

Judicial Council of California

455 Golden Gate Avenue · San Francisco, California 94102-3688 www.courts.ca.gov

REPORT TO THE JUDICIAL COUNCIL

Item No.: 23-156

For business meeting on: September 19, 2023

Title

Protective Orders: Updated Law Enforcement Information Form and New Request Forms for Continuances

Rules, Forms, Standards, or Statutes Affected

Adopt forms CH-715, CH-716, EA-715, EA-716, SV-715, SV-716, WV-715, and WV-716; revise form CLETS-001

Recommended by

Civil and Small Claims Advisory Committee Hon. Tamara L. Wood, Chair Family and Juvenile Law Advisory Committee

Hon. Stephanie E. Hulsey, Cochair Hon. Amy M. Pellman, Cochair

Agenda Item Type

Action Required

Effective Date

January 1, 2024

Date of Report

August 4, 2023

Contact

James Barolo, 415-865-8928 james.barolo@jud.ca.gov

Frances Ho, 415-865-7662 frances.ho@jud.ca.gov

Executive Summary

The Civil and Small Claims Advisory Committee and the Family and Juvenile Law Advisory Committee recommend revising form CLETS-001 to make needed updates and adopting new forms to be used when a request to renew has been filed in a protective order proceeding, and the court or a party wishes to continue a hearing.

Recommendation

The Civil and Small Claims Advisory Committee and Family and Juvenile Law Advisory Committee recommend that the Judicial Council, effective January 1, 2024:

1. Adopt eight Judicial Council forms relating to continuances of hearings on requests to renew restraining orders:

- Request to Reschedule Hearing to Renew Restraining Order (form CH-715);
- Order to Reschedule Hearing to Renew Restraining Order (form CH-716);
- Request to Reschedule Hearing to Renew Restraining Order (form EA-715);
- Order to Reschedule Hearing to Renew Restraining Order (form EA-716);
- Request to Reschedule Hearing to Renew Restraining Order (form SV-715);
- Order to Reschedule Hearing to Renew Restraining Order (form SV-716);
- Request to Reschedule Hearing to Renew Restraining Order (form WV-715); and
- Order to Reschedule Hearing to Renew Restraining Order (form WV-716);
- 2. Revise *CONFIDENTIAL CLETS Information* (form CLETS-001), retitled as *Confidential Information for Law Enforcement* to update the form.

Relevant Previous Council Action

Form CLETS-001 was adopted for use in 2012, as a universal form to be completed by all litigants requesting a civil restraining order. Before its adoption, many of the civil restraining order forms had separate forms designed for the same purpose. Form CLETS-001 has not been revised since its adoption.

Analysis/Rationale

This proposal is needed to make necessary revisions to form CLETS-001, as described below. The committees also propose adopting new forms that would be used by litigants and courts to continue a hearing on a request to renew a restraining order.

Form CLETS-001

Form CLETS-001 is a confidential form that is turned into the court but does not become part of the court file. The purpose of this form is to provide additional information that could be helpful if law enforcement is called on to enforce a protective order. The committees recommend a number of changes to this form.

First, the form has been reorganized to include information about the proposed restrained party at the very beginning, including the current item about possession of firearms. This reorganization allows petitioners of gun violence restraining orders to skip the remaining items about protected parties that do not apply to their case. Second, all references to gender now include a nonbinary option, consistent with the request and order forms. Third, the committees recommend removing the protected party's address in response to safety concerns raised by advocates. Fourth, several changes are made in response to user-testing results, including retitling the form, revising the instructions at the top of the form to provide a clearer explanation of what the form will be used for, and having the court note the date the form is received rather than having the petitioner indicate whether the form is the initial or an amended version. Finally, the committee recommends removing information that is unlikely to be helpful in enforcing an order (e.g., a protected person's vehicle and license plate number) and information that is already included on the restraining order (e.g., a restrained person's address).

Continuance forms for request to renew restraining order

The committees also recommend adopting a request to continue form and an order for continuance form for each of the CH (civil harassment), DV (domestic violence), GV (gun violence), EA (elder abuse), SV (private postsecondary school violence), and WV (workplace violence) form sets, to be used when a request to renew a restraining order has been filed with the court. The *Request to Reschedule Hearing to Renew Restraining Order* (forms 715 in each series) could be used by either party to ask the court to continue a hearing. The *Order to Reschedule Hearing to Renew Restraining Order* (forms 716) would be used by the court to indicate its decision on a request to continue hearing or to continue a hearing on its own motion. That form, like the order to reschedule the original hearing on a restraining order petition, includes a warning to the restrained person that the current restraining order must be obeyed and an item for the court to use to inform the party requesting a continuance what type of service, if any, is required.¹

Policy implications

This recommendation helps implement Goal I, "Access, Fairness, Diversity, and Inclusion," of the Judicial Council's strategic plan by helping to make forms easier to complete and understand for self-represented litigants. Additionally, changes to form CLETS-001 were based on user-testing and feedback from service providers, consistent with Goal IV of the strategic plan to provide the highest quality of justice and service to the public.

Comments

This proposal was released for public comment from March 30 through May 12, 2023. Ten commenters responded to the proposal. Five agreed with the proposal, two agreed if modified, and three did not indicate a position; no commenters disagreed with the proposal. Commenters were the Superior Courts of Los Angeles, Orange, Riverside, San Bernardino, and San Diego Counties; the Joint Rules Subcommittee of the Trial Court Presiding Judges Advisory Committee and the Court Executives Advisory Committee; Bay Area Legal Aid; the Family Violence Appellate Project; the Orange County Bar Association; and one individual.

The committees thank all commenters for taking the time to respond to this proposal. In general, commenters supported many of the changes. Some of the more significant comments and the committees' responses are provided below. All comments and the committees' responses are provided in the attached chart of comments at pages 26–41.

Retaining information on restrained person's employer on form CLETS-001

Several commenters stated that information regarding the restrained person's employer could be helpful for enforcement purposes. The committees agree and recommend including the name and address of the restrained person's employer.

¹ Because other changes relevant only to the DV and GV form sets are recommended in other proposals, the proposed DV and GV forms 715 and 716 are included with those proposals rather than this proposal, but are substantially similar to the forms included in this proposal.

Updating information on form CLETS-001

One commenter notes that it would place an additional burden on survivors to require them to submit an amended form CLETS-001 whenever information changes. The committees agreed with the commenter's concern and have revised the instruction in the proposed form to make it optional to submit an updated form.

Alternatives considered

For the new continuance forms for renewal proceedings, the committee considered revising the existing request and order (forms 115 and 116). However, the committee decided against this approach as some of the statutory requirements only apply to continuances of the initial request for restraining order (e.g., a respondent is entitled to one continuance, for a reasonable period of time, to respond to the initial petition). Creating a form that would work for both the initial request for restraining order and for a renewal would make the forms more complicated. Instead, the committees decided that a separate continuance form set for renewals would be more user-friendly.

The committees also considered whether to adopt a new information form, similar to forms CH-115-INFO and DV-115-INFO. The committees decided against a separate information form at this time and instead included more instruction on the forms 715, under "Your Next Steps."

Fiscal and Operational Impacts

Commenting courts noted that resources would be needed to provide training, revise internal procedures, and update form packets and case management systems. All courts that responded indicated that three months for implementation would be sufficient.

Attachments and Links

- 1. Forms CLETS-001, CH-715, CH-716, EA-715, EA-716, SV-715, SV-716, WV-715, and WV-716, at pages 5–25
- 2. Chart of comments, at pages 26–41

CLETS-001

Confidential Information for Law Enforcement

uired in your case. If the judge grants the restrain a give on this form will be entered into a database of enforcement enforce the order. If information cl	e (called CLl	nformation ETS) to help	The information on this form must be entered into the protective order registry in CLETS. Court fills in case number when form is receive
mplete this form again and turn it in to the court.			Case Number:
ormation that has a star (*) next to it is require	ed. All other	information	
•			Date received by court:
Person You Want a Restraining Orde	er Against		
*Name:			
Other names used:			
Marks, scars, or tattoos: Telephone: Vehicle type: Name of employer and address: Driver's Model:			SSN:
Telephone: Driver's	s license (nun	nber and state)):
Vehicle type: Model:		Year:	Plate number:
Name of employer and address:			
Does the person speak English? Yes	I don't know	v 🗆 No (lis	t language):
Does the person have any firearms (guns), firea ☐ No ☐ I don't know ☐ Yes (Give any information you have below,	like the type	, amount, or lo	ocation of the firearm, if known.)
☐ No ☐ I don't know	like the type	, amount, or lo	ocation of the firearm, if known.)
☐ No ☐ I don't know ☐ Yes (Give any information you have below,			
□ No □ I don't know □ Yes (Give any information you have below, *Your Name:			
□ No □ I don't know □ Yes (Give any information you have below, *Your Name: (Skip ③ and ④ if you are asking formation)	for a gun viol	lence restrainii *G	ng order (form GV-100).) Gender: M F X (nonbinary
No ☐ I don't know☐ Yes (Give any information you have below, *Your Name: (Skip ③ and ④ if you are asking formation)	for a gun viol	lence restrainii *G	ng order (form GV-100).)
No ☐ I don't know☐ Yes (Give any information you have below,☐ Yes (Give any information you have below,☐ *Your Name:☐ (Skip ③ and ④ if you are asking formation *Age:☐ Date of Birth (month, day, year):	for a gun viol	lence restrainii *G	ng order (form GV-100).) Gender: M F X (nonbinar
No I don't know Yes (Give any information you have below, *Your Name: (Skip 3 and 4 if you are asking formation *Age: Date of Birth (month, day, year): Race:	for a gun viol	lence restrainii *G	ng order (form GV-100).) Gender: M F X (nonbinar
No ☐ I don't know ☐ Yes (Give any information you have below, *Your Name: (Skip ③ and ④ if you are asking formation *Age: Date of Birth (month, day, year): Race: Do you speak English? ☐ Yes ☐ No (list)	for a gun viol	lence restrainii *G	ng order (form GV-100).) Gender: M F X (nonbinary)
No	for a gun viol	lence restrainii *G Tele	ng order (form GV-100).) Sender: M F X (nonbinary phone:
No I don't know Yes (Give any information you have below, *Your Name: (Skip ③ and ④ if you are asking formation *Age: Date of Birth (month, day, year): Race: Do you speak English? ☐ Yes ☐ No (list) Other People You Want Protected *Name: *** *Name: ** ** ** ** ** ** ** ** *	for a gun viol language): Gender:	lence restrainii*GTeleRace:	ng order (form GV-100).) Sender: M F X (nonbinary phone: Date of Birth:
No ☐ I don't know☐ Yes (Give any information you have below, *Your Name: (Skip ③ and ④ if you are asking formation *Age:☐ Date of Birth (month, day, year): Race:☐ Do you speak English? ☐ Yes ☐ No (list Other People You Want Protected *Name:* *Name:*	for a gun viol	lence restrainii *G Tele	ng order (form GV-100).) Sender: M F X (nonbinary phone:

This is not a Court Order—Do not place in court file.

CH-715

Request to Reschedule Hearing to Renew Restraining Order

Instructions: Either party may use this form to ask the court to reschedule the hearing (court date) listed on form **CH-710**, *Notice of Hearing to Renew Restraining Order*. Note: If the hearing is rescheduled, the restraining order will be extended until the new court hearing.

Clerk stamps date here when form is filed.

DRAFT

8/2/2023

NOT APPROVED BY THE JUDICIAL COUNCIL

Мy	Information			
а	My name is:			Fill in court name and street address:
и.	My name is:			Superior Court of California, County of
b.	I am the (check one):			
	(1) Protected party	(skip to 2).		
	(2) Restrained party	(give your contact information	n below).	
	Address where I can re	eceive mail:		Fill in case number: Case Number:
	you official court dates, another address like a pe	d by the court and by the other orders, and papers. For privacy ost office box, a Safe at Home s, if you have their permission.	y, you may use address, or	oudo rumbon
	Address:			_
	City:	State:	Zip:	
	Additional contact info			
	Telephone:	Fax:		_
	Email Address:			
	Lawyer's information	(skip if you do not have one)		
	Name:		State Bar No.:	
	Firm Name:			
ln	formation About Yo	our Case		
a.	The other party in this c	ase is (full name):		
b	The court date is curren	tly scheduled for (date):		
~.				

Why does your court date need to be reso	cheduled?
a. I need more time to have the restrained party se	
b. Other reason:	
Signature	
	ne State of California that the information above is true and
I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the	ne State of California that the information above is true and
I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the correct.	ne State of California that the information above is true and Sign your name
I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the correct. Date:	
I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the correct. Date:	
I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the correct. Date: Type or print your name	ne State of California that the information above is true and Sign your name

Your Next Steps

- Complete form <u>CH-716</u>, *Order to Reschedule Hearing to Renew Restraining Order* (only items 1) and 2).
- File forms <u>CH-715</u> and <u>CH-716</u> with the court. A judge will review your forms and decide whether to reschedule your court date.
- If the judge grants your request to reschedule your court date, you must have someone serve a copy of all forms listed on form <u>CH-716</u>, item (5). Your server can be the sheriff or another adult who is not involved in the case. For more information on how to serve the restrained person, go to https://selfhelp.courts.ca.gov/CH-restraining-order/renew/sheriff-serves.
- If the judge denies your request to reschedule, you must go to your court hearing (listed on form <u>CH-710</u>).

DRAFT 8/2/2023 NOT APPROVED BY THE JUDICIAL COUNCIL
NOT APPROVED BY THE
1
Fill in court name and street address:
Superior Court of California, County of
Fill in 2000 number:
Fill in case number: Case Number:
ur court date is rescheduled for the day and til the hearing date below or the original tion. ddress of court, if different from above:
t

a.		
Serving (Giving) Order to Oth The request to reschedule was made b	-	
a. Protected party	b. Restrained party	c. Court
(1) You do not have to serve the restrained party because they or their lawyer were at the court date or agreed to reschedule the court date.	(1) You do not have to serve the protected party because they or their lawyer were at the court date or agreed to reschedule the court date.	(1) Further notice is not require
(2) \(\sum \) You must have the restrained party personally served with a copy of all the forms listed on form \(\frac{\text{CH-710}}{\text{,}} \), item \(\frac{\text{4}}{\text{,}} \), by \((date): \(\sum \)	(2) \(\sum \) You must have the protected party personally served with a copy of this order by \((date): \)	(2) The court will mail a copy of this order to all parties by (date):
(3) You must have the restrained party served with a copy of this order. This can be done by mail. You must serve by (date):	(3) \(\sum \) You must have the protected party served with a copy of this order. This can be done by mail. You must serve by \((date): \)	(3) Other:
(4) Other:	(4) Other:	

6	The sheriff or marsh	(Notify) Restraine al will serve this order for the control of the		
	b. The person in	1 is entitled to a fee	waiver.	
7	Other Orders	•		
Date:				Judicial Officer
6	Assistive l		uter-assisted real-time captio	ning, or sign language interpreter service
	Assistive lare availab	istening systems, compole if you ask at least five	uter-assisted real-time captio ve days before the hearing. Co	
	Assistive lare available www.cours § 54.8.)	istening systems, compole if you ask at least five ts.ca.gov/forms.htm for the court date, the court date, the court	uter-assisted real-time caption by days before the hearing. Condition of the Disability Accommodation Restructions to Clerk and the control of the control o	ning, or sign language interpreter service ontact the clerk's office or go to
	Assistive lare available www.cours § 54.8.)	istening systems, compole if you ask at least five ts.ca.gov/forms.htm for the court date, the court to CLETS. This must be	uter-assisted real-time caption by days before the hearing. Condition of the Disability Accommodation Restructions to Clerk and the control of the control o	ning, or sign language interpreter service ontact the clerk's office or go to equest (form MC-410). (Civ. Code,
en C	Assistive lare available www.cours § 54.8.)	istening systems, compole if you ask at least five ts.ca.gov/forms.htm for the court date, the court to CLETS. This must be to CLETS. This must be to CLETS.	estructions to Clerk re the must enter this order into Ce done within one business data. Clerk's Certificate—	ning, or sign language interpreter service ontact the clerk's office or go to equest (form MC-410). (Civ. Code, LETS or send this order to law by from the day the order is made.

EA-715

Request to Reschedule Hearing to Renew Restraining Order

Instructions: Either party may use this form to ask the court to reschedule the hearing (court date) listed on form <u>EA-710</u>, *Notice of Hearing to Renew Restraining Order*. Note: If the hearing is rescheduled, the restraining order will be extended until the new court hearing.

Clerk stamps date here when form is filed.

DRAFT

8/2/2023

NOT APPROVED BY THE JUDICIAL COUNCIL

My Information		
a. My name is:		Fill in court name and street address: Superior Court of California, County of
b. I am the (check one):		
(1) Protected party (skip to 2	2)).	
(2) Person asking for protect	ion for the protected par	ty
(name of elder or dependent	adult):	Fill in case number:
(skip to 2).		Case Number:
(3) Restrained party (give you	ur contact information b	pelow).
Address where I can receive	ve mail:	
This address will be used by official court dates, orders, a address like a post office box person's address, if you have their information.	and papers. For privacy, ax, a Safe at Home address	you may use another ss, or another
Address:	Stata	Zip:
Additional contact informa		Zip
Telephone:	` 1	
Email Address:	1 ux.	
Lawyer's information (skip		
Name:		State Bar No.:
Firm Name:		
Information About Your Cas	e	
a. The other party in this case is (fu	ll name):	
b. The court date is currently sched	uled for (date):	
·	. ,	

Why does your court date need to be resched	uled?
a. I need more time to have the restrained party served.	
b. Other reason:	
Signature	
I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the Stat correct.	te of California that the information above is true and
Date:	
Type or print your name	Sign your name
Lawyer's signature (if you have one)	
Date:	
Lawyer's name	Lawyer's signature

Your Next Steps

- Complete form <u>EA-716</u>, *Order to Reschedule Hearing to Renew Restraining Order* (only items 1) and 2).
- File forms <u>EA-715</u> and <u>EA-716</u> with the court. A judge will review your forms and decide whether to reschedule your court date.
- If the judge grants your request to reschedule your court date, you must have someone serve a copy of all forms listed on form <u>EA-716</u>, item (5). Your server can be the sheriff or another adult who is not involved in the case. For more information on how to serve the restrained person, go to https://selfhelp.courts.ca.gov/EA-restraining-order/renew/sheriff-serves.
- If the judge denies your request to reschedule, you must go to your court hearing (listed on form <u>EA-710</u>).

EA-716	Order to Reschedule Hearing	Clerk stamps date here when form is filed.
	to Renew Restraining Order	DRAFT
Complete (1) and (2) on	ly. The court will complete the rest of this form.)	8/2/2023
1 Protected Party	/ :	NOT APPROVED BY THE JUDICIAL COUNCIL
2 Restrained Par	ty:	Fill in court name and street address: Superior Court of California, County of
3 Next Court Dat a. Denied: The	e request to reschedule the court date is denied.	5 ''':
Your court date	is:	Fill in case number: Case Number:
	date is not rescheduled because: ne request to reschedule the court date is granted	I. Your court date is rescheduled for the day and
time listed b expiration d	elow. The current restraining order stays in effective, whichever is later. See (4)–(7) for more info	et until the hearing date below or the original ormation.
New Date		and address of court, if different from above:
Court Dep	:: Time: Room:	
	Warning and Notice to the Restra You must obey the restraining order whi	· ·



		C	Case Number:
<u> </u>	Reason Court Date Is Resche	duled	
7	a. The protected party has not ser	ved the restrained party.	
	b. Other reason:		
5)	Serving (Giving) Order to Oth	er Party	
	The request to reschedule was made b	y the:	
	a. Protected party	b. Restrained party	c. Court
	(1) You do not have to serve the restrained party because they or their lawyer were at the court date or agreed to reschedule the court date.	(1) You do not have to serve the protected party because they or their lawyer were at the court date or agreed to reschedule the court date.	(1) \square Further notice is not required.
	(2) You must have the restrained party personally served with a copy of all the forms listed on form <u>EA-710</u> , item 4 , by <i>(date)</i> :	(2) You must have the protected party personally served with a copy of this order by (date):	(2) The court will mail a copy of this order to all parties by (date):
	(3) You must have the restrained party served with a copy of this order. This can be done by mail. You must serve by (date):	(3) You must have the protected party served with a copy of this order. This can be done by mail. You must serve by (date):	(3) Other:
	(4) Other:	(4) Other:	-
			- -
			_
			- 1

New January 1, 2024

		(Notify) Restrain		l ☐ Not Ordered
a.			lence, a credible threat of viole	nce or stalking
b.		(1) is entitled to a fee		io, or summig.
7	Other Orders			
Oate:				
				Judicial Officer
	Assistive li	.	nputer-assisted real-time caption	ning, or sign language interpreter servi
	Assistive li are availab	stening systems, con le if you ask at least	nputer-assisted real-time caption five days before the hearing. Co	
	Assistive li are available www.court. § 54.8.)	stening systems, con le if you ask at least is s.ca.gov/forms.htm for the court date, the co	Instructions to Clerk ourt must enter this order into C	ntact the clerk's office or go to equest (form MC-410). (Civ. Code,
	Assistive li are available www.court. § 54.8.)	stening systems, con le if you ask at least is s.ca.gov/forms.htm for the court date, the con o CLETS. This must	Instructions to Clerk ourt must enter this order into C	ntact the clerk's office or go to equest (form MC-410). (Civ. Code,
enfor	Assistive li are available www.court. § 54.8.) e court rescheduled recement to enter interest. Assistive li are available www.court. § 54.8.)	stening systems, con le if you ask at least is s.ca.gov/forms.htm for the court date, the con CLETS. This must	Instructions to Clerk our must enter this order into Clerk be done within one business da —Clerk's Certificate—	LETS or send this order to law y from the day the order is made. Renew Restraining Order (form

SV-715

Request to Reschedule Hearing to Renew Restraining Order

Instructions: Either party may use this form to ask the court to reschedule the hearing (court date) listed on form <u>SV-710</u>, *Notice of Hearing to Renew Restraining Order*. Note: If the hearing is rescheduled, the restraining order will be extended until the new court hearing.

Clerk stamps date here when form is filed.

DRAFT

8/2/2023

NOT APPROVED BY THE JUDICIAL COUNCIL

o My name is:	in court name and street address:
	perior Court of California, County of
 b. I am the (check one): (1) Petitioner (educational institution officer or employee) 	
(skip to 2).	
(2) Kestrained Party (give your contact information below).	n case number: se Number:
This address will be used by the court and by the other party to send you official court dates, orders, and papers. For privacy, you may use another address like a post office box, a Safe at Home address, or another person's address, if you have their permission. If you have a lawyer, give their information.	
Address:	-
Additional contact information (optional)	
Telephone: Fax: Email Address:	
Lawyer's information (skip if you do not have one)	
Name: State Bar No.: Firm Name:	
Information About Your Case	
a. The other party in this case is (full name):	<u>-</u>
b. The court date is currently scheduled for (date):	

3	Why does your court date need to be resch	eduled?
	a. \square I need more time to have the restrained party ser	ved.
	b. Other reason:	
4	Signature	
	I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the correct.	State of California that the information above is true and
	Date:	
	Type or print your name	Sign your name
5	Lawyer's signature (if you have one)	
	Date:	
	Lawyer's name	Lawyer's signature

Your Next Steps

- Complete form <u>SV-716</u>, *Order to Reschedule Hearing to Renew Restraining Order* (only items **1**) and **2**).
- File forms <u>SV-715</u> and <u>SV-716</u> with the court. A judge will review your forms and decide whether to reschedule your court date.
- If the judge grants your request to reschedule your court date, you must have someone serve a copy of all forms listed on form <u>SV-716</u>, item <u>5</u>. Your server can be the sheriff or another adult who is not involved in the case. For more information on how to serve the restrained person, go to https://selfhelp.courts.ca.gov/SV-restraining-order/renew/sheriff-serves.
- If the judge denies your request to reschedule, you must go to your court hearing (listed on form <u>SV-710</u>).

S١	/_	71	6

Order to Reschedule Hearing to Renew Restraining Order

(Complete 1) and 2) only. The court will complete the rest of this form.)

Clerk stamps date here when form is filed.

DRAFT

8/2/2023

Petitioner (Educational Institution Officer or Employee):	NOT APPROVED BY THE
	JUDICIAL COUNCIL

		_ JUDICIAL COUNCIL
2	Restrained Party:	Fill in court name and street address: Superior Court of California, County of
3	 Next Court Date a. Denied: The request to reschedule the court date is denied. Your court date is: (1) The Private Postsecondary School Violence Restraining Order After Hearing (SV-130) granted in this case stays in full force and effect until your court date. (2) Your court date is not rescheduled because: 	Fill in case number: Case Number:

b.

Granted: The request to reschedule the court date is granted. Your court date is rescheduled for the day and time listed below. The current restraining order stays in effect until the hearing date below or the original expiration date, whichever is later. See (4)–(7) for more information.

			Name and address of court, if different from above:
New	→ Date:	Time:	
New Court Date	Dept.:	Room:	
Date			

Warning and Notice to the Restrained Party:

You must obey the restraining order while it is in effect.



Reason Court Date Is Resche		
. The petitioner has not served the	ne restrained party.	
o. Other reason:		
Serving (Giving) Order to Oth	er Party	
The request to reschedule was made b	y the:	
ı. 🗌 Petitioner	b. Restrained party	c. Court
1) You do not have to serve the restrained party because they or their lawyer were at the court date or agreed to reschedule the court date.	(1) You do not have to serve the petitioner because they or their lawyer were at the court date or agreed to reschedule the court date.	(1) Further notice is not require
2) You must have the restrained party personally served with a copy of all the forms listed on form SV-710, item (5), by (date):	(2) You must have the petitioner personally served with a copy of this order by (date):	(2) The court will mail a copy of this order to all parties by (date):
3) \(\sum \) You must have the restrained party served with a copy of this order. This can be done by mail. You must serve by \((date): \)	(3) You must have the petitioner served with a copy of this order. This can be done by mail. You must serve by (date):	(3) Other:
4) Other:	(4) Other:	

 \rightarrow

SV-716, Page 2 of 3

New January 1, 2024

		Case Number:
6	No Fee to Serve (Notify) Restrained Person	☐ Not Ordered e, or stalking.
7	Other Orders	
Date:		Judicial Officer
	Request for Accommodations Assistive listening systems, computer-assisted real-time captioning are available if you ask at least five days before the hearing. Conta www.courts.ca.gov/forms.htm for Disability Accommodation Req. § 54.8.)	act the clerk's office or go to
	Instructions to Clerk the court rescheduled the court date, the court must enter this order into CLE forcement to enter into CLETS. This must be done within one business day	
	—Clerk's Certificate—	
	I certify that this <i>Order to Reschedule Hearing to R</i> SV-716) is a true and correct copy of the original or seal]	- ,

Date:_______, Deputy

WV-715

Request to Reschedule Hearing to Renew Restraining Order

Instructions: Either party may use this form to ask the court to reschedule the hearing (court date) listed on form <u>WV-710</u>, *Notice of Hearing to Renew Restraining Order*. Note: If the hearing is rescheduled, the restraining order will be extended until the new court hearing.

Clerk stamps date here when form is filed.

DRAFT

8/2/2023

NOT APPROVED BY THE JUDICIAL COUNCIL

My I	Information		
```	A		Fill in court name and street address:
a. N	My name is:		Superior Court of California, County of
b. I	am the (check one):		
(	1) Petitioner (employer)	(skip to <b>2</b> )).	
(2	send you official court dat may use another address l address, or another person	•	Fill in case number:  Case Number:  party to rivacy, you at Home
	•		
	City:	State:	Zip:
	Additional contact infor		
	Telephone:Email Address:	Fax:	
		kip if you do not have one)	
	Name:		State Bar No.:
Info	rmation About Your Ca	ase	
a. T	The other party in this case is	full name):	
ь. Т	The court date is currently sch	eduled for (date):	

Why does your court date need to be resch	neduled?
a.   I need more time to have the restrained party ser	ved.
b.   Other reason:	
Signature	
I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the correct.	e State of California that the information above is true and
Date:	
Type or print your name	Sign your name
Lawyer's signature (if you have one)	
Date:	
Lawyer's name	Lawyer's signature

## **Your Next Steps**

- Complete form <u>WV-716</u>, Order to Reschedule Hearing to Renew Restraining Order (only items 1) and 2).
- File forms <u>WV-715</u> and <u>WV-716</u> with the court. A judge will review your forms and decide whether to reschedule your court date.
- If the judge grants your request to reschedule your court date, you must have someone serve a copy of all forms listed on form <u>WV-716</u>, item (5). Your server can be the sheriff or another adult who is not involved in the case. For more information on how to serve the restrained person, go to <a href="https://selfhelp.courts.ca.gov/WV-restraining-order/renew/sheriff-serves">https://selfhelp.courts.ca.gov/WV-restraining-order/renew/sheriff-serves</a>.
- If the judge denies your request to reschedule, you must go to your court hearing (listed on form WV-710).

1	WV-716 Order to Reschedule Hearing to Renew Restraining Order	Clerk stamps date here when form is filed.
	to itemon iteotalanning eraer	DRAFT
(Con	nplete (1) and (2) only. The court will complete the rest of this form.)	8/2/2023
1	Petitioner (Employer):	NOT APPROVED BY THE JUDICIAL COUNCIL
2	Restrained Party:	Fill in court name and street address:
3	Next Court Date	Superior Court of California, County of
	a.   Denied: The request to reschedule the court date is denied.	591
	Your court date is:	Fill in case number:  Case Number:
	<ul> <li>(WV-130) granted in this case stays in full force and effect until your court date.</li> <li>(2) Your court date is not rescheduled because:</li> </ul>	
	b Granted: The request to reschedule the court date is granted. Your continue listed below. The current restraining order stays in effect until the expiration date, whichever is later. See 4-7 for more information.	e hearing date below or the original
		ress of court, if different from above:
	New Court Date         Date:         Time:           Room:	
	Dept.: Room:	
	Warning and Notice to the Restrained Pa You must obey the restraining order while it is it	

Judicial Council of California, <a href="www.courts.ca.gov">www.courts.ca.gov</a> New January 1, 2024, Mandatory Form Code of Civil Procedure, § 527.8

a.	tioner has not served thason:	ne restrained party.	
	<b>/ing) Order to Oth</b> reschedule was made b	-	
a.   Petitione	er	b. Restrained party	c. Court
restrained or their la court dat	not have to serve the d party because they awyer were at the e or agreed to le the court date.	(1) You do not have to serve the petitioner because they or their lawyer were at the court date or agreed to reschedule the court date.	(1)  Further notice is not required
party per copy of a	thave the restrained sonally served with a all the forms listed on 7-710, item (5), by	(2) You must have the petitioner personally served with a copy of this order by (date):	(2) The court will mail a copy of this order to all parties by (date):
party ser this order mail. Yo	t have the restrained ved with a copy of r. This can be done by u must serve by	(3) You must have the petitioner served with a copy of this order. This can be done by mail. You must serve by (date):	(3) Other:
(4) \[ \tag{Other:}_		(4) Other:	- -

WV-716, Page 2 of 3

Case Number:

			Case Number:	
,	e (Notify) Restrained Person	☐ Ordered	□ Not Ordered	
	nal will serve this order for free becau			
	based on unlawful violence, a credib	le threat of violenc	e, or stalking.	
	n (1) is entitled to a fee waiver.			
)	S			
e:			1 1: 1000	
Assistive are availa	et for Accommodations listening systems, computer-assisted ble if you ask at least five days before	e the hearing. Cont	eact the clerk's office or go t	o
Assistive are availa www.com § 54.8.)	listening systems, computer-assisted	re the hearing. Cont ccommodation Req	ng, or sign language interpresent the clerk's office or go to the second of the second	o Code,
Assistive are availa www.com § 54.8.)	listening systems, computer-assisted ble if you ask at least five days befor rts.ca.gov/forms.htm for Disability Ac	te the hearing. Cont ccommodation Req s to Clerk this order into CLE	ng, or sign language interpresent the clerk's office or go to the second of the second	o Code,
Assistive are availa www.com § 54.8.)	listening systems, computer-assisted ble if you ask at least five days befor <a href="mailto:rts.ca.gov/forms.htm">rts.ca.gov/forms.htm</a> for Disability Additional for Disa	s to Clerk this order into CLE n one business day	ng, or sign language interpresent the clerk's office or go to the second of the second	o Code,
Assistive are availa www.com § 54.8.)	listening systems, computer-assisted ble if you ask at least five days befor tts.ca.gov/forms.htm for Disability Advantage of the court date, the court must enter to CLETS. This must be done within	s to Clerk this order into CLH n one business day rtificate— nedule Hearing to K	ng, or sign language interpresent the clerk's office or go to uest (form MC-410). (Civ. Certain of the day the order is matter than the day the order is matter than the day the order (for the way of the certain of the day of the order (for the way of the day of the order).	o Code,

SPR23-26
Protective Orders: Updated Law Enforcement Information Form and New Request Forms for Continuances (Adopt forms CH-715, CH-716, EA-715, EA-716, SV-715, SV-716, WV-715, and WV-716; revise form CLETS-001)
All comments are verbatim unless indicated by an asterisk (*)

	Commenter	Position	Comment	Committee Response
1.	Bay Area Legal Aid	NI	Bay Area Legal Aid ("BayLegal") submits this	The committees thank you for reviewing this
	by Kemi Mustapha		letter in response to the Judicial Council's	proposal.
	Family Law Supervising Attorney		invitation to comment on proposals concerning	
			protective orders, specifically item numbers	
			SPR23-26, SPR23-28, and SPR23-30.	
			BayLegal is the largest provider of free legal	
			services to low-income residents of Alameda,	
			Contra Costa, Marin, Napa, Santa Cara, San	
			Francisco, and San Mateo counties. Our mission	
			is to provide meaningful access to the civil	
			justice system through high quality legal	
			assistance to low-income litigants regardless of	
			location, language, or disability. Many low-	
			income litigants, including BayLegal clients, are	
			individuals with limited English proficiency,	
			individuals with limited literacy, survivors of	
			interpersonal violence, people with disabilities,	
			and individuals who are housing insecure.	
			BayLegal provides legal services to roughly	
			10,000 low-income residents of the Bay Area	
			annually. In addition, BayLegal is the provider	
			of public Domestic Violence Restraining Order	
			Clinics in Contra Costa and San Mateo counties,	
			helping an average of over 1000 individuals	
			prepare restraining orders each year. We also	
			provide free full scope representation to	
			survivors of domestic violence in family law	
			matters, which includes restraining order	
			applications. The large number of individuals	
			we serve gives us a unique insight to assess the	
			potential impact of the Judicial Council's	

SPR23-26
Protective Orders: Updated Law Enforcement Information Form and New Request Forms for Continuances (Adopt forms CH-715, CH-716, EA-715, EA-716, SV-715, SV-716, WV-715, and WV-716; revise form CLETS-001)
All comments are verbatim unless indicated by an asterisk (*)

Commen	ter	Position	Comment	Committee Response
			proposed changes on low-income California residents.	
			Overall, BayLegal supports the Judicial Council's proposals. We do recommend some changes as detailed below. Our recommendations and responses to Request for Specific Comments are organized by Item Number.	
			Updated Law Enforcement Information Form and New Request Forms for	
			Continuances (Item Number SPR23-26)	
			Proposed Changes to CLETS-001	
			BayLegal recommends that the CLETS-001 form continue to include space to provide the restrained party's employer, business address, work hours, and occupation. In our experience, law enforcement uses this information to help with service and enforcement of protective orders.	The committees have included the name and address of the restrained person's employer.  Adding other information would require adding another page to the form and the committees prefer to keep this as a one-page form. The committees note that if additional information would be helpful for service it can be added to the new form for requesting service by the sheriff.
			With this recommendation incorporated, BayLegal believes that the proposed changes to the CLETS-001 form address the stated purposes, including providing additional information helpful for law enforcement to enforce the protective order and safeguarding the protected party's safety.	new form for requesting service by the sheriff.
			We especially commend the Judicial Council	

SPR23-26
Protective Orders: Updated Law Enforcement Information Form and New Request Forms for Continuances (Adopt forms CH-715, CH-716, EA-715, EA-716, SV-715, SV-716, WV-715, and WV-716; revise form CLETS-001)
All comments are verbatim unless indicated by an asterisk (*)

	Commenter	Position	Comment	Committee Response
			for responding to safety concerns raised by survivor advocates by removing the protected party's address from the form.	The committees appreciate your feedback on this change.
			• New Continuance Forms  BayLegal strongly supports the Judicial Council's user-friendly approach of creating separate continuance forms for hearings to renew protective orders rather than revising the existing 115 and 116 forms to include continuances for renewals. The Judicial Council's proposal addresses the stated purpose related to the continuance forms.	The committees agree that adding these forms to the renewal series (700s) will be helpful and ensure the consistent and accessible administration of justice.
2.	Family Violence Appellate Project by Arati Vasan Senior Managing Attorney	NI	On behalf of Family Violence Appellate Project (FVAP), I write to offer comments on Invitation To Comment (ITC) SPR23-26.  FVAP is a State Bar-funded legal services support center and the only nonprofit organization in California dedicated to representing domestic violence survivors in civil appeals for free. FVAP is devoted to ensuring domestic violence survivors can live in healthy safe environments, free from abuse. This includes a commitment to improving how survivors—and all litigants—are treated in family and civil court.  Form CLETS-001, Confidential Information for Law Enforcement  Asking survivors seeking protection to fill out	The committees agree that it could be burdensome
			the CLETS-001 form, and to be responsible for	The committees agree that it could be burdensome to require the petitioner to complete the form

SPR23-26
Protective Orders: Updated Law Enforcement Information Form and New Request Forms for Continuances (Adopt forms CH-715, CH-716, EA-715, EA-716, SV-715, SV-716, WV-715, and WV-716; revise form CLETS-001)
All comments are verbatim unless indicated by an asterisk (*)

Commenter	Position	Comment	Committee Response
		amending this form as the information changes	every time information changes, and have revised
		places additional burdens on survivors.	the instruction to make it optional to complete the
		E66-4-4	form again.
		Efforts to simplify the form are welcome and needed. Overall, the changes to the CLETS-001	
		form are helpful. The changes will make it	
		easier for both the people completing the form	
		and those using it for enforcement. In particular,	
		the removal of the address requirement for the	
		person filling in the form is an important	
		change. It is a credit to the committee for	
		continuing to be responsive to the named safety needs of survivors.	
		needs of survivors.	
		The change from "Person to Be Restrained" to	The committees did not make this change.
		"Person You Want a Restraining Order	"Person You Want a Restraining Order Against"
		Against" is problematic and potentially	is used because the committees believe it is easier
		confusing. It is true that at the time a petitioner	to understand than "Person to be Restrained."
		fills out the form, they are seeking to restrain	While it is true that at the time the information on
		someone. But this form is put into effect only in	this form is entered into CLETS, the person in 1 will be restrained, this is not the case when the
		the event of someone <i>actually being</i> restrained—and it will continue to be used only	petitioner is completing the form at the same time
		so long as there is a restraining order in effect	as completing the request for restraining order.
		against this person. While "Person to Be	as compressing the request for restraining states.
		Restrained" may seem odd in light of changing	
		"Person to Be Protected" to "Your Information"	
		(for GVROs), the new wording unintentionally	
		minimizes the fact that when this form is	
		actually entered the person is already restrained.	
		In addition, the updated Instructions are helpful	The committees have made this change in the
		but should clarify that the form will be entered	proposal.

SPR23-26
Protective Orders: Updated Law Enforcement Information Form and New Request Forms for Continuances (Adopt forms CH-715, CH-716, EA-715, EA-716, SV-715, SV-716, WV-715, and WV-716; revise form CLETS-001)
All comments are verbatim unless indicated by an asterisk (*)

Commenter	Position	Comment	Committee Response
		into a database <i>only</i> if a restraining order is issued, temporary or otherwise.	
		It is unclear from the ITC why certain information about the restrained party—such as their place of employment—is not important to enforcement, but as the California Department of Justice will be looking at this proposal, they will hopefully weigh in on what is needed for enforcement.	In response to comments, the committees agree that the name and address of the restrained person's employer should be included in the proposal.
		To the extent that the form is removing requests for information that is already available on the restraining order documents, the age of the petitioner is already available on the DV-100 so it too could be removed.	Age is still included on the CLETS form because information from the request form (e.g., DV-100) is not entered into CLETS. Additionally, the petitioner's age is not listed on the temporary or long-term restraining order.
		Forms for Rescheduling Restraining Order Renewal Hearings Like the new DV-715 and 716, these forms are a positive and important addition to help people with the complicated process of navigating a renewal.	The committees agree that adding these forms to the renewal series (700s) will be helpful and ensure the consistent and accessible administration of justice.
		For the forms in this ITC, the first item has the heading "My Information" whereas the DV forms say, "Your Information." If that has some significance it is not clear but as this is a great effort to create consistency across forms, using the same heading on all the 715 forms is preferable.	The committees acknowledge that some forms use first-person ("I" statements), while others, like the DV forms, generally use the second-person ("You" statements). The committees anticipate working to increase consistency across protective order forms in this regard as resources and timing permits.
		Similar to our comments for the DV-715, two	The Judicial Council has a forms style guide that

SPR23-26
Protective Orders: Updated Law Enforcement Information Form and New Request Forms for Continuances (Adopt forms CH-715, CH-716, EA-715, EA-716, SV-715, SV-716, WV-715, and WV-716; revise form CLETS-001)
All comments are verbatim unless indicated by an asterisk (*)

Commenter	Position	Comment	Committee Response
		suggestions for all of these forms would be to 1) increase the font size of the language in the Note confirming that the restraining order will be extended and 2) add some space and increase the font size for Protected Party and Restrained Party. The first suggestion is to allow that language to stand out so it is clear to either party requesting rescheduling. In the current size and space, it is less distinctive than it should be given its importance.	provides parameters for certain form design elements, like font size. The font size used in the body of a form is set at 11-point Times New Roman. However, the committee has increased the spacing in the instruction box and underlined "Note" to draw attention to the text that follows. Also, to make it easier to see item 1b, spacing has been increased and boldface type has been removed from the subheadings.
		The second suggestion is due to a concern that the eye easily goes directly to the prominent exclamation points for the address. In doing so a person would not answer the important question of which party they are. In addition, it is important that petitioners understand that they can skip this portion. If the focus groups and other feedback show otherwise, that is good to hear, but increasing the font size does not seem likely to cause a negative effect and based on the spaces between numbers 1 and 2, there seems to be enough space to increase the size without affecting the layout or length of the form.	
		Again, similar to our comments for the DV-716, it would be helpful for petitioners to know that that their 716 form goes <i>on top of</i> their 710 form, or that it should be attached to it along with the underlying restraining order. Sometimes when rescheduling restraining order hearings, problems arise when the original	The committees did not adopt this suggestion as forms 716 state that the restraining order will remain in effect until the court date. The icon for court date has been added to form DV-716 but has not been included on the forms contained in this proposal as those forms do not use the icon.

SPR23-26
Protective Orders: Updated Law Enforcement Information Form and New Request Forms for Continuances (Adopt forms CH-715, CH-716, EA-715, EA-716, SV-715, SV-716, WV-715, and WV-716; revise form CLETS-001)
All comments are verbatim unless indicated by an asterisk (*)

	Commenter	Position	Comment	Committee Response
			restraining order has a different expiration date than the rescheduled hearing date. While those forms may be stapled together, without the addition of the 716 there is not readily available proof that the restraining order has not expired so a clear reminder is helpful. In addition, it would be helpful to use the same icon used for hearing dates on the 710 and the 720 for the new date on the renewal in addition to the box that says New Court Date. Using the same icon keeps the visual cues for this important information consistent across the forms.	
3.	Hon. Steven Ipson Commissioner Los Angeles	A	I agree with the proposed changes. They will simplify the forms and allow them to be used for the intended purpose, including rescheduling a hearing. They also remove unnecessary information regarding the protected person, and they allow for gun violence restraining orders to be implemented (there is no protected party for a gun violence restraining order). These changes simplify and streamline the forms, and are especially helpful for self-represented litigants.	The committees appreciate the information provided.
4.	Orange County Bar Association By Michael A. Gregg, President	A	* The commenter indicates agreement.	Thank you for reviewing this proposal.
5.	Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles by Bryan Borys, Director of Research and Data Management	AM	Regarding CLETS-001, Confidential Information for Law Enforcement form:  o Suggest further explaining the purpose of the CLETS form to litigants by expanding the phrase "Information you give on this form will be entered into a database" to include "that law enforcement have access to, called	The committees have added language to explain that the information will help with enforcement.

SPR23-26
Protective Orders: Updated Law Enforcement Information Form and New Request Forms for Continuances (Adopt forms CH-715, CH-716, EA-715, EA-716, SV-715, SV-716, WV-715, and WV-716; revise form CLETS-001)
All comments are verbatim unless indicated by an asterisk (*)

Commenter	Position	Comment	Committee Response
		CLETS, to assist them with enforcing the restraining order."	
		o Suggest expanding the following advisement alongside "Information that has a star (*)" to include "unless the instructions specifically permit the item containing it to be skipped" for the purposes of reducing ambiguity between conflicting instructions.	This suggestion was not adopted. The committees prefer to keep the instruction simple and believe that instruction for gun violence petitioners to skip certain items is clear.
		o Page 1, Section 1: Is there a reason why the "Gender" field was removed? It seems appropriate to include the "Gender" field in Sections 1 and 2 with the non-binary option	Gender was removed from item 1 because it is information that would be included on the restraining order itself and information from the restraining order is also entered into CLETS.
		o Page 1, Section 1: Is there a reason why there is a language question in CLETS? Is there a better way to ask if someone has Limited English Proficiency? If it is asked, perhaps it should be asked about both parties if the petitioner knows the information. This may be helpful to flag interpreter language needs for court hearings.  Or, perhaps the language information should be noted elsewhere in a Restraining Order form/petition	The information on this form is entered into CLETS to help law enforcement enforce the restraining order. Knowing ahead of time that a party does not speak English would allow the agency to send an officer who speaks the needed language or at least alert the officer that there may be a language barrier and interpreter services are needed. The form includes a question about the ability to speak English in item 1 (for the proposed restrained person) and item 2 (person asking for protection). The committees note that rule 1.51 governs the use and handling of this form. The information provided on the form is confidential, and does not become part of the court file.
		Regarding CH-716, Order to Reschedule	This has been corrected in the proposed form.

SPR23-26
Protective Orders: Updated Law Enforcement Information Form and New Request Forms for Continuances (Adopt forms CH-715, CH-716, EA-715, EA-716, SV-715, SV-716, WV-715, and WV-716; revise form CLETS-001)
All comments are verbatim unless indicated by an asterisk (*)

	Commenter	Position	Comment	Committee Response
			Hearing to Renew Restraining Order form:	
			o Bottom of Page 1, Warning and Notice to the	
			Restrained Party: a typo exists in "expries"	
			Regarding EA-716, Order to Reschedule	This has been corrected.
			Hearing to Renew Restraining Order form:	
			o Bottom of Page 1, Warning and Notice to the	
			Restrained Party: a typo exists in "expries"	
			Regarding SV-716, Order to Reschedule	This has been corrected.
			Hearing to Renew Restraining Order form:	
			o Bottom of Page 1, Warning and Notice to the	
			Restrained Party: a typo exists in "expries"	
			Regarding WV-716, Order to Reschedule	This has been corrected.
			Hearing to Renew Restraining Order form:	
			o Bottom of Page 1, Warning and Notice to the	
			Restrained Party: a typo exists in "expries"	
6.	Superior Court of California, County	NI	Form CLETS-001	The committees have, in response to (2) in this
	of Orange		Simplify version for easier reading as the	comment, separated out the vehicle information
	Family Law/Juvenile Division		CLETS-001 is completed by the litigant. See	into individual fields for type, model, year, and
			sample below.	plate number in the proposal. However, the
			*The sample provided by commenter proposes	committees believe, in response to (1) that the
			some minor changes to language and	notice to clerk is less likely to be missed if it
			formatting, including (1) moving the instruction	appears where the clerk's stamp would go. In
			to clerk from the right side of the form, to the	response to (3), the committees also did not
			left side of the form; 2) separating each piece of information regarding the restrained person's	separate the driver's license number and state of issuance into separate fields as this may lead to
			vehicle into separate fields; 3) having separate	some confusion if "state" appears by itself without
			fields for driver's license number and state of	specifying that it relates to the driver's license. In
			issuance; and 4) center-justify the instruction	response to (4), the committees prefer to keep the

SPR23-26
Protective Orders: Updated Law Enforcement Information Form and New Request Forms for Continuances (Adopt forms CH-715, CH-716, EA-715, EA-716, SV-715, SV-716, WV-715, and WV-716; revise form CLETS-001)
All comments are verbatim unless indicated by an asterisk (*)

Commenter	Position	Comment	Committee Response
		directed at petitioner's of gun violence	instruction for gun violence restraining orders left-
		restraining orders.	justified, consistent with the item numbers.
		<ul> <li>Does the Proposal appropriately address         the stated purpose?         Yes.</li> <li>Does the proposal approximately address         the stated purpose relating to the continuance         forms?</li> </ul>	Thank you for reviewing this proposal.
		Yes.  • Would the proposal provide cost savings? If so, please quantify.	No response required.
		No.	No response required.
		■ What would the implementation requirements be for courts—for example, training staff (please identify position and expected hours of training), revising processes and procedures (please describe), changing docket codes in case management systems, or modifying case management systems? This implementation would require updating procedures and creating event codes in the case management system.  ■ Would 3 months from Judicial Council approval of this proposal until its effective date provide sufficient time for implementation?	Thank you for your response.
		Yes.	The committees agree that three months would

SPR23-26
Protective Orders: Updated Law Enforcement Information Form and New Request Forms for Continuances (Adopt forms CH-715, CH-716, EA-715, EA-716, SV-715, SV-716, WV-715, and WV-716; revise form CLETS-001)
All comments are verbatim unless indicated by an asterisk (*)

	Commenter	Position	Comment	Committee Response
			■ How well would this proposal work in courts of different sizes?	provide sufficient time for implementation.
			Our court is a large court, and this could work for Orange County.	Thank you for your response.
7.	Superior Court of California, County of Riverside by Susan Ryan Chief Deputy of Legal Services	A	Apart from the suggested edits below, the proposed revisions offer clarity and comply with existing law.  CLETS-001 Confidential Information for Law	Thank you for reviewing this proposal.
			Enforcement Suggested edits:	
			Suggest placing the following in a "For Court Use Only" block	The committees decline this suggestion, as the form is not filed with court and has never had such a block.
			2. Physical description of the restrained person (as required information.)	
			The revisions to the CLETS removes height, weight, eye color, and car information. The form presumes that this information is not helpful to law enforcement for enforcement the	Thank you for your response. The committees note that information from CLETS-001 is entered into the California Restraining and Protective Order Registry (CARPOS) within CLETS, in
			existing protective order. Oddly, the form keeps "race" which is limited when used to describe appearance.	addition to the restraining order itself. The height, weight, and eye color of the restrained person were removed from the CLETS-001 form because
			The committee plans to seek feedback from the CA DOJ on the removed fields.	these items are included on the restraining order. Race is included and required on the form, as it is a mandatory field in CARPOS. The committees note that "skin tone" is an optional field in
			Suggested edits:	CARPOS and includes 13 options. The

SPR23-26
Protective Orders: Updated Law Enforcement Information Form and New Request Forms for Continuances (Adopt forms CH-715, CH-716, EA-715, EA-716, SV-715, SV-716, WV-715, and WV-716; revise form CLETS-001)
All comments are verbatim unless indicated by an asterisk (*)

Commenter	Position	Comment	Committee Response
		If agreed to by CA DOJ, suggest deleting in race in favor of restoring section on the height, weight, eye color, and consider adding skin tone.	committees would like to keep this as a one-page form and therefore do not recommend including "skin tone."
		CH-716; EA-716; SV-716; WV-716 Suggested edits: Correct "expries" to "expires".	The committees appreciate this correction and instead recommend using "while it is in effect" to match other language on the form.
		Does the proposal appropriately address the stated purpose relating to the CLETS form?	Thank you for your response. The committees agree that the revisions will make the form more user-friendly.
		Yes, the proposal addresses the stated purpose relating to the CLETS form. It is a more "user" friendly form sufficiently simplified to only require needed information for purposes of enforcement. Also, the revised form had addressed the concern over the safety of the protected party by no longer requiring protect party to provide their address and contact information.	
		Does the proposal approximately address the stated purpose relating to the continuance forms?	The committees agree that adding these forms to the renewal series (700s) will be helpful and ensure the consistent and accessible administration of justice.
		Yes, the proposal addresses the stated purpose relating to the continuance of a hearing set for renewal of an existing restraining order. The proposed forms are specific to each type of restraining order, thereby facilitating successful completion of the form and accomplish obtaining a new court date. The continuance forms address 1) the concern for the safety and	J

SPR23-26
Protective Orders: Updated Law Enforcement Information Form and New Request Forms for Continuances (Adopt forms CH-715, CH-716, EA-715, EA-716, SV-715, SV-716, WV-715, and WV-716; revise form CLETS-001)
All comments are verbatim unless indicated by an asterisk (*)

	Commenter	Position	Comment	Committee Response
			emotional well-being of the protected party, as it provides for existing orders to remain in effect, and 2) it preserves due process rights of the burdened party to respond and defend.	
			What would the implementation requirements be for courts—for example, training staff (please identify position and expected hours of training), revising processes and procedures (please describe), changing docket codes in case management systems, or modifying case management systems?	No response required.
			Uncertain. Defer to operations for estimates to incorporate new forms into the court's case management and processing systems.	
			Would 3 months from Judicial Council approval of this proposal until its effective date provide sufficient time for implementation?	The committees agree that three months would provide sufficient time for implementation.
			Three months would be a sufficient amount of time to implement the proposal.	
8.	Superior Court of California, County of San Bernardino, Barstow District by Anita Morales Legal Processing Assistant II	A	No specific comment.	Thank you for reviewing this proposal.
9.	Superior Court of California, County of San Diego by Mike Roddy, Executive Officer	A	Request for Specific Comments Does the proposal appropriately address the stated purpose relating to the CLETS form? Yes.	Thank you for your response.
			Does the proposal appropriately address the stated purpose relating to the continuance forms? <b>Yes.</b>	Thank you for your response.

SPR23-26
Protective Orders: Updated Law Enforcement Information Form and New Request Forms for Continuances (Adopt forms CH-715, CH-716, EA-715, EA-716, SV-715, SV-716, WV-715, and WV-716; revise form CLETS-001)
All comments are verbatim unless indicated by an asterisk (*)

	Commenter	Position	Comment	Committee Response
			Would the proposal provide cost savings? If so,	No response required.
			please quantify. <b>No.</b>	
			What would the implementation requirements	Thank you for your response.
			be for courts—for example, training staff	
			(please identify position and expected hours of	
			training), revising processes and procedures	
			(please describe), changing docket codes in case	
			management systems, or modifying case	
			management systems?	
			Revising internal procedures, adding new	
			case management entries, and training staff.	
			Would three months from Judicial Council	The committees agree that three months would
			approval of this proposal until its effective date	provide sufficient time for implementation.
			provide sufficient time for implementation?	Approved versions of the form that could be used
			Yes, provided the final versions of the forms	for training and updating internal procedures will
			are provided to the court at that time. This	be available in September. The final versions of
			will ensure that the court is able to provide	the form will be published on the Judicial
			training to staff and update its internal	Resources Network with enough time to allow
			procedures and case management systems.	courts to modify forms packets.
			How well would this proposal work in courts of	Thank you for your response.
			different sizes?	
			It appears the proposal would work for	
			courts of various sizes.	
- 10			No additional Comments.	
10	Trial Court Presiding Judges Advisory	AM	The JRS notes the following impact to court	Thank you for your response.
	Committee (TCPJAC) and the Court		operations:	
	Executives Advisory Committee		• Impact on existing automated systems.	
	(CEAC) (TCPJAC/CEAEC Joint		• Impact on local or statewide justice partners.	
	Rules Subcommittee)		• Results in additional training, which requires	
			the commitment of staff time and court	
			resources.	
			Suggested Modifications	

SPR23-26
Protective Orders: Updated Law Enforcement Information Form and New Request Forms for Continuances (Adopt forms CH-715, CH-716, EA-715, EA-716, SV-715, SV-716, WV-715, and WV-716; revise form CLETS-001)
All comments are verbatim unless indicated by an asterisk (*)

Commenter	Position	Comment	Committee Response
		Current CLETS-001 has fields to provide demographic information and address information for the restrained person. The new form has removed these fields and the Invitation states the reason for the removal as:  "Finally, the committee recommends removing information that is unlikely to be helpful in enforcing an order (e.g., a protected person's vehicle and license plate number and a restrained person's employer or occupation) or is already included on the restraining order (e.g., a restrained person's address)."	
		However, the form also includes instructions to the protected person that if the information on the form changes, they are to complete a new form and file it with the court. CLETS-001 is the appropriate mechanism for the protected person to provide this new or changed information to law enforcement, and therefore should remain on the form. By removing the information/fields mentioned above, a protected person cannot complete a new CLETS-001 if the information changes after the filing of the TRO or permanent order. Also, it appears that at least some of the omitted information could be helpful for enforcement, i.e., the restrained party's employer.	The committees agree that knowing the restrained person's employer could be helpful for enforcement and have added the name and address of the restrained person's employer to the proposed form. In response to another comment, the committees recommend revising the instruction to not require that the petitioner complete the form if information changes. Instead, it would be optional for the petitioner to submit another form if information changes. In speaking with the Department of Justice, they note that if information from form CLETS-001 conflicts with the order itself, the order would control. Therefore, if information changes that would need to be reflected on the order itself, the protected party should submit an amended order.

SPR23-26
Protective Orders: Updated Law Enforcement Information Form and New Request Forms for Continuances (Adopt forms CH-715, CH-716, EA-715, EA-716, SV-715, SV-716, WV-715, and WV-716; revise form CLETS-001)
All comments are verbatim unless indicated by an asterisk (*)

Commenter	Position	Comment	Committee Response
		1. No, the proposal will not provide cost	agree that three months provides sufficient time to
		savings.	implement this proposal.
		2. To implement the court will have to update	
		procedures and train judicial officers and staff –	
		anticipate minimal impact. Case management	
		systems may also need to be updated but	
		anticipate minimal impact.	
		3. 3 months appears to be sufficient time for	
		implementation.	
		4. Do not anticipate that court size will make a	
		difference on how well the proposal works.	
		<b>Response to Request for Specific Comments:</b>	Thank you for your response.
		1. If modified as set out above, the proposal	
		appropriately addresses the state purpose	
		relating to the CLETS form.	
		2. Yes, the proposal appropriately addresses the	
		state purpose relating to the continuance forms	
		and will be very helpful to the parties and the	
		court.	