

JUDICIAL COUNCIL OF CALIFORNIA

455 Golden Gate Avenue · San Francisco, California 94102-3688 www.courts.ca.gov

REPORT TO THE JUDICIAL COUNCIL

For business meeting on: April 14-15, 2016

Title

Trial Court Allocations: Adjustments to the Workload-Based Allocation and Funding Methodology

Rules, Forms, Standards, or Statutes Affected None

Recommended by

Trial Court Budget Advisory Committee Hon. Jonathan B. Conklin, Chair Agenda Item Type

Action Required

Effective Date April 15, 2016

Date of Report March 24, 2016

Contact

Colin Simpson, 415-865-4566 colin.simpson@jud.ca.gov

Executive Summary

The Trial Court Budget Advisory Committee recommends a number of adjustments to the Workload-Based Allocation and Funding Methodology, including clarification of which expenses and funds should be included or excluded from the methodology.

Recommendation

The Trial Court Budget Advisory Committee (TCBAC) is unanimously recommending that the Judicial Council adopt the following regarding updating and clarifying the Workload-Based Allocation and Funding Methodology (WAFM):

1. Approve the current annual update cycles in place for five WAFM components—average court executive officer (CEO) salary, federal Bureau of Labor Statistics (BLS) factor, salary-driven and nonsalary-driven benefits, AB 1058 adjustment, and average Resource Assessment Study (RAS)-related salary—and update annually the average operating expenses and equipment (OE&E) per full-time equivalent (FTE) by cluster component using a three-year average from 4th quarter Quarterly Financial Statement data through two fiscal years prior (see option 1B of Attachment C).

- 2. Clarify the designation for three expense codes both included and excluded in the Judicial Council-approved methodology for calculating WAFM operating expenses and equipment per full-time equivalent by excluding the "Air Conditioning/Heating Equipment" and "Facility Planning" Phoenix general ledger expenses, and including the "Grand Jury Costs" Phoenix general ledger expense.
- 3. Designate expense codes with no expenditures in 2011–2012 or created after 2011–2012 and, therefore, not previously considered as part of the Judicial Council-approved methodology for calculating WAFM operating expenses and equipment per full-time equivalent (see column F of Attachment F).
- 4. Exclude expenditures from funds included, but not previously considered or reviewed, in the Judicial Council-approved methodology for calculating WAFM operating expenses and equipment per full-time equivalent that have been determined not to be part of the equivalent, available WAFM funding (see column F of Attachment J).

Previous Council Action

At its business meeting on April 26, 2013, the Judicial Council approved the WAFM methodology which included in its original report on pages 40 through 44 the explicit inclusion and exclusion of specific Phoenix Financial System expenditure codes as well as the acknowledgement that any other items not listed were by default included in the WAFM OE&E expenditure calculation (see Attachment E). In addition, included in the original April 26, 2013 Judicial Council report were the inclusion and exclusion of specific fund sources in identifying equivalent, available WAFM funding (see Attachment G). In calculating the WAFM OE&E per FTE amount, expenditure code WAFM designations of included or excluded were utilized to determine which amounts of the courts' expenditure information should be used, but fund WAFM designations were not considered and OE&E expenditures from all funds were retrieved in calculating the WAFM OE&E per FTE amounts.

Recommendation 1: WAFM Update Cycles

1. Approve the current annual update cycles in place for five Workload-Based Allocation and Funding Methodology (WAFM) components—average CEO salary, federal Bureau of Labor Statistics (BLS) factor, salary-driven and nonsalary-driven benefits, AB 1058 adjustment, and average Resource Assessment Study (RAS)-related salary—and update annually the average operating expenses and equipment (OE&E) per full-time equivalent (FTE) by cluster component using a three-year average from 4th quarter Quarterly Financial Statement data through two fiscal years prior (see option 1B of Attachment C).

Rationale for recommendation 1

By formally approving the current effective update cycles for these WAFM components (see Attachment B), these schedules will be documented and a formal standard established for the expected refresh of this information.

The one WAFM component that has not been updated relies on trial court schedule information. The WAFM operating expenses and equipment (OE&E) per FTE amount is calculated using Schedule 7A information for the number of WAFM FTEs—excluding those positions related to noncourt-operations PECTs, subordinate judicial officers, CEOs, marshals, court attendants, interpreters and interpreter coordinators, and vacant positions—and 4th quarter Quarterly Financial Statement expenditure information for general ledger items designated as OE&E expenses.

For the OE&E per FTE, four options are provided with the differences between options relating to either updating the component on an annual basis or a less frequent basis and the update using the most recent fiscal year of available information or an average of the three most recent years of available information (see Attachment C). Benefits and drawbacks are provided for each option. The TCBAC recommends Option 1B as this is consistent with the methodology applied in updating the RAS FTE need amounts as well as the BLS-adjustment ratios in calculating the WAFM funding need. Attachment D compares the current OE&E per FTE amounts to the updated amounts under options A and B and the impact those options would have had on the 2015–2016 estimated WAFM funding need, an increase of between \$40.6 million and \$65.8 million. Note that the variance between the one-year average and three-year average is driven by the OE&E per FTE amounts in 2012–2013, which were significantly lower than 2013–2014 and 2014–2015.

Recommendation 2: Clarify WAFM Designation for Three Expenses

2. Clarify the designation for three expense codes both included and excluded in the Judicial Council-approved methodology for calculating WAFM operating expenses and equipment per full-time equivalent by excluding the "Air Conditioning/Heating Equipment" and "Facility Planning" Phoenix general ledger expenses and including the "Grand Jury Costs" Phoenix general ledger expense.

Rationale for recommendation 2

The April 2013 Judicial Council report contained a list, on pages 40 through 44, of expressly included and excluded specific Phoenix Financial System expenditure codes as well as the acknowledgement that any other items not listed were by default included in the WAFM OE&E expenditure calculation (see Attachment E). On this list, three items were both explicitly included and explicitly excluded from the WAFM OE&E per FTE calculation (see yellow highlighted rows, Attachment E). Since these items were explicitly addressed and approved in a Judicial Council report, the council should explicitly select inclusion or exclusion to resolve this issue. Currently, since the default position for items is that they be included, these items are included in the WAFM OE&E per FTE calculation. However, this is a temporary solution only until the council addresses the items' designation. The TCBAC based its recommendations on expenses that would be consistent with other categories of expenses that were included and excluded, and consistent with what is considered Resource Allocation Study (RAS)-related workload.

Recommendation 3: Expense Codes Not Previously Considered by the Judicial Council

3. Designate expense codes with no expenditures in 2011–2012 or created after 2011–2012 and, therefore, not previously considered as part of the Judicial Council-approved methodology for calculating WAFM operating expenses and equipment per full-time equivalent (see column F of Attachment F).

Rationale for recommendation 3

Since these items were not addressed in the April 26, 2013 Judicial Council report, if the council takes no action, by default all of these items would be included in the WAFM OE&E per FTE calculation. However, there are some items that are similar enough to items that have been explicitly excluded by the Judicial Council and merit the council's consideration regarding whether or not to include them.

Attachment F provides additional information regarding these items including the amount expended, if any, for 2014–2015. The TCBAC based its recommendations on expenses that would be consistent with other categories of expenses that were included and excluded, and consistent with what is considered RAS-related workload.

Recommendation 4: Exclude Non-WAFM Fund Expenses from OE&E per FTE

4. Exclude expenditures from funds included, but not previously considered or reviewed, in the Judicial Council-approved methodology for calculating WAFM operating expenses and equipment per FTE that have been determined not to be part of the equivalent, available WAFM funding (see column F of Attachment J).

Rationale for recommendation 4

The TCBAC has previously identified for the Judicial Council funds that are part of the equivalent, available funding and those not included in the WAFM allocation as reflected in the "Estimated 2015–16 WAFM, Non-WAFM, and Undesignated Trial Court Allocations, Revenues, and Reimbursements" (see Attachments G and H). Attachments I and J provide a reconciliation at the summary level and the detail level between the funds and subfunds identified in the Phoenix Financial System and the fund sources identified and designated in Attachment H—"Estimated 2015–16 WAFM, Non-WAFM, and Undesignated Trial Court Allocations, Revenues, and Reimbursements"—and provides the WAFM designation of those fund sources that support the funds and subfunds.

To the extent OE&E expenditures are fully supported by funds considered non-WAFM, the subcommittee recommends those specific OE&E expenditures to be excluded from the amount used to calculate the WAFM OE&E per FTE amount. The committee also considered excluding funds that fell under the "Items That Require Further Analysis" category, but the TCBAC's Funding Methodology Subcommittee is already planning to address the designation of these

funds as part of its fiscal year (FY) 2016–2017 work plan. Attachment K reflects the TCBAC's recommendation and the impact on the WAFM OE&E per FTE amount.

Comments From Interested Parties

No public comments were received when the recommendations were considered by the TCBAC at its March 10, 2016 meeting.

Alternatives Considered and Policy Implications

Alternatives considered are discussed in the rationales for recommendations 1 and 4.

Implementation Requirements, Costs, and Operational Impacts

The adjustments will be included in the FY 2016–2017 WAFM funding need calculation.

Relevant Strategic Plan Goals and Operational Plan Objectives

The Workload-based Allocation and Funding Methodology is consistent with Goal II, Independence and Accountability, of the strategic plan, in that the methodology model aims to "[a]llocate resources in a transparent and fair manner that promotes efficiency and effectiveness in the administration of justice, supports the strategic goals of the judicial branch, promotes innovation, and provides for effective and consistent court operations" (Goal II.B.3).

It also meets with related Operational Plan Objective III, Modernization of Management and Administration, in that a workload-based approach creates "[s]tandards for determining adequate resources for all case types—particularly for complex litigation, civil and small claims, and court venues such as family and juvenile, probate guardianship, probate conservatorship, and traffic; accountability mechanisms for ensuring that resources are properly allocated according to those standards" (Objective III.A.2.c).

Attachments

- 1. Attachment A: Computation of Funding Need Using the 2015–2016 Workload-Based Allocation and Funding Methodology
- 2. Attachment B: Current WAFM Component Update Schedules
- 3. Attachment C: WAFM Components Not Updated Since FY 2013–14
- 4. Attachment D: WAFM Operating Expenses and Equipment Update Options Comparison
- 5. Attachment E: Detailed Decisions on Inclusion and Exclusion of OE&E Expenditures, Judicial Council Report April 26, 2013
- 6. Attachment F: Phoenix Expense General Ledger Codes Not Previously Considered by the Judicial Council
- 7. Attachment G: 2013–14 Fund Sources Included and Excluded from WAFM, Judicial Council Report April 26, 2013
- 8. Attachment H: Estimated 2015–16 WAFM, Non-WAFM, and Undesignated Trial Court Allocations, Revenues, and Reimbursements

- 9. Attachment I: FY 2014–15 Phoenix Expenses for WAFM OE&E Designations by Fund Summary
- 10. Attachment J: FY 2014–15 Phoenix Expenses for WAFM OE&E Designations by Fund
- 11. Attachment K: WAFM Operating Expenses and Equipment Excluded Funds Options

		RAS II I	Model FTE N	leed ⁽¹⁾	FTE Need Multiplied by FTE Allotment Factor, Prior to BLS Adjustment			Cost of Labo	e Dollars for Local r; Apply FTE Dollar Factor
		RAS Program 10 FTE Need	RAS Program 90 FTE Need	RAS Total FTE Need	RAS FTE Need multiplied by allotment factor ⁽²⁾	CEO Cluster Average Salary (as of 7/1/2014)	RAS FTE Need plus CEO, multiplied by Allotment Factor	BLS Factor	Pre-Benefits Adjusted Base
Cluste	r Court	A	В	C = (A + B)	D= (C-1)* Dollar Factor	E	F = D+E	G	H=(C-1)*BLS- Adjusted Dollar Factor+(E*G)
4	Alameda	517	84	601	\$34,122,403	222,872	34,345,275	1.42	48,824,340
1	Alpine	2	1	3	\$113,741	114,213	227,954	0.83	188,922
1	Amador	21	5	26	\$1,421,767	114,213	1,535,980	1.00	1,534,684
2	Butte	113	21	134	\$7,563,799	159,760	7,723,560	0.91	7,018,308
1	Calaveras	22	5	27	\$1,478,637	114,213	1,592,851	0.89	1,412,142
1	Colusa	15	3	18	\$966,801	114,213	1,081,014	0.71	830,674
3	Contra Costa	329	52	381	\$21,610,855	185,787	21,796,642	1.25	27,307,057
1	Del Norte	24	5	29	\$1,592,379	114,213	1,706,592	0.77	1,323,022
2	El Dorado	74	13	87	\$4,890,878	159,760	5,050,638	1.00	5,029,894
3	Fresno	461	72	533	\$30,255,197	185,787	30,440,984	0.99	30,097,800
1	Glenn	18	4	22	\$1,194,284	114,213	1,308,497	0.69	1,004,478
2	Humboldt	78	13	91	\$5,118,360	159,760	5,278,121	0.77	4,072,841
2	Imperial	117	21	138	\$7,791,282	159,760	7,951,042	0.78	6,223,496
1	Inyo	16	4	20	\$1,080,543	114,213	1,194,756	0.83	994,552
3	Kern	459	76	535	\$30,368,938	185,787	30,554,725	1.05	32,229,103
2	Kings	85	14	99	\$5,573,326	159,760	5,733,086	0.88	5,047,027
2	Lake	39	7	46	\$2,559,180	159,760	2,718,941	0.75	2,104,700
4	Lassen	23 4,512	5 690	28 5,202	\$1,535,508	114,213	1,649,721	0.80	1,325,655
2	Los Angeles Madera	4,512	14	96	\$295,784,361	222,872	296,007,234	1.34 0.93	396,807,827
2	Marin	90	16	106	\$5,402,714 \$5,971,420	159,760 159,760	5,562,474 6,131,181	1.28	5,196,728 7,839,688
1	Mariposa	10	3	13	\$682,448	114,213	796,661	0.78	620,314
2	Mendocino	56	10	66	\$3,696,594	159,760	3,856,354	0.78	3,215,623
2	Merced	128	22	150	\$8,473,730	159,760	8,633,490	0.90	7,746,157
1	Modoc	8	2	10	\$511,836	114,213	626,049	0.60	465,486
1	Mono	10	3	13	\$682,448	114,213	796,661	1.15	915,428
	Monterey	166	27	193	\$10,919,169	185,787	11,104,956	1.19	13,262,845
3				100	710,010,100	100,707	11,104,330	1.13	10,202,070
3	Napa	61	11	72	\$4,037,818	159,760	4,197,578	1.22	5,124,059

		RAS II N	Model FTE N	leed ⁽¹⁾	FTE Need Multipl	ied by FTE Allotmo BLS Adjustment	Adjust Base Dollars for Local Cost of Labor; Apply FTE Dollar Factor		
		RAS Program 10 FTE Need	RAS Program 90 FTE Need	RAS Total FTE Need RAS FTE Need RAS FTE Need CEO Cluster RAS FTE Need plus multiplied by Average Salary CEO, multiplied by allotment factor ⁽²⁾ (as of 7/1/2014) Allotment Factor		BLS Factor	Pre-Benefits Adjusted Base		
Cluster	Court	A	В	C = (A + B)	D= (C-1)* Dollar Factor	E	F = D+E	G	H=(C-1)*BLS- Adjusted Dollar Factor+(E*G)
4	Orange	1,130	181	1,311	\$74,500,579	222,872	74,723,452	1.30	97,204,875
2	Placer	144	24	168	\$9,497,402	159,760	9,657,162	1.17	11,315,447
1	Plumas	11	3	14	\$739,319	114,213	853,532	0.70	653,271
4	Riverside	952	148	1,100	\$62,500,868	222,872	62,723,740	1.08	67,708,747
4	Sacramento	633	96	729	\$41,401,849	222,872	41,624,721	1.28	53,355,341
1	San Benito	22	5	27	\$1,478,637	114,213	1,592,851	0.98	1,566,846
4	San Bernardino	1,046	155	1,201	\$68,244,805	222,872	68,467,678	1.06	72,389,061
4	San Diego	1,108	169	1,277	\$72,566,976	222,872	72,789,849	1.17	85,488,910
4	San Francisco	339	51	390	\$22,122,691	222,872	22,345,564	1.68	37,551,796
3	San Joaquin	320	49	369	\$20,928,407	185,787	21,114,194	1.10	23,284,438
2	San Luis Obispo	132	22	154	\$8,701,213	159,760	8,860,973	1.07	9,498,700
3	San Mateo	241	39	280	\$15,866,917	185,787	16,052,704	1.44	23,191,014
3	Santa Barbara	183	32	215	\$12,170,324	185,787	12,356,111	1.17	14,406,369
4	Santa Clara	505	77	582	\$33,041,860	222,872	33,264,732	1.44	47,916,662
2	Santa Cruz	111	21	132	\$7,450,058	159,760	7,609,818	1.15	8,775,813
2	Shasta	120	28	148	\$8,359,989	159,760	8,519,749	0.85	7,278,801
1	Sierra	2	1	3	\$113,741	114,213	227,954	0.73	171,720
2	Siskiyou	29	6	35	\$1,933,603	159,760	2,093,363	0.69	1,610,377
3	Solano	192	30	222	\$12,568,418	185,787	12,754,205	1.20	15,342,291
3	Sonoma	198	33	231	\$13,080,254	185,787	13,266,041	1.17	15,469,541
3	Stanislaus	249	38	287	\$16,265,012	185,787	16,450,799	1.02	16,720,694
2	Sutter	52	10	62	\$3,469,111	159,760	3,628,871	0.95	3,462,702
2	Tehama	46	8	54	\$3,014,146	159,760	3,173,906	0.80	2,533,155
1	Trinity	10	3	13	\$682,448	114,213	796,661	0.65	603,900
3	Tulare	209	35	244	\$13,819,573	185,787	14,005,360	0.83	11,554,627
2	Tuolumne	32	6	38	\$2,104,215	159,760	2,263,975	0.83	1,870,908
3	Ventura	310	57	367	\$20,814,666	185,787	21,000,453	1.21	25,514,417
2	Yolo	87	16	103	\$5,800,808	159,760	5,960,569	1.03	6,113,301
2	Yuba	46	8	54	\$3,014,146	159,760	3,173,906	0.93	2,947,405

Statewide 16,040 2,563 18,603 1,064,129,817 1,286,339,245

NOTES: (1) Estimated need based on 3-year average filings data from FY 2011-2012 through FY 2013-2014.

\$56,871 (2) Unadjusted base funding per RAS FTE, based on FY 2014-2015 Schedule 7A; does not include collections staff, SJOs, CEO, security, n

^(3)) Bureau of Labor Statistics Cost of Labor adjustment based on Quarterly Census of Wages & Employment, three year average from comparison based on Public Administration (North American Industrial Classification System, 92) unless proportion of state government year average of local and state salaries for Public Administration is used for comparison.

		_	y-Driven Benefits an Benefits Per FTE (•	_	*	Projected Benefits Expenses (Salary-driven benefits based on Adjusted Base)			Remove AB 1058 staff/FLF costs	Total WAFM	Proportion of Total
		Average % of Salary-Driven Benefits (Program 10)	Average Actual Non-Salary- Driven Benefits per FTE (Program 10)	Average % of Salary-Driven Benefits (Program 90)	Average Actual Non-Salary- Driven Benefits per FTE (Program 90)	Benefits Needed for RAS Program 10 FTE Need		Total Benefit Need Based on RAS FTE Need	Estimated OE&E Needed (Excludes funding for operations contracts)	(Using FY 2013-14 data)	Funding Need	WAFM Estimated Funding Need
		+					L =(((((B-1)*FTE					
						(4*575.D. !!		М			_	
Cluster	Cour	t <i>I1</i>	12	J1	J2	= (A*FTE Dollar Factor*I1)+(A*I2)	Factor)+E*G)*J1) + (B*J2)	= (K + L))	N = C * OE&E	o	P = (H+ M + N) - O	Q = P / Statewide
4	Alameda	36.7%			\$14,147	22,618,895	3,687,315	26,306,210	12,192,647	1,598,988	85,724,209	3.60%
1	Alpine	18.5%	\$23,750	18.5%	\$23,750	64,927	41,250	106,177	83,784	-	378,883	0.02%
1	Amador	25.7%	\$8,841	25.0%	\$10,239	492,527	136,657	629,184	726,129	116,005	2,773,992	0.12%
2	Butte	26.1%		26.1%	\$11,728	2,907,304	553,716	3,461,020	2,718,494	370,762	12,827,059	0.54%
1	Calaveras	21.6%	, , .	21.6%	\$17,439	553,445	152,606	706,051	754,057	155,288	2,716,963	0.11%
3	Colusa Contra Costa	39.8% 54.2%	\$15,596 \$15,741	40.7% 54.2%	\$16,353 \$18,402	497,302 17,879,053	117,839 3,050,808	615,141 20,929,861	502,705 7,729,449	67,730 1,120,477	1,880,790 54,845,890	0.08% 2.30%
1	Del Norte	20.2%	· ' '		\$25,578	794,686	181,208	975,894	809,913	96,508	3,012,322	0.13%
2	El Dorado	21.5%	, , -	21.5%	\$16,480	2,164,106	394,821	2,558,926	1,764,992	333,647	9,020,166	0.38%
3	Fresno	68.6%		69.0%	\$9,193	22,275,773	3,544,650	25,820,424	10,813,113	1,654,214	65,077,123	2.73%
1	Glenn	30.6%	\$13,960	34.5%	\$16,761	494,443	139,802	634,245	614,417	204,360	2,048,781	0.09%
2	Humboldt	30.4%		30.4%	\$10,056	1,757,103	328,276	2,085,379	1,846,141	140,560	7,863,801	0.33%
2	Imperial	32.8%	\$4,926	34.2%	\$5,799	2,284,919	469,469	2,754,387	2,799,643	224,769	11,552,757	0.49%
1	Inyo	27.2%		22.8%	\$12,607	428,717	104,514	533,231	558,561	122,545	1,963,799	0.08%
2	Kern Kings	55.9% 21.0%		55.9% 24.6%	\$16,476 \$9,831	22,967,999 1,653,960	3,879,002 332,154	26,847,001 1,986,114	10,853,688 2,008,439	1,214,661 278,099	68,715,131 8,763,482	2.89% 0.37%
2	Lake	20.7%		24.6%	\$9,831	657,959	134,437	792,396	933,214	153,026	3,677,284	0.37%
1	Lassen	20.7%	, , -	20.7%	\$11,354	452,452	112,587	565,039	781,985	77,644	2,595,035	0.11%
4	Los Angeles	25.7%		34.7%	\$19,875	190,947,036	32,033,477	222,980,513	105,534,363	7,200,581	718,122,121	30.17%
2	Madera	31.2%	\$12,584	31.2%	\$12,582	2,389,506	437,892	2,827,397	1,947,578	290,662	9,681,041	0.41%
2	Marin	28.2%		26.7%	\$12,709		549,712	3,537,366	2,150,450	221,581	13,305,924	0.56%
1	Mariposa	36.3%		37.1%	\$15,237	261,139	111,612	372,751	363,065	73,997	1,282,132	0.05%
2	Mendocino	44.9%		47.2%	\$9,480	1,719,317	359,388	2,078,705	1,338,960	183,022	6,450,265	0.27%
1	Merced	59.0%		60.0%	\$14,848	5,754,582	1,055,569	6,810,151	3,043,090	714,509	16,884,889	0.71%
1	Modoc Mono	25.5% 34.5%		25.5% 36.4%	\$12,586 \$21,622	190,650 421,743	53,904 160,231	244,554 581,974	279,280 363,065	72,130 64,871	917,190 1,795,596	0.04% 0.08%
3	Monterey	19.3%		19.4%	\$16,507	4,593,398	830,642	5,424,040	3,915,443	425,711	22,176,616	0.93%
2	Napa	17.8%		18.4%	\$21,372	1,957,502	398,887	2,356,390	1,460,683	223,590	8,717,542	0.37%
2	Nevada	36.2%			\$12,649		337,417	1,789,882	1,095,512	448,240	5,512,421	0.23%

		_	y-Driven Benefits an Benefits Per FTE (as % of Salary ar	nd Average Non-		ected Benefits Expe benefits based on	enses	OE&E (Based on Cluster Average OE&E / FTE) (Cluster 1: \$27,928; Clusters 2-4 \$20,287)	Remove AB 1058		Proportion of Total
		Average % of Salary-Driven Benefits (Program 10)	Average Actual Non-Salary- Driven Benefits per FTE (Program 10)	Average % of Salary-Driven Benefits (Program 90)	Average Actual Non-Salary- Driven Benefits per FTE (Program 90)	Benefits Needed for RAS Program 10 FTE Need		Total Benefit Need Based on RAS FTE Need	Estimated OE&E Needed (Excludes funding for operations contracts)	staff/FLF costs (Using FY 2013-14 data)	Total WAFM Funding Need	WAFM Estimated Funding Need
							L =(((((B-1)*FTE					
						К	Dollar	• •				_
Cluste	er Court	11	12	J1	J2	= (A*FTE Dollar Factor*I1)+(A*I2)	Factor)+E*G)*J1) + (B*J2)	M = (K + L))	N = C * OE&E	o	P = (H+ M + N) - O	Q = P / Statewide
4	Orange	38.1%			\$12,150	44,334,919	7,424,752	51,759,671	26,596,607	2,195,060	173,366,093	7.28%
2	Placer	29.1%			\$19,829	5,648,763	976,641	6,625,403	3,408,261	424,810	20,924,301	0.88%
1	Plumas	28.6%	\$13,693	28.2%	\$17,914	289,415	101,154	390,568	390,993	135,453	1,299,380	0.05%
4	Riverside	32.5%	\$9,553	32.3%	\$10,577	28,115,310	4,561,278	32,676,587	22,315,994	1,672,322	121,029,006	5.08%
4	Sacramento	40.3%	\$19,032	41.2%	\$18,924	30,634,318	4,787,382	35,421,700	14,789,418	1,426,146	102,140,312	4.29%
1	San Benito	23.3%			\$16,695	556,700	161,792	718,492	754,057	164,879	2,874,516	0.12%
4	San Bernardino	37.9%	\$8,332		\$9,879	32,572,369	5,392,046	37,964,414	24,365,008	2,574,029	132,144,453	5.55%
4	San Diego	56.8%	\$9,016		\$9,929	52,017,923	8,206,947	60,224,870	25,906,840	2,478,229	169,142,391	7.11%
4	San Francisco	32.3%	\$27,582	31.9%	\$27,568	19,829,556	3,047,603	22,877,159	7,912,034	1,271,943	67,069,047	2.82%
3	San Joaquin	42.6%	\$13,107	44.4%	\$8,836	12,739,857	1,860,996	14,600,853	7,486,001	635,857	44,735,436	1.88%
2	San Luis Obispo	41.5%		50.9%	\$10,374	4,691,723	967,572	5,659,295	3,124,239	387,296	17,894,938	0.75%
3	San Mateo Santa Barbara	42.7% 39.5%	\$17,464 \$6,744	42.8% 42.2%	\$14,572 \$7,575	12,670,394	2,018,300	14,688,694 7,226,154	5,680,435	590,688 479,947	42,969,454	1.81% 1.07%
4	Santa Clara	30.9%	\$23,911	30.8%	\$25,168	6,024,689 24,870,865	1,201,465 3,953,181	28,824,046	4,361,762 11,807,189	1,918,716	25,514,338 86,629,182	3.64%
2	Santa Cruz	22.7%	\$16,282	22.7%	\$17,588	3,460,083	709,096	4,169,179	2,677,919	205,113	15,417,797	0.65%
2	Shasta	22.7%	\$9,970		\$17,388	2,490,804	695,083	3,185,887	3,002,516	513,547	12,953,657	0.54%
1	Sierra	37.5%			\$17,520	68,120	48,844	116,964	83,784	4,188	368,280	0.02%
2	Siskiyou	28.2%	\$19,216		\$17,008	917,988	195,536	1,113,524	710,054	330,897	3,103,058	0.13%
3	Solano	32.3%	\$12,824		\$14,711	6,703,206	1,200,956	7,904,161	4,503,773	591,286	27,158,939	1.14%
3	Sonoma	43.9%	\$19,989		\$19,951	9,722,513	1,683,193	11,405,706	4,686,359	686,985	30,874,621	1.30%
3	Stanislaus	28.9%	\$17,882	29.4%	\$18,898	8,607,333	1,401,877	10,009,211	5,822,446	1,015,921	31,536,429	1.32%
2	Sutter	31.4%	\$14,487	32.0%	\$18,269	1,639,745	387,918	2,027,663	1,257,811	239,056	6,509,119	0.27%
2	Tehama	22.9%	\$17,076	22.9%	\$16,571	1,263,943	234,593	1,498,536	1,095,512	100,653	5,026,551	0.21%
1	Trinity	31.8%	\$13,849		\$13,908	278,738	100,459	379,198	363,065	55,255	1,290,907	0.05%
3	Tulare	22.0%	\$18,427		\$19,889	6,003,887	1,092,161	7,096,048	4,950,093	638,573	22,962,196	0.96%
2	Tuolumne	27.2%	\$13,781	28.2%	\$13,806	850,098	186,273	1,036,371	770,916	235,699	3,442,496	0.14%
3	Ventura	37.5%			\$11,251	10,884,113	2,293,990	13,178,103	7,445,427	869,709	45,268,238	1.90%
2	Yolo	32.4%	\$12,077		\$19,656	2,692,841	729,366	3,422,208	2,089,588	230,666	11,394,431	0.48%
2	Yuba	17.4%	\$11,152	17.4%	\$12,656	935,853	191,416	1,127,270	1,095,512	208,198	4,961,988	0.21%

Statewide 645,136,627 109,501,708 754,638,335 379,436,474 40,129,299 **2,380,284,755** 100%

NOTES:

\$56,871 or vacant positions; in January 2014 the TCBAC approved a dollar factor adjustment for courts with fewer

 Weighted
 \$27,928
 Cluster 1

 Mean
 \$20,287
 Clusters 2-4

Current WAFM Component Update Schedules as of April 15, 2016

				WAFM Funding Need Worksheet
#	WAFM Component Description	Update Frequency	Source of Data	(Attachment A) Location
1	Average court executive officer salary	Updated annually	Prior year Schedule 7A	Column E
			Three year-average BLS data up to two	
2	Federal Bureau of Labor Statistics (BLS) factor	Updated annually	years prior	Column G and "BLS Factor" worksheet
3	Salary-driven and non-salary-driven benefits	Updated annually	Prior year Schedule 7A	Columns I1, I2, J1, and J2
			Two years prior reimbursement data from	
4	AB 1058 adjustment	Updated annually	JCC grants accounting unit	Column O
5	Average RAS-related salary	Updated annually	Prior year Schedule 7A	Bottom left corner under "NOTES"
	Average Operating Expenses & Equipment (OE&E)		4th quarter Quarterly Financial Statement	
6	per FTE by cluster	N/A	expenditures (QFS)	Column N
			Prior year BLS-adjusted Schedule 7A	
			average RAS salary and benefits	
			information from five Cluster 1 courts and	
			any updated Cluster 1 OE&E per FTE	
7	Funding Floor	N/A	amounts	"Funding Floor" worksheet
ITE	EMS BELOW INFORMATIONAL ONLY - RAS Compo	nents (Updated by Wo	orkload Assessment Advisory Committee (W	(AAC))
			Three year-average court filings data up to	
N/A	RAS FTE need	Updated annually	two years prior	Columns A, B, and C and "RAS FTE Need" worksheet
		Updated every five		
N/A	RAS caseweight	years	Court time study	N/A

WAFM Components Not Updated Since FY 2013-14 as of April 15, 2016

				WAFM Funding Need Worksheet
# WAFM Component Description		Update Frequency	Source of Data	(Attachment A) Location
Average Operating Expenses & Equipment				
6 (OE&E) per FTE by cluster	N/A	N/A	4th quarter Quarterly Financial Statement expenditures (QFS)	Column N

WAFM Component Update Options

#	WAFM Component Description	Option	Option Description	Pros	Cons
					No smoothing of data due to single year more prone to
					fluctuations
			Updated annually using two years prior 4th quarter		Year-to-year fluctuations in WAFM need due to annual update,
			Quarterly Financial Statement (QFS) data (e.g. FY 2014-15	 Using single year data consistent with original OE&E calculation 	though fluctuations of a lesser magnitude likely than a staggered
		1A	for FY 2016-17)	 Information remains as current as possible 	update
				• Smoothing of data to avoid fluctuations that could result from one	
			Updated annually using a three-year average from 4th	year basis	Inconsistent with original OE&E calculation
		1B	quarter QFS data up through two years prior (e.g. FY 2014	 Information remains relatively current as possible since updated 	Year-to-year fluctuations in WAFM need due to annual update,
	Average Operating Expenses & Equipment	(Recommended)	15 for FY 2016-17)	annually but less current than one year basis	though fluctuations of a lesser magnitude
6	(OE&E) per FTE by cluster				
	(OERE) per i le by cluster			 Using single year data consistent with original OE&E calculation 	
			Updated every two to three years using two years prior	• No year-to-year fluctuations in WAFM need due to annual update,	No smoothing of data due to single year more prone to
			4th quarter Quarterly Financial Statement (QFS) data (e.g.	though fluctuations of a greater magnitude possible when update	fluctuations
		2A	FY 2014-15 for FY 2016-17)		Information not as current
				Smoothing of data to avoid fluctuations that could result from one	
				year basis	
			, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	 No year-to-year fluctuations in WAFM need due to annual update, 	
			, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	though fluctuations of a greater magnitude possible when update	Inconsistent with original OE&E calculation
		2B	prior (e.g. FY 2014-15 for FY 2016-17)	does occur	Information not as current

Attachment D WAFM Operating Expenses and Equipment Update Options Comparison

			OPTI	ONS	
			1A and 2A 1B and 2B		
				Three-Year	
		FY 2015-16	One-Year	Average	
		WAFM OE&E	Average	(2012-13 to	
#	Description	(2011-12 Basis) ¹	(2014-15 Basis) ¹	2014-15 Basis) ¹	
		Α	В	С	
1	OE&E per FTE				
2	Cluster 1	27,928.05	33,601.88	30,944.62	
3	Clusters 2 - 4	20,287.27	23,790.67	22,458.12	
4	FY 2015-16 RAS FTE Need				
5	Cluster 1	266	266	266	
6	Clusters 2 to 4	18,337	18,337	18,337	
7	FY 2015-16 OE&E Need				
8	Cluster 1	7,428,861	8,938,099	8,231,269	
9	Clusters 2 to 4	372,007,614	436,249,562	411,814,546	
10	Change from FY 2015-16 OE&E		65,751,188	40,609,341	
11	Cluster 1	-	1,509,239	802,408	
12	Clusters 2 to 4	-	64,241,949	39,806,933	

¹ Basis refers to the fiscal year or years courts' 4th quarter Quarterly Financial Statement and Schedule 7A data was used.

Decision

TABLE 2 DETAILED DECISIONS ON INCLUSION AND EXCLUSION OF OE&E EXPENDITURES

The following tables document decisions made by the subcommittee of the Trial Court Budget Working Group (the Funding Allocation Subcommittee) on items to include and exclude from the calculation of OE&E. These decisions were made at meetings of the Funding Allocation Subcommittee beginning on February 11, 2013 in Sacramento, and finalized during a number of follow-up meetings and communications between members of the Funding Allocation Subcommittee.

The calculation of OE&E is used to estimate how much funding is needed for non-personnel costs required to operate the trial courts.

The categories listed below in the first column come from the Phoenix Financial System.

Table 2. A: OE&E to INCLUDED in Calculation

NOTE THAT INCLUSION IS THE DEFAULT DECISION. BELOW IS ONLY THE LIST OF ITEMS THAT WERE

DISCUSSED AND THAT THE GROUP DETERMINED SHOULD BE INCLUDED. ALL OTHER ITEMS NOT LISTED ANYWHERE ON THIS PAGE UNDER EITHER INCLUSION OR EXCLUSION, IS BY DEFAULT INCLUDED.

Administrative	Include
Archiving/Imaging Management Services	Include
Attorney Civil Other	Include
Citation Services	Include
Contracted Services	Include
Court Reporter Transcripts-Felony Appeals	Include
Court Reporter Transcripts-Non Felony Appeals	Include
Court Reporter-Lodging	Include
Court Reporter-Meals	Include
Court Reporter-Mileage	Include
Court Transcripts	Include
Electronic Recording	Include
Electronic Recording Services	Include
General Consultant and Professional Services	Include
Human Resource Services	Include

Table 2. A: OE&E to INCLUDED in Calculation	Decision
Information Technology Services	Include
Investigative Services	Include
Attorney Arbitration-ADR Mediators Fee	Include
Court Ordered Investigative Services	Include
Stenography Services	Include
Court Interpreter Document Translation	Include
Key Card, Repair Counter, Replace Shelving	Include
Maintenance and Supplies	Include
Other Facility Costs - Goods	Include
Other Facility Costs - Services	Include
Paint, Protective Coating, and Sealer Supplies	Include
Plumbing	Include
Signs and Related Supplies	Include
Storage	Include
Waste Removal	Include
Window Coverings	Include
Wood or Tile Floor	Include
Carpet	Include
Carpet Cleaning and Floor Waxing	Include
Control Devices	Include
Court Appointed Counsel Charges-Family Code Section 3150	Include
Electrical Supplies and Accessories	Include
Electricity	Include
Extermination	Include
Facilities Operations	Include
Facility Planning	Include

Table 2. A: OE&E to INCLUDED in Calculation	Decision
Fire Fighting Supplies	Include
Flags, Flag Poles and Banners	Include
Fuel for Equipment	Include
Garden and Nursery	Include
Grand Jury Costs	Include
Grounds	Include
Grounds Maintenance	Include
Hardware and Related Items	Include
Alteration	Include
Alterations and Improvements	Include
Appeal Process	Include
Electricity	Include
Interest	Include
Air Conditioning/Heating Equipment	Include
Janitorial Services	Include
Utility Categories - 5 categories	Include
Janitorial	Include
Janitorial Cleaning Supplies	Include

Table 2. B: OE&E to be EXCLUDED from Calculation	Decision
Perimeter Security-Contract (Other than Sheriff)	Exclude
Other Post Employment Benefits (OPEB)	Exclude
Sheriff	Exclude
(Facility Planning)	Exclude
Pro Tem Hearing Officers	Exclude
Marshal Uniforms	Exclude
Rent three categories (see discussion under 2. A. i) (3) above)	Include/Exclude
All Salary & Benefits – GLs 900000 and 910000	Exclude
Court Construction	Exclude
Collection Services	Exclude
Commission Costs	Exclude
Consulting Services-Temp Help	Exclude
Consulting Services-Temp Help Clerical Services	Exclude
Courtroom Security-Sheriff Provided	Exclude
Dependency Counsel Charges For Children	Exclude
Dependency Counsel Charges For Parents	Exclude
Court Interpreter Services	Exclude
Court Interpreter Travel	Exclude
Court Interpreter-American Sign Language	Exclude
Court Interpreter-Certified	Exclude
Court Interpreter-Language Line-In Court	Exclude
Court Interpreter-Language Line-Non Court	Exclude
Court Interpreter-Lodging	Exclude
Court Interpreter-Meals	Exclude
Court Interpreter-Mileage	Exclude
Court Interpreter-Non Certified	Exclude

Table 2. B: OE&E to be EXCLUDED from Calculation	Decision
Court Interpreter-Non Registered	Exclude
Court Interpreter-Registered	Exclude
Juror Costs	Exclude
Juror Public Transportation	Exclude
Jury Fees	Exclude
Jury Mileage	Exclude
Meals & Lodging (Sequestered Jurors)	Exclude
Meals (Non Sequestered Jurors)	Exclude
Perimeter Security-Entrance Screening Personnel - Sheriff Provided	Exclude
Perimeter Security-Sheriff Provided	Exclude
Security	Exclude
Civil Assessment Commission Costs	Exclude
Court Assistant/Attendant Uniforms	Exclude
Court Reporter Services	EXCLUDE
Weapon Screening X-Ray Machine	EXCLUDE
Traffic School Monitoring	EXCLUDE
Probate Evaluations and Reports	Exclude
Probation Department Services	Exclude
Small Claims Advisory Service	Exclude
Court Appointed Counsel Charges	EXCLUDE
Mediators/Arbitrators	Exclude
Sheriff Reimbursement-AB2030/AB2695	Exclude
Air Conditioning/Heating Equipment	Exclude
Architectural Services	Exclude
Grand Jury Costs	Exclude

Court-		La da Farra Balall		FV 204 4 4 F	Recommended
Specific	Phoenix Financial Statement			FY 2014-15	WAFM OE&E
Code	Category	Account Name	Court-Specific Code Description	Expenditures	Designation
Col. A	Col. B	Col. C	Col. D	Col. E	Col. F
920402	General Expense	Employee Relocation	Temporary housing expense related to relocating an employee.		Included
020400	0	Such as Beleville	May be used in lieu of the individual court specific codes within		real deal
	General Expense General Expense	Employee Relocation Office Expense	such corresponding expense detail code. Maps.		Included Included
	General Expense	Office Expense	Ergonomic supplies.		Included
	General Expense	Equipment Rental/Lease	Rental or lease of sheriff security equipment.		Included
322704	General Expense	Equipment Nentuly Lease	incitation lease of sherm seeding equipment.	+30	meiaaca
923903	General Expense	General Expense - Service	Specific to form delivery.		Included
923916	General Expense	General Expense - Service	Testing services.	70,997	Included
925108	Telecommunications	Telecommunications	Instruments-County provided.		Included
			Out of state travel expense for all other mileage claim		
931109	Out-of-State Travel	Travel Out of State	payments.	78	Included
			Perimeter security provided by contract at the entrance of the		
	Security Services	Security	courthouse.	2,112,000	
935502	Facility Operations	Grounds	Parking maintenance.	2,234	Included
		General Consultant and	Costs of contracting for public works planning and engineering		
938407	Contracted Services	Professional Services	services.		Included
		Court Appointed Counsel	Dependency Counsel and Other Eligible Expenses to be		
	Contracted Services	Charges	Reimbursed by JDCCP Allocation	284,523	Excluded
	Contracted Services	Investigative Services	Surveillance services.	192	Included
938903	Contracted Services	Investigative Services	Police reports. (e.g., PC 987.9 cases)		Included
939405	Contracted Services	Legal	Contract review.		Included
939407	Contracted Services	Legal	Attorney civil, no public defender.		Excluded
939408	Contracted Services	Legal	Attorney civil, conflict of interest.		Excluded
939409	Contracted Services	Legal	Attorney criminal, no public defender.		Excluded
939410	Contracted Services	Legal	Attorney criminal, conflict of interest.		Excluded
939415	Contracted Services	Legal	Attorney mental health, no public defender.		Excluded
939416	Contracted Services	Legal	Attorney mental health, conflict of interest.		Excluded
939417	Contracted Services	Legal	Attorney mental health, other.		Excluded
939418	Contracted Services	Legal	Contract law firm fees.	58	Included
939419	Contracted Services	Legal	Contract law firm costs.		Excluded
	Consulting and Professional Services	Sheriff	Incidence/disturbance calls.		Excluded
	Consulting and Professional Services	County-Provided Services	Custodial services.	8,931,549	Included
942702	Services	County-Provided Services	Custodial services.	8,931,549	Included
943507	Information Technology	IT Repairs/Supplies/License	Mainframe operating software.	111	Included
943508	Information Technology	IT Repairs/Supplies/License	Mainframe application software.	13	Included
952099	Other Items of Expense	Uniform Allowance	May be used in lieu of the individual court specific codes within such corresponding expense detail code.	1,234	Included
971003	Other	Other Special items of Expense	Record realized loss incurred on sale of investments.		Included

Court-					Recommended
Specific	Phoenix Financial Statement	Level 2 - Expense Detail		FY 2014-15	WAFM OE&E
Code	Category	Account Name	Court-Specific Code Description	Expenditures	Designation
Col. A	Col. B	Col. C	Col. D	Col. E	Col. F
		Other Special items of	Record unrealized loss on investment due to decrease in		
971004	Other	Expense	market value.		Included
		Other Post Employment	Record expense for administration of other post employment		
971102	Other	Benefits	benefits (OPEB) investments by trustee.	3,600	Included
		Other Post Employment	Record other post employment benefits (OPEB) paid by		
971103	Other	Benefits	trustee.		Included
			May be used in lieu of the individual court specific codes within		
972399	Other	Non-Expert Witness	such corresponding expense detail code.		Included
973101	Debt Service	Debt Service	Expense for notes principal repayments.		Included
			May be used in lieu of the individual court specific codes within		
973199	Debt Service	Debt Service	such corresponding expense detail code.	324,871	Excluded

Total Expenditures

11,758,413

2013-14 Fund Sources Included and Excluded from WAFM Judicial Council Report - April 26, 2013

Table 1 displays funding associated or not associated with the workload measured by the Resource Assessment Model, as provided in page 7 of the April 26, 2013 report to the council from the Trial Court Budget Advisory Committee.

Table 1

Fund Category	Fund Source	Statewide Funding Amount for FY 13–14 Allocation
Current TCTF Program 45.10 Base Allocation	TCTF 45.10	1,694,659,219
Items subtracted from base allocation:		
Security Base (FY 10–11) Adjustment		(40,983,089)
SJO Adjustment (does not include compensation for AB 1058 commissioners)		(64,674,907)
Projected \$261M Reduction based on Governor Budget Proposal		(261,000,000)
Self-help	TCTF 45.10	2,500,000
Replacement of 2% Automation	TCTF 45.10	10,907,494
Automated Recordkeeping and Micrographics Distribution (FY 11–12)	TCTF 45.10	3,160,318
Benefits Base Allocation (FY 10–11 and FY 11–12)	General Fund	68,818,575
Benefits Base Allocation (Confirmed as of 1/31/2013)	General Fund	23,199,967
Benefits Base Allocation (Unconfirmed as 1/31/2013)	General Fund	483,174
Total		1,437,070,751

Table 2 displays funding not subject to allocation by WAFM, as provided in Appendix G of the April 26, 2013 report to the council from the Trial Court Budget Advisory Committee.

Funds Not Considered Part of Allocation Formula with Statewide Dollar Amounts for FY 13-14 Allocation (dollar amounts subject to change each fiscal year)

Table 2

Fund Description	Fund Source	Statewide Amount (For FY 13-14 Allocation Process)
Security BaseAdjustment	TCTF (45.10)	40,983,089
SJO Adjustment		
(AB 1058 Comissioner Compensation Removed)	TCTF (45.10)	64,674,907
Court-Appointed Counsel (including DRAFT)	TCTF (45.10)	105,283,990
Jury	TCTF (45.10)	14,931,289
Criminal Justice Realignment (one-time 12-13)	TCTF (45.10)	9,073,000
\$30 court reporter fee	TCTF (45.10)	-
Fees Retained by Courts	TCTF (45.10)	17,966,453
Civil Assessments	TCTF (45.10)	95,220,404
Children's waiting room	TCTF (45.10)	4,027,799
Telephonic Appearance	TCTF (45.10)	943,840
Court Interpreters	TCTF (45.45)	89,286,025
Civil Case Coordination	TCTF (45.55)	647,697
Family Law Information Centers	TCTF (45.55)	320,000
Model Self-Help	TCTF (45.55)	891,000
Complex Civil Litigation	IMF	4,001,010
Self-Help	IMF	5,005,141
AB 1058 Child Support Commissioner, Family Law Facilitator, and Staff	GF	48,474,319
Prisoners' Hearings	GF	1,408,137
Service of Process	GF	1,638,813
Interest Income	Local	3,568,960
Investment Income	Local	6,126
Local Fees	Local	60,024,529
Non-Fee Revenues	Local	17,670,937
Enhanced Collections	Local	49,202,024
County Program - Restricted	Local	24,847,948
Reimbursement Other	Local	27,415,279
Other Miscellaneous	Local	14,423,980
Total (not compared to funding need)		701,936,697

Estimated 2015-16 WAFM, Non-WAFM, and Undesignated Trial Court Allocations, Revenues, and Reimbursements

(subject to change each fiscal year, updated 11/20/2015)

	·	_	C4-44	
			Statewide Amount	
			(For 2015-16	
			Allocation	
#	Resource	Fund Source	Process)*	Notes
1	1. Allocation for Costs Captured by Workload Analysis (including	associated (OE&E)		
2	Current TCTF Program 45.10 Base Allocation	TCTF (45.10)	1,614,580,054	
3	Benefits Base Allocation from General Fund	GF (45.10)	68,818,601	
	SJO Adjustment (excludes compensation for AB 1058			
4	commissioners) (updated by Office of Court Research, 5/5/15)	TCTF (45.10)	(58,793,118)	
5	Security Base Adjustment (12-13 allocation)	TCTF (45.10)	(40,983,089)	
	\$90.6 Million in New Funding Offset by \$22.7 Million Revenue			
6	Shortfall	TCTF (45.10)	67,900,000	
7	\$38.8 Million in Benefits Cost Changes Funding	TCTF (45.10)	37,526,000	
8	Replacement of 2% Automation	TCTF (45.10)	10,907,494	
9	Self-Help	IMF (45.10)	5,000,000	
10	Prisoners' Hearings (15-16 appropriation)	GF (45.10)	2,728,000	
11	Self-Help	TCTF (45.10)	2,500,000	
	Automated Recordkeeping and Micrographics Distribution (14-15			
12	actual)	TCTF (45.10)	2,428,420	
13	Civil Case Coordination (15-16 appropriation)	TCTF (45.55.100)	832,000	
14	Cost of Homicide Trials (15-16 appropriation)	GF (45.10)	272,000	
	TCTF Program 30.15 Allocations for Phoenix, CCTC, ICMS, and			
15	V3 maintenance and operations (less charges to courts)	TCTF (30.15)	_	
16	Annualization of 2014-15 Reduction for SJO Conversions	TCTF (45.10)	(817,737)	
17	Reduction for SJO Conversions	TCTF (45.10)	(1,283,668)	
18	Subtotal, Allocation for Costs Captured by Workload Analysis		1,711,614,958	
17	·			

^{*}Other than IMF revenues and Program 30.15 administrative infrastructure costs, excludes stags trial court funding not distributed to courts (e.g., TCTF Program 45.25 (judges' compensation)) and one-time appropriations.

Estimated 2015-16 WAFM, Non-WAFM, and Undesignated Trial Court Allocations, Revenues, and Reimbursements

(subject to change each fiscal year, updated 11/20/2015)

			Statewide Amount	
			(For 2015-16	
			Allocation	
#	Resource	Fund Source	Process)*	Notes
20	2. Allocation or Reimbursement for Costs Not Captured by Worklo	ad Analysis		
21	Court-Appointed Counsel (including DRAFT)	TCTF (45.10)	114,700,000	
22	Court Interpreters	TCTF (45.45)	95,855,000	
	SJO Adjustment (excludes compensation for AB 1058			
23	commissioners) (updated by Office of Court Research, 5/5/15)	TCTF (45.10)	58,793,118	
	Estimated 2015-16 IMF revenue and tranfers less self-help (\$5M)			
24	allocation (15-16 IMF 1st Turn 10R less \$5 million)	IMF	61,424,000	
	AB 1058 Child Support Commissioner and Family Law Facilitator			
25	Program (14-15 actual)	GF (45.55.010)	51,908,478	
26	Security Base Adjustment (12-13 allocation)	TCTF (45.10)	40,983,089	
27	Jury	TCTF (45.10)	14,500,000	
28	Criminal Justice Realignment	TCTF (45.10)	9,223,000	
29	Children's Waiting Room (14-15 actual)	TCTF (45.10)	3,093,995	
30	Service of Process (14-15 actual)	GF (45.10)	1,865,131	
31	Enhanced Collections (14-15 Phoenix)	Local	50,544,725	
32	County Program - Restricted (14-15 Phoenix)	Local	19,060,891	
33	Reimbursement Other (14-15 Phoenix)	Local	18,904,863	
34	Other Miscellaneous (14-15 Phoenix)	Local	13,499,994	
	Subtotal, Allocation for Costs Not Captured by Workload Analysis		554,356,283	
50				

^{*}Other than IMF revenues and Program 30.15 administrative infrastructure costs, excludes stage trial court funding not distributed to courts (e.g., TCTF Program 45.25 (judges' compensation)) and one-time appropriations.

Estimated 2015-16 WAFM, Non-WAFM, and Undesignated Trial Court Allocations, Revenues, and Reimbursements

(subject to change each fiscal year, updated 11/20/2015)

			Statewide Amount (For 2015-16	
			Allocation	
#	Resource	Fund Source	Process)*	Notes
37	3. Items that Require Further Analysis			
38	Civil Assessments (14-15 actual)	TCTF (45.10)	111,945,969	
39	Fees Retained by Courts (14-15 actual)	TCTF (45.10)	23,004,303	
40	Replacement Screening Stations	TCTF (45.10)	2,286,000	
41	Parolee Reentry Court Program CDCR (14-15 actual)	GF (45.55.020)	1,972,809	
42	Collaborative Courts-Substance Abuse Programs (14-15 actual)	GF (45.55.020)	1,128,748	
43	Recidivism Reduction Program	RRF (45.10)	1,300,000	
44	Model Self-Help	TCTF (45.55.065)	957,000	
45	Telephonic Appearance	TCTF (45.10)	943,840	
46	Juvenile Dependency Counsel Collections (including DRAFT)	TCTF (45.10)	872,692	
47	California Justice Corps (14-15 actual)	GF (45.55.070)	858,520	
48	Federal Child Access and Visitation Grant Program (14-15 actual)	FTF (45.55.030)	770,000	
49	California Adult Reentry Drug Court Project (14-15 actual)	FTF (45.55.080)	479,299	
50	Family Law Information Centers	TCTF (45.55.095)	345,000	
51	Elder Abuse Filings	TCTF (45.10)	332,340	
	Local Fees (14-15 Phoenix)	Local	58,315,578	
53	Non-Fee Revenues (14-15 Phoenix)	Local	16,394,838	
54	Non-Judicial Council Grants (14-15 Phoenix)	Local	7,171,367	
55	Interest Income (14-15 Phoenix)	Local	2,077,860	
56	Escheatment (14-15 Phoenix)	Local	1,473,701	
57	Donations (14-15 Phoenix)	Local	390,375	
	Prior Year Revenue (14-15 Phoenix)	Local	(1,813,247)	
59	Sale of Fixed Assets (14-15 Phoenix)	Local	209,299	
	Investment Income (14-15 Phoenix)	Local	_	
	Subtotal, Items that Require Further Analysis		231,416,291	
62			2 405 205 522	
63	Grand Total		2,497,387,532	

^{*}Other than IMF revenues and Program 30.15 administrative infrastructure costs, excludes stags trial court funding not distributed to courts (e.g., TCTF Program 45.25 (judges' compensation)) and one-time appropriations.

FY 2014-15 Phoenix Expenses for WAFM OE&E Designations by Fund Summary

		Information Located in Attachment H				
Fund Description Amount		Revenue Source		Revenue Source - Designation		
Capital Projects	399,870.80	Debt service on Capital Outlay	N/A	Non-WAFM		
Enterprise	0.00					
General	310,454,602.57					
	295,257,045.31	Multiple Section 1 Items	2-7	WAFM		
	15,197,557.26	Mostly in Local Fees	52	Items that Requires Further Analysis		
			25, 33, 41-			
		Judcial Council Grants, Non-Judicial Council Grants, and	42, 44, 47-			
Grant	15,757,136.41	Reimbursement Other	50, 54	Items that Requires Further Analysis		
Internal Service	1,927.04	County Program - Restricted	32	Non-WAFM		
Proprietary	7,352,826.83	Trial court benefits	N/A	WAFM, but not OE&E		
Special Revenue	23,093,914.73					
		Enhanced Collections, County Program - Restricted,				
	18,236,361.97	Children's Waiting Room, and Reimbursement Other	29, 31-33	Non-WAFM		
		Replacement of 2% Automation and Automated				
	3,129,807.78	Recordkeeping and Micrographics	8, 12	WAFM		
	1,727,744.98	Mostly in Local Fees, Donations, Non-Fee Revenues	52-53, 56	Items that Requires Further Analysis		

357,060,278.38

298,386,853.09 **WAFM**

25,990,986.64 **Non-WAFM**

32,682,438.65 **Items that Require Further Analysis**

357,060,278.38 Total

FY 2014-15 Phoenix Expenses for WAFM OE&E Designations by Fund

		Ir	nformation	Located in Attachment H	
Fund and Subfund Description	Amount	Revenue Source		Revenue Source - Designation	Recommendation
Col. A	Col. B	Col. C	Col. D	Col. E	Col. F
Capital Projects	399,870.80				
Capital Fund	399,870.80	Debt service on Capital Outlay	N/A	Non-WAFM	Exclude
Enterprise	0.00	, ,	,		
EZ Legal	<u> </u>	Interest Income	55	Item that Requires Further Analysis	Include
General	310,454,602.57	Interest meeting		reem ende medames varener varangers	
General Fund - NTCTF		Mostly in Local Fees	52	Item that Requires Further Analysis	Include
General Fund - TCTF		Multiple Section 1 Items		WAFM	Include
Grant	15,757,136.41	Waterpie Section 1 items	2-1	WAIIVI	include
DOJ - Juvenile Drug Court	13,737,130.41				
	(0.00)	Non-Judicial Council Grants	E4	Itom that Paguiros Eurthor Analysis	Include
Implementation Drug Court Discr Crant	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Non-Judicial Council Grants		Item that Requires Further Analysis Item that Requires Further Analysis	Include
Drug Court Discr Grant	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		_		
Grant Arrest Policy	. , ,	Non-Judicial Council Grants	_	Item that Requires Further Analysis	Include
SAMHSA	135,193.29	Non-Judicial Council Grants	54	Item that Requires Further Analysis	Include
US DOJ - Local Law Enforcement					
Block Grant	1,506.15	Non-Judicial Council Grants		Item that Requires Further Analysis	Include
				Non-WAFM & Items that Require	
		\$9.1 million AB 1058, \$3.4 million		Further Analysis, AB 1058 adjustment	
Judicial Council Grant	12,479,655.77	other Judicial Council grants	47-50	separate	Include
Federal Grant	2,201,575.99	Non-Judicial Council Grants	54	Item that Requires Further Analysis	Include
State Grant	154,041.48	Non-Judicial Council Grants	54	Item that Requires Further Analysis	Include
		Non-Judicial Council Grants and			
Local Government Grant	548,055.22	Reimbursement Other	54 & 33	Item that Requires Further Analysis	Include
Private Grant	223,853.49	Non-Judicial Council Grants	54	Item that Requires Further Analysis	Include
Internal Service	1,927.04				
Internal Service	1.927.04	County Program - Restricted	32	Non-WAFM	Exclude
Proprietary	7,352,826.83				
Retiree Self Health Insurance	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Trial court benefits	N/A	WAFM, but not OE&E	Exclude
Self Health Insurance	7,087,458.97	Trial court benefits		WAFM, but not OE&E	Exclude
Special Revenue	23,093,914.73	That court serients	,,,	The injust not obtain	Exterace
2% Automation	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Replacement of 2% Automation	8	WAFM	Include
Alternative Defense Program		County Program - Restricted		Non-WAFM	Exclude
		Other Miscellaneous	_	Non-WAFM	Exclude
Children's Court Parking Fund			_		
Children's Waiting Room	3,810,596.39	Children's Waiting Room	29	Non-WAFM	Exclude
Court Reporter Salary Revolving					
Fund	<u> </u>	Local Fees		Item that Requires Further Analysis	Include
Court Warrant System	58,250.17			Item that Requires Further Analysis	Include
Dispute Resolution (DRPA)		County Program - Restricted		Non-WAFM	Exclude
Donations - NTCTF	72,497.32	Mostly in Donations	56	Item that Requires Further Analysis	Include
Enhanced Collections	7,475,355.66	Enhanced Collections		Non-WAFM	Exclude
Grand Jury	293,601.27	County Program - Restricted	32	Non-WAFM	Further Review
		County Program - Restricted &			
Other County Service	854,787.36	Reimbursement Other	32-33	Non-WAFM	Further Review
Pre-Trial Services	47,946.63	County Program - Restricted	32	Non-WAFM	Exclude
Service of Process	13,985.28	Mostly in Reimbursement Other	33	Non-WAFM	Exclude
Small Claims Advisory	41,732.28	County Program - Restricted	32	Non-WAFM	Exclude
Teen Court		Mostly Non-Fee Revenues	53	Item that Requires Further Analysis	Include
Traffic Violator Fee	243,743.47			Item that Requires Further Analysis	Include
Court Facilities Maintenance	213,713.47		32		
Fund	3,425,242.51	Reimbursement Other	33	Non-WAFM	Further Review
Special Revenue Fund-Other	1.340.396.54	Mostly in Local Fees and other items	52	Item that Requires Further Analysis	Include
	_,= :=,== ::				
Automated		Automated Recordkeeping and			

357,060,278.38

337,227,795.78 **WAFM**

7,352,826.83 **Non-WAFM**

12,479,655.77 Items that Require Further Analysis

357,060,278.38 Total

			NON-WAFM FU	NDS EXCLUDED
				Three-Year
		FY 2015-16	One-Year	Average
		WAFM OE&E	Average	(2012-13 to
#		(2011-12 Basis) ¹	(2014-15 Basis) ¹	2014-15 Basis) ¹
		Col. A	Col. D	Col. E
1	OE&E per FTE			
2	Cluster 1	27,928.05	31,839.85	29,625.12
3	Clusters 2 - 4	20,287.27	21,884.09	20,691.80
4	FY 2015-16 RAS FTE Need			
5	Cluster 1	266	266	266
6	Clusters 2 to 4	18,337	18,337	18,337
7	FY 2015-16 OE&E Need			
8	Cluster 1	7,428,861	8,469,401	7,880,282
9	Clusters 2 to 4	372,007,614	401,288,582	379,425,537
10	Change from FY 2015-16 OE&E		30,321,508	7,869,344
11	Cluster 1	-	1,040,540	451,421
12	Clusters 2 to 4	-	29,280,968	7,417,923

¹ Basis refers to the fiscal year or years courts' 4th quarter Quarterly Financial Statement and Schedule 7A data was used.