



Judicial Council of California

Meeting Agenda

Judicial Council

To view live meeting
visit our website:
www.courts.ca.gov on
December 2, 2022

Meeting materials
are available through
the hyperlinks in
this document.

*Open to the Public Unless Indicated as Closed
(Cal. Rules of Court, rule 10.6(a))*

*Requests for ADA accommodation should be directed to
JCCAccessCoordinator@jud.ca.gov*

Friday, December 2, 2022

9:10 AM

San Francisco

CLOSED SESSION (RULE 10.6(b))—PLANNING, PERSONNEL, AND DISCUSSION PROTECTED BY THE ATTORNEY-CLIENT PRIVILEGE

Session: 8:30 – 8:50 a.m.

OPEN SESSION (RULE 10.6(a)) — MEETING AGENDA

A link to the live videostream of the meeting will be available in the Meeting Information Center at the start of the open session. In the event the preceding closed session adjourns late, the start time of the public session may be delayed.

Open Session Begins: 9:10 a.m.

Call to Order

10 minutes

Public Comment

The Judicial Council welcomes public comment on general matters of judicial administration. Written comments are encouraged in advance of the meeting for specific agenda items so council members can consider them prior to the council meeting.

For more information about meeting attendance and public comment procedures, visit:

<http://www.courts.ca.gov/28045.htm>

Submit advance requests to speak and written comments for this meeting by 1:00 p.m. on
Wednesday, November 30.

Submit advance requests to speak, written comments, or questions by email to: judicialcouncil@jud.ca.gov

Approval of Minutes

[22-051](#) **Minutes of September 20, 2022, Judicial Council Meeting**

Chief Justice's Report

20 minutes

Administrative Director's Report

[22-174](#) **Administrative Director's Report**

20 minutes

Judicial Council Internal Committee Presentations

[22-144](#) **Written Reports**

CONSENT AGENDA

5 minutes

A council member may request an item be moved from the Consent Agenda to the Discussion Agenda. Please notify Amber Barnett at 916-263-1398 at least 48 hours before the meeting.

[22-193](#) **Allocations and Reimbursements to Trial Courts | Model Self-Help Pilot Program (Action Required)**

Summary: The Model Self-Help Pilot Program has been operating in four trial courts since 2002. A fifth court operating a technological solutions project decided not to continue its participation during the 2019-20 program year. The Trial Court Budget Advisory Committee recommended and the Judicial Council approved allowing all courts to apply for funds to expand their use of technology in providing self-help assistance as part of a consolidated technology funding application process. This allows a wider group of courts to expand services using technology. This report makes recommendations for funding for 2022-23.

[22-178](#) **Court Facilities | Capital Program Management Policy (Action Required)**

Summary: The Court Facilities Advisory Committee recommends adoption of the *Capital Program Management Policy*. This policy updates and replaces the Judicial Council's current policy for its capital outlay program, which is the *Judicial Branch Capital Program Management Manual*. This policy guides the planning, acquisition, and construction of appellate and trial court facilities, so that all projects can be managed with a common strategy and approach and common systems and processes.

[22-197](#)**Judicial Council | 2022 Legislative Policy Summary (Action Required)****Summary:**

The Legislation Committee recommends adopting the updated *2022 Legislative Policy Summary: Historical Summary of Legislative Activity*, reflecting actions through the 2021-22 legislative session. Adoption of this updated summary of positions taken on court-related legislation will assist the council in making decisions about future legislation, consistent with the judicial branch's strategic plan goals.

[22-189](#)**Judicial Council-Sponsored Legislation (Court Facilities) | Disposition of Courthouses in Plumas, Sacramento, and Stanislaus Counties (Action Required)****Summary:**

Both the Plumas/Sierra Regional Courthouse in Plumas County (closed in November 2014) and the Ceres Superior Court in Stanislaus County (closed in March 2009) have been permanently closed by their respective courts and are unsuitable to the needs of the judicial branch. Additionally, both the Gordon D. Schaber Sacramento County Courthouse (Schaber Courthouse) in Sacramento County and the Modesto Main Courthouse / Hall of Records in Stanislaus County will be permanently closed as a result of the construction of their replacement facilities and similarly will be unsuitable to the needs of the judicial branch. To eliminate the Judicial Council's continuing liability and expense in holding permanently closed court facilities and to realize the value of these assets in fair market value dispositions, the Legislation Committee and the Executive and Planning Committee, along with its Real Estate Policies Subcommittee, recommend sponsoring legislation to authorize the disposition of these facilities as nonsurplus property and directing council staff to take all actions necessary to dispose of them.

[22-186](#)**Jury Instructions | Civil Jury Instructions (Release 42) (Action Requested)****Summary:**

The Advisory Committee on Civil Jury Instructions recommends approval of new and revised civil jury instructions prepared by the committee. Among other things, these changes bring the instructions up to date with developments in the law over the previous six months and add new instructions in the Labor Code Actions series. Upon Judicial Council approval, the instructions will be published in the official 2023 edition of the *Judicial Council of California Civil Jury Instructions (CACI)*.

[22-039](#)**Language Access Plan | Signage and Technology Grant Program, Fiscal Year 2022-23: Requests and Proposed Allocations (Action Required)****Summary:**

The Budget Act of 2018 (Stats. 2018, ch. 29) included \$2.55 million in ongoing funding for language access signage and technology infrastructure support and equipment needs for the trial courts and the Judicial Council. The council approved a grant program to disburse this funding to the trial courts on an annual basis. For Cycle

4 (fiscal year 2022-23), 19 courts applied for signage and technology needs. All requested court projects can be funded, and remaining funding will also support 7 additional courts that applied for grants under different but related grant opportunities. The Advisory Committee on Providing Access and Fairness and the Information Technology Advisory Committee recommend approving the proposed grant award recommendations to expand language access for court users.

[22-172](#)**Rules and Forms | Criminal Law: Definition of *Firearm* (Action Required)****Summary:**

The Criminal Law Advisory Committee recommends revisions to two optional Judicial Council plea forms and an optional Judicial Council firearm relinquishment findings form to reflect statutory changes to the definition of *firearm* in Penal Code section 16520(b) and make minor, nonsubstantive technical changes. The committee also recommends additional revisions to one of the plea forms to reflect accurate mandatory minimum probation terms.

[22-158](#)**Rules and Forms | Criminal Procedure: Criminal Protective Orders and Firearm Relinquishment Order (Action Required)****Summary:**

The Criminal Law Advisory Committee recommends revisions to two mandatory Judicial Council criminal protective orders to reflect statutory changes to Family Code section 6320 regarding enjoined actions and Penal Code section 15420(b) expanding the definition of a firearm. The committee also recommends revisions to a mandatory Judicial Council order to surrender firearms in a domestic violence criminal case to reflect the statutory changes expanding the definition of a firearm. The revisions to the forms also add a nonbinary gender option, improve consistency with the data fields in the California Restraining and Protective Order System, clarify protective order expiration dates, add additional provisions for protected persons from Penal Code section 136.2, and make changes to the forms' content, format, instructions, and advisements to make them easier to understand and complete.

[22-190](#)**Rules and Forms | Criminal Procedure: Request for Dismissal of Conviction for Violation of Penal Code Section 653.22 (Action Required)****Summary:**

The Criminal Law Advisory Committee recommends two new optional forms relating to resentencing, dismissal, and sealing of Penal Code section 653.22 convictions. Senate Bill 357 (Stats. 2022, ch. 86), effective January 1, 2023, repeals Penal Code section 653.22 (loitering with the intent to commit prostitution) and adds Penal Code section 653.29, which outlines the process for resentencing, dismissal, and sealing of section 653.22 convictions. Penal Code section 653.29(f) specifically instructs the Judicial Council to “promulgate and make available all necessary forms to enable the filing of petitions and applications provided in this section.” The proposal includes forms for a request for relief and a court order granting or denying relief.

[22-194](#)**Rules and Forms | Name- and Gender- Change Form Revisions to Implement Assembly Bill 218 and Assembly Bill 421 (Action Required)****Summary:**

The Civil and Small Claims Advisory Committee recommends changes to 21 forms to implement statutory changes in Assembly Bill 218 (Stats. 2021, ch. 577) and Assembly Bill 421 (Stats. 2022, ch. 40). Together, these bills (1) authorize petitions for recognition of change of gender to be joined with requests to have various administrative records issued to reflect the petitioner's changed gender; (2) allow non-California residents to petition to have their names and gender changed on certain administrative records issued in California; (3) add a new category of petitioners who may bring name- or gender-change petitions on behalf of minors; and (4) change when a minor's grandparents must receive notice of a petition to recognize a change in the minor's gender. The proposed forms address these statutory changes.

[22-196](#)**Rules and Forms | Protective Orders: Civil Protective Order Forms Implementing Assembly Bill 1621 (Action Required)****Summary:**

The Civil and Small Claims Advisory Committee recommends revisions of 30 Judicial Council civil restraining order forms to implement statutory changes in Assembly Bill 1621. The new law addresses "ghost guns," prohibiting persons restrained under civil restraining orders from possessing firearm parts (in addition to already prohibited firearms). The proposal incorporates these new statutory provisions into the council's civil restraining order forms and includes updated language concerning interpreters, disability accommodation, and priority of enforcement on the proposed forms.

[22-195](#)**Rules and Forms | Protective Orders: Elder Abuse Form Updates to Implement Legislation (Action Required)****Summary:**

The Civil and Small Claims Advisory Committee recommends revisions of nine forms to implement statutory changes in Assembly Bill 1243 and Assembly Bill 1621. AB 1243 allows courts to issue findings related to specific debts incurred as the result of financial abuse of an elder or dependent adult. AB 1621 prohibits persons restrained under elder or dependent adult abuse restraining orders from possessing firearm parts (in addition to already prohibited firearms). The proposal incorporates these new statutory provisions into the council's elder abuse forms and includes updated language concerning interpreters, disability accommodation, and priority of enforcement on the proposed forms.

[22-199](#)**Rules and Forms | Civil Practice and Procedure: Enforcement of Judgment Form Revisions (Action Required)****Summary:**

The Civil and Small Claims Advisory Committee recommends changes to two forms related to renewals of judgments, effective January 1, 2023, to implement statutory changes in Senate Bill 1200 (Stats. 2022, ch. 883). In relevant part, this bill provides that a judgment creditor may only renew the period of enforceability of a money judgment one time, for 5 years--rather than multiple times, for 10 years each--where the judgment is on a claim against a natural person (1) related to medical expenses if

the principal amount of the money judgment remaining unsatisfied is under \$200,000, or (2) related to personal debt if the principal amount of the money judgment remaining unsatisfied is under \$50,000. The proposed revisions address these statutory changes. Because the council forms will not conform with the law as of January 1 if not revised, the committee is recommending that the forms be approved by that date, prior to public comment, and be circulated later.

[22-202](#)**Rules and Forms | Telephone Appearances: Conforming Rules of Court to Senate Bill 233 (Action Required)****Summary:**

In consultation with the chairs of the Judicial Council's internal committees and representative members of the Chief Justice's Ad Hoc Workgroup on Post-Pandemic Initiatives, Judicial Council staff recommend amending three rules of court to conform them to statutory changes that will become effective January 1, 2023. Senate Bill 233 (Stats. 2022, ch. 979) repeals statutes that, among other provisions, required the Judicial Council to set specified uniform, statewide fees for telephone appearances and enter into one or more master agreements with a vendor to provide telephone appearances. The proposed amendments to the rules would make them consistent with these statutory changes and will also maintain legal authority for telephone appearance fees that do not to exceed the cost of providing this service.

[22-050](#)**Trial Courts | Trial Court Trust Fund Funds Held on Behalf of the Trial Courts (Action Required)****Summary:**

The Fiscal Planning Subcommittee of the Trial Court Budget Advisory Committee recommends 12 new and 12 amended Trial Court Trust Fund funds to be held on behalf of the trial courts' requests totaling \$7.9 million from 14 trial courts. Under the Judicial Council-adopted process, a court may request reduced funding as a result of the court exceeding the 3 percent fund balance cap, to be retained in the Trial Court Trust Fund for the benefit of that court.

[22-200](#)**Uniform Bail and Penalty Schedules | 2023 Edition for Traffic, Boating, Forestry, Fish and Game, Public Utilities, Parks and Recreation, and Business Licensing (Action Required)****Summary:**

The Traffic Advisory Committee recommends revisions to *Uniform Bail and Penalty Schedules, 2023 Edition: Traffic, Boating, Forestry, Fish and Game, Public Utilities, Parks and Recreation, Business Licensing* (UBPS). Vehicle Code section 40310 mandates that the Judicial Council annually adopt a uniform traffic penalty schedule for all nonparking Vehicle Code infractions. Additionally, Penal Code section 1269b and rule 4.102 of the California Rules of Court require all trial courts annually to revise and adopt a countywide schedule of penalties for all felony, misdemeanor, and infraction offenses, except Vehicle Code infractions. Rule 4.102 additionally provides that counties consider the UBPS approved by the Judicial Council. Unlike for traffic infractions, the schedules in the proposed UBPS for infractions and misdemeanors under boating, forestry, fish and game, public utilities, parks and recreation, and business licensing laws are *advisory*. These schedules are

intended to provide guidance and uniformity to the trial courts for their schedules for nontraffic misdemeanors and infractions.

DISCUSSION AGENDA

[22-191](#)

Juvenile Law | Court Adoption and Permanency Month (No Action Required)

Summary:

Since 1999, the Judicial Council has recognized the ongoing efforts of California's juvenile courts and their justice partners to provide children and families with access to fair, understandable judicial proceedings leading to timely, well-informed, and just permanency outcomes. On October 24, 2022, Chief Justice Tani Cantil-Sakauye proclaimed November 2022 to be Court Adoption and Permanency Month, at the request of the Family and Juvenile Law Advisory Committee. This resolution gave courts the opportunity to raise community awareness of the importance of finding safe, stable, and permanent homes for every child or youth in foster care.

Speakers:

Hon. Amy M. Pellman, Cochair, Family and Juvenile Law Advisory Committee
Adoptive Family

15 minutes

[22-129](#)

Report to the Legislature | Code of Civil Procedure Section 367.9: Report to the Legislature and Governor (Remote Proceedings) (Action Required)

Summary:

Assembly Bill 177 (Stats. 2021, ch. 257), a Public Safety Trailer Bill enacted in 2021, added section 367.9 to the Code of Civil Procedure, requiring the Judicial Council to convene a working group for the purpose of recommending a statewide framework for remote civil court proceedings that addresses equal and fair access to justice, and to submit a report with the working group's recommendations to the Legislature and the Governor by January 1, 2023. The law mandates that the composition of the working group include judges, court executive officers, attorneys, court reporters, court interpreters, legal aid organizations, and court-appointed dependency counsel. The bill further specifies that the working group consider and make recommendations regarding (1) court reporter availability and future workforce, (2) statewide procedural and technical guidelines to ensure court users receive the best possible levels of service and access, (3) case types and proceeding types for which remote proceedings are appropriate, (4) protocols for ensuring court users fully understand their options for accessing the court remotely, and (5) whether changes are needed to existing laws protecting the accuracy of the official verbatim record and preserving parties' rights to appeal. In response, the Judicial Council convened the Code of Civil Procedure Section 367.9 Working Group to undertake the requirements of AB 177. The attached report, *Code of Civil Procedure Section 367.9: Report to the Legislature and Governor*, fulfills the requirements of that statute.

Speakers:

Hon. Marsha G. Slough, Chair, Code of Civil Procedure Section 367.9 Working Group
Ms. Leah Rose-Goodwin, Judicial Council

10 minutes

[22-198](#)**Judicial Branch Technology | Strategic Plan for Technology 2023-2026 (Action Required)****Summary:**

The Technology Committee and the Strategic Plan Update Workstream appointed by the committee recommend that the Judicial Council adopt the *Strategic Plan for Technology 2023-2026*. The updated plan supersedes the 2019-2022 plan and was developed by analyzing the previous judicial branch technology goals, business drivers, and objectives, as well as by evaluating the benefits and outcomes, and was subsequently refined following circulation for branch and public comment. This plan provides a comprehensive and cohesive technology strategy, with clear, measurable goals and objectives at the branch level.

Speakers:

Hon. Kyle S. Brodie, Chair, Technology Committee
Mr. Jason Galkin, Court Executive Officer, Superior Court of Nevada County

15 minutes

[22-192](#)**Judicial Branch | Report and Recommendations from 2021 Judicial Diversity Summit (Action Required)****Summary:**

The Advisory Committee on Providing Access and Fairness presents the final report and recommendations from the 2021 Judicial Diversity Summit, “Stronger Together.” The committee recommends that the Judicial Council distribute the summit report to the Trial Court Presiding Judges Advisory Committee and the Court Executives Advisory Committee and refer four of the summit recommendations to appropriate advisory committees and council staff for action. The summit was sponsored by the Judicial Council, the California Judges Association, and the California Lawyers Association in collaboration with 15 affinity judicial and bar associations. It was the fourth statewide Judicial Diversity Summit to review and analyze efforts to foster greater diversity throughout California’s judiciary, and the first summit to be held remotely due to the ongoing COVID-19 pandemic. The 2021 summit programs successfully reached over 1,700 registrants, including commissioners, judges, justices, and attorneys.

Speakers:

Hon. Kevin C. Brazile, Cochair, Advisory Committee on Providing Access and Fairness
Hon. Victor A. Rodriguez, Cochair, Advisory Committee on Providing Access and Fairness
Hon. Brenda F. Harbin-Forte (Ret.), Judge, Superior Court of Alameda County

30 minutes

[22-188](#)**Judicial Branch Administration | Judicial Branch Strategic Plan Amendments (Action Required)****Summary:**

The *Strategic Plan for California's Judicial Branch* sets forth the seven principal goals for guiding and improving the statewide administration of justice. Recent tragedies and events have reenergized racial and social justice reform movements and motivated action across government and in communities to affirm the core values of democracy and ensure fair and equitable treatment for all. As the judicial branch continues working to advance access, fairness, and diversity in the justice system, these events and the related public discourse on the importance of diversity (representation and responsiveness to individual and group differences) and inclusion (leveraging diversity to foster an environment of respect and engagement) have prompted reflection on how these fundamental values are articulated in the branch's strategic plan.

The Judicial Council has a timely opportunity to elevate and further define the strategic plan's focus on access, fairness, and diversity by adding specific language on inclusion to Goal I and emphasizing inclusion within the context of other goals in the plan. The chairs of the Judicial Council's internal committees propose several amendments with clarifying language to make explicit the branch's commitment to leveraging diversity to foster an inclusive court system in which all individuals are--and feel--respected and engaged, and their contributions are valued.

Speakers:

Hon. Marsha G. Slough, Chair, Executive and Planning Committee

Hon. Kevin C. Brazile, Cochair, Advisory Committee on Providing Access and Fairness

Hon. Victor A. Rodriguez, Cochair, Advisory Committee on Providing Access and Fairness

20 minutes

INFORMATION ONLY ITEMS (NO ACTION REQUIRED)[22-049](#)**Court Facilities | Lease-Revenue Bond Issuance, Fall 2021 and Spring 2022****Summary:**

The Administrative Director presents this report on actions taken in connection with lease-revenue bonds issued by the State Public Works Board in fall 2021 and spring 2022, for the financing of court facilities projects as authorized and directed by the Judicial Council. New lease-revenue bonds were issued for one capital outlay project, refunding of existing bonds occurred for three capital outlay projects, and interim loans were issued for five capital outlay projects in construction.

[22-187](#)**Court Security | Trial Court Screening Equipment Replacement for Fiscal Year 2021-22****Summary:**

Each year, the Administrative Director approves the list of entrance screening equipment to be funded that year through the Screening Equipment Replacement Program, which provides funding from the Trial Court Trust Fund to replace outdated or malfunctioning screening equipment in the trial courts. This report updates the council on the equipment that was replaced in fiscal year 2021-22 using that funding.

[22-136](#)**Judicial Branch Education | Final Report on the 2020-2022 Education Plan****Summary:**

The Center for Judicial Education and Research (CJER) Advisory Committee has completed its *2020-2022 Education Plan Final Report* for the judicial branch. The education plan, developed by the CJER Advisory Committee for all the judicial branch audiences that CJER serves, contains training and education programs and products that enable those audiences to fulfill the education requirements and expectations outlined in rules 10.451-10.491 of the California Rules of Court. This final report provides an overview of the education plan's execution.

[22-145](#)**Judicial Council Budget | Adjustments to Judicial Council-Approved 2021-22 Allocations from the State Trial Court Improvement and Modernization Fund and Trial Court Trust Fund****Summary:**

The Judicial Council delegated limited authority to the Administrative Director to transfer funding allocations approved by the council from one program or project to another from specified funds to address unanticipated needs and contingencies. Any transfers made under the Administrative Director's delegated authority are reported to the Judicial Council after the end of the fiscal year in which they occur, including the dollar amount of each allocation adjustment and the rationale for such transfers. This report provides the details of the funding transfers that took place in 2021-22 from the State Trial Court Improvement and Modernization Fund and the Trial Court Trust Fund.

[22-184](#)**Judicial Workload Assessment | 2022 Update of the Judicial Needs Assessment****Summary:**

The *Need for New Judgeships in the Superior Courts: 2022 Update of the Judicial Needs Assessment*, a report to the Legislature required by Government Code section 69614(c)(1), shows that 98 new judicial officers are needed based on workload. This analysis is based on judicial caseweights that were established in 2019. The mandated report also includes information about the conversion of additional subordinate judicial officers to fulfill the reporting requirement of Government Code section 69614(c)(3).

[22-047](#)**Report to the Legislature | Allocations and Reimbursements to the Trial Courts in 2021-22****Summary:**

Pursuant to Government Code section 77202.5(a), the Judicial Council is required to submit a report on allocations and reimbursements to the trial courts to the Senate Committee on Budget and Fiscal Review and Judiciary, and the Assembly Committee on Budget and Judiciary, by September 30 of each year. On September 27, 2022, the Judicial Council's Budget Services staff submitted the *2021-22 Allocations and Reimbursements to the Trial Courts* report.

[22-048](#)**Report to the Legislature | Allocation of the State-Level Reserve in the Trial Court Trust Fund in 2021-22****Summary:**

Pursuant to Government Code section 68502.5(c)(2)(C), the Judicial Council is required to submit a report accounting for all trial court requests and allocations from the authorized reserve in the Trial Court Trust Fund for unforeseen emergencies to the Legislature and the Department of Finance by October 1 of each year. On September 27, 2022, Judicial Council staff submitted the *Report of Allocation of the State-Level Reserve in the Trial Court Trust Fund in 2021-22*.

[22-091](#)**Report to the Legislature | Annual Report on Contracts for the Trial Courts for the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2022****Summary:**

Section 19209 of the Public Contract Code (PCC) and the *Judicial Branch Contracting Manual* require that the Judicial Council submit a report annually to the Joint Legislative Budget Committee and the State Auditor to provide information related to contracts and payments for the trial courts. The report includes a list of vendors and contractors as required by section 19209(b) of the PCC. The report further identifies the amounts of payments to the contractors and vendors, the types of services and goods provided, and the trial courts with which the contractors and vendors contracted to provide those goods and services. The report summary also includes a list of all amended contracts as required by section 19209(c) of the PCC, identifying the vendors, contractors, and types of services and goods provided under the contract, including any changes to the contract value, type of services or goods, or contract. Therefore, in compliance with this PCC mandate, Judicial Council staff submitted this report on September 30, 2022.

[22-183](#)**Report to the Legislature | Measures to Promote Fair and Efficient Administration of Justice****Summary:**

The Judicial Council must adopt and annually report on judicial administration standards and measures that promote the fair and efficient administration of justice per Government Code section 77001.5. The attached report, *Standards and Measures That Promote the Fair and Efficient Administration of Justice*, reports on judicial branch progress in the following areas: (1) providing equal access to courts and respectful treatment for all court participants; (2) case processing, including the efficient use of judicial resources; and (3) general court administration.

[22-182](#)**Report to the Legislature | Report on Remote Civil Proceedings****Summary:**

Assembly Bill 177 (Stats. 2021, ch. 257, § 4) requires the Judicial Council to submit a report to the Legislature and the Governor by January 1, 2023, on the use of remote technology in civil actions by the trial courts. The report provides county-specific data that includes (1) the number of proceedings conducted with the use of remote technology, (2) technology issues affecting remote proceedings, (3) relevant expenditure information related to remote proceedings, (4) the impact of remote proceedings on court users' ability to access the courts, (5) the impact of the use of remote proceedings on case backlogs as a result of the COVID-19 pandemic, (6) information regarding court workers' and court users' experiences using remote technology, and (7) other information necessary to evaluate the use of remote proceedings by the courts. The attached report fulfills these Legislative reporting requirements.

[22-185](#)**Trial Courts | Filings Adjustment for 2022 Judicial Needs Assessment (JNA) Update****Summary:**

The biennial Judicial Needs Assessment (JNA) model uses three-year average filings to estimate judicial workload and to assess judicial need in the trial courts. The 2022 JNA update (due November 2022) includes filings for fiscal years 2018-19, 2019-20, and 2020-21. The FY 2019-20 filings include four months (March to June 2020) when many courts' operations were constrained by shelter-in-place orders and physical distancing protocols. The filings count for those months did not reflect actual court workload. The Workload Assessment Advisory Committee (WAAC) has adopted a modification to the filings data for the 2022 JNA update. This modification is consistent with existing policy and is being shared with the Judicial Council as an informational item only.

Circulating Orders[22-177](#)**Circulating Orders since the last business meeting.****Appointment Orders**[22-201](#)**Appointment Orders since the last business meeting.****Adjournment**