



JUDICIAL COUNCIL OF CALIFORNIA

455 Golden Gate Avenue · San Francisco, California 94102-3688

www.courts.ca.gov

REPORT TO THE JUDICIAL COUNCIL

For business meeting on: August 25–26, 2016

Title

Judicial Council Report to the Legislature:
Allocations and Reimbursements to the Trial
Courts for Fiscal Year 2015–2016

Agenda Item Type

Action Required

Effective Date

August 26, 2016

Rules, Forms, Standards, or Statutes Affected

None

Date of Report

July 29, 2016

Recommended by

Zlatko Theodorovic, Director
Judicial Council Budget Services

Contact

Colin Simpson, 415-865-4566
colin.simpson@jud.ca.gov

Executive Summary

Judicial Council staff recommend approving the *Report of Allocations and Reimbursements to the Trial Courts for Fiscal Year 2015–2016*. Government Code section 77202.5(a) requires that the Judicial Council report to the Legislature on all approved allocations and reimbursements to the trial courts in each fiscal year by September 30: to the chairs of the Senate Committees on Budget and Fiscal Review and Judiciary, and the chairs of the Assembly Committees on Budget and Judiciary. The allocations and reimbursements reflect disbursements to courts through July 27, 2016, and any remaining encumbrances as of July 27, 2016. The report will be updated to include the final regular distribution to the trial courts scheduled for August 31, 2016, before submission to the Legislature.

Recommendation

Judicial Council staff recommend that the Judicial Council:

1. Approve the attached report; and

2. Direct Judicial Council staff to submit the report to the chairs of the Senate Committees on Budget and Fiscal Review and Judiciary, and the chairs of the Assembly Committees on Budget and Judiciary.

Previous Council Action

The council has approved previous fiscal year reports. These reports are posted on the California Courts website on the “Legislative Reports” web page: <http://www.courts.ca.gov/7466.htm>.

Rationale for Recommendation

This report to the Legislature is required to be submitted by the Judicial Council by September 30 under Government Code section 77202.5(a), and requires that the report include:

- A statement of the intended purpose for which each allocation or reimbursement was made; and
- The policy governing trial court reserves.

Both items are provided as attachments to the report.

The allocations and reimbursements reflect disbursements to courts through July 29, 2016, and any remaining encumbrances as of July 29, 2016. The report will be updated to include the final regular distribution to the trial courts scheduled for August 31, 2016 before submission to the Legislature.

Comments, Alternatives Considered, and Policy Implications

This report was not circulated for comment.

Implementation Requirements, Costs, and Operational Impacts

Not applicable.

Attachments and Links

1. *Report of Allocations and Reimbursements to the Trial Courts for Fiscal Year 2015–2016*



JUDICIAL COUNCIL OF CALIFORNIA

455 Golden Gate Avenue
San Francisco, CA 94102-3688
Tel 415-865-4200
TDD 415-865-4272
Fax 415-865-4205
www.courts.ca.gov

HON. TANI G. CANTIL-SAKAUYE
Chief Justice of California
Chair of the Judicial Council

HON. DOUGLAS P. MILLER
Chair, Executive and Planning Committee

HON. DAVID M. RUBIN
Chair, Litigation Management Committee

HON. KENNETH K. SO
Chair, Policy Coordination and
Liaison Committee

HON. HARRY E. HULL, JR.
Chair, Rules and Projects Committee

HON. MARSHA G. SLOUGH
Chair, Technology Committee

Hon. Marla O. Anderson
Hon. Brian John Back
Hon. Richard Bloom
Mr. Mark G. Bonino
Hon. Stacy Boulware Eurie
Hon. Kyle S. Brodie
Hon. Daniel J. Buckley
Hon. Ming W. Chin
Hon. Samuel K. Feng
Hon. James M. Humes
Hon. Hannah-Beth Jackson
Mr. Patrick M. Kelly
Hon. Dalila C. Lyons
Ms. Donna D. Melby
Hon. Gary Nadler
Ms. Debra Elaine Pole
Hon. Dean T. Stout

ADVISORY MEMBERS

Hon. Jeffrey B. Barton
Mr. Jake Chatters
Mr. Richard D. Feldstein
Ms. Kimberly Flener
Hon. Scott M. Gordon
Hon. David E. Gunn
Hon. Brian L. McCabe
Mr. Frank A. McGuire
Hon. Eric C. Taylor
Hon. Charles D. Wachob

MR. MARTIN HOSHINO
Administrative Director,
Judicial Council

September 9, 2016

Hon. Mark Leno, Chair
Senate Committee on Budget and
Fiscal Review
California State Senate
Attn: Ms. Peggy Collins
1020 N Street, Room 553
Sacramento, California 95814

Hon. Hannah-Beth Jackson, Chair
Senate Committee on Judiciary
California State Senate
State Capitol, Room 2187
Sacramento, California 95814

Hon. Philip Y. Ting, Chair
Assembly Committee on Budget
California State Assembly
State Capitol, Room 6026
Sacramento, California 95814

Hon. Mark Stone, Chair
Assembly Committee on Judiciary
California State Assembly
1020 N Street, Room 104
Sacramento, California 95814

*Re: Report of Allocations and Reimbursements to the Trial Courts for
Fiscal Year 2015–2016, as required by Government Code section
77202.5(a)*

Dear Senator Leno, Senator Jackson, Assembly Member Ting, and
Assembly Member Stone:

The Judicial Council respectfully submits the attached report on
allocations and reimbursements provided to the trial courts for fiscal year
(FY) 2015–2016, and on the Judicial Council's policy governing trial
court reserves, as required by Government Code section 77202.5(a).

The allocations and reimbursements from state funds include the FY
2015–2016 base allocations for court operations; reimbursement for
specific trial court expenditure items including jury, self-help center,
court interpreter, and court-appointed dependency counsel costs; fee
revenue amounts that are distributed directly back to the reporting courts
as directed in statute or by the Judicial Council; and, funding awarded to

Hon. Mark Leno
Hon. Hannah-Beth Jackson
Hon. Philip Y. Ting
Hon. Mark Stone
September 9, 2016
Page 2

individual trial courts from statewide programs, including state and federal grants. Monies were provided from the following funds:

- Trial Court Trust Fund (\$2.064 billion);
- State Court Facilities Construction Fund—Immediate and Critical Needs Account (\$50 million);
- State Trial Court Improvement and Modernization Fund (\$5.948 million);
- General Fund (\$130.481 million);
- Federal Trust Fund (\$1.602 million); and
- Recidivism Reduction Fund (\$7.986 million).

The allocations and reimbursements reflect disbursements to courts through August 31, 2016, and any remaining encumbrances as of August 31, 2016.

The council's current policy on trial court fund balances, revised October 28, 2014, is provided in Attachment 3.

If you have any questions related to this report, please contact Mr. Zlatko Theodorovic, Director, Judicial Council Budget Services, at 916-263-1397 or zlatko.theodorovic@jud.ca.gov.

Sincerely,

Martin Hoshino
Administrative Director
Judicial Council of California

MH/CS

Attachment 1: 2015–2016 Allocations and Reimbursements to Trial Courts

Attachment 2: Statement of Intended Purpose for Each Allocation or Reimbursement

Attachment 3: Judicial Council Trial Court Fund Balance Policy

Hon. Mark Leno
Hon. Hannah-Beth Jackson
Hon. Philip Y. Ting
Hon. Mark Stone
September 9, 2016
Page 3

cc: Diane F. Boyer-Vine, Legislative Counsel
Daniel Alvarez, Secretary of the Senate
E. Dotson Wilson, Chief Clerk of the Assembly
Shaun Naidu, Policy Consultant, Office of Senate President pro Tempore Kevin de León
Alf Brandt, Senior Counsel, Office of Assembly Speaker Anthony Rendon
Anita Lee, Senior Fiscal and Policy Analyst, Legislative Analyst's Office
Tina McGee, Executive Secretary, Legislative Analyst's Office
Tiffany Garcia, Program Budget Analyst, Department of Finance
Peggy Collins, Principal Consultant, Joint Legislative Budget Committee
Margie Estrada, Chief Counsel, Senate Judiciary Committee
Julie Salley-Gray, Consultant, Senate Budget and Fiscal Review Committee
Jolie Onodera, Consultant, Senate Appropriations Committee
Matt Osterli, Consultant, Senate Republican Fiscal Office
Mike Petersen, Consultant, Senate Republican Policy Office
Alison Merrilees, Chief Counsel, Assembly Judiciary Committee
Chuck Nicol, Principal Consultant, Assembly Appropriations Committee
Marvin Deon, Consultant, Assembly Budget Committee
Allan Cooper, Consultant, Assembly Republican Office of Policy & Budget
Paul Dress, Consultant, Assembly Republican Office of Policy & Budget
Zlatko Theodorovic, Director, Budget Services, Judicial Council
Cory T. Jaspersen, Director, Governmental Affairs, Judicial Council
Peter Allen, Director, Public Affairs, Judicial Council
Laura Speed, Principal Manager, Governmental Affairs, Judicial Council
Yvette Casillas-Sarcos, Administrative Coordinator, Governmental Affairs, Judicial Council
Council



JUDICIAL COUNCIL OF CALIFORNIA

455 Golden Gate Avenue
San Francisco, CA 94102-3688
Tel 415-865-4200
TDD 415-865-4272
Fax 415-865-4205
www.courts.ca.gov

HON. TANI G. CANTIL-SAKAUYE
Chief Justice of California
Chair of the Judicial Council

HON. DOUGLAS P. MILLER
Chair, Executive and Planning Committee

HON. DAVID M. RUBIN
Chair, Litigation Management Committee

HON. KENNETH K. SO
Chair, Policy Coordination and
Liaison Committee

HON. HARRY E. HULL, JR.
Chair, Rules and Projects Committee

HON. MARSHA G. SLOUGH
Chair, Technology Committee

Hon. Marla O. Anderson
Hon. Brian John Back
Hon. Richard Bloom
Mr. Mark G. Bonino
Hon. Stacy Boulware Eurie
Hon. Kyle S. Brodie
Hon. Daniel J. Buckley
Hon. Ming W. Chin
Hon. Samuel K. Feng
Hon. James M. Humes
Hon. HannahBeth Jackson
Mr. Patrick M. Kelly
Hon. Dalila C. Lyons
Ms. Donna D. Melby
Hon. Gary Nadler
Ms. Debra Elaine Pole
Hon. Dean T. Stout

ADVISORY MEMBERS

Hon. Jeffrey B. Barton
Mr. Jake Chatters
Mr. Richard D. Feldstein
Ms. Kimberly Flener
Hon. Scott M. Gordon
Hon. David E. Gunn
Hon. Brian L. McCabe
Mr. Frank A. McGuire
Hon. Eric C. Taylor
Hon. Charles D. Wachob

MR. MARTIN HOSHINO
Administrative Director,
Judicial Council

Report Title: *Report of Allocations and Reimbursements to the
Trial Courts for Fiscal Year 2015–2016*

Statutory Citation: Stats. 2009, § 27, ch. 22
Code Section: Gov. Code, § 77202.5(a)

Date of Report: September 9, 2016

The Judicial Council has submitted a report to the Legislature in accordance with Government Code section 77202.5(a), which requires the Judicial Council to report all approved allocations and reimbursements to the trial courts in each fiscal year. The following summary of the report is provided per the requirements of Government Code section 9795.

The report provides fiscal year 2015–2016 allocations; reimbursement for specific trial court expenditure items including jury, self-help center, court interpreter, and court-appointed dependency counsel costs; fee revenue amounts that are distributed directly back to the related courts as directed in statute or by the Judicial Council; and funding awarded to individual trial courts from statewide programs, including state and federal grants. Monies were provided from the following funds:

- Trial Court Trust Fund (\$2.064 billion);
- State Court Facilities Construction Fund—Immediate and Critical Needs Account (\$50 million);
- State Trial Court Improvement and Modernization Fund (\$5.948 million);
- General Fund (\$130.481 million);
- Federal Trust Fund (\$1.602 million); and
- Recidivism Reduction Fund (\$7.986 million).

The allocations and reimbursements reflect disbursements to courts through August 31, 2016, and any remaining encumbrances as of August 31, 2016.

The full report is available at <http://www.courts.ca.gov/7466.htm>. A printed copy of the report may be obtained by calling 415-865-7966.

JUDICIAL COUNCIL OF CALIFORNIA

Hon. Tani G. Cantil-Sakauye
*Chief Justice of California and
Chair of the Judicial Council*

Martin Hoshino
*Administrative Director
Judicial Council*

Mark Dusman
Acting Chief Administrative Officer

ADMINISTRATIVE DIVISION

BUDGET SERVICES

Zlatko Theodorovic
Director

Lucy Fogarty
Deputy Director

Colin Simpson
Primary Author of Report

**Trial Court Trust Fund
(Through July 29, 2016)**

	Allocation (Programs 30.15 and 45.10)									
	Base Budget	Base Budget Distributed from ICNA	Self-Help in Base Budget	Workload-Based Allocation and Funding Methodology Adjustment	2% State-Level Reserve Contribution	2014-15 Benefits Funding	2013-14 Benefits Subsidy Reduction Return Allocation	Reduction for Fund Balance Above the 1% Cap	Criminal Justice Realignment	Prop. 47 Workload
Superior Court	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J
Alameda	72,438,839	(2,244,409)	(104,209)	(1,287,886)	(13,265)	562,020	558,169	(8,935)	276,057	539,463
Alpine	727,493	(22,540)	(34,058)	(7,426)	(137)	5,289	2,166	(195,378)	389	424
Amador	2,086,181	(64,637)	(35,773)	17,445	(400)	15,693	8,265	-	4,857	27,824
Butte	8,837,870	(273,828)	(44,097)	415,496	(1,655)	68,952	25,636	-	65,469	187,688
Calaveras	1,943,653	(60,221)	(36,125)	24,977	(379)	30,138	15,877	-	4,468	20,512
Colusa	1,510,299	(46,794)	(35,000)	138,942	(311)	10,604	5,551	-	2,137	10,218
Contra Costa	36,351,158	(1,126,286)	(81,852)	1,646,417	(7,412)	590,873	353,816	-	89,752	239,467
Del Norte	2,395,840	(74,232)	(35,357)	(93,311)	(457)	73,071	15,852	(58,410)	6,994	17,605
El Dorado	6,129,016	(189,898)	(42,191)	138,063	(1,209)	90,455	6,573	-	28,363	90,885
Fresno	36,317,187	(1,125,233)	(75,815)	3,393,078	(8,260)	1,581,245	320,250	-	264,401	929,732
Glenn	1,808,349	(56,029)	(35,332)	(39,669)	(341)	31,311	8,346	-	3,497	23,053
Humboldt	5,567,578	(172,503)	(40,161)	262,409	(1,071)	46,895	47,606	-	43,516	93,264
Imperial	7,516,498	(232,887)	(41,744)	482,460	(1,446)	95,925	70,967	-	36,717	102,242
Inyo	1,996,477	(61,858)	(34,861)	(46,549)	(339)	(7,122)	11,357	-	2,331	8,233
Kern	33,743,176	(1,045,482)	(70,253)	4,726,367	(7,703)	(217,620)	191,349	-	373,386	1,082,469
Kings	5,956,575	(184,556)	(40,867)	329,947	(1,093)	29,342	7,680	-	49,539	200,664
Lake	3,199,899	(99,144)	(36,980)	(51,309)	(550)	33,201	1,110	-	13,210	48,199
Lassen	2,259,875	(70,019)	(35,648)	(19,653)	(361)	6,803	1,935	(16,217)	7,771	24,071
Los Angeles	468,361,847	(14,495,935)	(510,282)	26,655,257	(93,930)	7,896,395	4,197,807	-	3,445,560	6,023,218
Madera	6,348,235	(196,691)	(40,712)	265,582	(1,260)	223,020	15,775	(3,221)	41,574	128,058
Marin	12,313,085	(381,502)	(45,777)	(719,298)	(2,255)	(78,894)	124,378	-	24,089	59,770
Mariposa	1,049,471	(32,516)	(34,847)	70,522	(211)	4,769	1,235	-	1,360	6,997
Mendocino	4,557,139	(141,196)	(38,204)	125,103	(888)	56,174	81,587	-	29,011	38,056
Merced	9,914,474	(307,185)	(45,471)	586,873	(2,121)	161,921	107,600	-	92,472	171,187
Modoc	900,123	(27,889)	(34,457)	(15,974)	(170)	9,491	1,229	-	1,166	4,233
Mono	1,338,300	(41,465)	(34,632)	117,954	(282)	10,568	3,928	-	389	14,313
Monterey	15,271,747	(473,172)	(53,749)	625,277	(2,867)	205,587	91,745	-	50,704	159,545
Napa	6,583,023	(203,965)	(40,250)	222,507	(1,264)	(3,237)	63,045	-	12,433	37,545
Nevada	4,687,440	(145,233)	(38,652)	(9,051)	(820)	79,983	41,729	-	10,685	32,304
Orange	127,108,481	(3,931,566)	(176,822)	2,279,331	(25,508)	3,449,769	2,006,818	(39,278)	459,641	2,419,460
Placer	12,925,172	(400,467)	(48,713)	970,077	(2,703)	84,431	98,675	(1,592)	25,061	159,807
Plumas	1,357,701	(42,066)	(34,997)	(115,183)	(232)	2,474	973	-	777	9,282
Riverside	72,072,647	(2,233,063)	(124,804)	6,831,112	(14,298)	(650,572)	569,988	(26,138)	749,297	1,277,630
Sacramento	67,293,541	(2,084,989)	(98,412)	3,633,802	(13,552)	332,406	796,927	(17,050)	198,738	1,283,169
San Benito	2,458,182	(76,163)	(36,679)	(91,970)	(446)	21,556	5,843	-	9,519	35,006
San Bernardino	79,329,723	(2,457,912)	(126,593)	6,729,524	(15,809)	1,521,168	462,588	-	748,520	1,217,228
San Diego	128,939,474	(3,991,915)	(176,566)	1,428,367	(24,841)	2,061,274	666,662	-	467,218	4,632,563
San Francisco	51,250,749	(1,587,927)	(71,128)	322,753	(10,696)	631,291	518,912	-	98,883	282,803
San Joaquin	26,262,051	(813,690)	(65,065)	2,214,850	(5,593)	818,234	185,876	-	178,145	884,841
San Luis Obispo	12,345,167	(382,496)	(46,237)	493,124	(2,373)	972	19,774	-	51,481	156,448
San Mateo	30,954,404	(959,076)	(67,661)	466,507	(6,220)	363,484	97,565	-	40,019	520,420
Santa Barbara	18,963,060	(587,542)	(53,600)	202,941	(3,671)	227,423	42,314	(15,167)	64,303	251,318
Santa Clara	73,626,361	(2,281,202)	(116,433)	(2,908,365)	(13,811)	1,851,301	286,329	-	129,383	617,364
Santa Cruz	10,519,150	(325,920)	(46,196)	367,701	(2,064)	86,623	53,529	-	32,054	224,768
Shasta	10,844,018	(335,986)	(42,437)	529,691	(1,735)	135,012	63,826	-	80,427	305,488
Sierra	738,243	(22,873)	(34,163)	(6,842)	(137)	3,781	3,101	(0)	971	896
Siskiyou	3,039,649	(94,179)	(36,145)	(155,650)	(558)	40,262	20,614	-	15,736	26,560
Solano	18,224,539	(564,660)	(53,657)	743,826	(3,520)	95,975	172,459	-	111,511	223,127
Sonoma	20,518,574	(635,737)	(56,310)	602,154	(4,206)	825,673	213,991	-	150,947	217,064
Stanislaus	17,251,929	(534,525)	(57,911)	1,458,024	(3,675)	(289,912)	284,071	(1,550)	101,409	500,226
Sutter	4,012,547	(124,323)	(38,251)	301,299	(786)	28,465	25,049	(291)	12,433	59,964
Tehama	3,078,188	(95,373)	(36,860)	209,528	(639)	72,996	8,625	-	15,347	71,575
Trinity	1,524,852	(47,245)	(34,652)	68,110	(228)	37,893	6,930	-	3,497	11,832
Tulare	14,330,707	(444,015)	(53,553)	1,108,121	(2,912)	353,922	35,846	(9,627)	82,564	472,423
Tuolumne	2,879,651	(89,222)	(36,707)	(14,171)	(508)	65,010	6,677	-	5,440	66,890
Ventura	29,181,161	(904,134)	(71,996)	1,709,151	(5,655)	288,505	188,050	-	323,264	427,003
Yolo	7,983,099	(247,344)	(42,848)	436,205	(1,511)	147,776	27,253	-	40,602	175,975
Yuba	3,456,186	(107,085)	(37,246)	131,428	(658)	9,769	22,970	-	43,516	47,632
Total:	1,614,580,054	(50,000,000)	(3,700,000)	67,900,000	(321,000)	24,229,808	13,274,798	(392,853)	9,223,000	26,900,000

1. Includes accruals and encumbrances as of year-end.

Trial Court Trust Fund
(Through July 29, 2016)

	Allocation (Programs 30.15 and 45.10)				Revenues				
	Reduction for Appointed Converted SJO Positions	Supple- mental Funding	FY 2014-15 Pro-Rata Allocation of Remaining 2% Set-Aside	Sargent Shriver Civil Counsel ¹	Civil Assessment ¹	Prior Year Civil Assessment	Automated Record Keeping and Micrographics ¹	Prior Year Automated Record Keeping and Micrographics	Children's Waiting Room ¹
Superior Court	K	L	M	N	O	P	Q	R	S
Alameda	-	-	8,475	-	7,596,374	-	104,683	-	161,066
Alpine	-	-	88	-	6,186	-	14	1	-
Amador	-	-	250	-	46,238	-	615	-	-
Butte	-	-	995	-	323,567	-	12,072	-	19,367
Calaveras	-	-	234	-	97,889	10,524	846	64	-
Colusa	-	-	180	-	113,626	-	296	-	-
Contra Costa	-	-	4,421	-	4,690,347	-	65,137	-	103,552
Del Norte	-	-	292	-	18,181	-	400	-	-
El Dorado	-	-	743	-	325,344	-	3,623	-	-
Fresno	-	-	4,668	-	4,829,790	-	61,810	-	97,564
Glenn	-	-	217	-	190,685	-	479	-	-
Humboldt	-	-	641	-	887,355	-	7,437	-	-
Imperial	-	-	846	-	1,004,153	-	7,957	-	-
Inyo	-	-	221	-	79,318	-	237	-	-
Kern	-	-	4,359	153,280	3,441,555	-	56,956	-	-
Kings	-	-	(96,609)	-	188,276	-	8,179	-	-
Lake	-	-	353	-	-	-	1,365	-	-
Lassen	-	-	231	-	80,127	-	444	-	-
Los Angeles	(1,630,730)	-	55,497	101,368	2,031,007	-	887,847	-	825,159
Madera	-	-	744	-	513,245	-	2,487	-	-
Marin	-	-	1,516	-	547,170	-	16,372	-	-
Mariposa	-	-	126	-	63,953	-	273	-	-
Mendocino	-	-	535	-	43,014	-	5,024	-	-
Merced	-	-	1,252	-	327,917	-	12,388	-	(95,781)
Modoc	-	-	109	-	7,648	-	287	-	-
Mono	-	49,000	164	-	92,420	-	218	-	-
Monterey	-	-	1,719	-	1,070,647	-	20,759	-	32,521
Napa	-	-	(94,484)	-	434,023	-	2,478	-	-
Nevada	-	-	509	-	233,322	-	5,067	-	-
Orange	(216,241)	-	15,400	-	6,251,602	-	231,548	-	364,345
Placer	-	-	1,588	-	1,152,291	-	22,655	-	-
Plumas	-	-	161	-	-	-	332	-	-
Riverside	-	-	8,323	-	12,175,087	-	53,291	-	251,857
Sacramento	-	-	8,080	-	3,629,824	-	326,513	-	533,698
San Benito	-	-	292	-	100,012	-	1,018	-	-
San Bernardino	-	-	9,056	-	124,968	-	147,624	-	-
San Diego	(99,456)	-	15,370	302,950	11,410,126	-	215,520	-	332,973
San Francisco	-	-	6,645	31,066	3,865,468	-	71,404	-	114,649
San Joaquin	-	-	3,188	-	-	-	45,751	-	-
San Luis Obispo	-	-	1,453	-	542,111	-	14,771	-	23,322
San Mateo	-	-	3,856	-	946,478	-	13,053	-	63,922
Santa Barbara	-	-	2,284	290,366	1,875,119	-	24,652	-	39,567
Santa Clara	-	-	8,894	-	2,568,627	-	93,729	-	146,509
Santa Cruz	-	-	1,256	-	566,335	-	12,304	-	-
Shasta	-	-	1,021	-	-	-	3,640	-	-
Sierra	-	-	88	-	7,409	-	38	-	-
Siskiyou	-	-	367	-	113,419	-	929	-	-
Solano	-	-	2,125	-	591,076	-	30,267	-	46,414
Sonoma	-	-	2,489	-	756,333	-	29,604	-	45,464
Stanislaus	-	-	2,172	-	628,347	-	31,898	-	20,018
Sutter	-	-	460	-	431,776	-	1,857	-	-
Tehama	-	272,000	373	-	206,534	-	1,266	-	-
Trinity	-	-	132	-	-	-	695	-	-
Tulare	(92,549)	-	1,681	-	1,566,688	-	24,887	-	-
Tuolumne	-	-	317	-	62,735	-	1,063	-	-
Ventura	-	-	3,349	-	441,765	-	53,596	-	83,285
Yolo	(154,978)	-	891	-	657,559	-	9,164	-	-
Yuba	-	-	400	-	236,271	-	1,364	-	-
Total:	(2,193,954)	321,000	(0)	879,030	80,191,336	10,524	2,750,181	65	3,209,473

1. Includes accruals and encumbrances as of year-end.

Trial Court Trust Fund
(Through July 29, 2016)

	Revenues				Reimbursements (Programs 45.10, 45.25,					
	Fee Revenues Returned to Courts ¹	Prior Year Fee Revenues Returned to Courts	Replacement of 2% Automation Fund Allocation	Telephonic Appearance	Court-Appointed Counsel ¹	CAC -- DRAFT ¹	Jury ¹	Juvenile Dependency Counsel Collections Program	JDCCP - DRAFT	Elder Abuse
Superior Court	T	U	V	W	X	Y	Z	AA	AB	AC
Alameda	642,610	-	424,792	-	-	3,966,744	495,852	-	-	26,590
Alpine	5,369	543	2,034	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Amador	13,919	200	11,006	5,790	-	187,871	17,310	-	-	473
Butte	99,024	-	59,332	15,210	731,705	-	61,107	-	-	1,643
Calaveras	19,704	1,567	18,652	791	178,165	-	3,672	-	-	-
Colusa	5,955	-	13,708	-	40,912	-	1,502	-	-	-
Contra Costa	354,902	-	218,186	-	3,030,406	-	519,089	-	-	11,690
Del Norte	17,130	-	11,208	-	238,845	-	979	-	-	185
El Dorado	191,945	-	54,374	24,418	-	784,824	21,954	-	-	-
Fresno	417,936	-	181,080	75,930	2,644,944	-	279,904	-	-	10,313
Glenn	23,960	-	19,264	1,230	112,834	-	1,798	-	-	-
Humboldt	127,584	-	48,160	12,250	543,896	-	75,895	-	-	411
Imperial	93,052	-	67,678	25,465	-	603,781	61,790	-	-	2,158
Inyo	10,060	-	30,402	1,395	72,277	-	4,425	-	-	205
Kern	286,516	-	277,328	38,700	2,125,323	460	595,499	-	-	1,151
Kings	92,167	-	57,026	5,935	357,216	-	13,671	-	-	-
Lake	40,370	-	20,328	-	-	381,610	17,633	-	-	986
Lassen	12,226	-	20,156	4,241	106,891	-	1,624	-	-	288
Los Angeles	6,168,352	-	3,144,530	-	-	41,214,573	3,382,324	-	-	70,062
Madera	74,990	-	52,502	-	225,443	-	77,181	-	-	185
Marin	181,654	-	114,766	42,540	-	353,746	55,356	-	-	843
Mariposa	9,382	-	3,904	-	38,362	-	1,411	-	-	-
Mendocino	34,247	-	30,068	8,520	-	616,131	20,176	-	-	924
Merced	124,633	-	55,652	13,095	684,231	-	65,273	-	-	3,329
Modoc	16,489	-	6,134	776	17,281	-	-	-	-	-
Mono	35,226	-	12,446	-	13,956	-	599	-	-	-
Monterey	357,473	-	183,464	-	592,724	-	80,842	-	-	185
Napa	51,493	-	30,550	14,590	210,737	-	40,434	-	-	2,013
Nevada	58,022	-	49,946	-	220,611	-	13,659	-	-	843
Orange	2,676,306	-	923,882	-	5,864,911	-	628,510	-	-	40,105
Placer	204,852	-	77,378	24,920	480,109	-	58,899	-	-	1,336
Plumas	7,293	-	9,206	2,448	-	157,692	64	-	-	575
Riverside	1,556,612	-	532,226	-	5,981,578	-	772,031	-	-	21,637
Sacramento	389,626	-	340,254	43,920	-	5,205,549	563,433	-	-	20,568
San Benito	33,169	-	14,700	-	148,936	-	2,087	-	-	185
San Bernardino	915,757	-	435,474	239,760	4,496,163	-	543,345	2,641	-	2,814
San Diego	1,359,544	-	718,422	-	-	9,408,199	762,687	-	-	44,298
San Francisco	597,663	-	272,528	17,515	3,660,592	-	531,345	-	-	7,108
San Joaquin	231,697	-	201,698	51,955	13,000	2,944,709	215,753	-	-	11,488
San Luis Obispo	182,159	-	130,020	18,700	-	699,248	78,704	-	-	2,178
San Mateo	577,123	-	329,518	39,743	510,746	-	241,834	-	-	5,403
Santa Barbara	166,032	-	162,858	44,719	-	1,557,850	153,770	-	-	-
Santa Clara	1,231,269	-	452,782	-	-	4,498,304	478,921	-	-	8,139
Santa Cruz	171,622	-	113,210	21,904	-	863,289	87,834	-	-	616
Shasta	81,881	-	44,394	9,190	681,818	-	65,314	9,796	-	3,308
Sierra	724	-	1,830	630	20,555	-	-	-	-	-
Siskiyou	20,583	-	37,000	-	287,631	-	22,917	-	-	288
Solano	280,106	-	119,364	42,765	-	825,950	167,457	-	-	2,774
Sonoma	296,723	2,788	119,004	14,895	-	1,137,760	108,378	-	-	4,047
Stanislaus	239,873	-	88,718	46,740	-	1,120,609	100,183	-	-	7,582
Sutter	58,598	-	37,382	2,795	158,944	-	4,744	-	-	698
Tehama	25,364	17	28,100	1,340	153,088	-	1,979	-	-	288
Trinity	6,390	280	7,648	400	93,829	-	10,283	-	-	185
Tulare	223,103	-	204,932	12,890	1,222,984	-	86,952	-	-	2,568
Tuolumne	25,960	-	16,642	6,280	137,125	-	21,098	-	-	1,110
Ventura	537,578	-	205,304	-	1,068,528	-	353,828	-	-	8,158
Yolo	69,526	-	48,556	-	372,134	-	121,286	-	-	103
Yuba	46,925	-	15,788	9,456	222,426	-	8,932	-	-	308
Total:	21,780,446	5,394	10,907,494	943,840	37,761,856	76,528,898	12,103,525	12,437	-	332,340

1. Includes accruals and encumbrances as of year-end.

Trial Court Trust Fund
(Through July 29, 2016)

45.45 and non-Budget Act item)						Grants (Program 45.55)			
	Self-Help Centers ¹	Replace- ment Screening Stations ¹	Annual Salary Reimburse- ment for Judges Program	Court Interpreters Program ¹	Redevelop- ment Agency Writ Cases	Civil Case Coord- ination ¹	Family Law Information Centers ¹	Model Self- Help ¹	Total
Superior Court	AD	AE	AF	AG	AH	AI	AJ	AK	AL
Alameda	205,784	33,650	681,449	4,334,546	-	-	-	-	89,398,458
Alpine	34,141	-	-	229	-	-	-	-	524,825
Amador	35,773	42,703	-	23,297	-	-	-	-	2,444,900
Butte	58,706	108,660	79,644	204,668	-	-	-	191,400	11,248,631
Calaveras	39,199	-	-	12,558	-	-	-	-	2,326,764
Colusa	36,447	40,246	-	100,634	-	-	-	-	1,949,154
Contra Costa	151,083	33,387	357,382	1,996,204	-	-	-	191,400	49,783,120
Del Norte	35,357	44,328	-	53,555	-	-	-	-	2,668,054
El Dorado	54,042	-	-	162,517	-	-	-	-	7,873,842
Fresno	136,312	163,778	398,453	2,584,195	-	-	86,250	191,400	53,760,910
Glenn	37,259	1,400	-	61,353	-	-	-	-	2,193,665
Humboldt	49,074	40,753	49,459	117,597	-	-	-	-	7,808,045
Imperial	52,948	-	-	425,176	-	-	-	-	10,373,736
Inyo	36,106	113,291	-	60,021	-	-	-	-	2,275,628
Kern	122,703	60,716	-	2,567,626	-	-	-	-	48,507,861
Kings	50,803	975	-	393,132	-	-	-	-	7,418,000
Lake	41,291	35,010	-	87,194	-	-	-	-	3,733,775
Lassen	38,032	-	-	11,667	-	-	-	-	2,434,485
Los Angeles	1,199,346	71,318	-	33,699,999	-	735,980	172,500	191,400	593,800,469
Madera	50,424	-	-	534,792	-	-	-	-	8,312,353
Marin	62,815	-	-	416,292	-	2,000	-	-	13,088,665
Mariposa	36,072	-	11,000	15,411	-	-	-	-	1,246,675
Mendocino	44,287	-	60,000	342,115	-	-	-	-	5,911,822
Merced	62,066	67,451	-	1,067,058	-	-	-	-	13,068,314
Modoc	35,119	34,579	-	7,939	-	-	-	-	964,112
Mono	35,547	35,075	11,000	40,778	-	-	-	-	1,735,500
Monterey	82,322	35,112	180,500	1,148,197	-	-	-	-	19,661,281
Napa	49,292	46,075	45,000	522,654	-	-	-	-	8,024,693
Nevada	45,382	5,551	45,000	28,026	-	-	-	-	5,364,323
Orange	383,452	-	1,016,892	9,002,023	-	49,210	-	-	160,782,270
Placer	70,000	91,821	-	346,435	-	-	-	-	16,342,030
Plumas	34,997	-	-	7,020	-	-	-	-	1,398,516
Riverside	256,174	143,897	-	4,246,689	-	-	-	-	104,451,202
Sacramento	191,601	-	575,518	3,494,092	291,169	28,001	-	-	86,966,427
San Benito	40,555	-	15,000	90,158	-	-	-	-	2,770,959
San Bernardino	260,554	34,752	653,314	4,853,321	-	-	-	-	100,127,980
San Diego	382,825	155,231	-	6,036,314	-	4,194	-	191,400	165,242,833
San Francisco	124,843	35,184	474,063	3,554,789	-	11,615	-	-	64,812,116
San Joaquin	110,009	45,558	-	1,252,162	-	-	-	-	34,786,616
San Luis Obispo	63,942	106,562	84,849	676,935	-	-	-	-	15,260,813
San Mateo	116,361	34,982	246,610	1,795,855	-	-	-	-	36,334,925
Santa Barbara	81,956	92,162	195,882	1,405,139	-	-	-	-	25,183,733
Santa Clara	235,693	19,000	726,112	3,378,160	-	-	-	-	85,037,066
Santa Cruz	63,840	-	-	827,833	-	-	-	-	13,639,688
Shasta	54,642	74,982	65,466	257,355	-	-	-	-	12,931,113
Sierra	34,163	-	-	2,359	-	-	-	-	750,774
Siskiyou	39,249	-	29,342	65,269	-	-	-	-	3,473,283
Solano	82,095	-	175,347	472,958	-	-	-	-	21,788,297
Sonoma	88,588	-	-	1,170,108	-	-	-	-	25,608,329
Stanislaus	92,505	167,137	-	1,190,352	-	-	-	-	22,444,221
Sutter	44,402	-	-	229,049	-	-	86,250	-	5,333,061
Tehama	36,860	40,472	-	127,753	-	-	-	-	4,218,821
Trinity	35,595	-	-	14,123	-	-	-	-	1,740,549
Tulare	81,842	51,690	-	1,793,014	-	-	-	-	21,054,159
Tuolumne	40,623	104,855	29,692	16,064	-	-	-	-	3,346,623
Ventura	126,966	33,053	-	1,871,109	-	-	-	-	35,921,867
Yolo	55,650	-	82,192	612,805	-	-	-	-	10,394,096
Yuba	41,942	40,402	-	41,033	-	-	-	-	4,231,760
Total:	6,189,656	2,285,797	6,289,166	99,849,704	291,169	831,000	345,000	957,000	2,064,276,184

1. Includes accruals and encumbrances as of year-end.

2015-2016 Allocation and Reimbursement to Trial Courts -
State Court Facilities Construction Fund - Immediate and Critical Needs Account
(Through July 29, 2016)

Attachment 1

	Base Budget	Total
Superior Court	A	B
Alameda	2,244,409	2,244,409
Alpine	22,540	22,540
Amador	64,637	64,637
Butte	273,828	273,828
Calaveras	60,221	60,221
Colusa	46,794	46,794
Contra Costa	1,126,286	1,126,286
Del Norte	74,232	74,232
El Dorado	189,898	189,898
Fresno	1,125,233	1,125,233
Glenn	56,029	56,029
Humboldt	172,503	172,503
Imperial	232,887	232,887
Inyo	61,858	61,858
Kern	1,045,482	1,045,482
Kings	184,556	184,556
Lake	99,144	99,144
Lassen	70,019	70,019
Los Angeles	14,495,935	14,495,935
Madera	196,691	196,691
Marin	381,502	381,502
Mariposa	32,516	32,516
Mendocino	141,196	141,196
Merced	307,185	307,185
Modoc	27,889	27,889
Mono	41,465	41,465
Monterey	473,172	473,172
Napa	203,965	203,965
Nevada	145,233	145,233
Orange	3,931,566	3,931,566
Placer	400,467	400,467
Plumas	42,066	42,066
Riverside	2,233,063	2,233,063
Sacramento	2,084,989	2,084,989
San Benito	76,163	76,163
San Bernardino	2,457,912	2,457,912
San Diego	3,991,915	3,991,915
San Francisco	1,587,927	1,587,927
San Joaquin	813,690	813,690
San Luis Obispo	382,496	382,496
San Mateo	959,076	959,076
Santa Barbara	587,542	587,542
Santa Clara	2,281,202	2,281,202
Santa Cruz	325,920	325,920
Shasta	335,986	335,986
Sierra	22,873	22,873
Siskiyou	94,179	94,179
Solano	564,660	564,660
Sonoma	635,737	635,737
Stanislaus	534,525	534,525
Sutter	124,323	124,323
Tehama	95,373	95,373
Trinity	47,245	47,245
Tulare	444,015	444,015
Tuolumne	89,222	89,222
Ventura	904,134	904,134
Yolo	247,344	247,344
Yuba	107,085	107,085
Total:	50,000,000	50,000,000

**2015-2016 Allocation and Reimbursement to Trial Courts -
State Trial Court Improvement and Modernization Fund
(Through July 29, 2016)**

Attachment 1

	Adobe Live Cycle Reader Service Extension	Self-Help Centers ¹	Jury Management Systems ¹	California Justice- Corps ¹	Total
Superior Court	A	B	C	D	E
Alameda	-	203,151	46,500	128,100	377,751
Alpine	-	166	-	-	166
Amador	-	5,129	-	-	5,129
Butte	-	29,216	-	-	29,216
Calaveras	-	6,149	-	-	6,149
Colusa	-	2,894	-	-	2,894
Contra Costa	-	138,460	-	-	138,460
Del Norte	-	3,927	-	-	3,927
El Dorado	-	23,701	-	-	23,701
Fresno	-	120,993	4,500	-	125,493
Glenn	-	3,854	-	-	3,854
Humboldt	-	17,826	-	-	17,826
Imperial	-	22,407	-	-	22,407
Inyo	-	2,490	16,036	-	18,526
Kern	-	104,900	-	-	104,900
Kings	-	19,871	-	-	19,871
Lake	-	8,623	29,393	-	38,016
Lassen	-	4,769	-	-	4,769
Los Angeles	-	1,378,130	46,500	177,450	1,602,080
Madera	-	19,422	27,797	-	47,219
Marin	-	34,077	-	-	34,077
Mariposa	-	2,450	-	-	2,450
Mendocino	-	12,166	3,661	-	15,827
Merced	-	33,190	44,560	-	77,750
Modoc	-	1,323	-	-	1,323
Mono	-	1,828	-	-	1,828
Monterey	-	57,146	-	-	57,146
Napa	-	18,084	-	-	18,084
Nevada	-	13,460	29,509	-	42,969
Orange	135,000	413,259	-	-	548,259
Placer	-	42,574	46,500	-	89,074
Plumas	-	2,885	-	-	2,885
Riverside	-	262,743	-	-	262,743
Sacramento	-	186,378	-	-	186,378
San Benito	-	7,751	3,120	-	10,871
San Bernardino	-	267,920	3,448	-	271,368
San Diego	-	412,517	46,500	42,000	501,017
San Francisco	-	107,430	-	-	107,430
San Joaquin	-	89,889	-	-	89,889
San Luis Obispo	-	35,408	-	-	35,408
San Mateo	-	97,399	-	-	97,399
Santa Barbara	-	56,713	-	-	56,713
Santa Clara	-	238,521	-	-	238,521
Santa Cruz	-	35,289	-	-	35,289
Shasta	-	24,411	-	-	24,411
Sierra	-	471	-	-	471
Siskiyou	-	6,208	-	-	6,208
Solano	-	56,878	-	-	56,878
Sonoma	-	64,555	-	-	64,555
Stanislaus	-	69,188	21,775	-	90,963
Sutter	-	12,301	-	-	12,301
Tehama	-	8,277	4,482	-	12,759
Trinity	-	1,886	1,550	-	3,436
Tulare	-	56,577	31,785	-	88,362
Tuolumne	-	7,833	12,823	-	20,656
Ventura	-	109,941	44,560	-	154,501
Yolo	-	25,603	-	-	25,603
Yuba	-	9,393	-	-	9,393
Total	135,000	5,000,000	464,999	347,550	5,947,549

1. Includes accruals and encumbrances as of year-end.

2015-2016 Allocation and Reimbursement to Trial Courts - General Fund
(Through July 29, 2016)

Attachment 1

	AB 1058 ¹	Collaborative Courts- Substance Abuse Programs ¹	California Justice Corps ¹	Service of Process ¹	Prisoners' Hearings ¹	Homicide Trials ¹	Parolee Reentry Court Program ¹	Employee Benefits	Total
Superior Court	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I
Alameda	2,040,344	29,304	241,873	42,227	-	-	275,000	3,102,047	5,730,795
Alpine	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	20,340	20,340
Amador	132,508	12,000	-	4,887	37,838	-	-	51,756	238,989
Butte	473,693	26,792	-	10,177	-	-	-	124,077	634,739
Calaveras	284,687	12,000	-	5,813	-	-	-	50,506	353,006
Colusa	130,433	-	-	-	-	-	-	24,773	155,206
Contra Costa	1,449,304	22,606	-	4,084	-	-	-	1,396,192	2,872,186
Del Norte	117,338	16,745	-	4,679	-	-	-	94,130	232,892
El Dorado	392,084	16,745	-	479	-	-	-	213,120	622,428
Fresno	2,450,227	37,675	-	40,396	4,961	-	-	3,340,364	5,873,623
Glenn	259,454	20,094	-	2,277	-	-	-	54,665	336,490
Humboldt	195,531	15,070	-	6,750	-	5,792	-	73,084	296,227
Imperial	328,034	-	-	7,122	75,723	23,450	-	125,539	559,868
Inyo	160,028	12,000	-	-	-	-	-	75,586	247,614
Kern	1,364,563	16,745	-	31,409	829,973	-	-	3,544,269	5,786,959
Kings	451,026	16,745	-	7,534	298,985	-	-	45,118	819,408
Lake	251,624	12,000	-	6,847	-	-	-	9,123	279,594
Lassen	141,713	24,280	-	4,425	74,710	-	-	7,839	252,967
Los Angeles	9,205,479	34,328	484,740	656,211	12,338	-	-	18,887,969	29,281,065
Madera	360,066	20,094	-	7,800	167,805	-	-	384,826	940,591
Marin	270,813	13,396	-	931	2,139	-	-	644,512	931,791
Mariposa	112,844	-	-	1,237	-	-	-	22,301	136,382
Mendocino	279,608	21,768	-	12,459	-	-	-	311,771	625,606
Merced	848,710	12,000	-	17,068	-	-	-	774,827	1,652,605
Modoc	72,953	13,396	-	1,468	-	-	-	31,967	119,784
Mono	88,337	-	-	-	-	-	-	85,641	173,978
Monterey	605,973	37,675	-	7,487	76,413	-	-	277,496	1,005,044
Napa	346,834	-	-	3,762	26,112	-	-	309,796	686,504
Nevada	583,608	20,094	-	9,141	-	-	-	95,495	708,338
Orange	2,669,422	35,165	-	51,271	-	-	-	6,929,921	9,685,779
Placer	504,826	13,396	-	14,158	-	-	-	634,797	1,167,177
Plumas	212,078	24,280	-	2,086	-	-	-	14,929	253,373
Riverside	2,188,980	-	-	108,683	47,022	-	-	923,657	3,268,342
Sacramento	1,840,882	35,165	-	59,211	224,797	-	-	3,560,592	5,720,647
San Benito	233,608	-	-	238	-	-	-	34,642	268,488
San Bernardino	3,910,329	35,165	-	103,212	41,428	-	-	1,264,733	5,354,867
San Diego	3,238,032	35,165	131,716	354,404	4,487	-	252,503	2,853,599	6,869,906
San Francisco	1,616,559	37,256	-	48,262	-	-	106,963	5,487,135	7,296,175
San Joaquin	1,024,711	37,675	-	27,991	107,977	-	365,120	1,245,357	2,808,831
San Luis Obispo	448,695	26,792	-	13,633	141,381	-	-	298,958	929,459
San Mateo	707,333	26,792	-	19,310	-	-	-	2,411,113	3,164,548
Santa Barbara	832,144	36,840	-	15,532	-	-	-	1,597,662	2,482,178
Santa Clara	2,715,153	29,304	-	63,906	-	-	375,000	2,309,467	5,492,830
Santa Cruz	340,613	37,675	-	12,374	-	-	275,000	203,558	869,220
Shasta	738,702	20,094	-	24,168	-	-	-	262,222	1,045,186
Sierra	-	12,000	-	60	-	-	-	9,616	21,676
Siskiyou	483,706	16,745	-	8,299	-	-	-	91,038	599,788
Solano	746,503	34,328	-	36,058	74,815	-	97,610	353,779	1,343,093
Sonoma	936,394	37,675	-	4,574	-	-	-	1,172,050	2,150,693
Stanislaus	1,155,824	20,094	-	20,018	-	-	-	1,305,230	2,501,166
Sutter	325,291	18,419	-	10,087	-	-	-	159,761	513,558
Tehama	153,062	20,094	-	469	-	-	-	108,184	281,809
Trinity	-	12,558	-	164	-	-	-	53,679	66,401
Tulare	1,039,905	16,745	-	32,435	-	-	-	33,744	1,122,829
Tuolumne	286,720	16,745	-	4,790	48,393	-	-	50,352	407,000
Ventura	1,150,849	26,792	-	38,762	-	-	-	968,753	2,185,156
Yolo	346,115	15,070	-	6,578	-	-	-	210,077	577,840
Yuba	342,260	18,419	-	6,228	-	-	-	90,867	457,774
Total:	53,586,512	1,160,000	858,329	1,983,631	2,297,296	29,242	1,747,196	68,818,601	130,480,806

1. Includes accruals and encumbrances as of year-end.

2015-2016 Allocation and Reimbursement to Trial Courts - Federal Trust Fund Attachment 1
(Through July 29, 2016)

	Access to Visitation¹	California Adult Reentry Drug Court Project¹	Court Improvement Program – Training¹	Court Improvement Program – XV¹	Total
Superior Court	A	B	C	D	E
Alameda	-	185,692	-	3,934	189,626
Alpine	-	-	-	-	-
Amador	-	-	-	-	-
Butte	60,000	-	-	-	60,000
Calaveras	-	-	2,500	-	2,500
Colusa	-	-	1,000	-	1,000
Contra Costa	-	153,455	-	-	153,455
Del Norte	-	-	500	-	500
El Dorado	45,000	-	-	-	45,000
Fresno	-	-	-	1,230	1,230
Glenn	-	-	500	-	500
Humboldt	-	-	-	-	-
Imperial	-	-	-	-	-
Inyo	-	-	1,500	-	1,500
Kern	-	-	-	-	-
Kings	-	-	-	-	-
Lake	-	-	1,200	-	1,200
Lassen	-	-	1,500	-	1,500
Los Angeles	100,000	-	-	7,377	107,377
Madera	-	-	-	-	-
Marin	-	-	-	-	-
Mariposa	-	-	-	-	-
Mendocino	60,000	-	1,000	3,197	64,197
Merced	-	-	-	-	-
Modoc	-	-	-	393	393
Mono	45,000	-	-	-	45,000
Monterey	-	-	-	-	-
Napa	-	-	3,500	-	3,500
Nevada	-	-	-	-	-
Orange	40,000	-	-	-	40,000
Placer	-	-	-	-	-
Plumas	-	-	2,000	-	2,000
Riverside	-	-	-	-	-
Sacramento	-	-	-	11,803	11,803
San Benito	-	-	-	-	-
San Bernardino	100,000	-	-	-	100,000
San Diego	-	158,413	-	-	158,413
San Francisco	100,000	-	-	2,705	102,705
San Joaquin	-	-	-	20,656	20,656
San Luis Obispo	-	-	-	3,689	3,689
San Mateo	-	-	-	-	-
Santa Barbara	-	-	-	-	-
Santa Clara	-	238,485	-	8,361	246,846
Santa Cruz	-	-	-	-	-
Shasta	60,000	-	-	-	60,000
Sierra	-	-	-	-	-
Siskiyou	-	-	-	1,475	1,475
Solano	-	-	3,000	2,459	5,459
Sonoma	-	-	-	1,967	1,967
Stanislaus	-	-	-	836	836
Sutter	-	-	1,000	-	1,000
Tehama	-	-	-	738	738
Trinity	-	-	-	-	-
Tulare	100,000	-	-	-	100,000
Tuolumne	-	-	2,000	2,459	4,459
Ventura	-	-	-	1,721	1,721
Yolo	-	-	-	-	-
Yuba	60,000	-	-	-	60,000
Total:	770,000	736,045	21,200	75,000	1,602,245

1. Includes accruals and encumbrances as of year-end.

2015-2016 Allocation and Reimbursement to Trial Courts - Recidivism Reduction Fund
(Through July 29, 2016)

	Recidivism Reduction Program¹	Recidivism Reduction Program Phase 2¹	Total
Superior Court	A	B	C
Alameda	274,536	20,000	294,536
Alpine	-	-	-
Amador	-	-	-
Butte	-	-	-
Calaveras	-	-	-
Colusa	-	-	-
Contra Costa	291,903	-	291,903
Del Norte	-	-	-
El Dorado	280,647	-	280,647
Fresno	424,400	8,260	432,660
Glenn	-	-	-
Humboldt	-	-	-
Imperial	284,294	-	284,294
Inyo	-	8,929	8,929
Kern	455,552	-	455,552
Kings	-	-	-
Lake	228,223	-	228,223
Lassen	-	175,435	175,435
Los Angeles	228,761	-	228,761
Madera	-	-	-
Marin	-	-	-
Mariposa	-	-	-
Mendocino	248,877	-	248,877
Merced	328,489	-	328,489
Modoc	164,397	-	164,397
Mono	-	-	-
Monterey	162,188	20,000	182,188
Napa	-	-	-
Nevada	-	-	-
Orange	277,295	-	277,295
Placer	125,010	-	125,010
Plumas	-	15,849	15,849
Riverside	-	-	-
Sacramento	263,624	-	263,624
San Benito	-	-	-
San Bernardino	-	15,427	15,427
San Diego	299,777	-	299,777
San Francisco	294,267	-	294,267
San Joaquin	301,983	-	301,983
San Luis Obispo	-	5,350	5,350
San Mateo	297,021	-	297,021
Santa Barbara	-	15,719	15,719
Santa Clara	293,823	15,725	309,548
Santa Cruz	277,115	-	277,115
Shasta	287,333	-	287,333
Sierra	-	-	-
Siskiyou	-	-	-
Solano	152,606	-	152,606
Sonoma	289,813	-	289,813
Stanislaus	-	289,388	289,388
Sutter	-	-	-
Tehama	287,975	-	287,975
Trinity	-	-	-
Tulare	285,493	-	285,493
Tuolumne	62,566	-	62,566
Ventura	83,984	-	83,984
Yolo	-	-	-
Yuba	144,039	-	144,039
Total:	7,395,991	590,082	7,986,073

1. Includes accruals and encumbrances as of year-end.

Statement of Intended Purpose for Each Allocation or Reimbursement

Trial Court Trust Fund

Column	Allocation/Reimbursement	Purpose
A	Base Budget for Court Operations	This annual base allocation approved by the Judicial Council was provided for trial court operations. It reflects annual funding adjustments since the beginning of state trial court funding, including those related to the State Appropriations Limit, budget change proposals, and the Workload-Based Allocation and Funding Methodology (WAFM).
B	Base Budget Distributed from ICNA	This adjustment reflects the portion of courts' ongoing base allocation that was distributed from the State Court Facilities Construction Fund–Immediate and Critical Needs Account as directed by the 2015 Budget Act.
C	Self-Help in Base Budget	This adjustment reflects the portion of courts' ongoing base allocation that is provided to reimburse courts' self-help center costs. This amount is included in the courts' total amounts listed under “Self-Help Centers” (Column AD).
D	Workload-Based Allocation and Funding Methodology Adjustment	This allocation was the net adjustment of a reallocation of 30 percent (\$432.2 million) and an additional \$214.2 million of courts' historical WAFM-related base allocation of \$1.44 billion, reallocation of \$146.3 million in new funding provided in 2013–2014 and 2014–2015 for general court operations, each court's share of the 2015–2016 WAFM funding floor allocation adjustment, and allocating \$67.9 million in new funding for court operations using the WAFM.
E	2% State-Level Reserve Contribution	This allocation was for each court's net contribution to the state-level reserve in the Trial Court Trust Fund, excluding any reserve (“supplemental”) funding allocated by the council from the reserve prior to March 15, 2016.
F	2014–2015 Benefits Cost Changes Funding	This allocation was for 2014–2015 full-year cost changes for retirement, employee health, and retiree health for noninterpreter employees.
G	2013-14 Benefits Subsidy Reduction Return Allocation	This allocation was for \$13.3 million in funding of the partially returned \$22 million Department of Finance funding reductions for estimated 2013–2014 employer subsidies of noninterpreter employee retirement contributions.
H	Reduction for Fund Balance Above the 1% Cap	This allocation is a reduction to courts for any amount of their 2014–2015 ending fund balance subject to the 1 percent fund balance cap (Gov. Code, § 77203(b)) in excess of the cap as required by Government Code section 68502.5(c)(2)(A).
I	Criminal Justice Realignment	This allocation was for costs associated with criminal justice realignment.
J	Prop.47 Workload	This allocation was for \$26.9 million in one-time funding to address increased workload associated with Proposition 47, the Safe Neighborhoods and Schools Act.
K	Reduction for Appointed Converted SJO Positions	This allocation reduction, prorated from the date that the judge takes the oath of office, was for the cost of salaries and average calculated benefits for subordinate judicial positions that were converted to judgeships. Monies reduced from the courts' allocation augment the Program 45.25 (Compensation of Superior Court Judges) appropriation.
L	Approved Supplemental Funding Request	This allocation was for approved requests for funding from the 2% state-level reserve in the Trial Court Trust Fund as authorized by Government Code section 68502.5(c)(2)(B).
M	FY 2014-15 Pro-Rata Allocation of Remaining 2% Set-Aside	This allocation was for each court's net contribution to the state-level reserve in the Trial Court Trust Fund, as a result of courts returning reserve (“supplemental”) funding allocated by the council from the reserve prior to March 15, 2015.
N	Sargent Shriver Civil Counsel	This allocation reflected expenditures and encumbrances supporting pilot programs, which are required by Government Code section 68651, that are partnerships of a legal services nonprofit corporation, the court, and other legal services providers in the community.

Column	Allocation/Reimbursement	Purpose
O	Civil Assessment	This allocation was for collected civil assessment revenues that exceeded the amount of the court's county civil assessment buyout.
P	Prior-Year Civil Assessment	This allocation was for collected prior-year civil assessment revenues that exceeded the amount of the court's county civil assessment buyout.
Q	Automated Record Keeping and Micrographics	This allocation was for automation of record keeping and micrographics.
R	Prior-Year Automated Record Keeping and Micrographics	This allocation was for prior-year revenues for automation of record keeping and micrographics.
S	Children's Waiting Room	This allocation was for costs of operating a children's waiting room (except capital outlay).
T	Fee Revenues Returned to Courts	This allocation was to return to courts various local fees charged by courts based on the cost of providing a service or product.
U	Prior-Year Fee Revenues Returned to Courts	This allocation was to return to courts various prior-year local fees charged by courts based on the cost of providing a service or product.
V	Replacement of 2% Automation Allocation Originally From the Improvement Fund	This allocation replaced funding previously provided from the 2% automation revenues deposited into the Trial Court Improvement Fund. The allocation amounts by court are specified in Government Code section 77207.5.
W	Telephonic Appearance	This allocation was to provide courts the amount they received in 2009–2010 from telephonic appearance revenue-sharing arrangements with vendors, as required by Government Code section 72011.
X	Court-Appointed Counsel	This allocation was for reimbursement of court-appointed dependency counsel expenditures.
Y	Court-Appointed Counsel DRAFT	This allocation reflected expenditures and encumbrances for reimbursement of court-appointed dependency counsel expenditures for courts participating in the DRAFT program, in which the Judicial Council contracts with dependency counsel on behalf of specific courts.
Z	Jury	This allocation was for reimbursement of eligible juror costs.
AA	Juvenile Dependency Counsel Collections Program	This allocation was for reimbursement of court-appointed dependency counsel expenditures from monies collected through the Juvenile Dependency Counsel Collections Program.
AB	Juvenile Dependency Counsel Collections Program DRAFT	This allocation reflected expenditures and encumbrances for reimbursement of court-appointed dependency counsel expenditures from monies collected through the Juvenile Dependency Counsel Collections Program for courts participating in the DRAFT program, in which the Judicial Council contracts with dependency counsel on behalf of specific courts.
AC	Elder Abuse	This allocation was for reimbursement of costs related to protective orders involving elder or dependent adult abuse.
AD	Self-Help Centers	This allocation was for reimbursement of expenses charged in accordance with each court's memorandum of understanding for self-help center funding.
AE	Replacement Screening Stations	This allocation was for reimbursement of entrance screening station replacement costs.
AF	Annual Salary Reimbursement for Judges Program	This allocation was to reimburse the courts/counties for the part of judges' salaries that were not paid by the State Controller's Office.
AG	Court Interpreters Program	This allocation was for reimbursement of eligible Program 45.45 expenditures, including compensation of staff and contract interpreters.
AH	Redevelopment Agency Writ Cases	This allocation, from a non–Budget Act appropriation for the Trial Court Trust Fund, was to reimburse the Superior Court of Sacramento County for costs related to redevelopment agency writ cases.
AI	Civil Case Coordination	This allocation was for reimbursement of the cost of handling coordinated cases.
AJ	Family Law Information Centers	This grant allocation reflected expenditures and encumbrances for costs related to projects in the Superior Courts of Los Angeles, Sutter, and Fresno Counties, which assist more than 45,000 low-income, self-represented litigants with forms, information, and resources in family law matters.

Column	Allocation/Reimbursement	Purpose
AK	Model Self-Help	This grant allocation reflected expenditures and encumbrances for pilot self-help centers that would provide to self-represented litigants various forms of assistance, such as basic legal and procedural information, help filling out forms, and referrals to other community resources.

State Court Facilities Construction Fund–Immediate and Critical Needs Account

Column	Allocation/Reimbursement	Purpose
A	Base Budget for Court Operations	This allocation reflects the portion of courts’ ongoing Trial Court Trust Fund base allocation that was distributed from the State Court Facilities Construction Fund–Immediate and Critical Needs Account as directed by the 2015 Budget Act.

State Trial Court Improvement and Modernization Fund

Column	Allocation/Reimbursement	Purpose
A	Adobe Live Cycle Reader Service Extension	This allocation was for a branchwide license maintenance fee.
B	Self-Help Centers	This allocation reflected expenditures and encumbrances to establish or expand self-help assistance in family law, domestic violence, and other civil matters to every county in the state of California.
C	Jury Management Systems	This allocation is for court jury management systems and is funded from royalty revenue related to jury instructions.
D	California JusticeCorps	This allocation reflected expenditures and encumbrances to support the California JusticeCorps Program, in which members assisted court-based attorneys in serving the public in court-based, legal-access self-help centers in Alameda (representing a four-court Bay Area consortium), Los Angeles, and San Diego Counties.

General Fund

Column	Allocation/Reimbursement	Purpose
A	AB 1058	This allocation reflected expenditures and encumbrances to provide funds for legislatively mandated child support commissioner and family law facilitator services in the courts.
B	Collaborative Courts–Substance Abuse Programs	This allocation reflected expenditures and encumbrances for grants that support drug and other collaborative justice court programs.
C	California JusticeCorps	This allocation reflected expenditures and encumbrances to administer the JusticeCorps Program in partnership with the Superior Courts of Alameda, Los Angeles, and San Diego Counties where students serve as assistants in self-help legal-access centers.
D	Service of Process	This allocation was to reimburse courts for the cost of serving stalking and harassment restraining orders and injunctions for which the courts were billed by the sheriff’s department per Government Code section 6103.2(b)(4).
E	Prisoners’ Hearings	This allocation was to reimburse trial courts for necessary and reasonable costs connected with state prisons, Division of Juvenile Justice institutions, prisoners, and wards, including costs for the preparation of trials or pretrial hearings, and actual trials or hearings, consistent with Penal Code sections 4750–4755 and 6005.

Column	Allocation/Reimbursement	Purpose
F	Homicide Trials	This allocation was to reimburse courts for extraordinary costs of homicide trials as specified in Gov. Code, § 15202.
G	Parolee Reentry Court Program CDCR	This allocation supports a program which transferred funding from the California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation to the Judicial Council for the purpose of expanding or enhancing existing parolee reentry courts in the Superior Courts of Alameda, San Diego, San Francisco, San Joaquin, Santa Clara, Santa Cruz, and Solano Counties with the goal of reducing recidivism among the parolee population.
H	Employee Benefits	This allocation was to reimburse for cost increases associated with employee health and retirement benefits and retiree health benefits for the period 2010–2011 through 2011–2012.

Federal Trust Fund

Column	Allocation/Reimbursement	Purpose
A	Access to Visitation	This allocation from the federal Office of Child Support Enforcement was to provide funding for programs that facilitate noncustodial parents' access to and visitation with their children. The specific services provided include supervised visitation and exchanges, parent education, and group counseling services.
B	California Adult Reentry Drug Court Project	This allocation is for \$1.3 million in three-year grants from the Bureau of Justice Assistance to support four Adult Reentry Drug Courts under the Superior Courts of Alameda, Contra Costa, San Diego and Santa Clara Counties in order to enhance their capacity to reduce recidivism among California's high-risk, high-need nonviolent offenders with substance abuse issues.
C	Court Improvement Program - Training	This allocation of \$75,000 is to support juvenile dependency collaborative courts in 17 trial courts
D	Court Improvement Program – XV	This allocation of \$22,000 is to support psychotropic medication consultations in dependency cases in 13 trial courts.

Recidivism Reduction Fund

Column	Allocation/Reimbursement	Purpose
A	Recidivism Reduction Program	This allocation reflects the first of three rounds of grants made in April, July and November of 2015, to a total of 36 courts and their criminal justice partners. These funds are available to trial courts for the establishment or ongoing operation of programs known to reduce adult recidivism and enhance public safety, including: 1) adult criminal collaborative courts that serve moderate and high-risk offenders, and 2) pretrial programs.
B	Recidivism Reduction Program Phase 2	This allocation reflects the second of three rounds of grants made in April, July and November of 2015, to a total of 36 courts and their criminal justice partners. This allocation also includes mini-grants to courts interested in training and technical assistance around collaborative courts, pretrial programs and use of risk and needs assessments.

FUND BALANCE POLICY

BACKGROUND

In the Supplemental Report of the 2006 Budget Act, the Legislature specified that the Judicial Council report on court reserves and provide its policy governing trial court reserves. On October 20, 2006 and revised on April 23, 2009, the Judicial Council approved a fund balance policy for trial courts. Financial accounting and reporting standards and guidelines have been established by the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) and the Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB). The Trial Court Financial Policy and Procedures Manual, in compliance with these standards and guidelines, specifies that the trial courts are responsible for the employment of “sound business, financial and accounting practices” to conduct their operations.

In addition, Government Code section 77203 specifies that the Judicial Council has the authority to authorize trial courts to carry over unexpended funds from one year to the next. Consistent with this provision, this policy provides courts with specific directions for identifying fund balance resources necessary to address statutory and contractual obligations on an accurate and consistent basis as well as maintaining a minimum level of operating and emergency funds. In addition, this policy provides the necessary structure to ensure funds are available to maintain service levels for various situations that confront the trial courts including a late state budget.

GASB Statement 54, *Fund Balance Reporting and Governmental Fund Type Definitions*, is effective for financial statements for periods beginning after June 15, 2010, and will impact year-end closing statements for the fiscal year 2010–2011.

PURPOSE

Governmental agencies/entities report the difference between their assets and obligations as fund balance. Under GASB Statement 54, fund balances for governmental funds must be reported in classifications that comprise a hierarchy. The statement distinguishes between nonspendable and other amounts that are classified based on the relative strength of the constraints that control the purposes for which specific amounts can be spent. Under GASB 54, the number of classifications has been expanded from 2 to 5.

The purpose of this policy is to establish uniform standards, consistent with GASB 54, for the reporting of fund balance by trial courts and to maintain accountability over the public resources used to finance trial court operations.

POLICY

As publicly funded entities, and in accordance with good public policy, trial courts must ensure that the funds allocated and received from the state and other sources are used efficiently and accounted for properly and consistently. The trial courts shall account for and report fund balance in accordance with established standards, utilizing approved classifications. Additionally, a fund balance can never be negative.

Fund Balance Classifications

Beginning with the most binding constraints, fund balance amounts must be reported in the following classifications:

- Nonspendable Fund Balance
- Restricted Fund Balance
- Committed Fund Balance
- Assigned Fund Balance
- Unassigned Fund Balance (General Fund only)

When allocating fund balance to the classifications and categories, allocations must follow the following prioritization:

1. Nonspendable Fund Balance
2. Restricted Fund Balance
3. Contractual commitments to be paid in the next fiscal year
4. The minimum calculated operating and emergency fund balance
5. Other Judicial Council mandates to be paid in the next fiscal year
6. Contractual commitments to be paid in subsequent fiscal years
7. Assigned Fund Balance designations
8. Unassigned Fund Balance

If there is insufficient fund balance to cover any or all of the first five priorities, the shortfall should be explained in detail in attached footnotes. Also, there are additional reporting requirements when the amount allocated to the operating and emergency category is below the minimum required.

Nonspendable Fund Balance

Nonspendable Fund Balance includes amounts that cannot be spent because they are either (a) not in spendable form (not expected to be converted to cash) or (b) legally or contractually required to be maintained intact. Examples include:

- Inventories
- Prepaid amounts Long-Term Loans and Notes Receivable
- Principal of a permanent (e.g., endowment) fund

This represents the ‘newest’ classification in comparison to the descriptions used before the creation of GASB 54. To some extent, the remaining 4 classifications are somewhat mirrored in the prior definitions.

Restricted Fund Balance

Restricted Fund Balance includes amounts constrained for a specific purpose by external parties, constitutional provision or enabling legislation.

- Externally imposed
Imposed externally by grantors, creditors, contributors, or laws or regulations of other

governments (i.e., monies received by a grantor that can only be used for that purpose defined by the grant).

- Imposed by Law (Statutory)

A restricted fund balance that consists of unspent, receipted revenues whose use is statutorily restricted (e.g., children's waiting room and dispute resolution program funding).

Committed Fund Balance

Committed Fund Balance includes amounts that can only be used for specific purposes pursuant to constraints imposed by formal action of the Judicial Council. These committed amounts cannot be used for any other purpose unless the Judicial Council removes or changes the specified use by taking the same type of action it employed to previously commit those amounts.

Committed Fund Balance must also include contractual obligations to the extent that existing resources in the fund have been specifically committed for use in satisfying those contractual requirements. While the requirement to include contractual commitments is a policy decision of the Judicial Council, the type, number and execution of contracts is within the express authority of presiding judges or their designee.

[The following struckthrough language is suspended as of October 28, 2014]

~~The Judicial Council has authorized a stabilization arrangement (Operating and Emergency fund category) to be set aside for use in emergency situations or when revenue shortages or budgetary imbalances might exist. The amount is subject to controls that dictate the circumstances under which the court would spend any of the minimum operating and emergency fund balance.~~

~~Each court must maintain a minimum operating and emergency fund balance at all times during a fiscal year as determined by the following calculation based upon the prior fiscal year's ending total unrestricted general fund expenditures (excluding special revenue, debt service, permanent, proprietary, and fiduciary funds), less any material one-time expenditures (e.g., large one-time contracts):~~

~~—— Annual General Fund Expenditures
—— 5 percent of the first \$10,000,000
—— 4 percent of the next \$40,000,000
—— 3 percent of expenditures over \$50,000,000~~

~~If a court determines that it is unable to maintain the minimum operating and emergency fund balance level as identified above, the court must immediately notify the Administrative Director of the Courts, or designee, in writing and provide a plan with a specific timeframe to correct the situation.~~

Assigned Fund Balance

This is a fund balance that is constrained by the Presiding Judge, or designee, with the intent that it be used for specific purposes or designations that are neither unspendable, restricted nor committed.

Constraints imposed on the use of assigned amounts are more easily removed or modified than those imposed on amounts that are classified as committed. Assigned amounts are based on estimates and explanations of the methodology used to compute or determine the designated amount must be provided.

Assigned fund balances include:

- All remaining amounts that are reported in governmental funds, other than general fund, that are not classified as nonspendable and are neither restricted nor committed and
- Amounts in the general fund that are intended to be used for a specific purpose in accordance with the provision identified by the Presiding Judge, or designee.

Courts will identify assigned fund balances according to the following categories:

1. **One-time facility – Tenant improvements** Examples include carpet and fixture replacements.
2. **One-time facility – Other Examples** include amounts paid by the AOC on behalf of the courts.
3. **Statewide Administrative Infrastructure Initiatives.** Statewide assessment in support of technology initiatives (e.g., California Case Management System and Phoenix) will be identified in this designation.
4. **Local Infrastructure (Technology and non-technology needs)** Examples include interim case management systems and non-security equipment.
5. **One-time employee compensation (Leave obligation, retirement, etc.)** Amounts included in this category are exclusive of employee compensation amounts already included in the court's operating budget and not in a designated fund balance category.
 - a. One-time leave payments at separation from employment. If amounts are not already accounted for in a court's operating budget, estimated one-time payouts for vacation or annual leave to employees planning to separate from employment within the next fiscal year should be in this designated fund balance sub-category. This amount could be computed as the average amount paid out with separations or other leave payments during the last three years. Any anticipated non-normal or unusually high payout for an individual or individuals should be added to at the average amount calculated.

In a footnote, the court should note the amount of its employees' currently earned leave balance that is more than the established designated fund balance. The amount would be determined by multiplying the hours of earned vacation or annual leave on the payroll records for each employee times his or her current salary rate minus the designated fund balance established.

- b. Unfunded pension obligation. If documented by an actuarial report, the amount of unfunded pension obligation should be included as a designated fund balance. Employer

retirement plan contributions for the current fiscal year must be accounted for in the court's operating budget.

In a footnote, the court should note the amount of the current unfunded pension obligation that is in excess of the established designated fund balance.

- c. Unfunded retiree health care obligation. If documented by an actuarial report, the amount of unfunded retiree health care obligation should be included as a designated fund balance.

The current year's unfunded retiree health care obligation contains: (i) the current year Annual Required Contribution (ARC) based on a 30-year amortization of retiree health costs as of last fiscal year-end **and** (ii) the prior year retiree health care obligation **less** (iii) the retiree health care employer contributions and any transfers made to an irrevocable trust set up for this purpose. The current year's unfunded retiree health care obligation is to be added to the prior year's obligation.

Note: The ARC amounts are located in each court's actuarial report, which is entitled "Postretirement Benefit Valuation Report".

In a footnote, the court should note the amount of the cumulative unfunded retiree health care obligation that is in excess of the established designated fund balance.

- d. Workers compensation (if managed locally). The amount estimated to be paid out in the next fiscal year.
 - e. Use of reserve funds for liquidation of outstanding leave balances for employees in a layoff situation, consistent with the requirements of GASB 45; other examples would include reserving funds for the implementation of "enhanced retirement" or "golden handshake" programs in the interest of eliminating salaries at the "high end" or "top step", and thereby generating salary savings or rehires at the low end of a pay scale for position(s), but realizing one-time costs in the interest of longer term savings for the court.
6. **Professional and consultant services.** Examples include human resources, information technology, and other consultants.
 7. **Security.** Examples include security equipment, and pending increases for security service contracts.
 8. **Bridge Funding.** A court may choose to identify specific short or intermediate term funding amounts needed to address future needs that are otherwise not reportable, nor fit the criteria, in either restricted nor committed classifications, that it believes are necessary to identify through specific designations. These designations must be listed with a description in sufficient detail to determine their purpose and requirements.

9. **Miscellaneous (required to provide detail).** Any other planned commitments that are not appropriately included in one of the above designated fund balance sub-categories should be listed here with a description in sufficient detail to determine its purpose and requirements.

Unassigned Fund Balance – for General Fund Use Only

Unassigned Fund Balance is the residual classification for the general fund. This classification represents fund balance that has not been assigned to other fund balance and that has not been restricted, committed, or assigned to specific purposes within the general fund.

The general fund is the only fund that shall report a positive unassigned fund balance amount.