

Judicial Council of California

455 Golden Gate Avenue · San Francisco, California 94102-3688 www.courts.ca.gov

REPORT TO THE JUDICIAL COUNCIL

Item No.: 24-041
For business meeting on November 15, 2024

Title

Trial Courts: Trial Court Trust Fund Funds Held on Behalf of the Trial Courts for 2023– 24

Rules, Forms, Standards, or Statutes Affected None

Recommended by

Fiscal Planning Subcommittee of the Trial Court Budget Advisory Committee Hon. Jonathan B. Conklin, Chair

Report Type

Action Required

Effective Date

November 15, 2024

Date of Report

October 22, 2024

Contact

Rose Lane, 916-643-6926 rosemary.lane@jud.ca.gov

Executive Summary

The Fiscal Planning Subcommittee of the Trial Court Budget Advisory Committee recommends approval of requests from trial courts for the 2023–24 annual submission cycle for the Trial Court Trust Fund Funds Held on Behalf of the Trial Courts program. Under the Judicial Council's approved process, a court may request that reduced allocations related to the fund balance cap be retained in the Trial Court Trust Fund for the benefit of that court.

Recommendation

The Fiscal Planning Subcommittee recommends that the Judicial Council, effective November 15, 2024:

- 1. Approve a total of 39 new funding requests, totaling \$20.8 million, of which \$19.1 million is from fiscal year 2023–24 and \$1.7 million is from prior fiscal years; and
- 2. Approve a total of 32 amended funding requests for 2023–24 totaling \$28.3 million, of which \$4.9 million is from fiscal year 2023–24 and \$23 million is from prior fiscal years.

The new and amended requests of these courts are included as Attachments A and B respectively to this report.

Relevant Previous Council Action

At its business meeting on April 15, 2016, the Judicial Council adopted requirements and procedures (Judicial Council—approved *Process, Criteria, and Required Information for Trial Court Trust Fund Fund Balance Held on Behalf of the Courts*) for the Funds Held on Behalf (FHOB) of the trial courts program. Under this policy, courts can request that a reduction in their Trial Court Trust Fund (TCTF) allocations be retained in the TCTF as restricted fund balance for the benefit of those courts. The funds are then allocated back to the courts by the Judicial Council for the purposes stated in their approved requests. Allowable FHOB requests can include but are not limited to the following:

- Projects that extend beyond the original planned three-year process such as delayed deployment of information systems;
- Technology improvements or infrastructure such as a new case management system;
- Facilities maintenance or repair allowed under rule 10.810 of the California Rules of Court;
- Court efficiencies such as online and smart forms for court users; and
- Other court infrastructure projects such as vehicle or copy machine replacement.

The FHOB process is intended only for expenditures that cannot be funded by a court's annual budget or three-year encumbrance term and that require multiyear savings to implement. The process also requires reporting on the use of the funds.¹

¹ Judicial Council of Cal., Advisory Com. Rep., *Trial Court Allocations: Trial Court Reserves Held in the Trial Court Trust Fund* (Mar. 25, 2016), https://jcc.legistar.com/View.ashx?M=F&ID=4378277&GUID=57D6B686-EA95-497E-9A07-226CA724ADCB; Judicial Council of Cal., mins. (Apr. 15, 2016), item 16-055, https://jcc.legistar.com/View.ashx?M=M&ID=463457&GUID=194A3350-D97F-452B-ACF4-1EBE6C105CCA.

Requests approved by the council since implementation of the FHOB program are shown in the table below:

Fund Balance Fiscal Year	No. of Trial Courts	Amount (in millions)
2015–16	15	\$8.3
2016–17	14	\$6.9
2017–18	11	\$1.6
2018–19	10	\$6.4
2019–20	15	\$6.1
2020–21	14	\$7.4
2021–22	20	\$12.4
2022–23	24	\$27.2
2023–24	27	\$24.0

At its business meeting on January 17, 2020, the Judicial Council adopted revisions to the FHOB policy. These revisions included a streamlined submission schedule, changing the recipient of the request from the Judicial Council's Administrative Director to the director of Budget Services, and amendments to better align with the timing of year-end closing for the courts, trial court allocation offsets, and requests to amend previously approved requests.²

On May 11, 2022, the Judicial Council approved the Trial Court Budget Advisory Committee's (TCBAC) additional recommendation to update the policy. This specific update changed the requirement that courts report to the TCBAC within 90 days of completion of a project from a quarterly to an annual reporting of all projects or planned expenditures completed in a fiscal year, including status updates on projects or planned expenditures not yet completed.³ Judicial Council Budget Services staff prepares the annual FHOB report to the TCBAC in consultation with participating trial courts.

At its business meeting on March 15, 2024, the Judicial Council adopted additional revisions to the policy (Attachment C), including (1) newly defined criteria for new and amended requests, (2) a streamlined submission process with one annual deadline, and (3) implementation of a

² Judicial Council of Cal., Advisory Com. Rep., *Updates to the Funds Held on Behalf of the Trial Courts Policy* (Dec. 20, 2020), *https://jcc.legistar.com/View.ashx?M=F&ID=7977186&GUID=6B519461-BD50-4F19-9B80-CD40F8FD64FE*; Judicial Council of Cal., mins. (Jan. 17, 2020), item 20-026, *https://jcc.legistar.com/View.ashx?M=M&ID=711572&GUID=AC46528C-6E37-406A-A1CE-B41CC33E29EB*.

³ Judicial Council of Cal., Advisory Com. Rep., *Trial Court Budget: Funds Held on Behalf of the Trial Courts Reporting Frequency* (Apr. 22, 2022), *https://jcc.legistar.com/View.ashx?M=F&ID=10830769&GUID=305F68B7-26CF-4E57-B29D-BD15D8B1CB6D*; Judicial Council of Cal., mins. (May 10, 2022), item 22-027, https://jcc.legistar.com/View.ashx?M=M&ID=869099&GUID=990E26C2-797D-4F24-BAE0-4945FB131549.

reimbursement model to distribute funding to the participating trial courts. The revisions to the policy provide increased transparency and accountability of the program and are summarized below:

- Clarify the definitions of new and amended requests to improve transparency in the use of the funding and to streamline the tracking and reporting of approved projects;
- Reduce the number of submission cycles from three to one per year to streamline project tracking and reporting, reduce the number of committee meetings, and align with the year-end closing for court financial records;
- Ensure that courts send a representative to the Fiscal Planning Subcommittee meetings to address any questions from the members regarding requests under consideration;
- Simplify the application form to make it easier to complete and review and to ensure it includes the relevant project and fiscal information; and
- Implement a reimbursement model to properly structure the program so that requested funds are held in the TCTF on behalf of the requesting courts and distributed to the courts for actual reported expenditures.⁴

Analysis/Rationale

The TCTF FHOB of the trial courts process allows the courts to meet contractual obligations and fund necessary court projects or purchases, such as technology improvements or infrastructure, rule 10.810–allowable facilities maintenance and repair, court efficiency projects, and other court projects that will extend beyond the standard three-year contract encumbrance period.

Under Government Code section 77203, before June 30, 2014, a trial court could carry over all unexpended funds from the court's operating budget from the prior fiscal year. Beginning June 30, 2014, and concluding June 30, 2019, a trial court could carry over unexpended funds in an amount not to exceed 1 percent of the court's operating budget from the prior fiscal year. Beginning June 30, 2020, a trial court may carry over unexpended funds in an amount not to exceed 3 percent of the court's operating budget from the prior fiscal year. ⁵

Government Code section 68502.5(c)(2)(A) requires the Judicial Council to approve preliminary allocations to the trial courts in July of each fiscal year. Each January, after review of available trial court reserves as of June 30 of the prior fiscal year, the Judicial Council is required to

⁴ Judicial Council of Cal., Advisory Com. Rep., *Trial Court Budget: Update to the Funds Held on Behalf of the Trial Courts Policy* (Feb. 22, 2024), https://jcc.legistar.com/View.ashx?M=F&ID=12700382&GUID=9C3189C0-C9AA-4818-BB78-3807018030F0.

⁵ Added as part of Senate Bill 1021 (Stats. 2012, ch. 41), later amended by Senate Bill 95 (Stats. 2019, ch. 36, § 2), effective June 27, 2019.

finalize allocations to the courts. Each court's final allocation must be offset by the amount of reserves in excess of the 3 percent cap as described above.

Policy implications

These recommendations are consistent with current law and Judicial Council policy.

Comments

This proposal was not circulated for public comment. However, the recommendations were considered at meetings that were open to the public, and no public comments were received.

Alternatives considered

Alternatives considered by the trial courts include using other resources from their operating budgets, postponing implementation of the requested actions, or reducing services to the public, all of which have an impact on core operations and service levels.

Fiscal and Operational Impacts

Approval of the requests does not result in additional costs to the trial courts. Judicial Council staff monitor and track the FHOB requests and allocate approved funding to the courts. If the requests are not approved, the courts' spending plans and operations will be impacted, which may affect their ability to effectively serve the public and support access to justice.

Attachments and Links

- 1. Attachment A: Summary and Detail of Trial Court Trust Fund Funds Held on Behalf of the Trial Courts Applications for New Requests for 2023–24
- 2. Attachment B: Summary and Detail of Trial Court Trust Fund Funds Held on Behalf of the Trial Courts Applications for Amended Requests for 2023–24
- 3. Attachment C: Judicial Council—approved *Process, Criteria, and Required Information for Trial Court Trust Fund Fund Balance Held on Behalf of the Courts*

Court	Project Total	Project Summary - As provided by the requesting trial court:
Amador	\$2,941,257	The court wishes to convert the currently held on behalf funds to instead upgrade and improve the Clerk's Office ("Clerk's Office Renovation") as follows:
		(1) Convert space previously used to store files into a more user-friendly, and strategically located, self-help center. The court's current self-help "center" is in actuality a single use office of approximately 144 square feet. The self-help office is currently located in the back of the courthouse, at the furthest point away from both the front entrance and the clerk's office. Using space created in the clerk's processing area as a result of file digitization and removal of high-density storage racks, the court wishes to construct a new self-help center directly adjacent to the clerk's office. This would require the build-out of an internal office space, to be made accessible from the public corridor. The new space is approximately 330 square feet (more than double the current location). Converting the empty space to a larger self-help center will allow for two components currently not available: (1) a small outer office, with equipment such as a table and chairs, computers, a scanner, and a printer for court users. (2) An adjoining self-help office staffed by the court's civil self-help attorney and family law facilitator (on alternating days). The self-help attorney provides civil self-help assistance (small claims, UD, limited civil), and also provides dedicated assistance for the CARE Act every Thursday.
		This will improve efficiency, ergonomics, and office team morale. (3) Improve and remodel the public counter/windows for court users, with the goal of increasing customer
		service windows from two to four, doubling the number of clerks available to assist the public, and increasing access to justice, especially for self-represented litigants.
Calaveras	\$15,000	The Court will be switching all landline telephones to VOIP with Teams.
Calaveras	\$157,570	This project entails replacing the current audio system in all four courtrooms and upgrading with a newer system.
Calaveras	\$59,850	This project will add a new feature to the Court's Case Management System to provide important analytical data to monitor business process effectiveness and efficiency.
Calaveras	\$9,518	This project will allow all interior and exterior windows on all floors to be washed at the Court. The windows have not been washed since the opening of the Court in 2013.

Court	Project Total	Project Summary - As provided by the requesting trial court:
Del Norte	\$310,602	Remodel and update the Public Window. Plans have been established through CFR006 to improve the existing window to better serve the public and create a healthier workspace for staff.
Glenn	\$80,208	On November 1, 2023 the Glenn County Superior Court moved into its newly renovated and expanded Willows Courthouse. During the first ten months in the new facility the Court has determined there are pieces of furniture that would increase operational efficiency and ensure the Court is fully equipped and optimized for providing timely, effective, and equal access to justice to the people of Glenn County. The Court is requesting \$80,208.39 be held on behalf to purchase trash cans; purchase and install a display board in the public-facing Clerk's Office; add seating in the mediation waiting room; add a desk in our historic courtroom; add file cabinets in the Clerk's office to store will documents; and purchase a new safe to meet regulations listed in the Judicial Council's Financial Policies and Procedures Manual.
Glenn	\$19,213	On November 1, 2023 the Glenn County Superior Court moved into its newly renovated and expanded Willows Courthouse. The Courthouse is much larger than the three small facilities that previously housed the Court's operations. In the courthouse transition, current technology assets were set up and installed to accommodate the new facility as best as possible. The Court is requesting \$19,213 to add four receipt printers to the front counters so Clerks can easily assist a litigant at the front windows. Our new courtrooms were also modernized with projectors and advanced AV systems. The Court plans to use these funds money to add dongle adaptors to provide wireless broadcasting so evidence may be displayed. Lastly, the Court will utilize these funds to upgrade the last of the outdated printers that were moved to the new courthouse last year.
Glenn	\$12,000	On November 1, 2023 the Glenn County Superior Court moved into its newly renovated and expanded Willows Courthouse. The facility is two stories high and has over 50,000 square feet. The exterior of the historic building has many nooks and ornate details that have attracted birds and spiders. The Court is requesting \$12,000 to hire a company to clean the exterior of the building and the windows. The Janitorial staff cannot even reach the first-floor exterior building windows, as the building is raised above ground level.
Glenn	\$6,971	On November 1, 2023 the Glenn County Superior Court moved into its newly renovated and expanded Willows Courthouse. The new addition to the courthouse has a beautiful terrazzo floor. The care guide states that the floor should be cleaned with a buffer/ floor cleaner. The Court is requesting \$6,971.25 to purchase a suitable cleaning unit for the in-house janitorial staff to use. By purchasing this we will ensure the floors can last for years to come, commensurate with the adjacent original renovated courthouse building that dates from 1894.

Court	Project Total	Project Summary - As provided by the requesting trial court:
Glenn	\$25,000	On November 1, 2023 the Glenn County Superior Court moved into the newly renovated and expanded Willows Courthouse. During the first ten months being in the facility the Court has learned of several "dead zones" in the security camera coverage. Areas not covered include the two mediation waiting rooms, the employee entrance, and one stretch of the main public hall that enters into the Administration area. The Court is requesting \$25,000 for this project because a great deal of labor and cabling are required to add a camera in the renovated historic part of the building, as the IDF or MDF rooms are both in the newly added wing of the expanded facility. Adding these cameras will ensure the Sheriff's Office court security staff are able to monitor these four areas of the facility that are all deemed potential safety concerns.
Glenn	\$35,000	On November 1, 2023 the Glenn County Superior Court moved into the newly renovated and expanded Willows Courthouse. During the first ten months in the facility the Court has experienced many issues with the AV systems installed in the new courtrooms. Issues include noise inconsistences and failure of individual components, such as cameras going offline. The courtrooms also are only compatible with one wireless microphone, whereas these past few months we have had numerous occasions where two are needed. The Court is asking for \$35,000 for an audit to be performed to address all issues associated with the system. This funding includes the labor and equipment necessary to make these adjustments to all three courtrooms. The funding will also add one additional wireless microphone to each courtroom.
Glenn	\$16,218	The Court is requesting \$16,218.00 to cover the cost of a Barracuda Secure Edge backup system. This system is a "comprehensive data protection and disaster recovery solution. Barracuda Backup is designed to safeguard critical data by creating reliable backups. It provides rapid recovery options in the event of ransomware, data loss, system failures, or other unforeseen circumstances." * As the recent LA Superior Court ransomware attack graphically demonstrated, this type of robust backup system is critical for protecting court systems and data in an era of increasing Cyber security threats and other risks of system failure or compromise. *Information provided from the Barracuda website.
Glenn	\$22,000	The Court is requesting \$22,000 to migrate the court's phone system to Microsoft Teams. The project will include the necessary hardware and licenses to migrate the system. By migrating to Microsoft Teams we will be able to enhance access to justice for court customers, as every staff member will then be able to answer the phone at any court computer or work station. Currently the Court does not have enough phones for all work locations. This restricts the areas where staff can assist the public. The new platform will also provide more advanced functionality for management to monitor and track phone calls.

Attachment A
Summary and Detail of Trial Court Trust Fund Funds Held on Behalf of the Trial Courts Applications for New Requests for 2023–24

Court	Project Total	Project Summary - As provided by the requesting trial court:
Glenn	\$24,684	The Court is requesting \$24,684.27 to purchase 10 Dell Precision 3280 computers to replace current inventory that is at the end of its life cycle. These funds will also be used to purchase 10 Dell Latitude 5540 Laptops to be used for the purpose of training and testing. The conference room on the second floor of the courthouse will double as a training/ testing room for employees to work in a group setting. Examples of uses for the new laptops include new hire orientations and testing and training for new court projects. Currently the Court is working to test and implement eFiling and texting reminders, and we are transitioning to paperless case
Imperial	\$77,862	 To repair walls and doors for a Courtroom (Dept 1). To repair restroom for Admin. Department Replace necessary furniture and storage cabinets to be able to work more efficiently- Civil and HR Department.
Imperial	\$74,139	We identified equipment that we need to replace to work more efficiently: - UPS Batteries - # of units 40 - New Monitors - # of units 20 - Wireless Headsets - " " " 120 - Scanners - " " " 30 - Computers - " " 20 - Printers - " " 3
Kings	\$1,067,325	[TCTF Funds Held on Behalf for the time period covered by the request, including contribution and expenditure: 2 Years FY24/25 through FY25/26, \$1,067,324.56] The Court's proposed funding contribution [FHOB] cover the concurrent architectural and construction activities related to the completion of Department 12's Courtroom and associated operational and Court staff workspaces, in addition to fully furnishing all of those areas. This project will be completed in conjunction with the Judicial Council Facilities Group. The planning and project completion will take at least two years, and we are unable to encumber money with the Judicial Council. The Court will use the Court Funded Request [CFR] with Judicial Council Facilities each year to keep the project moving forward.

Court	Project Total	Project Summary - As provided by the requesting trial court:
Lake	\$353,614	Project Description -Lake County Superior Court requests \$353,614.43 to be held on the court's behalf for one time project costs related to the completion and move to a new courthouse. The court is responsible for moving costs and some equipment and furnishing costs for the new Lake County Courthouse. The courthouse is a new court construction project estimated to be complete in late 2026. The New Lakeport Courthouse will replace an overcrowded, shared use facility with a new four courtroom facility of approximately 46,000 square feet. The new building will provide a safe, accessible, operationally efficient building for court staff and the Lake County community. The court costs of \$353,614.43 are necessary so that the new courthouse may be opened to serve the public. Given the uncertain nature of future funding for the trial courts, it is necessary and fiscally responsible to begin reserving funds for one-time project costs now when the court has the resources to do so. Reason for Request -According to the Guidelines for the Responsibility of Facilities Costs between the Judicial Council and Trial Courts, the court will be responsible for several categories of one-time expenses such as moving costs, break room equipment, appliances, office equipment, trash cans, copiers, postage meters, network connection, servers, telephones and VoIP system. The court intends to sequence the regular technology refresh and replacement cycle as well as telephone and copy machine replacements with the new building occupancy. Additionally, due to the increase in size of the new building, the need for copy machines, phones and other equipment will increase. The court wishes to be fully prepared to handle these costs. It may be necessary to augment the project budget if certain items important to the safe and efficient operation of the building are eliminated from the project construction budget. The court is requesting that funds in the amount of \$353,614.43 be held for the court through June 30, 2027.
Marin Marin	\$200,000 \$674,835	Carpet replacement This records storage project seeks to scan and relocate 4,700 boxes of documents (primarily civil) that are currently stored with a vendor (Access, formerly Fort Docs) in Ukiah back to Marin Court. We currently pay around \$50,000 annually for storage and delivery for documents request. Now that our Court has gone nearly paperless and has implemented a new CMS, we have space to reconfigure our facility to bring back documents onsite, which will save us money and provide easier access in the long run. Our plan is to reconfigure unused place (shelves, walls, asbestos abatement), remodel a room that would be climate-controlled and fire-proof for wills, as well as upgrade our security access. In terms of the document scanning, the work will be performed by staff, interns, and potentially an external vendor. The quote we got from three years ago from Softfile was around \$1m, which would be close to \$1.2m if we use the current rate sheet. Due to our limited space and resources, we anticipate that it will take 3-5 years to complete the project.

Court	Project Total	Project Summary - As provided by the requesting trial court:
Mariposa	\$101,833	The court administration lease was set to expire April 2025 an extension was requested and has been granted for a short time frame. The office will be forced to move after the extension to a new location. Summary for Moving Expenses Support- Due to the limited space at the historical courthouse the relocation of the Court Administration Office necessitates comprehensive moving expenses to ensure a smooth transition to the new space. The primary needs include IT Infrastructure: Safeguarding and relocating critical IT equipment—such as servers, workstations, security cameras, alarms, bridges and networking components—requires specialized handling and installation services to minimize downtime and maintain data security. Furniture and Fixtures: Reconfiguring, replacement and transporting office furniture such as very heavy fireproof file cabinets, money safe, shelving, workstations, desks, and fixtures to accommodate the new layout is essential for maintaining operational efficiency and staff comfort. This includes disassembly, transport, and reassembly services. Professional Moving Services: Engaging experienced moving professionals who specialize in office relocations will ensure the secure and timely transfer of sensitive documents and equipment, thereby reducing the risk of disruption to court operations. Location-Specific Considerations: The new office's location and condition necessitate adjustments for accessibility, such as securing parking for moving trucks and ensuring that the building's infrastructure supports the existing office setup that fall under the provisions of the rules of court. Condition of New Space: Preparing the new space to meet the office's operational needs of administration, such as installing additional shelving, configuring electrical outlets, phone line, data jacks and ensuring compliance with safety regulations, paint and flooring may also be needed. Funding these moving expenses is critical and requires a budget for modifications and improvements to facilitate a seamless transiti
Mono	\$62,412	The useful life of furniture and fixtures is typically estimated to be between 5 and 12 years and we are already at 12 years so our court would like to plan replacement of these items.
Monterey	\$275,000	For health and wellness of Salinas Court employees, the purpose of this request is to fund the purchase and labor of converting approximately 140 regular work stations at the Salinas Courthouse into height adjustable work stations by utilizing existing work surfaces and purchasing height adjustable furniture legs. Height adjustable work stations have previously been installed in the Monterey, Marina, and King City Courthouses.

Court	Project Total	Project Summary - As provided by the requesting trial court:
Monterey	\$69,210	The Monterey County Superior Court is currently in the initial phase of a project to replace its Tyler Odyssey Case Management System (CMS) with Journal Technologies' eCourt System. This new CMS was selected after an extensive evaluation by a team of experts, guided by the Court Technology Committee. The project duration is estimated to be from July 2024 to December 2025. The eCourt solution offers a range of benefits that will improve efficiencies in our court's operational and functional processes, such as generating courtroom minutes, complying with state reporting for DOJ, JBSIS, and DMV, tracking exhibits, simplifying fines and fees, adhering to legislative mandates, reducing infrastructure complexities, and enabling timely communication with Monterey County Justice Partners. The system provides robust configuration options to automate tasks and workflows, eliminating manual steps, duplicated data entries, alternative processes, and gaps that currently exist. Overall, the eCourt solution is better suited to meet Monterey Court's business and operational needs, offering flexibility for future enhancements, upgrades, and investment protection. The eCourt solution requires the build-out of a brand-new infrastructure, including servers, storage, load balancers, and networking components. This infrastructure will be adequately sized according to Journal Technologies' requirements to host the necessary environments—test, conversion, staging, and production—ensuring acceptable performance, security, and availability. The requested funding amount does not encompass the total project cost but the amount that is available to request from the court's funds in excess of the 3% fund balance cap. The total project cost is expected to be approximately \$565,000.00 and will have remaining costs funded using the Court's 2% Automation Fund.
Monterey	\$102,986	The purpose of this request is to fund maintenance and repair projects allowed under rule 10.810 of the California Rules of Court to replace eleven (11) toilets in the basement floor of the Salinas Courthouse that need to be replaced. The toilets suffer from chipping and rust in the interior sections of the bowl and under the seat. All the units reflect significant wear and tear, which makes them appear unclean, and the rust is unsanitary. The wear and tear is a result of the pressure created by the suction pump system that pumps the contents up to ground level. The pressure has removed most, if not all, of the interior White Glaze Coat enamel inside the bowl. As the request is related to Courthouse renovations this request will be submitted as a Court Funded Request (CFR) related FHOB and the project will be completed with the assistance of the JCC Facilities Management Unit.

Court	Project Total	Project Summary - As provided by the requesting trial court:
Orange	\$1,112,970	The Superior Court of Orange County requests that \$1,112,970 be held in the Trial Court Trust Fund to enable the court to purchase uninterruptible power supply (UPS) units and corresponding external battery packs and software for its 136 intermediate distribution frames (IDF). IDFs, or IDF closets, are cable racks that cross connect, manage, and distribute network connections efficiently to end-user devices like PCS, telephones, and on-premise servers. Replacing the court's UPS systems have the following benefits: • Refresh the aging UPS units & battery packs in the IDF closets across the floors of each justice center. • Allow for the monitoring of each IDF closet's temperature in addition to power level. • Upgrade all lead acid UPS & external battery packs to lithium-lon battery technology. • Increase runtimes for all IDF closets while not increasing the form factor of gear already deployed. • Increase the redundancy of each closet by deploying the ATS system for single power supply devices. The ATS has two AC input power sources ('A' and 'B') and if/when one of these fails, the loads are automatically transferred to the other. • Purchase will include switched rack power distribution units (PDU), ideal for remote site management combined with on/off switching control of individual outlets for power cycling. • Purchase will include an embedded Network Management Card 3 with the 2200VA UPS, which will be EcoStruxure compatible and have all the same functionality as the individual cards. This will allow us to remotely monitor and manage the UPS devices and to gracefully shutdown devices connected to the UPS units. Since power is tested monthly, this will save us hours of labor currently spent manually shutting down all switches at each site for these scheduled power cycles. The court has been able to invest in technology mainly through Modernization Funds and savings generated by having a higher vacancy rate than the court would want. Modernization Funds, if they are provided this fiscal year, have already been e

Court	Project Total	Project Summary - As provided by the requesting trial court:
Orange	\$252,330	The Superior Court of Orange County requests that \$252,330 be held in the Trial Court Trust Fund to allow the court to purchase technology equipment for equipment refresh, and to enable better planning and timing of end-of-life cycles. The court has been able to invest in technology mainly through Modernization Funds and savings generated by having a higher vacancy rate than the court would want. Modernization Funds, if they are provided this fiscal year, have already been earmarked for other projects. Substantial savings from vacancies are not expected this fiscal year. Holding funding in the TCTF is therefore the preferred alternative for two reasons. First, the court's finances have been precariously balanced since FY 2013-14. With the court being funded below the statewide average, the court does not have an ongoing surplus and expects a modest operating deficit this fiscal year. Since the court has a 3% reserve limit, holding the funds in the TCTF is its best option. Second, the court was not ready to purchase equipment at the end of FY 2023-24, so holding the funds in the TCTF until the court is ready to do so is the preferred alternative to allow the court to properly vet and make the best strategic decisions. If for any reason this request is not approved, the court asks that \$252,330 be added to its request to hold funds for furniture, flooring, and paint.
Orange	Orange \$252,330	The Superior Court Orange County requests that \$252,330 be held in the Trial Court Trust Fund to address carpeting and other flooring, paint, and furniture needs that arise from facility modification projects such as the fire, life, and safety project that is multi-million dollars and expected to take at least three years. Per California Rule of Court, funding to modify or improve facilities cannot include carpeting and other flooring, paint, and furniture, therefore the court must pay for these items. The court's finances have been closely balanced since FY 2013-14. The court does not have an ongoing surplus and does not expect to generate savings from vacancies in the next few fiscal years. It is therefore important for the court to be able to set aside funds for this high priority purpose.
		If for any reason this request is not approved, the court asks that \$252,330 be added to its request to hold funds to purchase technology equipment.

Court	Project Total	Project Summary - As provided by the requesting trial court:
Placer	\$409,672	Project Summary - As provided by the requesting trial court: This A/V system at the Historic Courthouse in Auburn, California was designed and installed between 2006 and 2008. Several of its components are almost twenty years old, and/or are end-of-life due to the discontinuation of parts and support from manufacturers. The Court has continually maintained and updated this system by replacing key components as needed, but this approach is unfit for long-term technology advancements in a Digital Courtroom environment. For upgrading the Audio-Visual systems in (4) four courtrooms at the Historic Courthouse, all of the installation and work performed must keep the courtroom environment intact. No more than (1) one courtroom may be impacted at a time, these systems will not share any components. The Historic Court house has limited pathways for cabling, so much of the cabling and installation will have to be above the floor with wiring trays that meet ADA requirements, while maintaining the historic nature of the building. There can be no drilling into the walls or floor. The equipment will need to be housed in a manner that allows cooling without the use of fans or any device that creates noise above 45db. The area for equipment storage is very limited, so the vendor will need to suggest options for equipment location and setup. The Court cannot provide any new data connection or power connections, each Courtroom will need to be completely designed, and a drawing provided to the Court prior to any removal of the current equipment. Due to the structure and detailed
		nature of this project, the cost will far exceed the Court's annual budget, requiring multi-year savings to complete. Additionally, the planning and execution phases will likely exceed the three-year encumbrance term.

Court	Project Total	Project Summary - As provided by the requesting trial court:
San Benito	\$154,061	Facilities Upgrade: Converting the Jury Assembly room into a courtroom, creating a new judge's chamber, and modifications to the Family Court Service Center (FCSC). These enhancements aim to improve the efficiency and effectiveness of court operations. The new courtroom will address the growing demands of our criminal and civil calendars, as well as accommodate jury trials. Additionally, the judge's chamber will offer appropriate space for visiting judges who conduct trials on a biweekly basis, thereby minimizing disruptions caused by courtroom scheduling conflicts. The FCSC will also undergo retrofitting to provide additional office and waiting room space for court users, further supporting our operational needs. We have completed the Request for Proposal (RFP) process with the Judicial Council; however, the costs were significantly higher than anticipated, and the previous year's FHOB savings were insufficient to cover these expenses. To address the quoted costs while also modifying the scope of the RFP to reduce total construction expenditures. If we are successful and there are remaining current year funds, we also have a file room project to complete. File Room Project: The existing high-density file system is outdated and requires professional removal. This update will create much-needed space for additional cubical workstations and multi-use areas to facilitate team meetings and training sessions. It is important to note that the financial implications of these modifications extend beyond the Court's annual operational budget and the three-year encumbrance term, as they will continue into the next fiscal year. Each modification entails significant one-time expenses that cannot be accommodated within our current budget without risking a reduction in service quality to the public. To successfully implement these upgrades, we will need to utilize multiyear savings.

Court	Project Total	Project Summary - As provided by the requesting trial court:
San Bernardino	\$3,221,609	In alignment with San Bernardino Superior Court's (SBSC) Strategic Plan, the Digital Transformation Project will transition to the use of the electronic case file as the original court record in all litigation types, eliminating paper files and destroying paper documents following the Administrative Policy: Preservation of Court Documents. After a Quality Control (QC) process, older files in warehouses and courthouses will be converted to a digital format using an outside, third-party vendor. As a result, the Court will rely on the electronic record. Transitioning to a digital record ensures a complete and accurate record, increases efficiencies, results in cost savings and improves service to stakeholders. The project total, \$3,221,609, will be funded by prior year (FY 2023-24) funding.
San	\$2,000,000	The current lung Management System (IMS) has reached its canacity and no longer has the canability to undate
Bernardino	\$2,000,000	The current Jury Management System (JMS) has reached its capacity and no longer has the capability to update. The Court seeks a new JMS with newer technology which will allow our court to adopt and utilize more modern and efficient practices. The RFP process will start in October and take roughly three months. Implementation of the JMS will approximately take two years and conclude in FY 2025-26. The Court is requesting that \$2,000,000 be held in the TCTF.
San Joaquin	\$5,785,558	The Court seeks to allocate funds to continue the build-out of shelled courtroom 5B in our 2017 Stockton facility to accommodate a new judgeship appointed in FY 19/20. The Working Drawing Phase is expected to be completed by November 2024. While this project was included in the State budget for FY 22/23 and FY 23/24, it was removed in FY 24/25 due to the State's \$68 billion budget deficit. According to the Legislative Analyst Office report from December 2023, the State is projected to face budget deficits of \$31 billion in FY 25/26, \$29 billion in FY 26/27, and \$26 billion in FY 27/28, making State funding for this project unlikely in the next four years.
		The Court currently has a CFR for courtroom 6B and would like to complete courtroom 5B within the same timeframe to minimize costs and disruptions, ensuring full completion of the courthouse nearly nine years after its initial occupancy. The Judicial Council has spent \$239,442 on shelled courtroom 5B, leaving an unfunded balance of \$5,785,558.

Court	Project Total	Project Summary - As provided by the requesting trial court:
San Luis Obispo	\$153,334	The San Luis Obispo Superior Court is requesting a total of \$153,334.17 to fund the addition of criminal electronic filing ("e-file") services to the Court's existing case management system (CMS). Currently the Court requires e-filing for Civil, Family, Probate and Mental Health case types. However, the Court's CMS current is not capable of accepting initial Criminal filings electronically. Criminal cases must be initially filed in hardcopy, resulting in cumbersome data entry and data validation processes for Court staff. The Court will use the requested funding to contract with a vendor to enable the Court's existing CMS to accept initial Criminal case filings directly from prosecuting agencies. Enabling Criminal e-file will create efficiencies in court operations and will allow the court to shift staff time to other value-added activities. The San Luis Obispo County DA has indicated support for the move to full Criminal e-file and is ready to work with the Court to complete this project.
Sierra	\$24,321	Sierra is looking to complete needed alterations and improvements to the clerks offices, public waiting room, and stairwell. This will include replacing the aged carpeting in these areas. Due to the time and finances required to plan and execute this project the project cannot be funded by the courts annual budget and will require multiyear savings. The Court respectfully requests funds over the 3% fund balance cap totaling \$13,970.53 be held to complete the much-needed alterations and improvements to the clerks offices, public waiting room, and stairwell.
Stanislaus	\$124,900	The court's Tyler Robotic Process Automation (RPA) project is to automate repetitive and time-consuming tasks associated with document processing. This initiative is required following Tyler Technologies' acquisition of the CSI software the court has been using. The integration of RPA with the CSI software aims to streamline processes, reduce human error, and allow court staff to focus on more complex and critical tasks. This integration enhances the accuracy and speed of court operations, contributing to a more efficient judicial system. With Tyler Technologies now owning the CSI software, the court is in a position where it needs to migrate from its current infrastructure to Tyler's platform. This migration is essential to ensure compatibility and to fully leverage the capabilities of both the RPA and CSI software. By moving to Tyler's infrastructure, the court expects improved support, regular updates, and access to a wider range of tools and features that will help optimize court operations. This strategic move reflects the court's commitment to modernization and continuous improvement in delivering justice efficiently.

Court	Project Total	Project Summary - As provided by the requesting trial court:
Stanislaus	\$85,305	The project involves transitioning from our current phone system hosted off-site to a Zoom Phone system managed by Stanislaus Court. This move aims to enhance communication efficiency, reduce costs, and leverage modern telephony features. The project will include assessing the current system, planning the migration, implementing the new system, conducting thorough testing, and providing comprehensive training and ongoing support to court staff. The goal is to ensure a seamless transition with minimal disruption to daily operations.
Sutter	\$406,669	The Court will be using the funds on facility enhancements such as furniture moves and replacement, wall painting and repair, as well as carpet/flooring replacement. We also intend to use the funds on technical enhancements such as A/V upgrades, queuing system enhancements, and asset lifecycle replacements. Finally, the Court intends to use the funds on security enhancement such as networking equipment, intrusion detection/prevention systems, and adding/replacing security cameras.
	\$20,777,367	

	Court	Fiscal Year 2023–24 Contributio n Amount	Previously Approved Closed Projects— Surrendered Funding	Proposed Project Total	Project Category	Project Time Period Starting Fiscal Year	Project Time Period— Ending Fiscal Year
1	Amador	\$1,257,880	\$1,683,377	\$2,941,257	Facility	2021–22	2025–26
2	Calaveras	\$9,518		\$9,518	Court Funded Request ¹	2023–24	2023–24
3	Calaveras	\$59,850		\$59,850	Information Technology	2023–24	2024–25
4	Calaveras	\$157,570		\$157,570	Information Technology	2023–24	2024–25
5	Calaveras	\$15,000		\$15,000	Information Technology	2023–24	2024–25
6	Del Norte	\$310,602		\$310,602	Court Funded Request	2023–24	2026–27
7	Glenn	\$12,000		\$12,000	Facility	2023–24	2024–25
8	Glenn	\$6,971		\$6,971	Facility	2023–24	2024–25
9	Glenn	\$80,208		\$80,208	Furniture	2023–24	2024–25

_

¹ The Court Funded Request policy allows trial courts to request funding for facilities projects (i.e., facility modifications and lease-related costs) by allowing trial courts to contribute funds for urgent facilities costs, excluding capital outlay expenditures, through allocation reductions from the TCTF.

Attachment A
Summary and Detail of Trial Court Trust Fund Funds Held on Behalf of the Trial Courts Applications for New Requests for 2023–24

	Court	Fiscal Year 2023–24 Contributio n Amount	Previously Approved Closed Projects— Surrendered Funding	Proposed Project Total	Project Category	Project Time Period Starting Fiscal Year	Project Time Period Ending Fiscal Year
10	Glenn	\$19,213		\$19,213	Information Technology	2023–24	2024–25
11	Glenn	\$16,218		\$16,218	Information Technology	2023–24	2024–25
12	Glenn	\$24,684		\$24,684	Information Technology	2023–24	2024–25
13	Glenn	\$25,000		\$25,000	Information Technology	2023–24	2024–25
14	Glenn	\$35,000		\$35,000	Information Technology	2023–24	2024–25
15	Glenn	\$22,000		\$22,000	Information Technology	2023–24	2024–25
16	Imperial	\$77,862		\$77,862	Facility	2023–24	2024–25
17	Imperial	\$74,139		\$74,139	Information Technology	2023–24	2024–25
18	Kings	\$1,067,325		\$1,067,325	Court Funded Request	2023–24	2025–26

Attachment A
Summary and Detail of Trial Court Trust Fund Funds Held on Behalf of the Trial Courts Applications for New Requests for 2023–24

	Court	Fiscal Year 2023–24 Contributio n Amount	Previously Approved Closed Projects— Surrendered Funding	Proposed Project Total	Project Category	Project Time Period— Starting Fiscal Year	Project Time Period— Ending Fiscal Year
19	Lake	\$353,614		\$353,614	Facility	2023–24	2026–27
20	Marin	\$200,000		\$200,000	Facility	2023–24	2025–26
21	Marin	\$674,835		\$674,835	Facility	2023–24	2028–29
22	Mariposa	\$101,833		\$101,833	Facility	2023–24	2028–29
23	Mono	\$62,412		\$62,412	Facility	2023–24	2026–27
24	Monterey	\$102,986		\$102,986	Facility	2023–24	2025–26
25	Monterey	\$275,000		\$275,000	Facility	2023–24	2025–26
26	Monterey	\$69,210		\$69,210	Information Technology	2023–24	2025–26
27	Orange	\$252,330		\$252,330	Facility	2023–24	2028–29
28	Orange	\$1,112,970		\$1,112,970	Information Technology	2023–24	2024–25
29	Orange	\$252,330		\$252,330	Information Technology	2023–24	2028–29

Attachment A
Summary and Detail of Trial Court Trust Fund Funds Held on Behalf of the Trial Courts Applications for New Requests for 2023–24

	Court	Fiscal Year 2023–24 Contributio n Amount	Previously Approved Closed Projects— Surrendered Funding	Proposed Project Total	Project Category	Project Time Period Starting Fiscal Year	Project Time Period Ending Fiscal Year
30	Placer	\$409,672		\$409,672	Information Technology	2023–24	2027–28
31	San Benito	\$154,061		\$154,061	Facility	2023–24	2026–27
32	San Bernardino	\$2,000,000		\$2,000,000	Information Technology	2023–24	2025–26
33	San Bernardino	\$3,221,609		\$3,221,609	Records Management	2023–24	2026–27
34	San Joaquin	\$5,785,558		\$5,785,558	Facility	2023–24	2025–26
35	San Luis Obispo	\$153,334		\$153,334	Information Technology	2023–24	2025–26
36	Sierra	\$13,971	\$10,350	\$24,321	Facility	2023–24	2026–27
37	Stanislaus	\$85,305		\$85,305	Information Technology	2023–24	2025–26
38	Stanislaus	\$124,900		\$124,900	Information Technology	2023–24	2025–26
39	Sutter	\$406,669		\$406,669	Facility	2023–24	2025–26

Court	Fiscal Year 2023–24 Contributio n Amount	Previously Approved Closed Projects— Surrendered Funding	Proposed Project Total	Project Category	Project Time Period Starting Fiscal Year	Project Time Period— Ending Fiscal Year
Total	\$19,083,640	\$1,693,727	\$20,777,367			

Attachment B
Summary and Detail of Trial Court Trust Fund Funds Held on Behalf of the Trial Courts Applications for Amended Requests for 2023–24

Court	Proposed Project Total	Project Summary - As provided by the requesting trial court:	Amendment Summary - As provided by the requesting trial court:
Colusa	\$290,265	Colusa Superior Court is looking to complete needed alterations and improvements to the Clerk's Office and Judge's Chambers. For the Clerk's Office, this would include painting, refurbishment of the public service countertop, public window and cabinet hardware, and replacement of broken baseboards. The Clerk's Office work will also entail converting a file room into an office, which will include work on the floors and removal and installation of furniture, as well as refurbishment of a breakroom, including cabinetry and appliances. For Judge's Chambers, this includes refurbishment and/or replacement of aged or broken furniture. The added funds represent an inflation-related cost increase, as well as additional ADA-required work to the facility, and unaccounted furniture.	Colusa amends the requested amount. The added funds represent an inflation-related cost increase as well as additional ADA-required work to the facility, and previously unaccounted furniture that is needed.
Colusa	\$725,169 This amended request addresses the funds encumbered for our digitization project. The file scanning and indexing is taking place in-house for all of the court files. This is a major undertaking that will require additional personnel (the Court currently employs (2) limited-term full time employees to address this need). In addition to the personnel need, the time to complete this project will need to be extended. The Court has made significant progress with over 20,000 files digitized to date. The Court anticipates completion of this project by the end of fiscal year 2026-2027.		The changes in this amended request account for the need for additional time to expend the funds necessary to complete this project.

Court	Proposed Project Total	Project Summary - As provided by the requesting trial court:	Amendment Summary - As provided by the requesting trial court:
Imperial	\$319,899	We were not able to start working on this project until the Winterhaven exterior improvement project has been completed. The exterior improvement project was completed around the month of May 2024. Per conversation with JCC, the plan to start Construction of the Winterhaven Shade Structure was in review by the end of the Fiscal Year 23/24. The Vendor initiated this project until the month of July 2024.	We would like to request an extension of the deadline to complete the project "Shade Structure" until FY 24/25. The project was initiated in July 2024

Attachment B
Summary and Detail of Trial Court Trust Fund Funds Held on Behalf of the Trial Courts Applications for Amended Requests for 2023–24

2026.

Court	Proposed Project Total	Project Summary - As provided by the requesting trial court:	Amendment Summary - As provided by the requesting trial court:
Lake	\$41,098	Project Description - The Court contracted with Journal Technologies on 6/15/20 to develop and implement the following four interfaces with the Court's case management system: • Electronic Citation interface • Department of Child Support Services • State Bar Attorney • Warrant interface with the Sheriff's Department The project cost was contracted at \$90,000. The Court encumbered the funds at the end of Fiscal Year 2019. The Court does not wish to lose the investment. The court, Journal Technologies and the agencies have all put significant resources toward the projects. The Department of Child Support Services interface was completed and implemented in August 2022. After payment for the DCSS interface there is a remaining balance of \$41,098. Reason for Request -The court is again requesting to extend the project timeline. The Electronic Citation and Warrant Interfaces experienced delays due to the Sheriff's Department connectivity issues and the Police Department change of staff. The interfaces had expected golive dates by June 30,2024; however, the agencies were unable to accomplish their portion of the work within the expected timeframe. The court is requesting that funds in the amount of \$41,098 be held for the court through June 30,	The court is requesting that \$41,098 be held on behalf of the court through June 30, 2026. The Electronic Citation interface and the Warrant Interface projects have been further delayed due to the change of project leads. The project lead at the Clearlake Police Department who was handling both projects left in January 2023 and a new project lead has since been designated. The Warrant interface project is also dealing with RIMS connectivity issues with the Sheriff's Office and Clearlake Police Department. The projects are in progress and funds have been spent by the agencies, however they could not complete their portion of the projects in the anticipated timeframe. Additional time is needed to complete these projects.

Attachment B
Summary and Detail of Trial Court Trust Fund Funds Held on Behalf of the Trial Courts Applications for Amended Requests for 2023–24

Court	Proposed Project Total	Project Summary - As provided by the requesting trial court:	Amendment Summary - As provided by the requesting trial court:
Lake	\$9,229	Project Description-The Court contracted with Journal Technologies on 6/29/21 to configure three change projects to the Court's case management system. The Pre-trial diversion minutes project was completed in FY23. The following two configurations have not been finished:1.Fix several work queues that have outstanding tasks.2. Create workflow for destruction of cannabis cases per HS11361.5The current contracted cost for the two unfinished projects is \$14,000. The court has \$4,771 encumbered to pay a portion of the cost leaving \$9,229. The Court and Journal Technologies have both put significant resources toward these necessary projects. The work queue changes are currently being configured and will be completed this fiscal year. The second configuration change to create a workflow for destruction/deletion of cannabis cases per HS11361.5 cannot be completed until all courts using the eCourt case management system agree on a consistent workflow for the destruction and/or sealing of eligible cannabis cases required by law. Reason for Request -We have been pushing for a resolution so that both projects move forward but it is estimated that one of the projects will not be completed this fiscal year. The court is requesting that funds in the amount of \$9,229 be held for the court through June 30, 2026.	The court is requesting that \$9,229 be held on behalf of the court through June 30, 2026. Journal Technologies has not been able to complete the two-case management configuration change projects as estimated. They are currently working on the work queue project, which should be completed by 6/30/2025; however, they have not started work on the workflow for destruction of cannabis cases. Additional time is needed to complete these projects.

Attachment B
Summary and Detail of Trial Court Trust Fund Funds Held on Behalf of the Trial Courts Applications for Amended Requests for 2023–24

Court	Proposed Project Total	Project Summary - As provided by the requesting trial court:	Amendment Summary - As provided by the requesting trial court:
Mono	\$90,000	The court has received requests from our justice partners (Sheriff, Mammoth Police, Probation, Alliance One) to employ interfaces with our case management system.	Changing the time frame of project and reducing the funds requested.
Mono	\$40,000	Mono needs additional time to complete the project.	Mono needs additional time to complete the project.
Mono	\$127,532	Mono needs additional time to complete the project.	Mono needs additional time to complete the project.
Mono	\$35,000	Request to hold TCTF funds for technology need-document digital scanning/storing services. Our court wants to scan hard copy paper court records to convert them into digital files at our Bridgeport location.	Additional time is needed to complete this project.
Mono	\$150,003	Request to hold TCTF funds for uninterrupted power supply (UPS) server replacement. Our current UPS server is 10 years old, and we recently purchased a 3-year extended warranty, and this equipment will need to be replaced once the warranty expires.	Mono needs additional time and funds to complete the project.
Mono	\$40,000	The Court currently uses overhead projection TVs for display of video evidence. The current equipment is over 10 years old, and the technology is outdated.	Mono needs additional time to complete the project.
Mono	\$111,057	The Court currently uses overhead projection TVs for display of video evidence. The current equipment is over 10 years old, and the technology is outdated.	Mono needs to change the year that the funds will be used.

Attachment B
Summary and Detail of Trial Court Trust Fund Funds Held on Behalf of the Trial Courts Applications for Amended Requests for 2023–24

Court	Proposed Project Total	Project Summary - As provided by the requesting trial court:	Amendment Summary - As provided by the requesting trial court:
Monterey	\$585,651	The purpose of this request is to fund maintenance and repair projects allowed under rule 10.810 of the California Rules of Court to replace the flooring, painting, and other interior repairs, as needed, at the Salinas and Marina Courthouses. The Salinas Courthouse is a trial court facility built in 1967 and renovated in October 2010. This is a fourstory facility with 97,394 square feet of office space, 11 courtrooms, 12 judicial officer chambers, 9 holding cells for prisoners, a sally port, a large jury assembly room with commercial carpet with a capacity to accommodate 200 jurors, and other areas required for court operations. The Salinas Courthouse is in a mixed residential and business area in the City of Salinas (2020 population 153,177), located at 240 Church St., Salinas, CA 93901. The cases heard at the Salinas Courthouse are felony, misdemeanor, and juvenile dependency cases. The Marina Courthouse is a trial court facility built in 1997. This facility is a single-story with approximately 15,347 square feet of office space, 2 courtrooms, 9 walk-up windows, 2 judicial officer chambers, 2 holding cells for prisoners, a sally port, a large lobby area with commercial carpet, and other areas required for court operations. The Marina Courthouse is in a mixed residential and business area in the City of Marina (2020 population 21,996), located at 3180 Del Monte Boulevard, Marina, CA 93933. This facility has parking for a total of 116 aboveparking spaces. The cases heard at the Marina Courthouse are Traffic, DCSS, and Small Claims. Due to the active use of the premises in these facilities by the public and employees, the Marina and Salinas Courthouses are in need of new paint and the replacement of carpet/flooring in various heavily trafficked areas. The Court plans to paint and add FRP	The request is being amended to increase total funds requested from \$514,321.00 to \$585,650.96 for a total increase of \$71,329.96 (increase requested for FY 2023-24). The timeline is also being amended for an end date through FY 2024-25, originally FY 2023-24. This increase is due to higher-than-expected carpet installation services costs which the Court awarded the carpet installation services agreement for during FY 2023-24 and expects the project to be completed within FY 2024-25.

Court	Proposed Project Total	Project Summary - As provided by the requesting trial court:	Amendment Summary - As provided by the requesting trial court:
		veneer, where it is feasible, in sections of the public hallways. The court plans to use funds to paint and replace carpets in several employee hallways, breakrooms, and offices that are in poor condition and require maintenance.	

Court	Proposed Project Total	Project Summary - As provided by the requesting trial court:	Amendment Summary - As provided by the requesting trial court:
Nevada	\$32,060	Courthouse furniture for some public areas, courtrooms, and secured areas is in very bad shape from years of use. Chairs are dirty, with damaged fabric and cushions. Not only are these furniture items, uncomfortable, they are a poor	Reduce amount requested from \$35,000 to \$32,060.13
Nevada	\$41,196	Vehicle purchase for travel between court locations will allow for staff to travel safely and efficiently. The court's existing vehicle is aging and is not as efficient as newer models. A replacement vehicle will improve staff safety and reduce the court's fossil fuel footprint.	Reduce amount requested from \$45,000 to \$41,196.07
Nevada	\$12,681	Work management systems allow for the automation, streamlining and tracking for work within the court. The Court's implementation of Zendesk is in a Phase 1 pilot and this funding would allow for a Phase II pilot to expand usage across the organization. The court expects large efficiencies to result from the implementation of Zendesk to handle public, partner and internal work more effectively with the use of templates and redirection to existing resources where possible.	Reduce requested amount from \$30,000 to \$12,680.80

Attachment B

Court Proposed Project Total	Project Summary - As provided by the requesting trial court:	Amendment Summary - As provided by the requesting trial court:
Orange \$295,224	At the end of FY 22-23, the court's request to hold \$308,642 in the Trial Court Trust Fund to partially fund the initial phase of the modernization of its current Criminal and Traffic Case Management System (CMS), also known as Vision, was approved. At that time, the court indicated that the funds will be spent by the end of FY 23-24. This request amends that completion date to the end of FY 25-26. Vision is a thick client (PowerBuilder) server (Oracle) application built 23 years ago with functional limitations due to client server architecture. Vision becomes more expensive to maintain each year, has limited scalability, and does not allow for the court to take advantage of advanced technological solutions to improve service delivery and modernize processes. The court intends to address the limitations of Vision by modernizing the technology stack from 2 tier to 3 tier. The initial phase of the project will include use cases in converting/developing some existing CMS screens into the proposed 3 tier architecture to show that the new recommended architecture will meet the required enterprise large scale application solution. This initial phase is estimated to cost \$755,000 and will take approximately six months to complete. The \$755,000 will pay for contractor resources (architect, .Net developers, UX/UI designer, analysts) who will assess current web technologies and come up with a framework solution to architect an enterprise application. Once the initial phase is successfully completed, it will be followed by migrating the entire Vision CMS application to the new architecture.	At the end of FY 22-23, the court's request to hold \$308,642 in the Trial Court Trust Fund to partially fund the initial phase of the modernization of its current Criminal and Traffic Case Management System (CMS), also known as Vision, was approved. At that time, the court indicated that the funds will be spent by the end of FY 23-24. This request amends that completion date to the end of FY 25-26.

Attachment B
Summary and Detail of Trial Court Trust Fund Funds Held on Behalf of the Trial Courts Applications for Amended Requests for 2023–24

Court	Proposed Project Total	Project Summary - As provided by the requesting trial court:	Amendment Summary - As provided by the requesting trial court:
Orange	\$316,000	At the end of FY 22-23, the court's request to hold \$316,000 in the Trial Court Trust Fund to partially fund the Oracle Infrastructure Project (OCI) was approved. The goal of the project was to modernize the court's on-premise Oracle databases that support the Vision and Voyager case management systems and their related applications. The court database infrastructure was operating off of an older version that had limited functionalities and support from Oracle. This exposed our systems and applications to security vulnerabilities and potential failures/outages that are unsupported by Oracle. Thus, this was a mission-critical project that is crucial to the court's operations. The estimated cost of this project was \$500,000 to \$820,000. There are also ongoing costs that range from \$500,000 to \$700,000. This is a request to amend the project end date from FY 23-24 to FY 24-25.	This is a request to amend the project end date from FY 23-24 to FY 24-25. No change to the requested project amount.

Attachment B
Summary and Detail of Trial Court Trust Fund Funds Held on Behalf of the Trial Courts Applications for Amended Requests for 2023–24

Court	Proposed Project Total	Project Summary - As provided by the requesting trial court:	Amendment Summary - As provided by the requesting trial court:
Orange	\$632,000	At the end of FY 22-23, the court's request to hold \$632,000 in the Trial Court Trust Fund to partially fund the court's Grand Central project was approved. This request amends the project end date from FY 24-25 to FY 25-26. Currently, the court hosts over 40 different data exchanges across different platforms, using a diverse mix of older technologies, standards and protocols developed over a period of time. Although this approach has supported the court, it has created substantial technical challenges that have proven to be costly, time consuming, and inefficient. Some of those challenges are as follows: • The point-to-point connections between systems are time consuming to build and become increasingly costly to manage • As legislation and business process evolve, triggering data changes with the systems, managing changes to the data transfer processes become major projects onto themselves • Some of the current data exchanges are built around daily or weekly batched exchange and lack the infrastructure support to enable real-time data exchange that is necessary going forward • Maintaining, troubleshooting, and upgrading the various technologies requires specialized technical resources that are not always readily available and are becoming increasing difficult to hire, which in turn can cause compliance and security issues • Creating new data exchanges takes considerable time and effort to design, develop, test, and implementln order to resolve the technical challenges referenced above, the court has designed and developed the Grand Central application. The Grand Central application serves as a single intermediary for multiple data exchanges between the court and its partner agencies. Grand Central is a reusable and configurable application that enables multiple justice	The original request indicated that 50% of the funds would be spent in FY 23-24 and the other 50% in FY 24-25. This request amends the use of the first 50% to FY 24-25 and the other 50% to FY 25-26. No changes to the requested project amount.

Court	Proposed Project Total	Project Summary - As provided by the requesting trial court:	Amendment Summary - As provided by the requesting trial court:
		partners to exchange information with the various Case Management Systems (CMS) at the court.In addition, the application is backward compatible with the existing court data exchanges to facilitate replacing the current systems over time with minimum or no changes to the partner systems. The application features alerts, auditing, logging, reporting, enables faster creation of additional data exchanges and makes maintenance easier while providing a common user interface for court staff. The application is built to be configurable and sharable with other courts interested in integrating it into their environments. The project phases and statuses are as follows: Phase 1 – Grand Central Public Defender Interface with Vision – Complete Phase 2 – Grand Central Interface with DOJ/SORNA (Sex Offender Registration & Notification Act) – In Process Phase 3 – Grand Central Interface with OCSD/Jail Management System – Pending Phase 4 – Grand Central Public Defender Interface with Odyssey Juvenile Cases – Pending Additional phases have yet to be determinedThe court estimates that each remaining phase will cost \$440,000.	

Court	Proposed Project Total	Project Summary - As provided by the requesting trial court:	Amendment Summary - As provided by the requesting trial court:
Placer	\$495,000	The original contract was executed on February 15, 2023. The design phase and equipment procurement took longer than anticipated due to the complex nature of the audio video needs and supply chain delays. Upon project commencement the contractor experienced several technical issues, further delaying the project an additional sixteen weeks. Although the scope of work originally allowed for the closure of two courtrooms at a time, that has now become unsustainable due to the sixteen- week delay. Therefore, the project will exceed the original completion timeline and the encumbrance deadline of June 30, 2025. The functionality of the Gibson Courtrooms' Audio Video System has evolved away from the system's initial design. The main purpose centered on occasional video conferencing with the California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation (CDCR) and did not take into account the evolution of remote appearances within courtrooms. Remote appearances have since become a daily occurrence of the courtroom experience, and while the current system supports remote appearances, it does have limitations. This system was designed and installed between 2006 and 2008. Several of its components are over 15 years old, are end-of-life due to the discontinuation of parts, and support from manufacturers. The Court has continually maintained and updated this system by replacing key components as needed, but this approach is unfit for long-term technology advancements in a Digital Courtroom. The current core system lacks camera and video conferencing that support common connection methods; our cameras do not have the suggested resolution for remote proceedings. In conjunction with insufficient camera and video resolution, Teams is not native to the	To extend one additional fiscal year in order to complete the project.

Attachment B
Summary and Detail of Trial Court Trust Fund Funds Held on Behalf of the Trial Courts Applications for Amended Requests for 2023–24

Court	Proposed Project Total	Project Summary - As provided by the requesting trial court:	Amendment Summary - As provided by the requesting trial court:
		system and relies on third party applications for connectivity. The court intends to upgrade current system to meet the JCC's recommended minimum Digital Courtroom specifications, replacing any components that are end of life or older than 5 years.	
Riverside	\$1,347,824	The request is to amend the time frame for exhausting expenditures in relation to the new Menifee Courthouse. During our original application for FHOB, the estimated occupancy date was March/early April 2024, yet the building experienced a plethora of delays and timing for occupancy was pushed into the 24/25 FY. With that said, we are requesting additional time to exhaust FHOB funds for this project to ensure the building is safe, accessible, and operationally efficient for the residents of Riverside County.	The request is to amend the time frame for exhausting expenditures in relation to the new Menifee Courthouse. During our original application for FHOB, the estimated occupancy date was March/early April 2024, yet the building experienced a plethora of delays and timing for occupancy was pushed into the 24/25 FY. With that said, we are requesting additional time to exhaust FHOB funds for this project to ensure the building is safe, accessible, and operationally efficient for the residents of Riverside County.
Riverside	\$681,903	This request is to add additional funding to an existing project for our new Indio Juvenile and Family Courthouse. Our original request was for \$838,493, but this amount was reduced to \$651,989 due to unavailable funds over the 3% cap. We are requesting funds of \$29,914.37 to be added to this project to ensure the facility is safe, accessible, and operationally efficient for the residents of Riverside County.	This request is to add additional funding to an existing project for our new Indio Juvenile and Family Courthouse. Our original request was for \$838,493, but this amount was reduced to \$651,989 due to unavailable funds over the 3% cap. We are requesting funds of \$29,914.37 to be added to this project to ensure the facility is safe, accessible, and operationally efficient for the residents of Riverside County.

Attachment B
Summary and Detail of Trial Court Trust Fund Funds Held on Behalf of the Trial Courts Applications for Amended Requests for 2023–24

Court	Proposed Project Total	Project Summary - As provided by the requesting trial court:	Amendment Summary - As provided by the requesting trial court:
Sacramento	\$2,418,102	The court is replacing two of its oldest case management systems (CMS) projects in the Criminal and Family Law Divisions.	Request to amend the total project amount from \$2,240,214 to \$2,418,102 (additional \$177,888 is being requested).
		 Criminal CMS Project: replaces a county-owned mainframe system that is being phased out by the county. Includes real-time exchange of criminal case data between the court and the county. 	Request to extend the time period to FY 2026-27 due to contractor delays.
		• Family Law CMS Project replaces a 25+ year old installation of Sustain. The new Family law CMS will enable the court to employ e-filing services and improve order generation.	

Attachment B
Summary and Detail of Trial Court Trust Fund Funds Held on Behalf of the Trial Courts Applications for Amended Requests for 2023–24

Court Propose Project Total	Project Summary - As provided by the requesting trial court:	Amendment Summary - As provided by the requesting trial court:
San Benito \$1,302,13	Digitization: In support of the technology goals of the Superior Court of California, County of San Benito ("Court"), the Court respectfully requests that the Judicial Council hold the requested amount on the Court's behalf. The requested amount will be used to digitize physical case files held at an off-site storage facility. As part of the project, the Court will identify and digitize case files that must be retained. In addition, any case files that are beyond their retention period will be destroyed as part of the project. This project will reduce the Court's storage costs and make the remaining case files more easily accessible to the Court and the public. The digitization project involves significant one-time expenses that are not part of the Court's annual operational budget. The price of digitization could not be met out of the Court's annual operational budget without making significant cuts that would result in diminished service to the public. In order to complete the digitization project, the Court must use multiyear savings. Facilities upgrade: Converting the Jury Assembly room into a courtroom and creating a new judge's chamber. The modification will enhance the efficiency and effectiveness of Court operations by creating a new courtroom to accommodate the Court's expanding criminal and civil calendars and jury trials. The judge's chamber will provide appropriate space for visiting judges hearing trials on a biweekly basis. It would reduce the need to move and continue court hearings when a courtroom is needed for jury trials and/or long-cause court hearings. The process for the modifications does not fit within the Court's annual operational budget or three-year encumbrance term and will extend past the end of the current fiscal year. Each of the modifications involves significant one-time expenses that are	Request to extend the time period out to 2026-2027 due to changes in construction scope. The construction proposals received by JCC Facilities Services in April of 2024 exceeded the court's project budget and the court has been working with JCC to rescope the project to fit within budget. No changes to the requested project amount.

Court	Proposed Project Total	Project Summary - As provided by the requesting trial court:	Amendment Summary - As provided by the requesting trial court:
		not part of the Court's annual operational budget. The cost	
		of the modifications could not be met out of the Court's	
		annual operational budget without making significant cuts	
		that would result in diminished service to the public. In order	
		to complete the modifications, the Court must use multiyear	
		savings. Information Technology Hosting: The Court's case	
		management system, email, and other critical information	
		technology tools are currently hosted remotely by another	
		court. In the past, electrical and telecommunications	
		disruptions have left the Court unable to conduct court	
		business. The Court wished to conduct a study of hosting	
		alternatives that may be less prone to disruption and execute	
		the results of the study such as switching to local hosting, or	
		to a cloud-based solution hosted by a third party. Because of	
		the time required to establish the specifications for the	
		study, and the complexity of procuring IT services in	
		compliance with the Judicial Branch Contracting Manual, the	
		procurement of the study will extend past the end of the	
		current fiscal year. The study and execution of switching IT	
		hosting will involve significant one-time expenses that are	
		not part of the Court's annual operational budget.	

Attachment B
Summary and Detail of Trial Court Trust Fund Funds Held on Behalf of the Trial Courts Applications for Amended Requests for 2023–24

Court	Proposed Project Total	Project Summary - As provided by the requesting trial court:	Amendment Summary - As provided by the requesting trial court:
San Joaquin	\$5,092,816	The Court is accumulating savings to complete the build-out of shelled courtroom 6B in our 2017 Stockton facility, bringing the courthouse to full completion nearly nine years after initial occupancy. This FHOB application seeks to allocate additional funds for the review, contractor procurement, and construction phases of the project.	This second FHOB amendment reflects additional funding needed from FY23-24 fund balance to fully fund the latest budget estimate of \$5,092,816 for this project. In addition to previously set aside funds from FY21-22 of \$212,917 and FY22-23 of \$4,330,507, the court needs an additional \$549,392 to fully fund the project. To accomplish this, the majority of our FY22-23 Manteca Generator project funding will be surrendered, and the Court intends to transfer the remaining \$357,130 of FY22-23 funds from that project to this courtroom 6B project. Due to subsequent estimates for the Manteca Generator project far exceeding the initial budget, the Court pursued more cost-effective alternatives, leaving a substantial portion of the approved funds unspent. We would like the remaining \$192,262 needed for courtroom 6B to come from the Court's FY23-24 fund balance.
San Joaquin	\$3,058,408	The Court would like to accumulate savings to pay for the repair and upgrade of our Stockton HVAC System.	Last year the Court requested and was approved for \$661,839 from FY22-23's fund balance to be used for the repair and upgrade of the Stockton HVAC system. The current deferred maintenance cost for the HVAC, totaling \$6,954,295, is outlined in the August 2024 report to TCFMAC. We would now like to allocate an additional \$2,396,569 from FY 23/24 funds for this project.

Attachment B
Summary and Detail of Trial Court Trust Fund Funds Held on Behalf of the Trial Courts Applications for Amended Requests for 2023–24

Court	Proposed Project Total	Project Summary - As provided by the requesting trial court:	Amendment Summary - As provided by the requesting trial court:
San Mateo	\$2,501,098	To set aside \$1 million that will be due to the County of San Mateo as part of a \$9 million contractual agreement between the Court and the County whereby the County will build 2 new courtrooms, a new traffic clerk office, and a new conference room on the first floor of the Hall of Justice (HOJ), the County will vacate its current space on the first floor of HOJ, and the Court gives up the traffic annex building next to the HOJ in Redwood City so that the County can build a new county office building, which will house the county occupants vacating the HOJ. In addition, \$660,000 will be set aside for furniture, fixtures, and equipment (FF&E) for the new courtrooms, conference room, new traffic clerk office, and remodeled civil clerk office. Under the agreement between the Court and County, the Court also agreed to pay for all FF&E under the project. The amount of \$660,000 is the approximate cost to purchase and install the FF&E.Update: The current estimated project cost for the court is \$7.5 million, and estimated total cost of the project is \$18.2 million. The court is requesting JCC to hold money on behalf of the court for FY22-23 and FY23-24 fund balances over the cap, \$102,961 and \$65,083.15.	Request to amend the total project contribution amount from \$2,436,015 to \$2,501,098.15 with an increase of \$65,083.15, related to FY23-24 fund balance over the cap. Request to extend the time period for completion of the project to FY 27-28 due to various delays.
Stanislaus	\$3,138,708	This project is in regard to the Construction of the New Modesto Courthouse which has been under construction since December 2021. The Court will need to cover certain costs to move to that building. This Funds Held on Behalf amended request is specifically for costs associated with moving to the new building. Previous requests have identified specific goods or equipment needed. This	"Our amended Funds Held on Behalf (FHOB) request includes partially financing the new Courthouse Audio Visual (A/V) upgrade project. This strategic allocation of FHOB is essential due to the unexpected loss of A/V funding from the Judicial Council. By leveraging these funds, we can ensure the continuation and completion of the AV upgrade, which is critical for enhancing the

functionality and efficiency of our courthouse

Court	Proposed Project Total	Project Summary - As provided by the requesting trial court:	Amendment Summary - As provided by the requesting trial court:
		amended request is based on new expenditures identified by	operations and meets the JCC standards. This approach
		the Court since last FHOB submission.	not only addresses the immediate financial shortfall bu also demonstrates our commitment to maintaining high
			standards in our judicial facilities. In addition, we have identified costs not previously accounted for including but not limited to equipment for print room, cash
			drawers, screening equipment, movers, and
			decommissioning costs for current court locations. Finally, it is important to note that the
			building project has experienced construction delays, which have pushed out the completion date. The most
			recent information gives us a completion date of 12/1/25. Therefore, the Court is currently planning fo
			a move-in date in Spring 2026. These delays have
			further underscored the necessity of securing alternative funding sources to avoid further setbacks.
			Utilizing FHOB will help mitigate the impact of these
			delays by ensuring that the AV upgrade and all other necessary equipment, goods, and/or services progress
			without interruption, ultimately contributing to the
			timely and successful completion of the overall project This proactive financial management will support our

goal of delivering a state-of-the-art courthouse that meets the needs of our community. New Courthouse costs for which the Court will be responsible now total

\$4,353,412. Our new balance for FHOB New Courthouse will be \$3,138,707.91 if this request is approved. We do have 2% funds to utilize for this project, estimated at \$556,294, which leaves a current shortfall to obtain all goods, services, and equipment

Court	Proposed Project Total	Project Summary - As provided by the requesting trial court:	Amendment Summary - As provided by the requesting trial court:
			identified to date for the New Courthouse project in amount of \$658,410."
Sutter	\$559,848	Facility modification: The Court respectfully requests funds over the 3% fund balance cap totaling \$559,848 be held to build much needed offices and cubicles out of space formerly used to house paper case files.	Vendor delays have caused the planned facility modification completion to be delayed past June 30, 2024. The Court respectfully requests extending the timeline through the end of FY 24-25 to allow sufficient time for this project to be completed.
Sutter	\$533,316	The Court has identified a need to enhance our public and private facing technologies and expandfacilities due to staff growth and organizational needs. These needs include but are not limited to areabuildouts for additional office and cubicle space, modernizing conference, jury assembly, courtroom, and training room AV systems, enhancing court resiliency and disaster recovery with infrastructure andbackup solutions as well as end user devices.	Court vendors continue to experience delays in obtaining necessary goods to complete our technology enhancement and facility modification projects. The Court respectfully requests extending the timeline to complete these projects to FY 24-25.

Court	Proposed Project Total	Project Summary - As provided by the requesting trial court:	Amendment Summary - As provided by the requesting trial court:
Tehama	\$1,328,074	Records destruction and scanning project - The Court has accumulated a large volume of files over many years and would like to execute a plan to help modernize its records storage practices. This project will require some staff time, the use of a third-party vendor, and some new equipment in order to be fully completed. The total dollar amount associated with this endeavor is now estimated to be a total amount of \$1,328,073.80.	Additional funds are needed to complete project.

Attachment B
Summary and Detail of Trial Court Trust Fund Funds Held on Behalf of the Trial Courts Applications for Amended Requests for 2023–24

Court	Proposed Project Total	Project Summary - As provided by the requesting trial court:	Amendment Summary - As provided by the requesting trial court:
Ventura	\$1,917,292	The Court is requesting that the Judicial Council of California hold funds on the Court's behalf, in the sum of \$1,917,292.21 for the upgrade of the existing 23-year-old Ventura County Justice Integration System (VCIJIS)/VISION case management system (CMS). The CMS resides on an outdated legacy platform (PowerBuilder and Sybase) that presents sustainability risks and limits opportunities to benefit from technological innovations. The Court is in the beginning stages of research and procurement for the multi-year project and the cost to upgrade the Criminal/Traffic case management system exceeds the scope of the Court's regular budget allocations. The Court does not anticipate the project will be fully funded through a State BCP and the project cost exceeds the allowable 3% annual fund balance reserve. The funds held will be used to partially fund the project. The Court anticipates it will continue to set aside funds on a yearly basis that will be used to complete the project. Five criminal justice agencies in Ventura County (Superior Court, District Attorney, Public Defender, Sheriff, and Probation) are currently served by a single case management system referred to as the Ventura County Justice Information system (VCIJIS). The shared system also enables criminal justice data exchanges with other law enforcement in Ventura County, and many other local, state, and federal agencies. Over the years this integration between justice partners has enabled unique efficiency and service benefits benefiting all justice partner agencies and the public in Ventura County. Participating in the project will provide the court the opportunity to gain new operational efficiencies such as reduction or elimination of paper in	Request to amend the total project from \$1,910,980.00 to \$1,917,292.21. We have \$6,312.21 of FY2022-2023 reserved for encumbrances that were disencumbered in FY2023-2024 due to sales taxes that were not applicable. We would like to add these funds, \$6,312.21, to the original FHOB of \$1,910,980.00 (additional \$6,312.21 is being requested for fiscal year 2023-24).Request to extend the time-period out to FY2026-2027 due to contractor delays.

Court	Proposed Project Total	Project Summary - As provided by the requesting trial court:	Amendment Summary - As provided by the requesting trial court:
		criminal justice processes and maintain the integration with	
		the other justice partners.	

Court	Proposed Project Total	Project Summary - As provided by the requesting trial court:	Amendment Summary - As provided by the requesting trial court:
Total	\$28,268,591		

Attachment B
Summary and Detail of Trial Court Trust Fund Funds Held on Behalf of the Trial Courts Applications for Amended Requests for 2023–24

	Court	Approved Project Total	Fiscal Year 2023–24 Contribution Amount	Adjustment	Proposed Project Total	Project Category	Project Time Period Starting Fiscal Year	Project Time Period— Ending Fiscal Year
40	Colusa	\$168,065	\$122,200		\$290,265	Court Funded Request	2021–22	2024–25
41	Colusa	\$365,169	\$360,000		\$725,169	Information Technology	2019–20	2026–27
42	Imperial	\$319,899	\$0		\$319,899	Facility	2019–20	2024–25
43	Lake	\$41,098	\$0		\$41,098	Information Technology	2023–24	2025–26
44	Lake	\$9,229	\$0		\$9,229	Information Technology	2023–24	2025–26
45	Mono	\$105,000	\$0	(\$15,000)	\$90,000	Information Technology	2020–21	2026–27
46	Mono	\$40,000	\$0		\$40,000	Information Technology	2017–18	2025–26
47	Mono	\$127,532	\$0		\$127,532	Information Technology	2019–20	2025–26
48	Mono	\$50,000	\$100,003		\$150,003	Information Technology	2020–21	2025–26

Attachment B
Summary and Detail of Trial Court Trust Fund Funds Held on Behalf of the Trial Courts Applications for Amended Requests for 2023–24

	Court	Approved Project Total	Fiscal Year 2023–24 Contribution Amount	Adjustment	Proposed Project Total	Project Category	Project Time Period Starting Fiscal Year	Project Time Period— Ending Fiscal Year
49	Mono	\$111,057	\$0		\$111,057	Information Technology	2020–21	2025–26
50	Mono	\$40,000	\$0		\$40,000	Information Technology	2021–22	2025–26
51	Mono	\$35,000	\$0		\$35,000	Information Technology	2021–22	2025–26
52	Monterey	\$514,321	\$71,330		\$585,651	Facility	2021–22	2024–25
53	Nevada	\$32,060	\$0		\$32,060	Facility	2022–23	2023–24
54	Nevada	\$41,196	\$0		\$41,196	Fleet	2022–23	2023–24
55	Nevada	\$12,681	\$0		\$12,681	Information Technology	2022–23	2023–24
56	Orange	\$295,224	\$0		\$295,224	Information Technology	2022–23	2025–26
57	Orange	\$632,000	\$0		\$632,000	Information Technology	2022–23	2025–26

Attachment B
Summary and Detail of Trial Court Trust Fund Funds Held on Behalf of the Trial Courts Applications for Amended Requests for 2023–24

	Court	Approved Project Total	Fiscal Year 2023–24 Contribution Amount	Adjustment	Proposed Project Total	Project Category	Project Time Period Starting Fiscal Year	Project Time Period— Ending Fiscal Year
58	Orange	\$316,000	\$0		\$316,000	Information Technology	2022–23	2024–25
59	Placer	\$495,000	\$0		\$495,000	Information Technology	2022–23	2025–26
60	Riverside	\$1,347,824	\$0		\$1,347,824	Facility	2022–23	2024–25
61	Riverside	\$651,989	\$29,914		\$681,903	Facility	2022–23	2025–26
62	Sacramento	\$2,240,214	\$177,888		\$2,418,102	Information Technology	2016–17	2026–27
63	San Benito	\$1,302,138	\$0		\$1,302,138	Facility	2020–21	2026–27
64	San Joaquin	\$4,543,424	\$192,262	\$357,130	\$5,092,816	Facility	2021–22	2025–26
65	San Joaquin	\$661,839	\$2,396,569		\$3,058,408	Facility	2022–23	2026–27
66	San Mateo	\$2,436,015	\$65,083		\$2,501,098	Court Funded Request	2018–19	2027–28
67	Stanislaus	\$2,076,813	\$1,061,895		\$3,138,708	Facility	2021–22	2025–26

Attachment B
Summary and Detail of Trial Court Trust Fund Funds Held on Behalf of the Trial Courts Applications for Amended Requests for 2023–24

	Court	Approved Project Total	Fiscal Year 2023–24 Contribution Amount	Adjustment	Proposed Project Total	Project Category	Project Time Period— Starting Fiscal Year	Project Time Period— Ending Fiscal Year
68	Sutter	\$559,848	\$0		\$559,848	Facility	2020–21	2024–25
69	Sutter	\$533,316	\$0		\$533,316	Facility	2022–23	2024–25
70	Tehama	\$1,003,987	\$324,087		\$1,328,074	Records scanning and modernization	2017–18	2025–26
71	Ventura	\$1,910,980	\$6,312		\$1,917,292	Information Technology	2022–23	2026–27
	Total	\$23,018,918	\$4,907,543	\$342,130	\$28,268,591			

Process, Criteria, and Required Information for Trial Court Trust Fund Fund Balance Held on Behalf of the Courts

Recommended Process for Trial Court Trust Fund Fund Balance Held on Behalf of the Courts

- 1. Trial Court Trust Fund fund balance will be held on behalf of trial courts only for expenditures or projects that cannot be funded by a court's annual budget or three-year encumbrance term and that require multiyear savings to implement. The program is not intended to address ongoing activities or commitments.
 - a. Allowable categories or activities include, but are not limited to:
 - i) Projects that extend beyond the original planned three-year term process such as expenses related to the delayed opening of new facilities or delayed deployment ofnew information systems;
 - ii) Technology improvements or infrastructure such as installing a local data center, datacenter equipment replacement, case management system deployment, converting to a new telephone system, desktop computer replacement, and replacement of backup emergency power systems;
 - iii) Facilities maintenance and repair allowed under rule 10.810 of the California Rules of Court such as flooring replacement and renovation as well as professional facilities maintenance equipment;
 - iv) Court efficiency projects such as online and smart forms for court users and systems for tracking case files; and
 - v) Other court infrastructure projects such as vehicle replacement and copy machine replacement.
- 2. The submission, review, and approval process is as follows:
 - a. All funds held on behalf requests for the prior fiscal year will be submitted once per year in the fall, after courts complete their year-end close-out, for consideration by the Judicial Council at its January business meeting.
 - b. Requests will be submitted to the Judicial Council's Director of Budget Services by the court's presiding judge or court executive officer.
 - c. Budget Services staff will review the request, ask the court to provide any missing or incomplete information, draft a preliminary report and share it with the court for any comments, revise as necessary, and issue the report to the Fiscal Planning Subcommittee of the Trial Court Budget Advisory Committee (TCBAC). The subcommittee will meet to consider the request and hear any presentations from representatives of the requesting courts. Budget Services staff will issue a final report on behalf of the subcommittee for consideration by the Judicial Council.

Revised: March 15, 2024

- d. The final report to the subcommittee and the Judicial Council will be provided to the requesting court before the report is made publicly available on the California Courts website.
- 3. The court is strongly encouraged to send a representative to the subcommittee meetings and may send a representative to the Judicial Council meetings to respond to questions. To be considered at the January Judicial Council business meeting, requests must be submitted to the Director of Budget Services no later than September 25.
- 4. The Judicial Council may consider including appropriate terms and conditions that courts must accept for the council to approve designating TCTF fund balance be held on the court's behalf.
 - a. Failure to comply with the terms and conditions will result in the immediate change in the designation of the related TCTF fund balance from restricted to unrestricted and no longer held on behalf of the court unless the council specifies an alternative action.
- 5. Request for funds held on behalf of the trial courts may be submitted for the following:
 - a. Requests for new projects or planned expenditures using prior fiscal year funding.
 - b. Requests for new projects or planned expenditures using unspent funding from a previously approved project that has been completed or surrendered by the requesting court.
 - c. Requests to amend previously approved projects or planned expenditures to adjust the amount of funding needed and/or the expenditure period to complete the original project.
 - d. Denied requests will result in the immediate change in the designation of the related TCTF fund balance from restricted to unrestricted and will no longer be held on behalf of the requesting court unless the Judicial Council specifies an alternative action.
- 6. Courts will be reimbursed monthly for actual expenses submitted for the approved project and recorded in the Judicial Council's Phoenix SAP accounting system.
 - a. Distribution of funding may be approved without a recorded expense at the discretion of the Judicial Council's Director of Budget Services. The court must submit a request and justification explaining why the funding is needed prior to incurring and reporting expenditures for the project.
- 7. Courts are required to report to the Trial Court Budget Advisory Committee annually on the status of each project or planned expenditure and how the funds were expended until the project is completed. For completed projects a final report must be submitted, and then no further reporting is required.

Revised: March 15, 2024

8. During the required trial court audit cycle, a review of any funds that were held on behalf of the courts will be made to confirm that they were used for their approved purpose.

Recommended Criteria for Eligibility for TCTF Fund Balance Held on Behalf of the Courts

TCTF fund balance will be held on behalf of the trial courts only for expenditures or projects that cannot be funded by the court's annual budget or three-year encumbrance term and that require multiyear savings to implement.

Recommended Information Required to Be Provided by Trial Courts for TCTF Fund Balance Held on Behalf of the Courts

Trial courts are required to provide all applicable information as requested on the *Application* for TCTFFunds Held on Behalf of the Court.

Revised: March 15, 2024