



JUDICIAL COUNCIL OF CALIFORNIA

455 Golden Gate Avenue • San Francisco, California 94102-3688

www.courts.ca.gov

REPORT TO THE JUDICIAL COUNCIL

Item No.: 22-033

For Circulating Order CO-22-02 on: May 10, 2022

Title	Agenda Item Type
Trial Court Budget: Minimum Operating and Emergency Fund Balance Policy	Action Required
Rules, Forms, Standards, or Statutes Affected	Effective Date
None	July 1, 2022
Recommended by	Date of Report
Trial Court Budget Advisory Committee Hon. Jonathan Conklin, Chair Ms. Rebecca Fleming, Vice-Chair	May 10, 2022
	Contact
	Joseph Glavin, 916-643-7006 Joseph.Glavin@jud.ca.gov

Executive Summary

The Judicial Council's suspension of the minimum operating and emergency fund balance policy expires as of June 30, 2022. The minimum operating and emergency fund balance policy, established by the Judicial Council in October 2006, requires trial courts to set aside a percentage of funds for use in emergency situations or when revenue shortages or budgetary imbalances may exist. This policy has been suspended by the Judicial Council since August 31, 2012, due to changes in statute and to provide for the opportunity to seek further statutory amendments. The Trial Court Budget Advisory Committee recommends that the Judicial Council approve an extension of the suspension of the minimum operating and emergency fund balance policy.

Recommendation

The Trial Court Budget Advisory Committee recommends that, effective July 1, 2022, the Judicial Council extend the suspension of the minimum operating and emergency fund balance policy for two fiscal years until June 30, 2024, or earlier if Government Code section 77203 is amended.

This recommendation was presented to the Judicial Branch Budget Committee on April 6, 2022 and approved for consideration by the Judicial Council.

Relevant Previous Council Action

On August 31, 2012, the council suspended the minimum operating and emergency fund balance policy through June 30, 2014, which required courts to maintain a fund balance or reserve that was approximately 3 to 5 percent of their prior year general fund expenditures. (Link A).

The council's action was taken in the context of two statutory changes. First, Government Code section 68502.5 required, starting in 2012–13, the establishment of the 2 percent reserve in the Trial Court Trust Fund (TCTF). Each court contributed towards the reserve from its base allocation for operations. Second, Government Code section 77203 imposed, effective June 30, 2014, a 1 percent cap on fund balance that courts can carry forward from one fiscal year to the next. In recognition of the efforts to either eliminate or increase the 1 percent cap, the council suspended, instead of eliminated, the minimum operating and fund balance policy.

On October 28, 2014, the council extended the suspension of the minimum operating and emergency fund balance policy for two more fiscal years until June 30, 2016 (Link B). The council requested that the minimum operating and emergency fund balance policy be in addition to the 1 percent reserve cap, while in the interim it would seek the repeal of Government Code section 77203.

At its April 15, 2016 business meeting, the Judicial Council adopted a process, criteria, and application form for courts to request funds be retained in the TCTF as restricted fund balance for the benefit of those courts (Link C). The process is intended only for expenditures that cannot be funded by a court's annual budget or three-year encumbrance term, and that require multiyear savings to implement. These requests are reviewed by the Fiscal Planning Subcommittee of the Trial Court Budget Advisory Committee (TCBAC) with recommendations made to the Judicial Council.

Effective July 1, 2016, the 2 percent reserve requirement in the TCTF, which each court contributed to from its base allocation for operations, was replaced with a new reserve policy for trial courts by providing \$10 million General Fund one-time as a reserve in the TCTF. In response to requirements set out in Government Code section 68502.5(c)(2)(B), the Judicial Branch Budget Committee recommended, and the Judicial Council approved, the \$10 million State-Level Reserve Process for requesting emergency funding on October 28, 2016 (Link D). Funds used from the reserve are replenished annually out of base allocations to all trial courts. At this time, the 1 percent fund balance amount that courts can carry from one fiscal year to the next was still in place.

On January 19, 2017, the council extended the suspension of the minimum operating and emergency fund balance policy again for two more fiscal years until June 30, 2018—or earlier if Government Code section 77203 was repealed or amended—while in the interim the council would continue to seek repeal of Government Code section 77203 (Link E).

On May 24, 2018, the council again approved an extension of the suspension of the minimum operating and emergency fund balance policy for two more fiscal years until June 30, 2020—or

earlier if Government Code section 77203 was repealed or amended—while in the interim the council would continue to seek repeal of Government Code section 77203 (Link F).

Finally, on July 24, 2020, the council again approved an extension of the suspension of the minimum operating and emergency fund balance policy for two more fiscal years until June 30, 2022—or earlier if Government Code section 77203 is amended (Link G). The most recent Fund Balance Policy is included as Attachment A, and Government Code section 77203 is included as Attachment B.

Analysis/Rationale

Efforts by the Judicial Council and the trial courts to amend Government Code section 77203 and increase the amount of the 1 percent cap have been supported by the Department of Finance and the Legislature. Commencing June 30, 2014 and concluding June 30, 2019, a trial court could carry over unexpended funds in an amount not to exceed 1 percent of the court’s operating budget from the prior fiscal year. In 2019, Government Code section 77203 was amended so that a trial court may carry over unexpended funds in an amount not to exceed 3 percent of the court’s operating budget from the prior fiscal year commencing June 30, 2020 (Attachment B).

During recent TCBAC preparation of budget change concepts for 2023–24, trial courts have shared that a further increase to the fund balance cap above 3 percent continues to be a priority to support trial court operations. Advocacy efforts for further changes to Government Code section 77203 to increase the established 3 percent cap remain a discussion item with the administration.

Policy implications

None.

Comments

There were no public comments received on this item.

Alternatives considered

An extension of the suspension for a period greater than two years was considered; however, the recommendation of a two-year extension aligns with prior council action. It also provides sufficient time for continued discussion regarding the fund balance cap and further evaluation of the impact of an ongoing suspension or any long-term or permanent changes to the policy.

Fiscal and Operational Impacts

None.

Attachments and Links

1. Attachment A: Fund Balance Policy (June 2020)
2. Attachment B: Government Code section 77203

3. Link A: Judicial Council Meeting Minutes, Aug. 30–31, 2012, including Item N: *Trial Court Trust Fund Allocations: Statewide Programs*, <http://www.courts.ca.gov/documents/jc-20120831-minutes.pdf>
4. Link B: Judicial Council Meeting Minutes, Oct. 27–28, 2014, including Item M: *Trial Court Budget: 2 Percent State-Level Reserve Process and Minimum Operating and Emergency Fund Balance Policy*, <http://www.courts.ca.gov/documents/jc-20141028-minutes.pdf>
5. Link C: Judicial Council Meeting Agenda, Apr. 15, 2016, including Item 16-055: *Trial Court Allocations: Trial Court Reserves Held in the Trial Court Trust Fund* <https://jcc.legistar.com/View.ashx?M=A&ID=463457&GUID=194A3350-D97F-452B-ACF4-1EBE6C105CCA%20>
6. Link D: Judicial Council Meeting Minutes, Oct. 28, 2016, including Item 16-195: *Trial Court Budget: \$10 Million State-Level Reserve Process*, <https://jcc.legistar.com/View.ashx?M=M&ID=463482&GUID=71780E2D-3758-4213-B3A5-7100073AB7CF>
7. Link E: Judicial Council Meeting Minutes, Jan. 19, 2017, including Item 17-002: *Trial Court Budget: Minimum Operating and Emergency Fund Balance Policy*, <https://jcc.legistar.com/View.ashx?M=M&ID=523723&GUID=AAC05972-68BD-4B48-B46C-240B851E3CEF>
8. Link F: Judicial Council Meeting Minutes, May 24, 2018, including Item 18-095: *Trial Court Budget: Minimum Operating and Emergency Fund Balance Policy*, <https://jcc.legistar.com/View.ashx?M=M&ID=559783&GUID=1C4B0F75-3F17-4F8A-9712-034640BB460C>
9. Link G: Judicial Council Meeting Minutes, July 24, 2020, including Item 20-045: *Trial Court Budget: Minimum Operating and Emergency Fund Balance Policy*, <https://jcc.legistar.com/View.ashx?M=M&ID=711582&GUID=90001AF2-7CEE-4F0F-906B-29A03ED9CB43>

Trial Court Financial Policies & Procedures

Fund Balance Policy

June 2020

Fund Balance

1. As publicly funded entities, and in accordance with good public policy, trial courts must ensure that the funds allocated and received from the state and other sources are used efficiently and accounted for properly and consistently. The trial courts shall account for and report fund balance in accordance with established standards, utilizing approved classifications. Additionally, a fund balance can never be negative.
2. Beginning with the most binding constraints, fund balance amounts must be reported in the following classifications:
 - a. Nonspendable Fund Balance
 - b. Restricted Fund Balance
 - c. Committed Fund Balance
 - d. Assigned Fund Balance
 - e. Unassigned Fund Balance (General Fund only)
3. When allocating fund balance to the classifications and categories, allocations must follow the following prioritization:
 - a. Nonspendable Fund Balance
 - b. Restricted Fund Balance
 - c. Contractual commitments to be paid in the next fiscal year
 - d. The minimum calculated operating and emergency fund balance
 - e. Other Judicial Council mandates to be paid in the next fiscal year
 - f. Contractual commitments to be paid in subsequent fiscal years
 - g. Assigned Fund Balance designations
 - h. Unassigned Fund Balance
4. Nonspendable Fund Balance includes amounts that cannot be spent because they are either (a) not in spendable form (not expected to be converted to cash), or (b) legally or contractually required to be maintained intact. Examples include: Inventories, prepaid amounts, Long-Term Loans and Notes Receivable, and Principal of a Permanent (e.g., endowment) Fund.
5. Restricted Fund Balance includes amounts constrained for a specific purpose by external parties, constitutional provision, or enabling legislation.

- a. Externally imposed—imposed externally by grantors, creditors, contributors, or laws or regulations of other governments (i.e., monies received by a grantor that can only be used for that purpose defined by the grant).
 - b. Imposed by Law (Statutory)—restricted fund balance that consists of unspent, received revenues whose use is statutorily restricted (e.g., children’s waiting room and dispute resolution program funding).
6. Committed Fund Balance includes amounts that can only be used for specific purposes pursuant to constraints imposed by formal action of the Judicial Council. These committed amounts cannot be used for any other purpose unless the Judicial Council removes or changes the specified use by taking the same type of action it employed to previously commit those amounts. Committed Fund Balance must also include contractual obligations to the extent that existing resources in the fund have been specifically committed for use in satisfying those contractual requirements. While the requirement to include contractual commitments is a policy decision of the Judicial Council, the type, number, and execution of contracts is within the express authority of presiding judges or their designee.
7. **[NOTE: The minimum operating and emergency fund requirement discussed here is temporarily suspended until the Judicial Council lifts the suspension.]** The Judicial Council has authorized a stabilization arrangement (Operating and Emergency fund category) to be set aside for use in emergency situations or when revenue shortages or budgetary imbalances might exist. The amount is subject to controls that dictate the circumstances under which the court would spend any of the minimum operating and emergency fund balance. Each court must maintain a minimum operating and emergency fund balance at all times during a fiscal year as determined by the following calculation based upon the prior fiscal year’s ending total unrestricted general fund expenditures (excluding special revenue, debt service, permanent proprietary, and fiduciary funds), less any material one-time expenditures (e.g., large one-time contracts).

Annual General Fund Expenditures
5 percent of the first \$10,000,000
4 percent of the next \$40,000,000
3 percent of expenditures over \$50,000,000

If a court determines that it is unable to maintain the minimum operating and emergency fund balance level as identified above, the court must immediately notify the Administrative Director, or designee, in writing and provide a plan with a specific time frame to correct the situation.

8. Assigned Fund Balance is constrained by the presiding judge, or designee, with the intent that it be used for specific purposes or designations that are neither unspendable,

restricted, nor committed. Constraints imposed on the use of assigned amounts are more easily removed or modified than those imposed on amounts that are classified as committed. Assigned amounts are based on estimates, and explanations of the methodology used to compute or determine the designated amount must be provided.

Assigned Fund Balances include:

- a. All remaining amounts that are reported in governmental funds, other than general funds, that are not classified as nonspendable and are neither restricted nor committed; and
- b. Amounts in the general fund that are intended to be used for a specific purpose in accordance with the provision identified by the presiding judge or designee.

Assigned Fund Balances will be identified according to the following categories:

- a. One-time Facility–Tenant Improvements. Examples include carpet and fixture replacements.
- b. One-time Facility–Other Examples include amounts paid by the Judicial Council on behalf of the courts.
- c. Statewide Administrative Infrastructure Initiatives. Statewide assessment in support of technology initiatives (e.g., Phoenix) will be identified in this designation.
- d. Local Infrastructure (technology and nontechnology needs). Examples include interim case management systems and nonsecurity equipment.
- e. One-time Employee Compensation (leave obligation, retirement, etc.). Amounts included in this category are exclusive of employee compensation amounts already included in the court’s operating budget and not in a designated fund balance category.
 - i. One-time leave payments at separation from employment. If amounts are not already accounted for in a court’s operating budget, estimated one-time payouts for vacation or annual leave to employees planning to separate from employment within the next fiscal year should be in this designated fund balance subcategory. This amount could be computed as the average amount paid out with separations or other leave payments during the last three years. Any anticipated non-normal or unusually high payout for an individual or individuals should be added to at the average amount calculated.

- ii. Unfunded pension obligation. If documented by an actuarial report, the amount of unfunded pension obligation should be included as a designated fund balance. Employer retirement plan contributions for the current fiscal year must be accounted for in the court's operating budget.
- iii. Unfunded retiree health care obligation. If documented by an actuarial report, the amount of unfunded retiree health care obligation should be included as a designated fund balance.

The current year's unfunded retiree health care obligation contains: (i) the current year Annual Required Contribution (ARC) based on a 30-year amortization of retiree health costs as of last fiscal year-end, and (ii) the prior year retiree health care obligation less (iii), the retiree health care employer contributions and any transfers made to an irrevocable trust set up for this purpose. The current year's unfunded retiree health care obligation is to be added to the prior year's obligation.

- iv. Workers' compensation (if managed locally). The amount estimated to be paid out in the next fiscal year.
 - v. Use of reserve funds for liquidation of outstanding leave balances for employees in a layoff situation, consistent with the requirements of GASB 45; other examples would include reserving funds for the implementation of "enhanced retirement" or "golden handshake" programs in the interest of eliminating salaries at the "high end" or "top step," and thereby generating salary savings or rehires at the low end of a pay scale for position(s), but realizing one-time costs in the interest of longer-term savings for the court.
- f. Professional and Consultant Services. Examples include human resources, information technology, and other consultants.
 - g. Security. Examples include security equipment and pending increases for security service contracts.
 - h. Bridge Funding. A court may choose to identify specific short or intermediate term funding amounts needed to address future needs that are otherwise not reportable, nor fit the criteria, in either restricted nor committed classifications, that it believes are necessary to identify through specific designations. These designations must be listed with a description in sufficient detail to determine their purpose and requirements.
 - i. Miscellaneous (required to provide detail). Any other planned commitments that are not appropriately included in one of the above designated fund balance subcategories

should be listed here with a description in sufficient detail to determine its purpose and requirements.

9. Unassigned Fund Balance is the residual classification for the general fund. This classification represents fund balance that has not been assigned to any other fund balance classification. The general fund is the only fund that shall report a positive unassigned fund balance amount.

77203.

(a) Prior to June 30, 2014, a trial court may carry over all unexpended funds from the courts operating budget from the prior fiscal year.

(b) Commencing June 30, 2014, and concluding June 30, 2019, a trial court may carry over unexpended funds in an amount not to exceed 1 percent of the court's operating budget from the prior fiscal year. Commencing June 30, 2020, a trial court may carry over unexpended funds in an amount not to exceed 3 percent of the court's operating budget from the prior fiscal year. The calculation of the percentage authorized to be carried over from the previous fiscal year shall not include funds received by the court pursuant to the following:

(1) Section 470.5 of the Business and Professions Code.

(2) Section 116.230 of the Code of Civil Procedure, except for those funds transmitted to the Controller for deposit in the Trial Court Trust Fund pursuant to subdivision (h) of that section.

(3) Subdivision (f) of Section 13963, Sections 26731, 66006, 68090.8, 70640, 70678, and 76223, subdivision (b) of Section 77207.5, and subdivision (h) of Section 77209.

(4) The portion of filing fees collected for conversion to micrographics pursuant to former Section 26863, as that section read immediately before its repeal, and Section 27361.4.

(5) Sections 1027 and 1463.007, subdivision (a) of Section 1463.22, and Sections 4750 and 6005, of the Penal Code.

(6) Sections 11205.2 and 40508.6 of the Vehicle Code.

(Amended by Stats. 2019, Ch. 36, Sec. 2. (SB 95) Effective June 27, 2019. Section conditionally inoperative as provided in Section 77400.)