



## Judicial Council of California

455 Golden Gate Avenue · San Francisco, California 94102-3688

[courts.ca.gov](http://courts.ca.gov)

---

# REPORT TO THE JUDICIAL COUNCIL

*Item No.: 26-069*

For business meeting on April 24, 2026

---

**Title**

Civil Practice and Procedure: New Case  
Type for Civil Case Cover Sheet

**Report Type**

Action Required

**Effective Date**

July 1, 2026

**Rules, Forms, Standards, or Statutes Affected**

Revise form CM-010

**Date of Report**

March 26, 2026

**Recommended by**

Civil and Small Claims Advisory Committee  
Hon. Samantha P. Jessner, Chair

**Contact**

Jenny Grantz, 415-865-4394

[jenny.grantz@jud.ca.gov](mailto:jenny.grantz@jud.ca.gov)

---

## Executive Summary

The Civil and Small Claims Advisory Committee recommends revising *Civil Case Cover Sheet* (form CM-010) to add a case type for Song-Beverly Act motor vehicle lemon law cases. Judicial officers and court executive officers at several courts have requested this revision to address significant increases in the number of Song-Beverly Act motor vehicle case filings. The recommended form revision will allow courts to more easily and effectively collect data about the number of these cases being filed and the court resources they require. The committee also proposes revising page 3 of the form to add introductory text, use consistent terminology, and clarify the meaning of several items.

## Recommendation

The Civil and Small Claims Advisory Committee recommends that the Judicial Council, effective July 1, 2026, revise *Civil Case Cover Sheet* (form CM-010) to add a case type for Song-Beverly Act motor vehicle lemon law cases and clarify several items.

The proposed revised form is attached at pages 6–8.

## Relevant Previous Council Action

The council adopted *Civil Case Cover Sheet*, then numbered form 982.2, effective July 1, 1996. The form was renumbered to form CM-010 effective July 1, 2003. The form was most recently revised effective January 1, 2026, to add comprehensive groundwater adjudication as a case type and add case categories for both Asbestos and Employment Development Department (EDD).<sup>1</sup>

## Analysis/Rationale

### Song-Beverly Act checkbox

The Civil and Small Claims Advisory Committee recommends revising *Civil Case Cover Sheet* (form CM-010) to add a case type checkbox for Song-Beverly Act cases involving motor vehicles. The Song-Beverly Consumer Warranty Act creates requirements for warranties on consumer goods.<sup>2</sup> The act’s protections for purchasers of new motor vehicles<sup>3</sup> are often referred to as California’s motor vehicle “lemon law.”

Judicial officers and court executive officers at several courts have informed the committee that in recent months they have seen a significant increase in the number of Song-Beverly Act motor vehicle lemon law cases filed, which has strained court resources because these cases often involve significant motion practice and numerous hearings. Adding a case type checkbox for these cases to form CM-010 would allow courts, should they wish to do so, to more easily and effectively collect data about the number of these cases being filed and the court resources they require.

Accordingly, the committee recommends adding a “Song-Beverly Act: Motor Vehicle Lemon Law” case type checkbox to the “Contract” category on page 1 of form CM-010. Although Song-Beverly Act claims are distinct from contract claims, the committee determined that the contract category is the most appropriate place for the new case type. On the current version of the form, Song-Beverly Act cases typically choose the “breach of contract/warranty” subtype in the Contract category. Placing the new case type in the same category should help form users find it.

The committee recommends including “Motor Vehicle Lemon Law” in the case type name because the Song-Beverly Act applies to many types of consumer goods, but the Song-Beverly case type checkbox on form CM-010 is intended only for use in Song-Beverly Act cases involving lemon law claims for motor vehicles, to allow courts to gather data specific to those cases.

---

<sup>1</sup> Judicial Council of Cal., Advisory Com. Rep., *Rules and Forms: New Case Categories for Civil Case Cover Sheet* (Oct. 7, 2025), <https://jcc.legistar.com/View.ashx?M=F&ID=14843278&GUID=D8E0FB86-98FA-413C-BF07-70278E362C5F>.

<sup>2</sup> Civ. Code, §§ 1790–1795.8.

<sup>3</sup> *Id.*, §§ 1793.22–1793.26.

The committee intends for form users to select the Song-Beverly Act case type for any case that includes a Song-Beverly Act motor vehicle lemon law claim, even if the case includes additional claims. Thus, in the list of case types on page 3 of the form, the committee proposes including an explanatory parenthetical for the Song-Beverly Act case type that states: “check this item if the case involves a Song-Beverly Act claim regarding a motor vehicle.”

### **Other revisions**

In addition to adding a Song-Beverly Act case type, the committee recommends several revisions to improve the form. On page 1, the committee recommends revising the instruction above item 1 to implement a suggestion from a commenter, explained in more detail below. On page 2, the committee recommends revising the third bullet point in the “Notice” box to state that if the case is a collections case under California Rules of Court, rule 3.740, a copy of the cover sheet must be served on all other parties.

On page 3, the committee recommends adding introductory text and revising several items to clarify their meaning:

- In the “Other PI/PD/WD Tort” category, revising the explanatory parenthetical for “Product Liability” to change “toxic/environmental” to “environmental/toxic tort” to match the name of the corresponding case type on the form.
- In the “Non-PI/PD/WD (Other) Tort” category, revising “Fraud” to add “(other than contract)” because contractual fraud is listed as a subtype under “Other Contract.”
- In the “Non-PI/PD/WD (Other) Tort” category, revising the explanatory parenthetical under “Professional Negligence” for “Other Professional Malpractice” to change “not legal or medical” to “not medical.” Form users must select the Professional Negligence case type for both legal malpractice and other professional malpractice, so for purposes of this form, it does not matter whether the latter excludes the former. However, “medical malpractice” is a separate case type in the “Other PI/PD/WD Tort” category, so it is necessary to explain that “Other Professional Malpractice” does not include medical malpractice.
- In the “Contract” category, deleting “or negligence” from the explanatory parenthetical for “Contract/Warranty Breach—Seller Plaintiff.” Form users must select the “Breach of Contract/Warranty” checkbox for both Contract/Warranty Breach—Seller Plaintiff and Negligent Breach of Contract/Warranty, so for purposes of this form, it does not matter whether the former excludes the latter. However, “fraud” is a separate case type in the “Non-PI/PD/WD (Other) Tort” category, so it is necessary to explain that “Contract/Warranty Breach—Seller Plaintiff” does not include fraud.
- In the “Contract” category, adding “Rule 3.740 Collections (*as defined in Cal. Rules of Court, rule 3.740(a)*) (09)” and changing “Collections” to “Other Collections” to correspond with the case types listed on page 1 of the form. The committee also

recommends moving the existing examples of collections cases into the explanatory parenthetical for Other Collections.

- In the “Real Property” category, changing the explanatory parenthetical for “Other Real Property” from “not eminent domain, landlord-tenant, or foreclosure” to “not unlawful detainer.” Foreclosure is already listed as a separate example under Other Real Property. Eminent domain is listed as a separate case type in the “Real Property” category and thus is not within the “Other Real Property” category. “Landlord-tenant” would be changed to “unlawful detainer” to match the name of the corresponding category.
- In the “Provisionally Complex Civil Litigation” category, adding California Rules of Court, rule 3.404 to the list of rules cited in the heading, to reflect the recent adoption of that rule.
- In the “Provisionally Complex Civil Litigation” category, changing “Claims Involving Mass Tort” to “Mass Tort” to match the name of the corresponding case type on page 1.
- In the “Enforcement of Judgment” category, deleting the “not unpaid taxes” parenthetical from “Administrative Agency Award.” Form users must select the Enforcement of Judgment case type for both administrative agency awards and petitions for entry of judgment on unpaid taxes, so for purposes of this form, it does not matter whether the former excludes the latter.
- In the “Miscellaneous Civil Petition” category, changing “Petition for Relief From Late Claim” to “Petition for Relief From Government Claim Requirement” to more accurately describe this case type.

### **Policy implications**

The recommended form revisions will help courts more effectively collect data about Song-Beverly Act cases and will clarify several sections of the form for court users. The recommendations are therefore consistent with the *Strategic Plan for California’s Judicial Branch*, specifically the goals of Modernization of Management and Administration (Goal III) and Quality of Justice and Service to the Public (Goal IV).

### **Comments**

The proposed revisions to form CM-010 circulated for public comment from December 5, 2025, to January 7, 2026, as part of the regular winter invitation-to-comment cycle. The proposal received four comments: two from superior courts, one from a judicial officer, and one from a bar association. Three commenters agreed with the proposal, and one did not agree. A chart with the full text of the comments received and the committee’s responses is attached beginning at page 9.

The commenter who did not agree with the proposal noted: “If the intent of the Judicial Council is for a user to check the Song-Beverly Act box on page 1 of the form regardless of whether

there are other claims in the case, page 1 of the form should make that explicit. As drafted, the user will not know that they should check the box for ‘Song-Beverly Act: Motor Vehicle Lemon Law’ if the case involves both a Song-Beverly claim and other claims unless the user happens to go to page 3 of the form.” The commenter did not identify any other issues with the proposal.

The committee agrees that the form could be clearer about the need to review the examples and explanations on page 3 before completing page 1. Due to the formatting of the form, it is not possible to add instructions for individual checkboxes on page 1, but the committee recommends revising the instructions at the top of page 1 to explain that there are “examples on page 3.”

### **Alternatives considered**

The committee considered the alternative of taking no action but determined that the proposal was warranted because of the benefits it would provide to courts and court users. In particular, the proposal would benefit courts that wish to collect data about Song-Beverly Act cases involving motor vehicles to more effectively collect that data and allocate resources accordingly. The committee also determined that the revisions clarifying other case types and examples on page 3 of the form would be beneficial to form users. And, as discussed above, the committee’s recommendations took into consideration several alternatives, including those suggested by commenters.

### **Fiscal and Operational Impacts**

The committee anticipates that this proposal will provide an operational benefit because it will allow courts that wish to collect data about Song-Beverly Act cases involving motor vehicles to do so more effectively. For courts that wish to update their case management system (CMS) to include a category for Song-Beverly Act cases, the form revisions may require coordination between the courts and their CMS vendors to ensure that the new case category is correctly mapped in their CMS.<sup>4</sup> Courts will likely incur costs to incorporate the revised form into paper or electronic processes, and the revised form may require education or training for court staff and judicial officers.

### **Attachments and Links**

1. Form CM-010, at pages 6–8
2. Chart of comments, at pages 9–11

---

<sup>4</sup> Courts that do not wish to collect this data or update their CMS are not required to do so.

ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY NAME: FIRM NAME: STREET ADDRESS: CITY: STATE: ZIP CODE: TELEPHONE NO.: FAX NO.: EMAIL ADDRESS: ATTORNEY FOR (name):	STATE BAR NUMBER:  STATE: ZIP CODE:  FAX NO.:	FOR COURT USE ONLY  <h2 style="margin: 0;">DRAFT</h2> <h3 style="margin: 0;">2/13/2026</h3> <h3 style="margin: 0;">Not approved by the Judicial Council</h3>
<b>SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF</b> STREET ADDRESS: MAILING ADDRESS: CITY AND ZIP CODE: BRANCH NAME:		
CASE NAME:		
<b>CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET</b> <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Unlimited</b> (Amount demanded exceeds \$35,000)	<input type="checkbox"/> <b>Limited</b> (Amount demanded is \$35,000 or less)	<b>Complex Case Designation</b> <input type="checkbox"/> Counter <input type="checkbox"/> Joinder Filed with first appearance by defendant (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 3.402)
		CASE NUMBER:  JUDGE: DEPT.:

*Items 1–6 below must be completed (see instructions on page 2 and examples on page 3).*

1. Check **one** box below for the case type that best describes this case:

<b>Auto Tort</b> <input type="checkbox"/> Auto (22) <input type="checkbox"/> Uninsured motorist (46)  <b>Asbestos</b> <input type="checkbox"/> Asbestos (04)  <b>Other PI/PD/WD (Personal Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death) Tort</b> <input type="checkbox"/> Product liability (24) <input type="checkbox"/> Medical malpractice (45) <input type="checkbox"/> Other PI/PD/WD (23)  <b>Non-PI/PD/WD (Other) Tort</b> <input type="checkbox"/> Business tort/Unfair business practice (07) <input type="checkbox"/> Civil rights (08) <input type="checkbox"/> Defamation (13) <input type="checkbox"/> Fraud (16) <input type="checkbox"/> Intellectual property (19) <input type="checkbox"/> Professional negligence (25) <input type="checkbox"/> Other non-PI/PD/WD tort (35)  <b>Employment</b> <input type="checkbox"/> Wrongful termination (36) <input type="checkbox"/> Other employment (15)	<b>Contract</b> <input type="checkbox"/> Breach of contract/warranty (06) <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Song-Beverly Act: Motor Vehicle Lemon Law (49)</b> <input type="checkbox"/> Rule 3.740 collections (09) <input type="checkbox"/> Other collections (09) <input type="checkbox"/> Insurance coverage (18) <input type="checkbox"/> Other contract (37)  <b>Real Property</b> <input type="checkbox"/> Eminent domain/Inverse condemnation (14) <input type="checkbox"/> Wrongful eviction (33) <input type="checkbox"/> Other real property (26)  <b>Unlawful Detainer</b> <input type="checkbox"/> Commercial (31) <input type="checkbox"/> Residential (32) <input type="checkbox"/> Drugs (38)  <b>Judicial Review</b> <input type="checkbox"/> Asset forfeiture (05) <input type="checkbox"/> Petition re arbitration award (11) <input type="checkbox"/> Writ of mandate (02) <input type="checkbox"/> Other judicial review (39)  <b>Employment Development Department (EDD)</b> <input type="checkbox"/> EDD decision review (48)	<b>Provisionally Complex Civil Litigation (Cal. Rules of Court, rules 3.400–3.404)</b> <input type="checkbox"/> Antitrust/Trade regulation (03) <input type="checkbox"/> Construction defect (10) <input type="checkbox"/> Mass tort (40) <input type="checkbox"/> Securities litigation (28) <input type="checkbox"/> Environmental/Toxic tort (30) <input type="checkbox"/> Comprehensive groundwater adjudication (47) <input type="checkbox"/> Insurance coverage claims arising from the above listed provisionally complex case types (41)  <b>Enforcement of Judgment</b> <input type="checkbox"/> Enforcement of judgment (20)  <b>Miscellaneous Civil Complaint</b> <input type="checkbox"/> RICO (27) <input type="checkbox"/> Other complaint (not specified above) (42)  <b>Miscellaneous Civil Petition</b> <input type="checkbox"/> Partnership and corporate governance (21) <input type="checkbox"/> Other petition (not specified above) (43)
---	--	--



2. Is this case complex under rule 3.400 of the California Rules of Court?  Yes  No

If the case is complex, mark the factors requiring exceptional judicial management:

- a.  Large number of separately represented parties
- b.  Extensive motion practice raising difficult or novel issues that will be time-consuming to resolve
- c.  Substantial amount of documentary evidence
- d.  Large number of witnesses
- e.  Coordination with related actions pending in one or more courts in other counties, states, or countries, or in a federal court
- f.  Substantial postjudgment judicial supervision

3. Remedies sought (check all that apply):

- a.  monetary
- b.  nonmonetary; declaratory or injunctive relief
- c.  punitive

4. Number of causes of action (specify):

5. Is this case a class action suit?  Yes  No

6. If there are any known related cases, file and serve a notice of related case. (You may use form CM-015.)

Date:

\_\_\_\_\_  
Type or print name

\_\_\_\_\_  
Signature of party or attorney for party

**NOTICE**

- Plaintiff must file this cover sheet with the first paper filed in the action or proceeding (except small claims cases or cases filed under the Probate Code, Family Code, or Welfare and Institutions Code). (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 3.220.) Failure to file may result in sanctions.
- File this cover sheet in addition to any cover sheet required by local court rule.
- If this case is complex under rule 3.400 et seq. of the California Rules of Court, or a collections case under rule 3.740, you must serve a copy of this cover sheet on all other parties to the action or proceeding. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 3.220.)
- Unless this is a collections case under rule 3.740 or a complex case, this cover sheet will be used for statistical purposes only.

**INSTRUCTIONS ON HOW TO COMPLETE THE COVER SHEET**

**To Plaintiffs and Others Filing First Papers.** If you are filing a first paper (for example, a complaint) in a civil case, you **must** complete and file, along with your first paper, the Civil Case Cover Sheet contained on pages 1 and 2. This information will be used to compile statistics about the types and numbers of cases filed. You must complete items 1 through 6 on the sheet. In item 1, you must check **one** box for the case type that best describes the case. If the case fits both a general and a more specific type of case listed in item 1, check the more specific one. If the case has multiple causes of action, check the box that best indicates the **primary** cause of action. To assist you in completing the sheet, examples of the cases that belong under each case type in item 1 are provided **on page 3 of this form**. A cover sheet must be filed only with your initial paper. Failure to file a cover sheet with the first paper filed in a civil case may subject a party, its counsel, or both to sanctions under rules 2.30 and 3.220 of the California Rules of Court.

**To Parties in Rule 3.740 Collections Cases.** A "collections case" under rule 3.740 of the California Rules of Court is defined as an action for recovery of money owed in a sum stated to be certain that is not more than \$35,000, exclusive of interest and attorney's fees, arising from a transaction in which property, services, or money was acquired on credit. A collections case does not include an action seeking the following: (1) tort damages, (2) punitive damages, (3) recovery of real property, (4) recovery of personal property, or (5) a prejudgment writ of attachment. The identification of a case as a rule 3.740 collections case on this form means that it will be exempt from the general time-for-service requirements and case management rules, unless a defendant files a responsive pleading. A rule 3.740 collections case will be subject to the requirements for service and obtaining a judgment in rule 3.740.

**To Parties in Complex Cases.** In complex cases only, parties must also use the Civil Case Cover Sheet to designate whether the case is complex. If a plaintiff believes the case is complex under rule 3.400 of the California Rules of Court, this must be indicated by completing the appropriate boxes in items 1 and 2. If a plaintiff designates a case as complex, the cover sheet must be served with the complaint on all parties to the action. A defendant may file and serve no later than the time of its first appearance a joinder in the plaintiff's designation, a counter-designation that the case is not complex, or, if the plaintiff has made no designation, a designation that the case is complex.

**SEE PAGE 3 FOR INFORMATION PURPOSES ONLY.**



## CASE TYPES AND EXAMPLES

The list below provides explanations and examples for the case type checkboxes listed in item 1 on this form. The lists of examples below are not meant to be exhaustive, and some case types may have causes of action not listed here.

### Auto Tort

Auto (22)–Personal Injury/Property Damage/  
Wrongful Death  
Uninsured Motorist (*if the case involves an  
uninsured motorist claim subject to  
arbitration, check this item instead of Auto*)  
(46)

### Asbestos

Asbestos (04)  
Asbestos Property Damage  
Asbestos Personal Injury/Wrongful Death

### Other PI/PD/WD (Personal Injury/ Property Damage/Wrongful Death) Tort

Product Liability (*not asbestos or  
environmental/toxic tort*) (24)  
Medical Malpractice (45)  
Medical Malpractice–Physicians &  
Surgeons  
Other Professional Health Care  
Malpractice  
Other PI/PD/WD (23)  
Premises Liability (e.g., slip and fall)  
Intentional Bodily Injury/PD/WD (e.g.,  
assault, vandalism)  
Intentional Infliction of Emotional Distress  
Negligent Infliction of Emotional Distress  
Other PI/PD/WD

### Non-PI/PD/WD (Other) Tort

Business Tort/Unfair Business Practice (07)  
Civil Rights (e.g., discrimination, false arrest)  
(*not civil harassment*) (08)  
Defamation (e.g., slander, libel) (13)  
Fraud (*other than contract*) (16)  
Intellectual Property (19)  
Professional Negligence (25)  
Legal Malpractice  
Other Professional Malpractice  
(*not medical*)  
Other Non-PI/PD/WD Tort (35)

### Employment

Wrongful Termination (36)  
Other Employment (15)

### Contract

Breach of Contract/Warranty (06)  
Breach of Rental/Lease Contract (*not  
unlawful detainer or wrongful eviction*)  
Contract/Warranty Breach–Seller Plaintiff  
(*not fraud*)  
Negligent Breach of Contract/Warranty  
Other Breach of Contract/Warranty  
Song-Beverly Act: Motor Vehicle Lemon Law  
(*check this item if the case involves a Song-  
Beverly Act claim regarding a motor  
vehicle*) (49)  
Rule 3.740 Collections (*as defined in Cal.  
Rules of Court, rule 3.740(a)*) (09)  
Other Collections (e.g., money owed, open  
book accounts, promissory note) (09)  
Insurance Coverage (*not provisionally  
complex*) (18)  
Auto Subrogation  
Other Coverage  
Other Contract (37)  
Contractual Fraud  
Other Contract Dispute

### Real Property

Eminent Domain/Inverse Condemnation (14)  
Wrongful Eviction (33)  
Other Real Property (e.g., quiet title) (26)  
Writ of Possession of Real Property  
Mortgage Foreclosure  
Quiet Title  
Other Real Property (*not unlawful  
detainer*)

### Unlawful Detainer

Commercial (31)  
Residential (32)  
Drugs (*if the case involves illegal drugs, check  
this item; otherwise, choose Commercial or  
Residential*) (38)

### Judicial Review

Asset Forfeiture (05)  
Petition re Arbitration Award (11)  
Writ of Mandate (02)  
Writ–Administrative Mandamus  
Writ–Mandamus on Limited Court Case  
Matter  
Writ–Other Limited Court Case Review  
Other Judicial Review (39)  
Review of Health Officer Order  
Notice of Appeal–Labor Commissioner  
Appeals

### Employment Development Department (EDD)

EDD Decision Review (*if the case involves an  
Employment Development Department  
decision, check this item instead of  
wrongful termination or other employment*)  
(48)

### Provisionally Complex Civil Litigation (Cal. Rules of Court, rules 3.400–3.404)

Antitrust/Trade Regulation (03)  
Construction Defect (10)  
Mass Tort (40)  
Securities Litigation (28)  
Environmental/Toxic Tort (30)  
Comprehensive Groundwater Adjudication  
(47)  
Insurance Coverage Claims (*arising from  
provisionally complex case type listed  
above*) (41)

### Enforcement of Judgment

Enforcement of Judgment (20)  
Abstract of Judgment (Out of County)  
Confession of Judgment (*non-domestic  
relations*)  
Sister-State Judgment  
Administrative Agency Award  
Petition/Certification of Entry of Judgment  
on Unpaid Taxes  
Other Enforcement of Judgment Case

### Miscellaneous Civil Complaint

RICO (27)  
Other Complaint (*not specified above*) (42)  
Declaratory Relief Only  
Injunctive Relief Only (*non-harassment*)  
Mechanic's Lien  
Other Commercial Complaint Case  
(*non-tort/non-complex*)  
Other Civil Complaint (*non-tort/  
non-complex*)

### Miscellaneous Civil Petition

Partnership and Corporate Governance (21)  
Other Petition (*not specified above*) (43)  
Civil Harassment  
Workplace Violence  
Elder/Dependent Adult Abuse  
Election Contest  
Petition for Name Change  
Petition for Relief From Government  
Claim Requirement  
Other Civil Petition

## W26-01

### Civil Practice and Procedure: Revise Civil Case Cover Sheet (Revise form CM-010)

All comments are verbatim unless indicated by an asterisk (\*).

	<b>Commenter</b>	<b>Position</b>	<b>Comment</b>	<b>Committee Response</b>
1.	Hon. Marcella O. McLaughlin Judge of the Superior Court of San Diego County	A	Necessary for Effective Case Tracking and Management of Lemon Law Cases - I wholeheartedly agree with this revision. My colleagues and I have discussed this for some time as potentially, a method to better understand the number Song-Beverly matters our court is handling and perhaps develop effective approaches for calendar management. These matters are heard in our Independent Civil Calendar departments and our heavy caseloads (1200 + cases each judge) demand that we think creatively to best serve litigants and ensure cases are heard expeditiously and efficiently. Being able to track these cases by assigning a cover sheet would be a great assist.	The committee appreciates the response and acknowledges the commenter's agreement with the proposal.
2.	Orange County Bar Association by Shirin Forootan, President	N	<p>The committee requested a specific comment on the following: "The committee intends for form users to check the Song-Beverly Act checkbox for any case that includes a Song-Beverly Act motor vehicle lemon law claim, even if the case includes additional claims. In the list of case types on page 3 of the form, is this intention clearly conveyed by the explanatory parenthetical for the Song-Beverly Act case type?"</p> <p>If the intent of the Judicial Council is for a user to check the Song-Beverly Act box on page 1 of the form regardless of whether there are other claims in the case, page 1 of the form should make that explicit. As drafted, the user will not know that they should check the box for "Song-Beverly Act: Motor Vehicle Lemon Law" if the case involves both a Song-Beverly claim and other claims unless the user happens to go to page 3 of the form.</p>	The committee agrees that the form could be clearer about the need to review the examples and explanations on page 3 before completing page 1. Due to the formatting of the form, it is not possible to add instructions for individual checkboxes on page 1, but the committee has recommended revising the instructions at the top of page 1 to explain that there are "examples on page 3."
3.	Superior Court of Los Angeles County by Stephanie Kuo	A	<p>The following comments are representative of the Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, and do not represent or promote the viewpoint of any particular officer or employee.</p> <p>In response to the Judicial Council of California's Invitation to Comment, "W26-01 Civil Practice and Procedure: Revise Civil Case</p>	<p>No response required.</p> <p>The committee appreciates the response and acknowledges the commenter's agreement with the proposal.</p>

Positions: A = Agree; AM = Agree if modified; N = Do not agree; NI = Not indicated

## W26-01

### Civil Practice and Procedure: Revise Civil Case Cover Sheet (Revise form CM-010)

All comments are verbatim unless indicated by an asterisk (\*).

	Commenter	Position	Comment	Committee Response
			<p>Cover Sheet,” the Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles (Court), agrees with the proposal and its stated purpose.</p> <p>The Court concurs that the intended list of case types on page 3 of the form is effectively clarified by the explanatory note for the Song-Beverly Act case type. The change aligns with the Court’s Civil Case Cover Sheet Addendum, as this is currently identified as a case type.</p> <p>The proposal would not provide cost savings. However, the Court does not foresee any additional training or updates to its case management system as it already lists this case type on the Civil Case Cover Sheet Addendum. Two months should be sufficient time for implementation.</p>	<p>The committee appreciates the information.</p> <p>The committee appreciates the information.</p>
4.	Superior Court of San Diego County by Mike Roddy, Executive Officer	A	<p>Q: Does the proposal appropriately address the stated purpose? A: <b>Yes.</b></p> <p>Q: The committee intends for form users to check the Song-Beverly Act checkbox for any case that includes a Song-Beverly Act motor vehicle lemon law claim, even if the case includes additional claims. In the list of case types on page 3 of the form, is this intention clearly conveyed by the explanatory parenthetical for the Song-Beverly Act case type? A: <b>Yes.</b></p> <p>Q: Would the proposal provide cost savings? If so, please quantify. A: <b>No.</b></p> <p>Q: What would the implementation requirements be for courts for example, training staff (please identify position and expected hours of training), revising processes and procedures (please describe), changing docket codes in case management systems, or modifying case management systems?</p>	<p>The committee appreciates the response.</p> <p>The committee appreciates the response.</p> <p>The committee appreciates the information.</p> <p>The committee appreciates the information.</p>

Positions: A = Agree; AM = Agree if modified; N = Do not agree; NI = Not indicated

**W26-01**

**Civil Practice and Procedure: Revise Civil Case Cover Sheet (Revise form CM-010)**

All comments are verbatim unless indicated by an asterisk (\*).

	Commenter	Position	Comment	Committee Response
			<p><b>A: Updating local packets to include revised form and adding a case type to the case management system.</b></p> <p>Q: Would two months from Judicial Council approval of this proposal until its effective date provide sufficient time for implementation?</p> <p><b>A: Yes, provided the final version of the form is provided at that time.</b></p> <p>Q: How well would this proposal work in courts of different sizes?</p> <p><b>A: It appears that the proposal would work for courts of all sizes.</b></p> <p>No additional Comments.</p>	<p>The committee appreciates the information.</p> <p>The committee appreciates the information.</p>

Positions: A = Agree; AM = Agree if modified; N = Do not agree; NI = Not indicated