <u>determine</u> whether:
22			
23		(A)	The agency has consulted the child's tribe about tribal customary
24			adoption;
25			
26		(B)	The child's tribe concurs with tribal customary adoption; and
27			
28		(C)	Tribal customary adoption is an appropriate permanent plan for the
29			child.
30			
31	<u>(4)</u>	If the	child is not returned to his or her parent or legal guardian and the court
32		<u>termi</u>	nates reunification services, the court must find as follows:
33			
34		(A)	The agency has made diligent efforts to locate an appropriate relative;
35			<u>or</u>
36			
37		<u>(B)</u>	The agency has not made diligent efforts to locate an appropriate
38			relative. If the court makes such a finding, the court must order the
39			agency to make diligent efforts to locate an appropriate relative; and
40			
41		<u>(C)</u>	Each relative whose name has been submitted to the agency as a
42			possible caregiver has been evaluated as an appropriate placement
43			resource; or

1 2 (D) Each relative whose name has been submitted to the agency as a 3 possible caregiver has not been evaluated as an appropriate placement resource. If the court makes such a finding, the court must order the 4 5 agency to evaluate as an appropriate placement resource, each relative 6 whose name has been submitted to the agency as a possible caregiver. 7 8 Rule 5.722. Twenty-four-month subsequent permanency review hearing 9 10 (a) Requirement for 24-month subsequent permanency review hearing; setting of 11 hearing; notice (§ 366.25) 12 13 For any dependent child whom the court has removed from the custody of the 14 parent or legal guardian, and whose case has been continued under section 15 366.22(b), the subsequent permanency review hearing must be held no later than 24 months from the date of initial removal. Notice must be provided as described in 16 17 rule 5.708. 18 19 (b)(a) Determinations and conduct of hearing (§§ 309(e), 366, 366.1, 366.25) 20 21 At the hearing, the court and all parties must comply with all relevant requirements 22 and procedures in rule 5.708, General review hearing requirements. The court must 23 make all appropriate findings and orders specified in rule 5.708, and proceed under 24 section 366.25 and as follows: 25 26 (1) The court must order the child returned to the custody of the parent or legal 27 guardian unless the court finds that petitioner has established by a 28 preponderance of the evidence that return would create a substantial risk of 29 detriment to the safety, protection, or physical or emotional well-being of the 30 child. Failure of the parent or legal guardian to regularly participate and make 31 substantive progress in a court-ordered treatment program is prima facie 32 evidence that return would be detrimental. The requirements in rule 5.708(d) 33 must be followed in establishing detriment. The requirements in rule 34 5.708(e)(c) must be followed in entering a reasonable services finding. 35 36 (2) If the court does not order the return of the child to the custody of the parent 37 or legal guardian, the court must specify the factual basis for its finding of 38 risk of detriment and do one of the following:. 39 40 (A) If the court finds by clear and convincing evidence, including a 41 recommendation by the appropriate state or county adoption agency, 42 that there is a compelling reason for determining that a section 366.26 43 hearing is not in the best interest of the child because the child is not a

1	proper subject for adoption and has no one willing to accept legal
2	guardianship, the court must terminate reunification services and order
3	that the child remain in a planned permanent living arrangement.
4	
5	(i) If the court orders that the child remain in a planned permanent
6	living arrangement, it must identify the foster care setting by
7	name and identify a specific permanency goal for the child.
8	
9	(ii)(3) The court may order that the name and address of the foster
10	home remain confidential.
11	
12	(iii) The court must continue to permit the parent or legal guardian to
13	visit the child, unless it finds that visitation would be detrimental
14	to the child.
15	
16	(iv) If the child is 10 years of age or older and is placed in out of
17	home placement for six months or longer, the court must enter
18	any other appropriate orders to enable the child to maintain
19	relationships with other individuals who are important to the
20	child, consistent with the child's best interest. Specifically, the
21	court:
22	
23	a. Must determine whether the agency has identified
24	individuals, in addition to the child's siblings, who are
25	important to the child and will maintain caring, permanent
26	relationships with the child, consistent with the child's best
27	interest;
28	,
29	b. Must determine whether the agency has made reasonable
30	efforts to nurture and maintain the child's relationships
31	with those individuals, consistent with the child's best
32	interest; and
33	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
34	c. May make any appropriate order to ensure that those
35	relationships are maintained.
36	
37	(B) If (1) or (2)(A) do not apply, the court must terminate reunification
38	services and order that a hearing be held under section 366.26 within
39	120 days. The court and all parties must comply with all relevant
40	requirements, procedures, findings, and orders related to section 366.26
41	hearings in rule $5.708(l)$ (o). (h)–(j).

2				gal guardian, the court must consider and state, for the record, in state
3				out of state options for permanent placement, including, in the case of ar
4			India	an child, <u>determine</u> whether:
5			(A)	
6			(A)	The agency has consulted the child's tribe about tribal customary
7				adoption;
8			(D)	The shild's tailed concerns with tailed contamons adoptions and
9			(B)	The child's tribe concurs with tribal customary adoption; and
10 11			(C)	Tribal customary adoption is an appropriate permanent plan for the
12			(C)	child.
13				ciliu.
14		<u>(5)</u>	If the	e child is not returned to his or her parent or legal guardian and the court
15		(3)		inates reunification services, the court must find as follows:
16			term	mates reunification services, the court must find as follows.
17			(A)	The agency has made diligent efforts to locate an appropriate relative;
18			(11)	or
19				<u>or</u>
20			<u>(B)</u>	The agency has not made diligent efforts to locate an appropriate
21			(2)	relative. If the court makes such a finding, the court must order the
22				agency to make diligent efforts to locate an appropriate relative; and
23				agency to mane emigent energy to receive an apprepriate retain to, and
24			<u>(C)</u>	Each relative whose name has been submitted to the agency as a
25			<u> </u>	possible caregiver has been evaluated as an appropriate placement
26				resource; or
27				
28			(D)	Each relative whose name has been submitted to the agency as a
29				possible caregiver has not been evaluated as an appropriate placement
30				resource. If the court makes such a finding, the court must order the
31				agency to evaluate as an appropriate placement resource, each relative
32				whose name has been submitted to the agency as a possible caregiver.
33				
34	Rule	e 5.725	5. Sel	ection of permanent plan (§§ <u>366.24,</u> 366.26, 727.31)
35				
36	(a)	App	licatio	on of rule
37				
38		This	rule a	pplies to children who have been declared dependents or wards of the
39		juve	nile co	ourt.
40				
41		(1)	Only	section 366.26 and division 12, part 3, chapter 5 (commencing with
42			secti	on 7660) of the Family Code or Family Code sections 8604, 8605, 8606,

(3)(4) In the case of an Indian child, Iif the child is not returned to his or her parent

1		and 8700 apply for the termination of parental rights. Part 4 (commencing
2		with section 7800) of division 12 of the Family Code does not apply.
3		(2)(1) The count may not to main to the rights of only one nonent and on section
4		(2)(1) The court may not terminate the rights of only one parent under section
5		366.26 unless that parent is the only surviving parent; or unless the rights of
6		the other parent have been terminated under division 12, part 3, chapter 5
7		(commencing with section 7660), or division 12, part 4 (commencing with
8 9		section 7800) of the Family Code, or Family Code sections 8604, 8605, or 8606 by a California court of competent jurisdiction or by a court of
10		competent jurisdiction of another state under the statutes of that state; or
11		unless the other parent has relinquished custody of the child to the welfare
12		department.
13		department.
14		(3)(2) Only sections 366.26 and 727.31 apply applies for establishing legal
15		guardianship.
16		Suardianomp.
17		(4)(3) For termination of the parental rights of an Indian child, the procedures in
18		this rule and in rule 5.485 must be followed.
19		this rate and in rate 3. 103 mast be followed.
20	(b)	Notice of hearing (§ 294)
21	(6)	Trottee of hearing (3 224)
22		In addition to the requirements stated in section 294, notice must be given to any
23		CASA volunteer, the child's present caregiver Indian custodian, and any de facto
24		parent on <i>Notice of Hearing on Selection of a Permanent Plan</i> (form JV-300).
25		parent on thouse of freating on selection of a fermanent fram (form \$1, 500).
26	(c)	* * *
27	(C)	
28	(d)	Conduct of hearing
29	(u)	conduct of nearing
30		At the hearing, the court must state on the record that the court has read and
31		considered the report of petitioner, the report of any CASA volunteer, the case plan
32		submitted for this hearing, any report submitted by the child's caregiver under
33		section 366.21(d), and any other evidence, and must proceed <u>under section 366.26</u>
34		and as follows:
35		<u></u> us 1010 HS.
36		(1) In the case of an Indian child, after the agency has consulted with the tribe,
37		when the court has determined with the concurrence of the tribe that tribal
38		customary adoption is the appropriate permanent plan for the child, order a
39		tribal customary adoption in accordance with section 366.24.; or
40		
41		(2) Order parental rights terminated and the child placed for adoption if the court
42		determines, by clear and convincing evidence, that it is likely the child will
43		be adopted, unless:
		.

- (A) At each and every hearing at which the court was required to consider reasonable efforts or services, the court has found that reasonable efforts were not made or that reasonable services were not offered or provided; or
- (B) The child is living with a relative who is unable or unwilling to adopt the child because of circumstances that do not include an unwillingness to accept legal or financial responsibility for the child, but who is willing and capable of providing the child with a stable and permanent environment through legal guardianship, and removal from the home of the relative would be detrimental to the emotional well being of the child. For an Indian child, "relative" includes an "extended family member," as defined in the federal Indian Child Welfare Act (25 U.S.C. §1903(2)); or
- (C) The court finds a compelling reason to determine that termination would be detrimental to the child because of the existence of one of the following circumstances:
 - (i) The parents or guardians have maintained regular visitation and contact with the child and the child would benefit from continuing the relationship;
 - (ii) A child 12 years of age or older objects to termination of parental rights;
 - (iii) The child is placed in a residential treatment facility and adoption is unlikely or undesirable while the child remains in that placement, and continuation of parental rights will not prevent the finding of an adoptive home if the parents cannot resume custody when residential care is no longer needed;
 - (iv) The child is living with a foster parent or Indian custodian who is unable or unwilling to adopt the child because of exceptional circumstances, but who is willing and capable of providing the child with a stable and permanent home, and removal from the home of the foster parent or Indian custodian would be detrimental to the emotional well being of the child. This exception does not apply to (1) a child under 6 or (2) a child who has a sibling under 6 who is also a dependent and with whom the child should be placed permanently; or

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40 41

42

- (v) There would be a substantial interference with the child's relationship with a sibling, taking into consideration the nature and extent of the relationship. To make this determination, the court may consider whether the child was raised in the same home as the sibling, whether the child and the sibling shared common experiences or have close and strong bonds, and whether ongoing contact with the sibling is in the child's best interest. For purposes of this subdivision, determination of the child's best interest may include a comparison of the child's long-term emotional interest with the benefit of legal permanence
- (vi) The child is an Indian child and termination of parental rights would substantially interfere with the child's connection to his or her tribal community or the child's tribal membership rights, or the child's tribe has identified guardianship, long term foster care with a fit and willing relative, tribal customary adoption, or another planned permanent living arrangement as the appropriate permanent plan for the child.
- (3) The court must not fail to find that the child is likely to be adopted based on the fact that the child is not yet placed in a preadoptive home or with a relative or foster family willing to adopt the child.
- (4)(2) The party claiming that termination of parental rights would be detrimental to the child has the burden of proving the detriment.
- (5) If the court finds termination of parental rights to be detrimental to the child for reasons stated in (2)(B), the court must state the reasons in writing or on
- (6) If termination of parental rights would not be detrimental to the child, but the child is difficult to place for adoption because the child (1) is a member of a sibling group that should stay together; (2) has a diagnosed medical, physical, or mental handicap; or (3) is 7 years of age or older and no prospective adoptive parent is identified or available, the court may, without terminating parental rights, identify adoption as a permanent placement goal and order the public agency responsible for seeking adoptive parents to make efforts to locate an appropriate adoptive family for a period not to exceed 180 days. During the 180 day period, in order to identify potential adoptive parents, the agency responsible for seeking adoptive parents for each child must, to the extent possible, ask each child who is 10 years of age or older and who is placed in out-of-home placement for six months or longer to identify any

1 2 3	individuals who are important to the child. The agency may ask any other child to provide that information, as appropriate. After that period the court must hold another hearing and proceed according to (1), (2), or (7).
4	(T)(0) I(1)
5	(7)(3) If the court finds that (2) (A) or (2) (B) section 366.26(c)(1)(A) or section
6	366.26(c)(2)(A) applies, the court must appoint the present custodian or other
7	appropriate person to become the child's legal guardian or must order the
8	child to remain in foster care.
9	
10	(A) If the court orders that the child remain in foster care, it must identify
11	the foster care setting by name and identify a specific permanency goal
12	for the child. If the court orders that the child remain in foster case, The
13	court it may order that the name and address of the foster home remain
14	confidential.
15	
16	(B) Legal guardianship must be given preference over foster care when it is
17	in the interest of the child and a suitable guardian can be found.
18	
19	(C) A child who is 10 years of age or older who is placed in a out of home
20	placement for six months or longer must be asked to identify any adults
21	who are important to him or her in order for the agency to investigate
22	and the court to determine whether any of those adults would be
23	appropriate to serve as legal guardians. Other children may be asked for
24	this information, as age and developmentally appropriate.
25	
26	(D)(B) If the court finds that removal of the child from the home of a foster
27	parent or relative who is not willing to become a legal guardian for the
28	child would be seriously detrimental to the emotional well-being of the
29	child, then the child must not be removed. The foster parent or relative
30	must be willing to provide, and capable of providing, a stable and
31	permanent home for the child and must have substantial psychological
32	ties with the child.
33	
34	(E) The court must make an order for visitation with each parent or
35	guardian unless the court finds by a preponderance of the evidence that
36	the visitation would be detrimental to the child.
37	
38	(8)(4) The court must consider the case plan submitted for this hearing and must
39	find as follows: make the required findings and determinations in rule
40	5.708(e).
41	
42	(A) The child was actively involved in the development of his or her own
43	case plan and plan for permanent placement as age and

developmentally appropriate, including being asked for a statement 1 2 regarding his or her permanent placement plan, and the case plan 3 contains the social worker's assessment of those stated wishes; or 4 5 (B) The child was not actively involved in the development of his or her 6 own case plan and plan for permanent placement, including being 7 asked for a statement regarding his or her permanent placement plan 8 and the case plan does not contain the social worker's assessment of 9 those stated wishes. If the court makes such a finding, the court must 10 order the agency to actively involve the child in the development of his 11 or her own case plan and plan for permanent placement, including 12 asking the child for a statement regarding his or her permanent plan, 13 unless the court finds that the child is unable, unavailable, or unwilling 14 to participate. If the court finds that the case plan does not contain the 15 social worker's assessment of the child's stated wishes, the court must 16 order the agency to submit the assessment to the court; and 17 18 (C) In the case of an Indian child, the agency consulted with the child's 19 tribe and the tribe was actively involved in the development of the case 20 plan and plan for permanent placement, including consideration of 21 whether tribal customary adoption is an appropriate permanent plan for 22 the child if reunification is unsuccessful; or 23 24 (D) In the case of an Indian child, the agency did not consult with the 25 child's tribe. If the court makes such a finding, the court must order the 26 agency to consult with the tribe, unless the court finds that the tribe is 27 unable, unavailable, or unwilling to participate. 28 29 (9) For a child 12 years of age or older and in a permanent placement, the court 30 must consider the case plan and must find as follows: 31 32 (A) The child was given the opportunity to review the case plan, sign it, and 33 receive a copy; or 34 35 (B) The child was not given the opportunity to review the case plan, sign it, 36 and receive a copy. If the court makes such a finding, the court must 37 order the agency to give the child the opportunity to review the case 38 plan, sign it, and receive a copy. 39 40 (10) If no adult is available to become legal guardian, and no suitable foster home 41 is available, the court may order the care, custody, and control of the child 42 transferred to a licensed foster family agency, subject to further orders of the 43 court.

1 2 (e) **Procedures—adoption** 3 4 The court must follow the procedures in section 366.24 or 366.26, as (1) 5 appropriate. 6 7 (1) The court may not terminate parental rights or order adoption if a review of 8 the prior findings and orders reveals that at each and every prior hearing at 9 which the court was required to consider reasonable efforts or services the 10 court found that reasonable efforts had not been made or that reasonable 11 services had not been offered or provided. If at any prior hearing the court 12 found that reasonable efforts had been made or that reasonable services had 13 been offered or provided, the court may terminate parental rights. 14 15 (2) An order of the court terminating parental rights, ordering adoption under 16 section 366.26, or, in the case of an Indian child, ordering tribal customary 17 adoption under section 366.24 is conclusive and binding on the child, the 18 parent, and all other persons who have been served under the provisions of 19 section 294. The order may not be set aside or modified by the court, except 20 as provided in section 366.26(i)(3) and rules 5.538, 5.540, and 5.542 with 21 regard to orders by a referee. 22 23 If the court declares the child free from custody and control of the parents, (3)24 the court must at the same time order the child referred to a licensed county 25 adoption agency for adoptive placement. A petition for adoption of the child 26 may be filed and heard in the juvenile court but may not be granted until the 27 appellate rights of all parents have been exhausted. 28 29 (4) In the case of an Indian child for whom tribal customary adoption has been 30 ordered in accordance with section 366.24, the court may continue the 31 hearing for up to 120 days to permit the tribe to complete the process for 32 tribal customary adoption. In its discretion, the court may grant a further 33 continuance not exceeding 60 days. 34 35 (A) No less than 20 days before the date set for the continued hearing, the 36 tribe must file the completed tribal customary adoption order with the 37 court. 38 39 (B) The social worker must file an addendum report with the court at least 40 7 days before the hearing.

1		(C) If the tribe does not file the tribal customary adoption order within the
2		designated time period, the court must make new findings and orders
3		under section 366.26(b) and select a new permanent plan for the child.
4		
5	(f) –	(h)* * *
6		
7		
8		
9	Rule	e 5.726. Prospective adoptive parent designation (§§ 366.26(n), 16010.6)
10		
11	(a)	* * *
12		
13	(b)	Criteria for designation as prospective adoptive parent Facilitation steps
14		
15		A caregiver must meet the following criteria to be designated as a prospective
16		adoptive parent:
17		
18		(1) The child has lived with the caregiver for at least six months;
19		
20		(2) The caregiver currently expresses a commitment to adopt the child; and
21		
22		(3) The caregiver has taken at least one step to facilitate the adoption process.
23		Steps to facilitate the adoption process include: those listed in section
24		366.26(n)(2) and, in
25		
26		(A) Applying for an adoption home study;
27		
28		(B) Cooperating with an adoption home study;
29		
30		(C) Being designated by the court or the licensed adoption agency as the
31		adoptive family;
32		
33		(D) In the case of an Indian child when tribal customary adoption has been
34		identified as the child's permanent plan, the child's identified Indian
35		tribe has designated the caregiver as the prospective adoptive parent.;
36		
37		(E) Requesting de facto parent status;
38		
39		(F) Signing an adoptive placement agreement;
40		
41		(G) Discussing a postadoption contact agreement with the social worker,
42		child's attorney, child's CASA volunteer, adoption agency, or court;
43		

1 2 3			(H)	Calif	king to overcome any impediments that have been identified by the ornia Department of Social Services and the licensed adoption by; and
4 5			(I)	J	ading any of the classes required of prospective adoptive parents.
6			(1)	Atter	diffigure of the classes required of prospective adoptive parents.
7	(c)	Hear	ring o	n requ	est for prospective adoptive parent designation
8		(1) T	71	4	at and looks that we have and attended to a second construction of the second construction.
9					st evaluate determine whether the caregiver meets the criteria in
10		(1	b) <u>sect</u>	10n 30	56.26(n)(1).
11		(1)	TTI	4!4!_	
12		(1)			ner must show on the request that the caregiver meets the criteria
13			in (b)).	
14		(2)	TC 41		Contact the matter and the matter and the same that the contact and the contact and the same that the contact and
15		(2)			finds that the petitioner does not show that the caregiver does not
16					riteria in $\frac{b}{b}$, section $\frac{366.26(n)(1)}{b}$, the court may deny the request
17			Witho	out a n	earing.
18		(2)	TC 41		Contact de la contide de la contact de la co
19		(3)			finds that the petitioner has shown that the current caregiver
20					riteria in $\frac{\text{(b)}}{\text{(b)}}$, section $\frac{366.26(\text{n})(1)}{\text{(1)}}$, the court must set a hearing as
21			set 10	orun in	(4) below.
22		(4)	T£ :4 a		a to the count that the recovery for decision of a number of in-
23		(4)			s to the court that the request for designation as a prospective
24			-	-	arent will be contested, or if the court wants to receive further
25			evide	ence of	n the request, the court must set a hearing.
26			(A)	T£ 41. a	an annual four decision at an analysis the same time as an abjection of
27			(A)		request for designation is made at the same time as an objection a
28				_	on is filed to object to removal of the child from the caregiver's
29				поше	e, the court must set a hearing as follows:
30				<i>(</i> ;)	The bearing must be set as soon as mossible and not later than
31 32				(i)	The hearing must be set as soon as possible and not later than
33					five court days after the objection petition objecting to removal is filed with the court.
34					med with the court.
35				(ii)	If the court for good cause is unable to connect set the metter for
36				(ii)	If the court for good cause is unable to cannot set the matter for
37					hearing five court days after the petition <u>objecting to removal</u> is
38					filed, the court must set the matter for hearing as soon as possible.
39					possioie.
40				(iii)	The matter may be set for hearing more than five court days after
41				(111)	·
T1					the <u>objection</u> netition objecting to removal is tiled it this delay is
42					the objection petition objecting to removal is filed if this delay is necessary to allow participation by the child's identified Indian

1			
2			(B) If the request for designation is made before a request for removal is
3			filed the agency serves notice of a proposed removal or before an
4			emergency removal has occurred, the court must order that the set a
5			hearing be set at a time within 30 calendar days after the filing of the
6			request for designation is made.
7			
8		(5)	If all parties stipulate to the request for designation of the caregiver as a
9		` /	prospective adoptive parent, the court may order the designation without a
10			hearing.
11			6
12	(d)	Noti	ce of designation hearing
13			
14		After	r the court has ordered a hearing on a request for prospective-adoptive-parent
15			gnation, notice of the hearing must be as described below.
16			
17		(1)	* * *
18		` /	
19		(2)	If the request for designation was is made at the same time as a request for
20		. ,	hearing on a proposed or emergency removal, notice of the designation
21			hearing must be provided with notice of the <u>hearing on</u> proposed removal
22			hearing, as stated in rule 5.727(f).
23			<i>C</i> , <u></u>
24		(3)	If the request for designation was is made before a request for removal was
25		` /	filed the agency serves notice of a proposed removal or before an emergency
26			removal occurred, notice must be as follows:
27			
28			(A) - ((E) * * *
29			
30			
31			
32	(e)	Tern	nination of designation
33	()		
34		If the	e prospective adoptive parent no longer meets the criteria in rule 5.726(b),
35			on 366.26(n)(1), a request to vacate the order designating the caregiver as a
36			pective adoptive parent may be filed under section 388 and rule 5.570.
37		1 1	
38	(f)	* * *	
39		5.727	7. Proposed removal (§ 366.26(n))
40			
41	(a)	App	lication of rule
42	` /	11	

This rule applies, after termination of parental rights or, in the case of tribal customary adoption, modification of parental rights, to the removal by the Department of Social Services (DSS) or a licensed adoption agency of a dependent child from a prospective adoptive parent under rule 5.726(b) or from a caregiver who may meet the criteria for designation as a prospective adoptive parent under rule 5.726(b) in section 366.26(n)(1). This rule does not apply if the caregiver requests the child's removal.

(b) Participants to be served with notice

Before removing a child from the home of a prospective adoptive parent under rule 5.726(b) as defined in section 366.26(n)(1) or from the home of a caregiver who may meet the criteria of a prospective adoptive parent under rule 5.726(b) in section 366.26(n)(1), and as soon as possible after a decision is made to remove the child, the agency must notify the following participants of the proposed removal:

(1) ***

(2) The current caregiver, if that caregiver either is a designated prospective adoptive parent or, on the date of service of the notice, meets the criteria in rule 5.726(b) section 366.26(n)(1);

(3) - (7) * * *

(c) Form of notice

DSS or the agency must provide notice on *Notice of Intent to Remove Child* (form JV-323). A blank copy of *Objection to Removal* (form JV-325) and *Request for Prospective Adoptive Parent Designation* (form JV-321) must also be provided to all participants listed in (b) except the court.

(d) Service of notice

DSS or the agency must serve notice of its intent to remove a child as follows:

(1) - (2) * * *

(3) Notice to the child's identified Indian tribe and Indian custodian must be given under rule 5.481 comply with the requirements of section 224.2.

(4) Proof of service of the notice on *Proof of Notice* (form JV-326) must be filed with the court before the hearing on the proposed removal.

Objection to proposed removal 1 **(e)** 2 3 Each participant who receives notice under (b) may object to the proposed removal 4 of the child and may request a hearing. 5 * * *. 6 (1) 7 8 A request for hearing on the proposed removal must be made within five (2) 9 court or seven calendar days from the date of notification, whichever is 10 longer. If service of the notification is by mail, time to respond request a 11 hearing is extended by five calendar days. 12 13 (3) The court must order set a hearing as follows: 14 (A) - (C) * * *15 16 17 Notice of hearing on proposed removal **(f)** 18 19 After the court has ordered a hearing on a proposed removal, notice of the hearing 20 must be as follows: 21 22 (1) - (2) * * *23 24 Notice must be either by personal service or by telephone. Notice by personal 25 service must include a copy of the completed forms *Notice of Intent to* 26 Remove Child (form JV-323) and Objection to Removal (form JV-325). 27 Telephone notice must include the reasons for and against the removal, as 28 indicated on forms JV-323 and JV-325. 29 30 Proof of notice on Proof of Notice (form JV-326) must be filed with the court (4) 31 before the hearing on the proposed removal. 32 33 (g) - (h) * * *34

(i) Appeal

35

3637

38

39

40

If the court order made after a hearing on an intent to remove a child is appealed, the appeal must be made brought as petition for writ review under rules 8.454 and 8.456.

Rule 5.728. Emergency removal (§ 366.26(n))

(a) Application of rule

This rule applies, after termination of parental rights or, in the case of tribal customary adoption, modification of parental rights, to the removal by the Department of Social Services (DSS) or a licensed adoption agency of a dependent child from the home of a prospective adoptive parent under rule 5.726(b) or from a caregiver who may meet the criteria for designation as a prospective adoptive parent under rule 5.726(b) in section 366.26(n)(1) when the DSS or the licensed adoption agency has determined a removal must occur immediately due to a risk of physical or emotional harm. This rule does not apply if the child's removal is earried out is removed at the request of the caregiver.

(b) Participants to be noticed

After removing a child from the home of a prospective adoptive parent under rule 5.726(b), or from the home of a caregiver who may meet the criteria of a prospective adoptive parent under rule 5.726(b) in section 366.26(n)(1), because of immediate risk of physical or emotional harm, the agency must notify the following participants of the emergency removal:

(1) ***

(2) The current caregiver, if that caregiver either who is a designated prospective adoptive parent or who, on the date of service of the notice, meets may meet the criteria in rule 5.726(b) section 366.26(n)(1);

(3) - (7) * * *

(c) Form and service of notice

Notice of Emergency Removal (form JV-324) must be used to provide notice of an emergency removal, as described below.

(1) - (3) * * *

(4) Whenever possible, the agency, at the time of the removal, must give a blank copy of the form Request for Prospective Adoptive Parent Designation (form JV-321) and a blank copy of Objection to Removal (form JV-325) to the caregiver and, if the child is 10 years of age or older, to the child.

1 2 3 4		(5)	Rem The	ce to the court must be <u>served</u> by filing <u>of the form</u> <u>Notice of Emergency</u> noval (form JV-324) and <u>Proof of Notice</u> (form JV-326) with the court. proof of notice included on the form must be completed when the form led with the court.
5 6 7		(6)		of of Notice (form JV-326) must be filed with the court before the hearing the proposed removal.
8 9	(d)	Obie	ection	to emergency removal
10	(4)	o aj.		to emergency removal
11 12			-	cipant who receives notice under (b) may object to the removal of the may request a hearing.
13 14		(1)	* * *	¢
15				
16		(2)	The	court must order <u>set</u> a hearing as follows:
17				
18			(A)	The hearing must be set as soon as possible and not later than five court
19				days after the objection petition objecting to removal is filed with the
20				court.
21			(D)	
22			(B)	If the court for good cause is unable to cannot set the matter for hearing
23 24				within five court days after the petition <u>objecting to removal</u> is filed, the court must set the matter for hearing as soon as possible.
25				
26			(C)	The matter may be set for hearing more than five court days after the
27				objection petition objecting to removal is filed if this delay is necessary
28				to allow participation by the child's identified Indian tribe or the child's
29				Indian custodian.
30				
31 32	(e)	Noti	ce of e	emergency removal hearing on emergency removal
33		Afte	r the c	court has ordered a hearing on an emergency removal, notice of the
34				ust be as follows:
35				
36		(1)	-Noti	ce must be either by personal service or by telephone. Notice by personal
37			servi	ice must include a copy of Notice of Emergency Removal (form JV 324).
38			Tele	phone notice must include the reasons for and against the removal, as
39			indic	eated on forms JV-324 and JV-325.
40				
41		(2) (1		clerk must provide notice of the hearing to the agency and the
12			-	cipants listed in (b) above, if the court, the caregiver, or the child
1 3			requ	ested the hearing.

1					
2	(3)(2) ***				
3					
4	<u>(3)</u>	Notice must be by personal service or by telephone. Notice by personal			
5		service must include a copy of the completed Notice of Emergency Removal			
6		(form JV-324). Telephone notice must include the reasons for and against the			
7		removal, as indicated on forms JV-324 and JV-325.			
8					
9	(4)	Proof of notice on Proof of Notice (form JV-326) must be filed with the court			
10		before the hearing on the emergency removal.			
11					
12	(f) - (g) *	* *			
13					
14	Rule 5.730	. Adoption (§§ 366.24, 366.26(e), Fam. Code, § 8600 et seq.)			
15					
16	* * *				
17	Rule 5.735	5. Legal guardianship			
18					
19	(a) - (b) *	* *			
20					
21	(c) Conc	duct of hearing			
22					
23	(1)	Before appointing a guardian, the court must read and consider the social			
24		study report specified in section 366.26 and note its consideration in the			
25		minutes of the court.			
26					
27	(2)	The preparer of the social study report may be called in and examined by any			
28		party to the proceedings.			
29					
30	(d)(c) Fine	dings and orders			
31					
32	(1)	If the court finds that legal guardianship is the appropriate permanent plan,			
33		the court must appoint the guardian and order the clerk to issue letters of			
34		guardianship, which will not be subject to the confidentiality protections of			
35		juvenile court documents as described in section 827.			
36					
37	(2)	The court may must issue orders regarding visitation of the child by a parent			
38		or other relative former guardian, unless the court finds that visitation would			
39		be detrimental to the physical or emotional well-being of the child.			
40					
41	<u>(3)</u>	The court may issue orders regarding visitation of the child by a relative.			
42					

1 (3) (4) On appointment of a guardian under section 366.26, the court may terminate 2 dependency. 3 4 (e)(d) Notification of appeal rights 5 6 The court must advise all parties of their appeal rights as provided in rule 5.5857 5.590. 8 9 Rule 5.740. Hearings subsequent to a permanent plan (§§ 366.26, 366.3, 160501.1) 10 11 Review hearings—adoption and guardianship (a) 12 13 Following an order for termination of parental rights or, in the case of tribal 14 customary adoption, modification of parental rights, or a plan for the establishment 15 of a guardianship under section 366.26, the court must retain jurisdiction and 16 conduct review hearings at least every 6 months to ensure the expeditious 17 completion of the adoption or guardianship. 18 19 * * * (1) 20 21 The court or administrative review panel must consider the case plan and (2) 22 make the findings and determinations concerning the child in rule 5.708(e). 23 24 (2)(3)***25 26 (3)(4)***27 28 (4)(5)*** 29 If the child is not placed for adoption, the court or administrative review 30 (6) 31 panel must find as follows: 32 33 (A) Whether the agency has made diligent efforts to locate an appropriate 34 relative. If the court or administrative review panel finds the agency has 35 not made diligent efforts to locate an appropriate relative, the court or 36 administrative review panel must order the agency to do so. 37 38 (B) Whether each relative whose name has been submitted to the agency as 39 a possible caregiver has been evaluated as an appropriate placement 40 resource. If the court or administrative review panel finds the agency 41 has not evaluated each relative whose name has been submitted as a possible caregiver, the court or administrative review panel must order 42 43 the agency to do so.

1 2 **(b)** Review hearings—relative care or foster care 3 4 Following the establishment of a plan other than those provided for in (a), review 5 hearings must be conducted at least every 6 months by the court or by a local 6 administrative review panel. 7 8 (1) At the review hearing, the court or administrative review panel must consider 9 the report of the petitioner, the report of any CASA volunteer, the case plan 10 submitted for this hearing, and any report submitted by the child's caregiver 11 under section 366.21(d); inquire about the progress being made to provide a 12 permanent home for the child; consider the safety of the child; and enter 13 findings regarding each item listed in as required by section 366.3(e). 14 15 (2) The court or administrative review panel must consider the case plan 16 submitted for this hearing and must find as follows: make the findings and 17 determinations concerning the child in rule 5.708(e). 18 19 (A) The child was actively involved in the development of his or her own 20 case plan and plan for permanent placement as age and 21 developmentally appropriate; or 22 (B) The child was not actively involved in the development of his or her 23 24 own case plan and plan for permanent placement as age and 25 developmentally appropriate. If the court or administrative review 26 panel makes such a finding, the court must order the agency to actively 27 involve the child in the development of his or her own case plan and 28 plan for permanent placement, unless the court finds that the child is 29 unable, unavailable, or unwilling to participate. 30 31 (3) For a child 12 years of age or older and in a permanent placement, the court 32 must consider the case plan and must find as follows: 33 34 (A) The child was given the opportunity to review the case plan, sign it, and 35 receive a copy; or 36 37 (B) The child was not given the opportunity to review the case plan, sign it, 38 and receive a copy. If the court makes such a finding, the court must 39 order the agency to give the child the opportunity to review the case 40 plan, sign it, and receive a copy. 41 42 (3) If the child is not placed for adoption, the court or administrative review 43 panel must find as follows:

1 2 (A) Whether the agency has made diligent efforts to locate an appropriate 3 relative. If the court or administrative review panel finds the agency has 4 not made diligent efforts to locate an appropriate relative, the court or 5 administrative review panel must order the agency to do so. 6 7 (B) Whether each relative whose name has been submitted to the agency as 8 a possible caregiver has been evaluated as an appropriate placement 9 resource. If the court or administrative review panel finds the agency 10 has not evaluated each relative whose name has been submitted as a 11 possible caregiver, the court or administrative review panel must order 12 the agency to do so. 13 14 * * * (4) 15 16 If circumstances have changed since the permanent plan was ordered, the (5) 17 court may order a new permanent plan under section 366.26 at any 18 subsequent hearing, or any party may seek a new permanent plan by a motion 19 filed under section 388 and rule 5.570. 20 21 (6) - (7) * * *22 23 At a review held 12 months after an original or subsequent order for the child (8)24 to remain in foster care, the court must consider all permanency planning 25 options, including whether the child should be returned to a parent or 26 guardian, placed for adoption, or appointed a legal guardian. If the court 27 orders that the child remain in foster care, it must identify the foster care 28 setting by name and identify a specific permanency goal for the child. The 29 court may order that the name and address of the foster home remain 30 confidential. 31 32 (9) At a review held 12 months after an original or subsequent order for the child 33 to remain in foster care, the court must order a hearing under section 366.26 34 unless the court finds by clear and convincing evidence that there is a 35 compelling reason for determining that a section 366.26 hearing is not in the 36 child's best interest because the child is being returned to the home of the 37 parent, the child is not a proper subject for adoption, or there is no one 38 available to assume guardianship. 39 40 (10) If the court makes the findings in (9), the court may order that the child 41 remain in foster care. 42

- (c) Hearing on petition to terminate guardianship or modify guardianship orders
- A petition to terminate a guardianship established by the juvenile court, to appoint a successor guardian, or to modify or supplement orders concerning the a guardianship must be filed in the juvenile court. The procedures described in rule 5.570 must be followed, and *Request to Change Court Order* (form JV-180) must be used.
- 8 9 (1) ***

2

- Not less than 15 court days before the hearing date, the petitioner must serve clerk must cause notice of the hearing on to be given to the department of social services; the guardian; the child, if 10 years or older; parents whose parental rights have not been terminated; the court that established the guardianship, if in another county; and counsel of record for those entitled to notice.
- 17 18 (3) – (5) * * *

CHILD'S NAME:	CASE NUMBER:

	DISPOSITIONAL ATTACHMENT: REMOVAL FROM CUSTODIAL PARENT—PLACEMENT WITH NONPARENT (Welf. & Inst. Code, §§ 361, 361.2)						
1.	The child is a person described by Welf. & Inst. Code, § 300 (check all that apply): 300(a) 300(c) 300(e) 300(g) 300(i) 300(b) 300(d) 300(f) 300(h) 300(j)						
	and is adjudged a dependent of the court.						
Cir	rcumstances justifying removal from custodial parent						
2.	There is clear and convincing evidence of the circumstances stated in Welf. and Inst. Code, § 361 regarding the persons specified below (check all that apply): a. Mother b. Presumed father c. Biological father d. Legal guardian e. Indian custodian f. Other (specify):						
3.	The child is may be an Indian child, and, by clear and convincing evidence, including testimony of a qualified expert witness, continued physical custody by the following person is likely to cause that child serious emotional or physical damage. mother biological father legal guardian presumed father Indian custodian other (specify):						
4.	Reasonable efforts were were notmade to prevent or eliminate the need for removal from the home.						
5.	 The child is may be an Indian child, and, a by clear and convincing evidence, active efforts were made to provide remedial services and rehabilitative programs designed to prevent the breakup of this Indian family, and these efforts were unsuccessful. b active efforts were not made to provide remedial services and rehabilitative programs designed to prevent the breakup of this Indian family. c there has been consultation with the child's identified Indian tribe regarding whether tribal customary adoption is an appropriate permanent plan for the child if reunification is unsuccessful. 						
6.	Based on the facts stated on the record, continuance in the home is contrary to the child's welfare and physical custody is removed from (check all that apply):						
	Mother Biological father Legal guardian Presumed father Indian custodian Other (specify):						
Fa	mily finding and engagement						
7.	 a The county agency has made diligent efforts to identify, locate, and contact the child's relatives. b The county agency has not made diligent efforts to identify, locate, and contact the child's family members. (1) The county agency is ordered to make such diligent efforts, except for individuals the agency has determined to be inappropriate to contact because of their involvement with family or domestic violence. (2) The county agency must submit a report to the court on or before (date): 						
	detailing the diligent efforts made and the results of such efforts.						

Page 1 of 7

CHILD'S	NAME:	CASE NUMBER:		
Case pla	an development			
8. a. [The county agency solicited and integrated into the case plan the input of the representative of child's identified Indian tribe other (specify			
b. [The county agency did not solicit and integrate into the case plan the input of representative of child's identified Indian tribe other (specify and the agency is ordered to do so and submit an updated case plan within 3):		
с. [The county agency did not solicit and integrate into the case plan the input of representative of child's identified Indian tribe other (specify and the agency is not required to do so because these persons are unable, una):		
Custody	and Placement			
9.	The mother presumed father biological father did not rewas filed and does does not desire custody of the child.	eside with the child at the time the petition		
	a By clear and convincing evidence, placement with the following parent protection, or physical or emotional well-being of the child: Mother Presumed father Biological factors.			
	b. The factual basis for the findings in this item is stated on the record.			
10.	The care, custody, control, and conduct of the child is under the supervision a in the approved home of a relative. b in the approved home of a nonrelative extended family member. c in the foster home in which the child was placed before an interruption the child's best interest and space is available. d with a foster family agency for placement in a foster family home. e in a suitable licensed community care facility. f in a home or facility in accordance with the federal Indian Child Welfar.	in foster care because that placement is in		
11	Placement with the child's relative, (name): has been independently considered by the court and is denied for the reasons st	tated on the record.		
12.	The statutory preference order for placement in a suitable Indian home is not a. stated on the record. b. described in the social worker's report. c. Other (specify):	nodified for good cause as		
13	The child's out-of-home placement is necessary.			
14	The child's current placement is appropriate.			
15	a. The matter is continued to the date and time indicated in form JV-415, report by the county agency on the progress made in locating an approb. Other (specify):	item 17 for a written oral		

CHILD'S	NAME:	CASE NUMBER:
16	The child is placed outside the state of California and that out-of-state placed	acement
	a continues to be the most appropriate placement for the child and is	n the best interest of the child.
	b is not the most appropriate placement for the child and is not in the	best interest of the child.
	The matter is continued to the date and time indicated in form JV-41 report by the county agency on the progress made toward	5, item 17 for a written oral
	(1) returning the child to California and locating an appropriat	e placement within California.
	(2) locating an out-of-state placement that is the most approp interest of the child.	riate placement for the child and in the best
	(3) other (specify):	
Pounific	ation services	
		will make the Cook 1911
17.	Provision of reunification services to the biological father will	will not benefit the child.
18.	The mother is incarcerated and is seeking to participate in the Department o treatment program.	f Corrections and Rehabilitation community
	a. Participation in the program is is not in the child	's best interest.
	b is not suitable to meet the needs	s of the mother and child.
19.	The following person is incarcerated:	
	mother legal guardian other (special	fy):
	presumed father Indian custodian	
	and reasonable reunification services are	
	a. granted.	
	b. denied, because, by clear and convincing evidence, providing reunifichild.	ication services would be detrimental to the
20.	As provided in Welf. & Inst. Code, § 361.5(b), by clear and convincing evi	dence:
	a. The mother legal guardian oth	her (specify):
	presumed father Indian custodian	
	is a person described in Welf. & Inst. Code, § (specify):	
	361.5(b)(3) 361.5(b)(4) 361.5(b)(7) 361.5(b)(9) 361.5(b)(10) 361.5(b)(11)	361.5(b)(8) 361.5(b)(12)
	361.5(b)(13) 361.5(b)(15) 361.5(b)(16)	361.5(b)(12)
	and reunification services are	
	(1) granted, because, by clear and convincing evidence, reunification (2) denied.	n is in the best interest of the child.
		par (anacifid)
	b. The mother legal guardian otl	her (specify):
	is a person described in Welf. & Inst. Code, § 361.5(b)(1), and a reasonable person. Reunification services are denied.	ly diligent search has failed to locate the
	c. The mother legal guardian ot ot legal guardian ot ot indian custodian	her (specify):
	is a person described in Welf. & Inst. Code, § 361.5(b)(2), and reunification	n services are
	(1) granted.	
	(2) denied, because the person, even with the provision of services, for the child within the statutory time limits.	is unlikely to be capable of adequately caring
	ior the orma main the statutory time infinte.	

CHILD'S NAM	ME:		CASE NUMBER:			
20. d.	The mother legal guard presumed father Indian cust is a person described in Welf. & Inst. Code, § 361.5(b) granted, because (a) reunification services are likely to the failure to try reunification will be a second of the present of the	odian o)(5), and reunificati prevent reabuse of	r neglect.	ly and positively		
	bonded to the person. (2) denied.					
e.	The mother legal guard presumed father Indian cust other person who is a legal parent of the	odian child <i>(name):</i>				
	is a person described in Welf. & Inst. Code, § 361.5(b					
	(1) granted, because, by clear and convincing(2) denied, because the child or the child's sibli harm by the person, and it would not benefit	ng suffered severe	sexual abuse or the infliction of s			
	(3) The factual basis for the findings in this item	n is stated on the re	ecord.			
f.	The mother legal guard presumed father Indian cust is a person described in Welf. & Inst. Code, § 361.5(b possible consequences of a waiver. The person exect (form JV-195), and the court accepts the waiver, the person services. Reunification services are denied.	odian)(14). The court ad uted the <i>Waiver of</i> t	Reunification Services (Juvenile	Dependency)		
21.a.	The county agency must provide reunification ser stated in the case plan:	vices, and the follo	wing must participate in the reun	ification services		
	mother biological father presumed father Indian custodian	legal guardia	other (specify):			
b	The likely date by which the child may be returned to selected is (specify):	and safely maintain	ned in the home or another perm	anent plan		
Efforts						
22. The cou	nty agency					
a. 🗀	has					
b	has not					
services	miled with the case plan by making reasonable efforts to return the child to a safe home through the provision of reasonable ices designed to aid in overcoming the problems that led to the initial removal and continued custody of the child and by making onable efforts to complete any steps necessary to finalize the permanent placement of the child.					
	owing persons have made the indicated level of pro ating placement:	gress toward allev	viating or mitigating the causes	S		
		one Minimal	Adequate Substantial Exce	ellent		
a. 🗀	Mother					
b.	Presumed father			\exists		
c	Biological father					
d	Legal guardian					
e	Indian custodian					
f	Other (specify):					

CHILD'S NAME:	CASE NUMBER:
Siblings	
24. The child does not have siblings under the court's jurisdiction.	
25. The child has siblings under the court's jurisdiction. Sibling Attachment: Conattached and incorporated by reference.	ntact and Placement (form JV-403) is
Health and education	
26. The mother biological father Indian cumpresumed father legal guardian other (sp. is unable unwilling unavailable to make decisions resurgical, dental, or other remedial care, and the right to make these decisions is and vested with the county agency.	pecify): egarding the child's needs for medical,
27. a. A limitation on the right of the parents to make educational decisions for the c educational rights and responsibilities in regard to the child's education, include the California Rules of Court. A copy of the rule 5.650(e)-(f) may be obtained	ding those described in rule 5.650(e)-(f) of from the court clerk.
b. A limitation on the right of the parents to make educational decisions for the cas stated in <i>Order Designating Educational Rights Holder</i> (form JV-535) filed of the educational rights holder are described in rule 5.650(e)–(f) of the Califo (f) may be obtained from the court clerk.	in this matter. The rights and responsibilities
28. a. The child's educational needs are are not being met.	
b. The child's physical needs are are not being met.	
c. The child's mental health needsd. The child's developmental needsare are not being met.	
29. The additional services, assessments, and/or evaluations the child requires to me other concerns are: a. stated in the social worker's report. b. specified here:	eet the unmet needs specified in item 28 or
30. The following persons are ordered to take the steps necessary for the child to be and/or evaluations identified in item 29:	gin receiving the services, assessments,
 a social worker. b parent or legal guardian (name): c surrogate parent (name): d educational rights holder (name): e other (name): 	
31. The child's education placement has changed since the date the child was physically street and the	cally removed from the home.
a. The child's educational records, including any evaluation regarding a disabilit requested by the child's new school within two business days of the request t by the child's former school to the child's new school within two business day request.	o enroll, and those records were provided
b. The child is is not enrolled in school.c. The child is is not attending school.	

CHILD'S NAME:	JASE NUMBER:
32. Child 14 years of age or older:	
 The services stated in the case plan include those needed to assist the child in successful adulthood. 	n making the transition from foster care to
b. The services stated in the case plan do not include those needed to assist the care to successful adulthood.	child in making the transition from foster
c To assist the child in making the transition to successful adulthood, the county provide the services.	agency must add to the case plan and
(1) stated on the record (2) as follows:	

OAGE NUMBER

Advisements

33.	Child under three years of age or a member of a sibling group as described in Welf. & Inst. Code, § 361.5(a)(1)(C).
	The court informed all parties present at the time of the hearing and further advises all parties that, because the child was
	under three years of age on the date of initial removal or is a member of a sibling group in which one member was under
	three years of age on the date of initial removal:

a. Failure to participate regularly and make substantive progress in court-ordered treatment programs may result in the termination of reunification services for all or some members of the sibling group at the hearing scheduled on a date within six months from the date of the dispositional hearing, but no later than twelve months from the date the child entered foster care, as defined by section 361.49, whichever occurs earlier.

Six-month hearing date:

- b. At the six-month hearing under Welf. & Inst. Code, § 366.21(e), the court will consider the following factors in deciding whether to limit reunification services to six months for all or some members of the sibling group:
 - Whether the sibling group was removed from parental care as a group;
 - The closeness and strength of the sibling bond;
 - The ages of the siblings;
 - The appropriateness of maintaining the sibling group;
 - · The detriment to the child if sibling ties are not maintained;
 - The likelihood of finding a permanent home for the sibling group;
 - Whether the sibling group is currently placed in the same preadoptive home or has a concurrent plan goal of legal permanency in the same home;
 - The wishes of each child whose age and physical and emotional condition permits a meaningful response; and
 - The best interest of each child in the sibling group.
- c. At the six-month hearing under Welf. & Inst. Code, § 366.21(e), if the child is not returned to the custody of a parent, the case may be referred to a selection and implementation hearing under Welf. & Inst. Code, § 366.26. The selection and implementation hearing may result in the termination of parental rights and adoption of the child and other members of the sibling group or, in the case of an Indian child for whom tribal customary adoption under section 366.24 is selected as the permanent plan goal, modification of parental rights and the adoption of the child and other members of the sibling group.

CHILD'S	NAN	TE: CASE NUMBER:
34.	(a) chi not mo und rig 36	ild three years of age or older who is not a member of a sibling group as described in Welf. & Inst. Code, § 361.5 (1)(C). The court informed all parties present at the time of the hearing and further advises all parties that, because the ld was three years of age or older with no siblings under the age of three years at the time of initial removal, if the child is returned to the custody of a parent at the Welf. & Inst. Code, §366.21(f) permanency hearing set on a date within 12 nths from the date the child entered foster care, the case may be referred to a selection and implementation hearing der Welf. & Inst. Code, § 366.26. The selection and implementation hearing may result in the termination of parental hts and adoption of the child or, in the case of an Indian child for whom tribal customary adoption under section 5.24 is selected as the permanent plan goal, modification of parental rights and the adoption of the child.
		welve-month permanency hearing date:
35.	a.	The matter is ordered set for hearing under Welf. & Inst. Code, § 366.26 to select the most appropriate permanent plan for the child.
	b.	By clear and convincing evidence, the court found that reunification services were not to be provided to the child's parents, legal guardian, or Indian custodian under Welf. & Inst. Code, § 361.5(b).
	c. The county agency and the licensed county adoption agency or the California Department of Social Services adoption agency will prepare and serve an assessment report as described in Welf. & Inst. Code, § 361.5(g)	
	d.	The court advised all parties present in court that to preserve any right to review on appeal of this order, a party must seek an extraordinary writ by filing a notice of intent to file a writ petition and a request for the record, which may be submitted on <i>Notice of Intent to File Writ Petition and Request for Record</i> (form JV-820), and a petition for extraordinary writ, which may be submitted on <i>Petition for Extraordinary Writ</i> (form JV-825). A copy of each form is available in the courtroom. The court further advised all parties present in court that, as to them, a notice of intent to file a writ petition and request for record must be filed with the juvenile court clerk within seven days of the date of this hearing. The clerk of the court is directed to provide written notice as stated in rule 5.695(h)(19) of the California Rules of Court to any party not present.
	e.	The court orders that no notice of the hearing set under Welf. & Inst. Code, § 366.26 be provided to the persons named below, who is a mother, a presumed father, or an alleged father and who has relinquished the child for adoption where the relinquishment has been accepted and filed with notice under Fam. Code, § 8700, or an alleged father who has denied paternity and has executed section 2 of <i>Statement Regarding Parentage (Juvenile)</i> (form JV-505).
		(1) (name): (2) (name): (3) (name): (4) (name):
	f.	The likely date by which the child may be placed for adoption, or by which another permanent plan may be selected, is (specify date):

Juvenile Law: Dependency Hearings (Amend Cal. Rules of Court, rules 5.534, 5.668, 5.670, 5.674, 5.682, 5.684, 5.690, 5.695, 5.706, 5.708, 5.710, 5.715, 5.720, 5.722, 5.725, 5.726, 5.727, 5.728, 5.735, 5.740; repeal rules 5.680, 5.686, and 5.688; revise form JV-421)

	Commentator	Position	Comment	Committee Response
1.	Orange County Bar Association Todd G. Friedland President	AM	The proposed changes in SPR16-20 would eliminate confusion by referencing the statutes and deleting recitation and/or summary of the statutes in individual rules. The following items are suggested for clarity.	No response required.
			Rule 5.695 Findings and Orders of the Court Disposition Recommend adding to (a)(4):	The committee has adopted this suggestion.
			(a)(4) Declare dependency and appoint a legal guardian for the child <i>if the requirements of section 360 are met</i> [instead of "as provided in section 360"]	
			Recommend adding to (g)(1): (g)(1) Unless the court makes a finding that reunification services need not be provided under subdivision (b) of section 361.5, or if the disposition is pursuant to a petition filed under section 387 or section 342 and the statutory time for reunification has expired (See D.T. v. Superior Court (2015) 241 Cal.App.4th 1017, 1034-1035).	The committee views rule 5.695 as applying at the initial disposition hearing, and thus does not believe it is necessary to add the unusual fact specific holding of this case into the rule provisions that are focused on section 361.5.
			Rule 5.706. Family Maintenance Review Hearings (c) Conduct of Hearing Recommend adding to this section a reference	The committee has adopted the proposed modification.

Juvenile Law: Dependency Hearings (Amend Cal. Rules of Court, rules 5.534, 5.668, 5.670, 5.674, 5.682, 5.684, 5.690, 5.695, 5.706, 5.708, 5.710, 5.715, 5.720, 5.722, 5.725, 5.726, 5.727, 5.728, 5.735, 5.740; repeal rules 5.680, 5.686, and 5.688; revise form JV-421)

	Commentator	Position	Comment	Committee Response
			to § 364, subdivision (c) to address the statutory finding required at a family maintenance review hearing. The court shall determine whether continued supervision is necessary pursuant to section 364, subdivision (c).	
2.	Los Angeles Superior Court	A	• Does the proposal appropriately address the stated purpose? Yes	No response required.
			• Are there statutory provisions that were deleted that should be restored? No Comment	No response required.
			• Are there additional statutory provisions that should be deleted? No Comment	No response required.
			• Would the proposal provide cost savings? If so please quantify. Yes, by reducing the frequency in which the rules would need to be amended is a cost savings for the court. If courts choose to use the optional JV-421, printing costs would apply.	No response required.
			• What would the implementation requirements be for courts? For example, training staff (please identify position and expected hours of training), revising processes and procedures (please describe), changing docket codes in case management systems, or modifying case	No response required.

Juvenile Law: Dependency Hearings (Amend Cal. Rules of Court, rules 5.534, 5.668, 5.670, 5.674, 5.682, 5.684, 5.690, 5.695, 5.706, 5.708, 5.710, 5.715, 5.720, 5.722, 5.725, 5.726, 5.727, 5.728, 5.735, 5.740; repeal rules 5.680, 5.686, and 5.688; revise form JV-421)

	Commentator	Position	Comment	Committee Response
			management systems. Agreed, that there will be minimal operational impact by the deletion of duplicative statute. • Would two months from Judicial Council approval of this proposal until its effective date provide sufficient time for implementation? Yes	No response required.
3.	Superior Court of Riverside County Marita Ford Senior Management Analyst	A	No specific comment.	No response required.
4.	Superior Court of San Diego County Michael M. Roddy Executive Officer	AM	• Does the proposal appropriately address the stated purpose? Yes.	No response required.
			• Are there statutory provisions that were deleted that should be restored? No.	No response required.
			• Are there additional statutory provisions that should be deleted? Yes. See below.	See response to attached comments below.
			• General comment: The first page of the proposal does not mention that revisions to form JV-421 are part of the proposal. Query: Have other Judicial Council forms been checked for necessary revisions?	The committee proposed and circulated for comment changes to the JV-421 because they were needed for accuracy related to the content of this proposal and needed for accuracy of the form. Other forms will be monitored for accuracy as changes to them are considered on an ongoing basis.
			[This commentator then went on to list numerous modifications to be made for consistency and clarity that can be viewed in Attachment A.]	The committee has adopted almost all of the many suggested modifications to the rules and form suggested by this commentator as they will enhance the clarity and accuracy of the underlying

Juvenile Law: Dependency Hearings (Amend Cal. Rules of Court, rules 5.534, 5.668, 5.670, 5.674, 5.682, 5.684, 5.690, 5.695, 5.706, 5.708, 5.710, 5.715, 5.720, 5.722, 5.725, 5.726, 5.727, 5.728, 5.735, 5.740; repeal rules 5.680, 5.686, and 5.688; revise form JV-421)

Commentator	Position	Comment	Committee Response
			rules and the form. The committee has also
			modified the rules in response to the substantive
			suggestions of this commentator to:
			 revise and update case plan related
			provisions in rules 5.708, 5.725, and
			5.740 by adding statutory references to
			rule 5.708(e) and cross-references to rule
			5.708(e) in rules 5.725 and 5.740; and
			• amend rule 5.740(c)(2) to require the
			court, rather than the petitioner, to serve
			notice of a hearing concerning a petition
			to modify or terminated a juvenile court
			guardianship.

Item SPR16-20 Response Form

Title: Juver	nile Law: Dependency Hearings
	Agree with proposed changes
	Agree with proposed changes if modified
	Do not agree with proposed changes

Comments:

- Does the proposal appropriately address the stated purpose? Yes.
- Are there statutory provisions that were deleted that should be restored? No.
- Are there additional statutory provisions that should be deleted? Yes. See below.
- General comment: The first page of the proposal does not mention that revisions to form JV-421 are part of the proposal. Query: Have other Judicial Council forms been checked for necessary revisions?
- Additional comments:

CRC 5.534(g) p. 12	(g) Advisement of hearing rights (§§ 301, 341, 353, 630, 633, 702.5, 827)
CRC 5.534(g)(1)(A) p. 12	See, e.g., CRC 5.682(e)(3). Any The right to assert the privilege against self-incrimination;
CRC 5.534(j)(4) p. 14	 (A) If filing in person, the caregiver must bring the original document and <u>8_eight</u> copies to the court clerk's office for filing no later than five calendar days before the hearing. (B) If filing by mail, the caregiver must mail the original document and <u>8_eight</u> copies to the court clerk's office for filing no later than seven calendar days before the hearing.
CRC 5.534(j)(5) p. 14	When form JV-290 or a caregiver letter is received by mail, the court clerk must immediately file it.
CRC 5.534(j)(6) p. 14	When form JV-290 or a caregiver letter is filed, the court clerk must provide the social worker, all unrepresented parties, and all attorneys with a copy of the completed form or letter immediately upon receipt. The clerk also must complete, file, and distribute <i>Proof of Service—Juvenile</i> (form JV-510). The clerk may use any technology designed to speed the distribution process, including drop boxes in the courthouse, e-mail, or fax, to distribute the JV-290 form or letter and proof of

	service form.
CRC 5.668(a)(4) p. 16	For consistency of style and language with WIC § 361.5(a)(1):
	The time for services must not exceed 12 months for a child aged three years of age or over older at the time of the initial removal and must not exceed 6 six months for a child who was under three years of age of three or who is in a sibling group in which one sibling was under three years of age at the time of the initial removal if the parent or guardian fails to participate regularly and make substantive progress in any court-ordered treatment program.
CRC 5.670 p. 17	Initial hearing; detention hearings; time limit on custody; setting jurisdiction hearing; visitation (§§ 309, 311, 313, 315, 362.1)
CRC 5.674(c)(1) p. 19	The preparer of a police report, probation officer, or social worker report, or other document submitted to the court; and
CRC 5.676(b) p. 20	In making the findings required to support an order of detention, the court may rely solely on written police reports, probation officer, or social worker reports, or other documents.
CRC 5.682(a) p. 21	Because the rights in (2), (3), (4), are already required by rule 5.534, they should be deleted, and (5) should be renumbered.
	After giving the advisement required by rule 5.534, the court must advise the parent or guardian of the following rights: (1) The right to a hearing by the court on the issues raised by the petition; and (2) The right to assert any privilege against self-incrimination; (3) The right to confront and to cross-examine all witnesses called to testify; (4) The right to use the process of the court to compel attendance of witnesses on behalf of the parent or guardian; and (5)(2) The right, if the child has been removed, to have the child returned to the parent or guardian within two working days
CRC 5.682(b) p. 22	If the parent or guardian wishes to admit the allegations, the court must first find and state on the record that it is satisfied that the parent or guardian understands the nature of the allegations and the direct consequences of the admission, and understands and waives the rights in (b)(a) and (e)(3).
CRC 5.682(e)(8) p. 23	The child is described under by one or more specific subdivisions of section 300.
CRC 5.684(b) p. 23	Except as provided in section 355.1 and (c), (d), and (e) of this rule, the admission and exclusion of evidence must be in accordance with the Evidence Code as it applies to civil cases.
CRC 5.684(d) pp. 23-24	Per WIC § 355(c)(1): that evidence must not be sufficient in and of itself to support a jurisdictional finding or any ultimate fact upon which a jurisdictional finding is based, unless:
CRC 5.684(e)	The privilege not to testify or to be called as a witness against a spouse or domestic
J. C 0.00-107	The privilege not to testify of to be called as a withess against a spouse of domestic

p. 24	partner, and the confidential marital communication privilege, does not apply to dependency proceedings.
CRC 5.684(f)(4) p. 24	The child is described under by one or more specific subdivisions of section 300.
CRC 5.684(g) p. 24	(g) Disposition and Econtinuance pending disposition hearing (§§ 356, 358)
CRC 5.695(e)(1) p. 32	The court may consider the activities listed in (g)(f) as examples of due diligence
CRC 5.695(f) p. 32	When making the determination required in (f)(e), the court may consider
CRC 5.695(g)(1) p. 33	Unless the court makes a finding that reunification services need not be provided under subdivision (b) of section 361.5,
CRC 5.695(g)(6) p. 37	See CRC 5.590(b) and CRC 5.695(g)(10): A judgment, order, or decree setting a hearing under section 366.26 is not an immediately appealable order. Review may be sought only by filing a Notice of Intent to File Writ Petition and Request for Record (California Rules of Court, Rules 8.450) (form JV-820) or other notice of intent to file a writ petition and request for record and a Petition for Extraordinary Writ (California Rules of Court, Rules 8.452, 8.456) (form JV-825) or other petition for extraordinary writ. If a party wishes to preserve any right to review on appeal of the findings and orders made under this rule, the party must seek an extraordinary writ under rules 8.450 and 8.452.
CRC 5.695(g)(7)(A) p. 38	An extraordinary writ was sought by the timely filing of a Notice of Intent to File Writ Petition and Request for Record (California Rules of Court, Rules 8.450) (form JV-820) or other notice of intent to file a writ petition and request for record and a Petition for Extraordinary Writ (California Rules of Court, Rules 8.452, 8.456) (form JV-825) or other petition for extraordinary writ; and
CRC 5.695(g)(9) p. 38	Failure to file a notice of intent to file a writ petition and request for record and a petition for extraordinary writ review within the periods specified by rules 8.450 and 8.452, to substantively address the issues challenged, or to support the challenge by an adequate record precludes subsequent review on appeal of the findings and orders made under this rule.
CRC 5.706(a) p. 40	and to any CASA volunteer that who has been appointed on the case.
CRC 5.706(e) p. 41	The court must consider the child's education, including whether it is necessary to limit the right of the parent or legal guardian to make educational or developmental-services decisions for the child, following the requirements and procedures in rules 5.649, 5.650, and 5.651 and in section 361(a).
CRC 5.708(a) p. 42	The petitioner or the clerk must serve written notice of review hearings on <i>Notice of Review Hearing</i> (form JV-280), in the manner provided in section 224.2 or 293_as appropriate , to all persons or entities entitled to notice under sections 224.2 and 293 and to any CASA volunteer, educational rights holder, or surrogate parent

	appointed to the case.
CRC 5.708(b)(2) pp. 42-43	At least 10 calendar days before the hearing, the social worker must file the report and provide copies to the parent, or legal guardian, or Indian custodian and his or her counsel, to counsel for the child, to any CASA volunteer, and, in the case of an Indian child, to the child's identified Indian tribe.
CRC 5.708(c) p. 44	If par. (2) is added, subd. (f) could be deleted, and the subsequent subdivisions would need to be re-lettered accordingly:
	Reasonable services Required findings (§§ 366, 366.21, 366.22, 366.25, 366.3, 16002)
	(1) If the child is not returned to the custody of the parent or legal guardian, the court must consider whether reasonable services have been offered or provided. The court must find that: (A) Rr easonable services have been offered or provided; or (B) Reasonable services have not been offered or provided.
	(2) If the child is not returned to the custody of the parent or legal guardian, the court must consider the safety of the child and make the findings listed in sections 366(a) and 16002.
CRC 5.708(e) ¹ p. 45	(1) Whether the child was actively involved, as age- and developmentally appropriate, in the development of his or her own the case plan and plan for permanent placement. If the court finds that the child or youth was not appropriately involved, the court must order the agency to actively involve the child in the development of his or her own the case plan and plan for permanent placement, unless the court finds that the child is unable, unavailable, or unwilling to participate.
See WIC § 16501.1(g)(12)(A)	(2) Whether each parent or legal guardian was actively involved in the development of the case plan and plan for permanent placement. If the court finds that any parent or legal guardian was not actively involved, the court must order the agency to actively involve that parent or legal guardian in the development of the case plan and plan for permanent placement, unless the court finds that the parent or legal guardian is unable, unavailable, or unwilling to participate.
	(3) In the case of an Indian child,
See WIC §	(4) For a child 12 years of age or older in a permanent placement

¹ WIC §§ 16001.9 and 16501.1 are cited as authority for the case plan findings required by CRC 5.708(e), but there is nothing in those statutes requiring a court to make the findings listed in the rule. (E.g., § 16501.1(g)(12)(A) states, "Whenever possible, parents and legal guardians shall participate in the development of the case plan." But CRC 5.708(e)(2) provides, "If the court finds that any parent was not actively involved, the court must order the agency to actively involve that parent …, unless the court finds that the parent is unable, unavailable, or unwilling to participate." Presumably, a decision was made that a juvenile court should make those findings at review hearings notwithstanding the absence of any statutory requirement. In light of that decision, new rules are proposed for CRC 5.708(e)(5) & (6) to reflect SB 794 amendments.

16501.1(g)(13)	
See WIC § 16501.1(g)(12)(A)	(5) For a nonminor dependent, whether the youth signed and was actively involved in the development of the transitional independent living case plan. If the court finds the youth was not involved in or did not sign the transitional independent living case plan, the court must order the agency to involve the youth in the development of, or obtain the youth's signature on, the transitional independent living case plan, unless the court finds the youth is unable, unavailable, or unwilling to do so.
See WIC § 16501.1(g)(17) & (18)	(6) For a youth 14 years of age or older or a nonminor dependent, whether the case plan was developed in consultation with the youth and the youth's case planning team and whether the case plan includes the documents described in section 16501.1(g)(18). If the court finds the youth and the youth's case planning team were not consulted, the court must order the agency to develop the case plan in consultation with the youth and the case planning team, unless the court finds the youth or the case planning team is unable, unavailable, or unwilling to do so. If the court finds the case plan does not include the required documents, the court must order the agency to add the missing documents to the case plan.
CRC 5.710(a)(3)	If the court does not return custody of the child to the parent or legal guardian, the court may set a hearing under section 366.26 within 120 days, as provided in (c)(b).
CRC 5.715(b) p. 52	(b) Determinations and conduct of hearing (§§ 309(e). 361.5, 366, 366.1, 366.21)
CRC 5.715(b) p. 55	CRC 5.715(a)(3) is on pp. 53-54 ("orderfoster home remain confidential"). (3)(4) In the case of an Indian child, ild the child is not returned to his or her parent or legal guardian, the court must, in the case of an Indian child, determine whether:
CRC 5.715(b) p. 55	(4)(5) If the child is not returned to his or her parent or legal guardian and the court terminates reunification services, the court must find as follows:
CRC 5.720(a) p. 56	(a) Determinations and conduct of hearing (§§ 309(e). 361.5, 366.22)
CRC 5.720(a) p. 59	(3) In the case of an Indian child, it the child is not returned to his or her parent or legal guardian, the court must, in the case of an Indian child, determine whether:
CRC 5.722(a) p. 60	Determinations are required by 5.722(a)(4) and (5). (a) Determinations and Ceonduct of hearing (§309(e). 366, 366.1, 366.25)

CRC 5.722(a) pp. 61-62	CRC $5.722(a)(3)$ is on lines $5-6$ of page 61 ("orderfoster home remain confidential"), so (a)(3) and (a)(4) on pages 61 and 62 must be renumbered.
	(3)(4) In the case of an Indian child, it the child is not returned to his or her parent or legal guardian, the court must, in the case of an Indian child, determine whether:
	[¶¶]
	(4)(5) If the child is not returned to his or her parent or legal guardian and the court terminates reunification services, the court must find as follows:
CRC 5.725 p. 62	Per suggested deletion of 5.725(e)(2), which repeats WIC § 366.24(c)(6):
	Rule 5.725. Selection of permanent plan (§§ 366.24, 366.26, 727.31)
CRC 5.725(a)(1) p. 63	Suggest using language from CRC 5.708(h)(2).
	(1) The court may not terminate the rights of only one parent under section 366.26 unless that parent is the only surviving parent; or unless the rights of
	the other parent have been terminated under division 12, part 3, chapter 5
	(commencing with section 7660), or division 12, part 4 (commencing with section 7800) of the Family Code, or Family Code sections 8604, 8605, or
	8606 by a California court of competent jurisdiction or by a court of competent
	jurisdiction of another state under the statutes of that state; or unless the other
	parent has relinquished custody of the child to the welfare department.
CRC 5.725(b) p. 63	Child's caregiver is already listed in WIC § 294(a)(8).
	In addition to the requirements stated in section 294, notice must be given to any
	CASA volunteer, the child's present caregiver Indian custodian, and any de facto parent on Notice of Hearing on Selection of a Permanent Plan (form JV-300).
CRC 5.725(d)(3) p. 66	Per this proposal, "(2)(A)" and "(2)(B)" are to be deleted (see p. 64).
	If the court finds that (2)(A) or (2)(B) section 366.26(c)(1)(A) or section
	366.26(c)(2)(A) applies, the court must appoint the present custodian or other
	appropriate person to become the child's legal guardian or must order the child to remain in foster care.
	(A) If the court orders that the child remain in foster care, it The court may order
	that the name and address of the foster home remain confidential.

CRC 5.725(d)(4)-(5) pp. 66-67

Suggested changes for consistency with CRC 5.708(e) (p. 45):

(4) The court must consider the case plan submitted for this hearing and must find as follows:

(A) The child was actively involved in the development of his or her own case plan and plan for permanent placement as age and developmentally appropriate, including 1 being asked for a statement regarding his or her permanent placement plan, and the case plan contains the social worker's assessment of those stated wishes; or

(B) The child was not actively involved in the development of his or her own case plan and plan for permanent placement, including being asked for a statement regarding his or her permanent placement plan and the case plan does not contain the social worker's assessment of those stated wishes. If the court makes such a finding, the court must order the agency to actively involve the child in the development of his or her own case plan and plan for permanent placement, including asking the child for a statement regarding his or her permanent plan, unless the court finds that the child is unable, unavailable, or unwilling to participate. If the court finds that the case plan does not contain the social worker's assessment of the child's stated wishes, the court must order the agency to submit the assessment to the court; and

(A) Whether the child was actively involved, as age- and developmentally appropriate, in the development of the case plan and plan for permanent placement, including being asked for a statement regarding the permanent placement plan, and whether the case plan contains the social worker's assessment of those stated wishes. If the court finds that the child was not appropriately involved, the court must order the agency to actively involve the child in the development of the case plan and plan for permanent placement, unless the court finds that the child is unable, unavailable, or unwilling to participate. If the court finds that the case plan does not contain the social worker's assessment of the child's stated wishes, the court must order the agency to submit the assessment to the court.

(C) In the case of an Indian child, the agency consulted with the child's tribe and the tribe was actively involved in the development of the case plan and plan for permanent placement, including consideration of whether tribal customary adoption is an appropriate permanent plan for the child if reunification is unsuccessful; or

(D) In the case of an Indian child, the agency did not consult with the child's tribe. If the court makes such a finding, the court must order the agency to consult with the tribe, unless the court finds that the tribe is unable, unavailable, or unwilling to participate.

	(B) In the case of an Indian child, whether the agency consulted with the child's tribe and the tribe was actively involved in the development of the case plan and plan for permanent placement, including consideration of tribal customary adoption as an appropriate permanent plan for the child if reunification is unsuccessful. If the court finds that the agency did not consult the child's tribe, the court must order the agency to do so, unless the court finds that the tribe is unable, unavailable, or unwilling to participate.
	(5)(C) For a child 12 years of age or older and in a permanent placement, the court must consider the case plan and must find as follows: (A) Twhether the child was given the opportunity to review the case plan, sign it,
	and receive a copy <mark>.; or</mark>
	(B) The child was not given the opportunity to review the case plan, sign it, and receive a copy. If the court makes such a finding finds the child was not given the opportunity to review the case plan, sign it, and receive a copy, the court must order the agency to give the child the that opportunity to review the case plan, sign it, and receive a copy.
CRC 5.725(d)(6) p. 67	Per SB 794 amendment to WIC § 366.26(c)(5), delete entirely (to avoid repetition of statutory language) or change as indicated:
	(6)(5) If no adult is available to become legal guardian and no suitable foster home is available, the court finds that the child should not be placed for adoption, that legal guardianship shall not be established, that placement with a fit and willing relative is not appropriate as of the hearing date, and that there are no suitable foster parents, the court may order the care, custody, and control of the child transferred to a licensed foster family agency, subject to further orders of the court.
CRC 5.725(e)(1) p. 68	(1) An order of the court terminating parental rights, ordering adoption under section 366.26, or, in the case of an Indian child, ordering tribal customary adoption under section 366.24 is conclusive and binding on the child, the parent, and all other persons who have been served under the provisions of section 294. The order may not be set aside or modified by the court, except as provided in section 366.26(i)(3) and in rules 5.538, 5.540, and 5.542 with regard to orders by a referee.
CRC 5.725(e)(2) p. 68	Delete entirely (to avoid repetition of language in WIC § $366.24(c)(6)$). If deleted, change $5.725(e)(1)$ to $5.725(e)$.
	In the case of an Indian child for whom tribal customary adoption has been ordered in accordance with section 366.24, the court may continue the hearing for up to 120 days to permit the tribe to complete the process for tribal customary adoption. In its discretion, the court may grant a further continuance not exceeding 60 days.
	(A) No less than 20 days before the date set for the continued hearing, the tribe must file the completed tribal customary adoption order with the court.
	(B) The social worker must file an addendum report with the court at least 7 days before the hearing.

	(C) If the tribe does not file the tribal customary adoption order within the designated time period, the court must make new findings and orders under section 366.26(b) and select a new permanent plan for the child.
CRC 5.726 p. 69	Rule 5.726. Prospective adoptive parent designation (§§ 366.26(n), 16010.6)
CRC 5.726(b) p. 70	Steps to facilitate the adoption process include those listed in section 366.26(n)(2) and, in In the case of an Indian child when tribal customary adoption has been identified as the child's permanent plan, the child's identified Indian tribe has designated the caregiver as the prospective adoptive parent.
CRC 5.726(c) p. 71 "must show on the request" – What if request is made	(1) The court must evaluate determine whether the caregiver meets the criteria in (b). (1) The petitioner must show on the request that the caregiver meets the criteria in section 366.26(n)(1).
orally per CRC 5.726(a)(2)?	(2) If the court finds that the petitioner does not show that the caregiver meets the criteria in section 366.26(n)(1), the court may deny the request without a hearing.
	(3) If the court finds that the petitioner has shown that the current caregiver meets the criteria in section 366.26(n)(1), the court must set a hearing as set forth in (4) below.
	(4) If it appears to the court that the request for designation as a prospective adoptive parent will be contested, or if the court wants to receive further evidence on the request, the court must set a hearing.
	(A) If the request for designation is made at the same time as an objection a petition is filed to object to removal of the child from the caregiver's home, the court must set a hearing as follows:
	(i) The hearing must be set as soon as possible and not later than five court days after the objection petition objecting to removal is filed with the court.
	(ii) If the court for good cause is unable to cannot set the matter for hearing five court days after the petition objecting to removal is filed, the court must set the matter for hearing as soon as possible.
	(iii) The matter may be set for hearing more than five court days after the objection petition objecting to removal is filed if this delay is necessary to allow participation by the child's identified Indian tribe or the child's Indian custodian.
See 366.26(n)(3), (3)(A) — Agency	(B) If the request for designation is made before a request for removal is filed the agency serves notice of a proposed removal or before an

services notice of	emergency removal has occurred, the court must order that the set a
proposed removal; it does not file a request for removal.	hearing be set at a time within 30 calendar days after the filing of the request for designation is made.
	(5) If all parties stipulate to the request for designation of the caregiver as a prospective adoptive parent, the court may order the designation without a hearing.
CRC 5.726(d)(2) p. 72	(2) If the request for designation was is made at the same time as a request for hearing on a proposed or emergency removal, notice of the designation hearing must be provided with notice of the hearing on proposed removal, hearing, as stated in rule 5.727(f).
	(3) If the request for designation was is made before a request for removal was filed the agency services notice of a proposed removal or before an emergency removal occurreds, notice must be as follows:
CRC 5.727(a) p. 73	This rule applies, after termination of parental rights or, in the case of tribal customary adoption, modification of parental rights, to the removal by the Department of Social Services (DSS) or a licensed adoption agency of a dependent child from a prospective adoptive parent under rule 5.726(b) or from a caregiver who may meet the criteria for designation as a prospective adoptive parent under rule 5.726(b) in section 366.26(n)(1). This rule does not apply if the caregiver requests the child's removal.
CRC 5.727(b) p. 73	Before removing a child from the home of a prospective adoptive parent under rule 5.726(b) or from the home of a caregiver who may meet the criteria of a prospective adoptive parent under rule 5.726(b) in section 366.26(n)(1), and as soon as possible after a decision is made to remove the child, the agency must notify the following participants of the proposed removal:
CRC 5.727(c) p. 74	DSS or the agency must provide notice on <i>Notice of Intent to Remove Child</i> (form JV-323). A blank copy of <i>Objection to Removal</i> (form JV-325) and <i>Request for Prospective Adoptive Parent Designation</i> (form JV-321) must also be provided to all participants listed in (b) except the court.
CRC 5.727(d)(3) p. 74	(3) Notice to the child's identified Indian tribe and Indian custodian must be given under rule 5.481 comply with the requirements of section 224.2.
CRC 5.727(d)(4) p. 74	For consistency with 5.726(d)(3)(E):
	(4) Proof of notice on Proof of Notice (form JV-326) must be filed with the court before the hearing on the proposed removal.
CRC 5.727(e)(2) p. 74	Second sentence is unclear: service of the notification of the proposed removal or service of the request for hearing on the proposed removal?
Language highlighted in green: Not sure which one is correct.	(2) A request for hearing on the proposed removal must be made within five court or seven calendar days from the date of notification, whichever is longer. If service

	T
	of the notification is by mail, time to respond request a hearing is extended by five calendar days.
	(2) A request for hearing on the proposed removal must be made within five court or seven calendar days from the date of notification, whichever is longer. If service the request for hearing is by mail, time to respond make the request is extended by five calendar days.
CRC 5.727(e)(3) pp. 74-75	For consistency with CRC 5.726(c)(4)(A):
	(3) The court must order set a hearing as follows:
	(A) The hearing must be set as soon as possible and not later than five court days after the objection petition objecting to removal is filed with the court.
	(B) If the court for good cause is unable to cannot set the matter for hearing five court days after the petition objecting to removal is filed, the court must set the matter for hearing as soon as possible.
	(C) The matter may be set for hearing more than five court days after the objection petition objecting to removal is filed if this delay is necessary to allow participation by the child's identified Indian tribe or the child's Indian custodian.
CRC 5.727(f) p. 75	(f) Notice of hearing on proposed removal
	(1)
	(2)
	(3) Notice must be either by personal service or by telephone. Notice by personal service must include a copy of the completed forms Notice of Intent to Remove Child (form JV-323) and Objection to Removal (form JV-325). Telephone notice must include the reasons for and against the removal, as indicated on forms JV-323 and JV-325.
	(4) Proof of notice on Proof of Notice (form JV-326) must be filed with the court before the hearing on the proposed removal.

CRC 5.727(g) p. 75	For consistency with the rest of CRC 5.727:
	At a hearing on an intent to remove the child a proposed removal, the agency intending to remove the child must prove by a preponderance of the evidence that the proposed removal is in the best interest of the child.
CRC 5.727(h) p. 75	Not addressed: Whether the <u>child's identified Indian tribe or Indian custodian</u> should have access to confidential information. (See CRC 5.727(b) & (f).)
CRC 5.727(i) p. 76	For consistency with the rest of CRC 5.727:
p. 7 c	If the court order made after a hearing on an intent to remove a child a proposed removal is appealed, the appeal must be made brought as a petition for writ review under rules 8.454 and 8.456.
CRC 5.728(a) p. 76	This rule applies, after termination of parental rights or, in the case of tribal customary adoption, modification of parental rights, to the removal by the Department of Social Services (DSS) or a licensed adoption agency of a dependent child from the home of a prospective adoptive parent under rule 5.726(b) or from a caregiver who may meet the criteria for designation as a prospective adoptive parent under rule 5.726(b) in section 366.26(n)(1) when the DSS or the licensed adoption agency has determined a removal must occur immediately due to a risk of physical or emotional harm. This rule does not apply if the child's removal is carried out removed at the request of the caregiver.
CRC 5.728(b) p. 76	"Immediate" does not modify "risk of physical or emotional harm" in the statute. (See WIC § 366.26(n)(4).)
	After removing a child from the home of a prospective adoptive parent under rule 5.726(b), or from the home of a caregiver who may meet the criteria of a prospective adoptive parent under rule 5.726(b), in section 366.26(n)(1) because of immediate risk of physical or emotional harm, the agency must notify the following participants of the emergency removal:
	(1) The court;
	(2) The current caregiver, if that caregiver either who is a designated prospective adoptive parent or who, on the date of service of the notice, meets may meet the criteria in section 366.26(n)(1);
CRC 5.728(c) p. 77	The instructions on form JV-324 state, "This notice must be served with a blank copy of form JV-321, Request for Prospective Adoptive Parent Designation, and a blank copy of form JV-325, Objection to Removal." How would these blank copies be provided to the caregiver and the child (10 or older) if notice is given by telephone per CRC 5.728(c)(2)?
Isn't notice "by	(c) Form <u>and service</u> of notice

telephone" contrary to the rule stating that form JV-324 must be	Notice of Emergency Removal (form JV-324) must be used to provide notice of an emergency removal, as described below.
used to provide notice?	(1)
	(2) Notice must be either by telephone or by personal service of the form.
	(3)
There is no proof of notice included on form JV-324 (currently 1 page, as revised 1-1-08).	(4) Whenever possible, the agency, at the time of the removal, must give a blank copy of the form <u>Request for Prospective Adoptive Parent Designation</u> (form JV-321) and a blank copy of <u>Objection to Removal</u> (form JV-325) to the caregiver and, if the child is 10 years of age or older, to the child.
	(5) Notice to the court must be served by filing of the Notice of Emergency Removal (form Notice) and Proof of Notice (form Notice) with the court. The proof of notice included on the form must be completed when the form is filed with the court.
	(6) <i>Proof of Notice</i> (form JV-326) must be filed with the court before the hearing on the proposed removal.
CRC 5.728(d)(2) p. 77	For consistency with CRC 5.726(c)(4)(A) and CRC 5.727(e)(3):
	(2) The court must order set a hearing as follows:
	(A) The hearing must be set as soon as possible and not later than five court days after the objection petition objecting to removal is filed with the court.
	(B) If the court for good cause is unable to cannot set the matter for hearing within five court days after the petition objecting to removal is filed, the court must set the matter for hearing as soon as possible.
	(C) The matter may be set for hearing more than five court days after the objection petition objecting to removal is filed if this delay is necessary to allow participation by the child's identified Indian tribe or the child's Indian custodian.
CRC 5.728(e) p. 78	For consistency with CRC 5.727(f):
	(e) Notice of emergency removal hearing on emergency removal
	After the court has ordered a hearing on an emergency removal, notice of the hearing must be as follows:
	(2)(1) The clerk must provide notice of the hearing to the agency and the participants listed in (b) above, if the court, the caregiver, or the child requested the hearing.
	(3)(2) The child's attorney must provide notice of the hearing to the agency and the

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	participants listed in (b) above, if the child's attorney requested the hearing.
	(1)(3) Notice must be either by personal service or by telephone. Notice by personal service must include a copy of the completed Notice of Emergency Removal (form JV-324). Telephone notice must include the reasons for and against the removal, as indicated on forms JV-324 and JV-325.
	(4) Proof of notice on Proof of Notice (form JV-326) must be filed with the court before the hearing on the emergency removal.
CRC 5.728(g) p. 78	Not addressed: Whether the child's identified Indian tribe or Indian custodian should have access to confidential information. (See CRC 5.728(b) & (e).)
	For consistency with CRC 5.727(h):
	If the telephone or address of the caregiver or the child is confidential, all forms must be kept in the court file under seal. Only the court, the child's attorney, the agency, and the child's CASA volunteer and program may have access to this information.
CRC 5.728 p. 78	Should a provision for appeal be added (see CRC 5.727(i)? E.g.:
p. 70	If the court order made after a hearing on an emergency removal is appealed, the appeal must be brought as a petition for writ review under rules 8.454 and 8.456.
p. 78	Rule 5.730. Adoption (§§ 366.24, 366.26(e); Fam. Code § 8600 et seq.)
CRC 5.735(c) p. 81	Merely repeats what is already in WIC § 366.26(d). Delete (and re-letter subsequent subdivisions)?
CRC 5.735(d) p. 81	(1) If the court finds that legal guardianship is the appropriate permanent plan, the court must appoint the guardian and order the clerk to issue letters of guardianship, which will not be subject to the confidentiality protections of juvenile court documents as described in section 827.
	(2) The court must issue orders regarding visitation of the child by a parent or former guardian, unless the court finds that visitation would be detrimental to the physical or emotional well-being of the child.

CRC 5.735(e) p. 81	Wrong rule.
	The court must advise all parties of their appeal rights as provided in rule 5.585 5.590.
CRC 5.740 p. 82	Rule 5.740. Hearings subsequent to a permanent plan (§§ 366.26, 366.3, 16501.1)
CRC 5.740(a) p. 82	Suggested changes for consistency with CRC 5.708(e) (p. 45) and 5.725(d) (pp. 66-67) (see also WIC § 16501.1(g)(15)(A) and suggested changes below for CRC 5.740(b)(2):
	(2) The court or administrative review panel must consider the case plan submitted for this hearing and must find as follows:
	(A) Whether the child was actively involved, as age- and developmentally appropriate, in the development of the case plan and plan for permanent placement, including being asked for a statement regarding the permanent placement plan, and whether the case plan contains the social worker's assessment of those stated wishes. If the court finds the child was not appropriately involved, the court must order the agency to actively involve the child in the development of the case plan and plan for permanent placement, unless the court finds that the child is unable, unavailable, or unwilling to participate. If the court finds the case plan does not contain the social worker's assessment of the child's stated wishes, the court must order the agency to submit the assessment to the court.
	(B) Whether the agency has documented the steps it is taking to finalize the adoption or legal guardianship, has identified any barriers to achieving legal permanency, and has described the steps it will take to address those barriers. If the court finds the case plan does not contain this information, the court must order the agency to submit the missing information to the court.
	(2)(3) When adoption is granted, the court must terminate its jurisdiction. (3)(4) When legal guardianship is granted, the court may continue dependency jurisdiction if it is in the best interest of the child, or the court may terminate dependency jurisdiction and retain jurisdiction over the child as a ward of the guardianship. (4)(5) Notice of the hearing must be given as provided in section 295.
CRC 5.740(b) p. 82	Suggested change to reflect amendments made by SB 794:
p. 02	(b) Review hearings—relative care or foster care

CRC 5.740(b)(1) p. 82	For consistency with 5.740(a)(1):
ρ. 62	and enter findings regarding each item listed in as required by section 366.3(e).
CRC 5.740(b)(2)-(3) pp. 82-83	Suggested changes for consistency with CRC 5.708(e) (p. 45) and 5.725(d) (pp. 66-67): ²
	(2) The court or administrative review panel must consider the case plan submitted for this hearing and must find as follows:
	(A) The child was actively involved in the development of his or her own case plan
Note: If court finds	and plan for permanent placement as age and developmentally appropriate; or (B) The child was not actively involved in the development of his or her own case plan and plan for permanent placement as age and developmentally appropriate. If the court or administrative review panel makes such a finding, the court must order the agency to actively involve the child in the development of his or her own case plan and plan for permanent placement, unless the court finds that the child is unable, unavailable, or unwilling to participate.
child was not involved, JV-446	(A) Whether the child was actively involved, as age- and developmentally
item 14.b.(1) orders	appropriate, in the development of the case plan and plan for permanent
agency to submit	placement. If the court finds the child was not appropriately involved, the court
updated case plan	must order the agency to actively involve the child in the development of the case
within 30 days.	plan and plan for permanent placement, unless the court finds the child is unable, unavailable, or unwilling to participate.
	(B) In the case of an Indian child, whether the agency consulted with the child's tribe and the tribe was actively involved in the development of the case plan and plan for permanent placement, including consideration of tribal customary adoption as an appropriate permanent plan for the child if reunification is unsuccessful. If the court finds the agency did not consult the child's tribe, the court must order the agency to do so, unless the court finds the tribe is unable, unavailable, or unwilling to participate.
Note: If court finds	(3) For a child 12 years of age or older and in a permanent placement, the court
child was not given	must consider the case plan and must find as follows:
opportunity, JV-446	(A) The child was given the opportunity to review the case plan, sign it, and
item 15.b.(1) orders	receive a copy; or
agency to submit	(B) The child was not given the opportunity to review the case plan, sign it, and
written confirmation	receive a copy. If the court makes such a finding, the court must order the agency to
within 30 days that child was given	give the child the opportunity to review the case plan, sign it, and receive a copy.
opportunity.	(C) For a child 12 years of age or older and in a permanent placement, whether the child was given the opportunity to review the case plan, sign it, and receive a copy.

 $^{^2}$ The additions suggested in CRC 5.740(b)(2)(D)-(J) are based on the case plan requirements set forth in WIC $\$ 16501.1(g)(15)-(19). Although $\$ 16501.1 does not require the court to make such findings on the record, the current rule requires the court to make other findings regarding the case plan. (See CRC 5.740(b)(2)(A)-(C).)

If the court finds the child was not given the opportunity to review the case plan, sign it, and receive a copy, the court must order the agency to give the child that opportunity.

(D) For a child 16 years of age or older and in a permanent placement, whether the case plan identifies the intensive and ongoing efforts made to return the child to the home of the parent, place the child for adoption or tribal customary adoption in the case of an Indian child, establish a legal guardianship, or place the child with a fit and willing relative, as appropriate, including the use of technology and social media to find relatives of the child. If the court finds the case plan does not include this information, the court must order the agency to submit the missing information to the court.

(E) For a child who is 14 or 15 years of age, whether the case plan describes the programs and services that will help the child, consistent with the child's best interests, to prepare for the transition from foster care to successful adulthood. If the court finds the case plan does not include this information, the court must order the agency to submit the missing information to the court.

(F) For a youth 16 years of age or older or a nonminor dependent, whether the case plan includes the youth's transitional independent living plan. If the court finds that the case plan does not include this plan, the court must order the agency to submit the missing plan to the court.

(G) For a youth 14 years of age or older, whether the case plan documents that a consumer credit report was requested annually and any results were provided to the youth, identifies any barriers to obtaining the credit reports, and details how the county ensured the youth received assistance with interpreting the credit report and resolving any inaccuracies. If the court finds the case plan does not include this information, the court must order the agency to submit the missing information to the court.

(H) For a youth 14 years of age or older or a nonminor dependent, whether the case plan includes a document that describes the youth's rights with respect to education, health, visitation, and court participation, the right to be annually provided with copies of his or her credit reports at no cost while in foster care, and the right to stay safe and avoid exploitation. If the court finds that the case plan does not include this document, the court must order the agency to submit the missing document to the court.

(I) For a youth 14 years of age or older or a nonminor dependent, whether the case plan includes a signed acknowledgment by the youth that he or she has received a copy of the document described in (H) and that the rights described in the document were explained to the youth in an age-appropriate manner. If the court finds that the case plan does not include this acknowledgment, the court must order the agency to submit it to the court.

court or administrative review panel makes such a finding finds the agency has not made diligent efforts to locate an appropriate relative, the court or administrative review panel must order the agency to make diligent efforts to locate an appropriate relative; and do so. (C)(B) Whether eEach relative whose name has been submitted to the agency as a possible caregiver has been evaluated as an appropriate placement resource. The caregiver has not been evaluated as an appropriate placement resource. If the court or administrative review panel makes such a finding finds the agency has not evaluated each relative whose name has been submitted as a possible caregiver, the court or administrative review panel must order the agency to evaluate as an appropriate placement resource, each relative whose name has been submitted to the agency as a possible caregiver do so. (5)(4) (6)(5) (7)(6) (8)(7)
A petition to terminate a guardianship established by the juvenile court, to appoint a successor guardian, or to modify or supplement orders concerning the guardianship must be filed in the juvenile court. The procedures described in rule 5.570 must be followed, and <i>Request to Change Court Order</i> (form JV-180) must be used.
Comment: The requirement for the petitioner to serve notice of the hearing is in conflict with WIC § 297(c) ["the court shall give prior notice"] and CRC 5.570(g) [clerk must cause notice of hearing to be given per CRC 5.524, which references both WIC § 290.1 and WIC § 290.2]. (The second sentence in 5.740(c) states, "The procedures described in rule 5.570 must be followed") Also, if the petitioner is the guardian who wishes to terminate the guardianship (and who might be acting in proper), can he or she realistically be expected to serve notice of the hearing? (2) Not less than 15 court days before the hearing date, the petitioner must serve notice of the hearing on the department of social services; the guardian; the child, if

	court that established the guardianship, if in another county; and counsel of record for those entitled to notice.		
JV-421, p. 1 Right footer	Shouldn't the citations be to WIC §§ 361, 361.2 (rather than §§ 366, 366.3) and CRC 5.695 (rather than CRC 5.707)?		
JV-421: item 16b	Delete period after "made toward <mark>-</mark> "		
JV-421: item 20	Add a finding for § 361.5(b)(16).		
JV-421: item 20c, 20d, 20e	is a person described in Welf. & Inst. Code, § 361.5(b)(2), and and reunification services are		
JV-421: item 20f	and the court accepts the waiver, the person having knowingly and intelligently waived the right to serves services.		
JV-421: item 21b	Per "Gray Chart" (Recommended Title IV-E Findings and Orders), rev. 2-1-16, finding D4. ("Achieved" is in D6.)		
	The likely date by which the child may be returned to and safely maintained in the home or another permanent plan achieved selected is (specify):		
JV-421: item 26	For consistency with rest of form: Legal guardian Welf. & Inst. Code. § 369		
JV-421: item 27b	The educational rights and responsibilities of the educational representative rights holder are described in rule 5.650(e)-(f)		
JV-421: item 30	The following persons are ordered to make take the steps necessary for a. social worker. b. parent or legal guardian (name): c. surrogate parent (name): d. educational representative rights holder (name): e. other (name):		
JV-421: item 31	a The child's educational records, including any evaluation regarding a disability, were were not requested by the child's new school within two business days of the request to enroll, and those records were provided by the child's former school to the child's new school within two business days of the receipt of the educational records request. b. The child is is is not enrolled in school. c. The child is is is not attending school.		
JV-421: item 33	Child under the age of three years of age or a member of a sibling group as described in Welf. & Inst. Code, § 361.5(a)9(1)(C) The court informed all parties present at the time of the hearing and further advises all parties that, because the child was under the age of three years of age on the date of initial removal or is a member of a sibling group in which one member was under three years of age on the date of initial removal:		
JV-421: item 35d	The court advised all parties present in court that to preserve any right to review on appeal of this order, a party must seek an extraordinary writ by filing a notice of intent to file a writ petition and a request for the record, The clerk of the court is directed to provide written notice as stated in rule 5.695(g)(10)(19) of the California Rules of Court to any party not present.		
JV-421: item 35e	The court orders that no notice of the hearing set under Welf. & Inst. Code, § 366.26 be provided to the person(s) named below		
JV-421: item 35f	The likely date by which the child may be placed for adoption, or by which another permanent plan may be selected; is (specify date):		

Name: _	Mike	Roddy	Title:	Executive Officer
Organiz	ation:	Superior Court of Califo	rnia, Count	y of San Diego
	Co	mmenting on behalf of an	organizatio	on
Address	: <u>Cor</u>	unty Courthouse, 220 West	t Broadway	
City, Sta	ıte, Zip	San Diego, California	92101	

Email: invitations@jud.ca.gov

Mail: Judicial Council of California

Attn: Invitations to Comment 455 Golden Gate Avenue San Francisco, CA 94102

DEADLINE FOR COMMENT: 5:00 p.m., Tuesday, June 14, 2016.