



## Judicial Council of California

455 Golden Gate Avenue · San Francisco, California 94102-3688

[courts.ca.gov](http://courts.ca.gov)

---

# REPORT TO THE JUDICIAL COUNCIL

*Item No.: 26-058*

For business meeting on April 24, 2026

---

**Title**

Juvenile Law: 2025–26 Allocations for Dependency Counsel Collections Program and Expected Unspent Program Funding

**Report Type**

Action Required

**Effective Date**

April 24, 2026

**Rules, Forms, Standards, or Statutes Affected**

None

**Date of Report**

April 24, 2026

**Recommended by**

Trial Court Budget Advisory Committee  
Hon. Jonathan B. Conklin, Chair  
Ms. Rebecca Fleming, Vice-Chair

**Contact**

Kelly Meehleib, 916-263-1693  
[kelly.meehleib@jud.ca.gov](mailto:kelly.meehleib@jud.ca.gov)

---

*This item was moved from the Consent Agenda to the Discussion Agenda to include a revised recommendation approved by the Judicial Council on April 24, 2026.*

**Executive Summary**

The Trial Court Budget Advisory Committee recommends two redistributions for court-appointed juvenile dependency counsel funds for fiscal year 2025–26. These allocations ensure that statutorily restricted funds collected under the Juvenile Dependency Counsel Collections Program are allocated according to the Judicial Council methodology and that unspent court-appointed juvenile dependency counsel funds are reallocated to eligible courts able to utilize the funding. This action promotes equitable distribution of resources and supports effective representation for children and families in dependency proceedings.

**Recommendation (Revised April 24, 2026)**

The Trial Court Budget Advisory Committee recommends that the Judicial Council, effective April 24, 2026:

1. Allocate Juvenile Dependency Counsel Collections Program funds of \$125,145 remitted in fiscal year (FY) 2024–25 (Attachment A); and

2. Allocate FY 2025–26 estimated unspent dependency counsel funding of \$1,130,773 from courts that have identified funds in excess of expected expenditures to courts funded below the average statewide funding level in accordance with Judicial Council action in April 2015 (Revised Attachment B).

On February 19, 2026, the Judicial Branch Budget Committee approved initial recommendations based on available information at that time. Subsequent to that meeting, the amount of available unspent dependency counsel funding increased by \$150,000, from \$980,773 to \$1,130,773. The \$125,145 allocation of Juvenile Dependency Counsel Collections Program funding is unchanged.

## **Relevant Previous Council Action**

### **Juvenile Dependency Counsel Collections Program**

At its meeting on October 26, 2012, the Judicial Council adopted the Juvenile Dependency Counsel Collections Program (JDCCP) guidelines,<sup>1</sup> which fulfilled the council’s legislative mandate to establish a program to collect reimbursement from parents or minors demonstrating an ability to pay.<sup>2</sup> Additional amendments were adopted by the council at its meeting on August 23, 2013, regarding the issue of equitable allocation of funds remitted through the JDCCP.<sup>3</sup> The council then allocated funds remitted through the JDCCP for the first time at its meeting on February 20, 2014.<sup>4</sup> Since then, the council has allocated available funds to eligible trial courts annually.

### **Court-appointed counsel funding reallocation**

At its business meeting on April 17, 2015, the council approved a methodology for reallocating funds unspent by courts for court-appointed counsel in dependency cases.<sup>5</sup>

## **Analysis/Rationale**

### **Recommendation 1: Juvenile Dependency Counsel Collections Program funds**

In FY 2024–25, the trial courts remitted a total of \$357,189. Welfare and Institutions Code section 903.47 requires the council to allocate the remitted monies in excess of dependency

---

<sup>1</sup> The guidelines took effect January 1, 2013, and are published as Appendix F of the California Rules of Court. See [courts.ca.gov/sites/default/files/courts/default/2024-08/appendix\\_f.pdf](https://courts.ca.gov/sites/default/files/courts/default/2024-08/appendix_f.pdf).

<sup>2</sup> Judicial Council of Cal., Advisory Com. Rep., *Juvenile Dependency: Counsel Collections Program* (Sept. 14, 2012), [courts.ca.gov/documents/jc-20121026-itemA20.pdf](https://courts.ca.gov/documents/jc-20121026-itemA20.pdf).

<sup>3</sup> Judicial Council of Cal., Advisory Com. Rep., *Juvenile Dependency: Counsel Collections Program Guidelines* (Aug. 15, 2013), [courts.ca.gov/sites/default/files/courts/default/2024-10/jc-20130823-itemf.pdf](https://courts.ca.gov/sites/default/files/courts/default/2024-10/jc-20130823-itemf.pdf).

<sup>4</sup> Judicial Council of Cal., Advisory Com. Rep., *Trial Court Allocations: Criminal Justice Realignment, Court-Appointed Dependency Counsel, and Workers’ Compensation Liabilities* (Feb. 10, 2014), [courts.ca.gov/sites/default/files/courts/default/2024-10/jc-20140220-itemj.pdf](https://courts.ca.gov/sites/default/files/courts/default/2024-10/jc-20140220-itemj.pdf).

<sup>5</sup> Judicial Council of Cal., Advisory Com. Rep., *Juvenile Dependency: Court-Appointed–Counsel Funding Reallocation* (Apr. 8, 2015), [courts.ca.gov/sites/default/files/courts/default/2024-10/jc-20150417-itemi.pdf](https://courts.ca.gov/sites/default/files/courts/default/2024-10/jc-20150417-itemi.pdf).

counsel program administrative costs to the trial courts for the purpose of reducing court-appointed attorney caseloads to the council's approved standard.

To receive an allocation of these funds, a court must meet the participation and funding need requirements described in section 14 of the JDCCP guidelines.<sup>6</sup> Each court that satisfies those requirements receives an allocation. The amount of JDCCP funds each eligible court receives is determined by the court's share of the aggregate funding need of all eligible courts. Estimates of courts' funding needs are computed using the dependency workload model approved by the council in April 2016<sup>7</sup> and then updated in July 2016<sup>8</sup> and July 2022.<sup>9</sup>

Attachment A displays the recommended allocation amount for each court.

**Recommendation 2: Court-appointed counsel funding reallocation (Revised April 24, 2026)**

In December 2025 program staff surveyed trial courts<sup>10</sup> to inquire about anticipated unspent court-appointed counsel funding for FY 2025–26. Three courts confirmed that they would not spend the full allocation and provided an estimate of unspent funding. Revised Attachment B, column E, shows the total \$195,000 estimate.

In September 2025, the council approved the allocation of FY 2025–26 Court-Appointed Juvenile Dependency Counsel funds identified by courts through a spending plan survey, making those funds available to assist small courts in adjusting to FY 2025–26 funding reductions.<sup>11</sup> The council approved the allocation of available funds to impacted small courts that required assistance, with any remaining funds to be allocated to all eligible courts through the regular midyear reallocation process. A total of \$935,773 remained available for reallocation, increasing

---

<sup>6</sup> As described in section 14 of the JDCCP guidelines, a court can demonstrate its participation in the program by submitting annual reports as required under section 13 and by adopting a local rule or policy to inquire about a responsible person's ability to reimburse the cost of appointed counsel at each dispositional hearing.

<sup>7</sup> Judicial Council of Cal., Advisory Com. Rep., *Juvenile Dependency: Court-Appointed Dependency Counsel Workload and Funding Methodology* (Apr. 1, 2016), [jcc.legistar.com/View.ashx?M=F&ID=4382676&GUID=E8BCCA8A-5DED-48C3-B946-6E21EBB0BEAF](http://jcc.legistar.com/View.ashx?M=F&ID=4382676&GUID=E8BCCA8A-5DED-48C3-B946-6E21EBB0BEAF).

<sup>8</sup> Judicial Council of Cal., Advisory Com. Rep., *Juvenile Dependency: Court-Appointed Dependency Counsel Workload and Funding Methodology Options* (July 18, 2016), [jcc.legistar.com/View.ashx?M=F&ID=4572873&GUID=C33C7410-DDA2-451A-9004-024D84910504](http://jcc.legistar.com/View.ashx?M=F&ID=4572873&GUID=C33C7410-DDA2-451A-9004-024D84910504).

<sup>9</sup> Judicial Council of Cal., Advisory Com. Rep., *Trial Court Budget: Fiscal Year 2022–23 Allocation of Court-Appointed Juvenile Dependency Counsel Funding* (June 24, 2022), [jcc.legistar.com/View.ashx?M=F&ID=11019079&GUID=CB0A2EE1-B3CF-43AC-B92B-F4724B5D209C](http://jcc.legistar.com/View.ashx?M=F&ID=11019079&GUID=CB0A2EE1-B3CF-43AC-B92B-F4724B5D209C).

<sup>10</sup> Program staff contacted 38 of the 58 trial courts as the Judicial Council administers the court-appointed dependency counsel budget for the remaining 20 courts through the Dependency Representation, Administration, Funding, and Training program.

<sup>11</sup> Judicial Council of Cal., Advisory Com. Rep., *Trial Court Budget: Fiscal Year 2025–26 Allocation of Court-Appointed Juvenile Dependency Council Funding* (Aug. 25, 2025), [jcc.legistar.com/View.ashx?M=A&ID=1335073&GUID=7EA97284-5FF5-49CA-A5BD-A86123AB9CCD](http://jcc.legistar.com/View.ashx?M=A&ID=1335073&GUID=7EA97284-5FF5-49CA-A5BD-A86123AB9CCD).

the total available reallocation amount to \$1,130,773. Revised Attachment B shows the total funding available and proposed reallocation.

Under the reallocation methodology adopted by the Judicial Council at its business meeting on April 17, 2015, funds are reallocated proportionally by workload to courts that (1) did not remit unspent funds and (2) are not fully funded to their need.

To ensure use of the reallocation funds, additional outreach to eligible trial courts was conducted to confirm the court's ability to completely expend funds during the fiscal year. Declined funds are placed back in the pool and reallocated to courts eligible for and accepting additional funds.<sup>12</sup>

### **Policy implications**

The reallocation process was established to support courts in need of additional dependency counsel funds, when available.

### **Comments**

Public comments were not solicited for this proposal because the recommendations are within the Judicial Council's purview to approve without circulation.

### **Alternatives considered**

#### ***Recommendation 1: Juvenile Dependency Counsel Collections Program funds***

Because the recommended allocation outlined in Attachment A was determined using the methodology approved by the council at its business meeting on August 23, 2013, no alternatives to this proposal were considered.

#### ***Recommendation 2: Court-appointed counsel funding reallocation***

Because the recommended allocation outlined in Revised Attachment B was determined using the methodology approved by the council at its business meeting on April 17, 2015, no alternatives to this proposal were considered.

### **Fiscal and Operational Impacts**

This proposal is for the allocation of funds that have already been collected or included in the FY 2025–26 budget. Hence, no additional costs or impacts are anticipated.

### **Attachments and Links**

1. Attachment A: *Recommended Fiscal Year 2025–26 Trial Court Allocations of Juvenile Dependency Counsel Collections Program Funds*
2. Revised Attachment B: *Recommended Fiscal Year 2025–26 Trial Court Allocations of Court-Appointed Counsel Unspent Funding*

---

<sup>12</sup> At the end of each fiscal year, a small portion of court-appointed counsel funds remains unspent.

**Recommended Fiscal Year 2025-26 Trial Court Allocations of Juvenile Dependency Counsel Collections Program Funds**

Court	Estimated Funding Need (Circulating Order - September 2025)	Estimated Funding Need as Percentage of Statewide Need	Allocation of Court Appointed Counsel (CAC) Base Funding in 2025-26	Allocation as a Percentage of Total CAC Base Funding in 2025-26	Eligible for JDCCP Funding <sup>1</sup>	Funding Need of Eligible Courts (Col. A when Col. E equals "Y")	Need as a % of Total Need of Eligible Courts (Col F Total)	Recommended Allocation of 2024-25 JDCCP Collections (Col. G x \$125,145)
	Col. A	Col. B	Col. C	Col. D	Col. E	Col. F	Col. G	Col. H
Alameda	\$ 5,200,616	2.24%	\$ 4,137,757	2.23%	N	\$ -	0%	\$ -
Alpine	18,488	0.01%	18,488	0.01%	N	-	0%	-
Amador	195,107	0.08%	162,137	0.09%	N	-	0%	-
Butte	1,173,237	0.50%	933,460	0.50%	N	-	0%	-
Calaveras	261,689	0.11%	245,673	0.13%	N	-	0%	-
Colusa	92,682	0.04%	72,682	0.04%	N	-	0%	-
Contra Costa	3,028,870	1.30%	2,409,855	1.30%	N	-	0%	-
Del Norte	259,687	0.11%	275,298	0.15%	N	-	0%	-
El Dorado	668,438	0.29%	668,438	0.36%	N	-	0%	-
Fresno	6,453,499	2.78%	4,936,000	2.66%	N	-	0%	-
Glenn	140,795	0.06%	146,455	0.08%	N	-	0%	-
Humboldt	946,581	0.41%	946,581	0.51%	N	-	0%	-
Imperial	702,205	0.30%	797,587	0.43%	N	-	0%	-
Inyo	81,884	0.04%	81,884	0.04%	N	-	0%	-
Kern	5,757,583	2.48%	4,580,896	2.47%	Y	5,757,583.28	4.10%	5,128.78
Kings	1,070,376	0.46%	1,023,513	0.55%	N	-	0%	-
Lake	188,449	0.08%	246,219	0.13%	N	-	0%	-
Lassen	170,559	0.07%	170,559	0.09%	N	-	0%	-
Los Angeles	104,063,283	44.76%	82,795,685	44.57%	Y	104,063,283.47	74.07%	92,698.23
Madera	906,405	0.39%	797,713	0.43%	N	-	0%	-
Marin	398,873	0.17%	398,873	0.21%	N	-	0%	-
Mariposa	109,316	0.05%	104,702	0.06%	N	-	0%	-
Mendocino	666,874	0.29%	666,874	0.36%	N	-	0%	-
Merced	1,619,967	0.70%	1,288,891	0.69%	N	-	0%	-
Modoc	55,531	0.02%	79,436	0.04%	N	-	0%	-
Mono	32,202	0.01%	28,683	0.02%	N	-	0%	-
Monterey	715,812	0.31%	574,546	0.31%	N	-	0%	-
Napa	398,461	0.17%	319,824	0.17%	N	-	0%	-
Nevada	169,292	0.07%	169,292	0.09%	N	-	0%	-
Orange	13,311,808	5.73%	10,591,250	5.70%	N	-	0%	-
Placer	920,382	0.40%	738,744	0.40%	N	-	0%	-
Plumas	98,933	0.04%	137,275	0.07%	N	-	0%	-
Riverside	17,353,158	7.46%	13,806,662	7.43%	Y	17,353,157.66	12.35%	15,457.97
Sacramento	5,655,172	2.43%	4,499,414	2.42%	N	-	0%	-
San Benito	124,179	0.05%	99,672	0.05%	N	-	0%	-
San Bernardino	20,782,763	8.94%	16,535,353	8.90%	N	-	0%	-
San Diego	7,440,278	3.20%	5,919,695	3.19%	Y	7,440,277.58	5.30%	6,627.70
San Francisco	4,328,355	1.86%	3,443,762	1.85%	N	-	0%	-
San Joaquin	4,245,431	1.83%	3,377,785	1.82%	N	-	0%	-
San Luis Obispo	954,201	0.41%	765,888	0.41%	N	-	0%	-
San Mateo	827,243	0.36%	663,986	0.36%	N	-	0%	-
Santa Barbara	1,875,853	0.81%	1,492,481	0.80%	N	-	0%	-
Santa Clara	2,687,186	1.16%	2,138,001	1.15%	N	-	0%	-
Santa Cruz	563,955	0.24%	563,955	0.30%	N	-	0%	-
Shasta	1,313,197	0.56%	1,044,817	0.56%	Y	1,313,196.89	0.93%	1,169.78
Sierra	31,447	0.01%	31,447	0.02%	N	-	0%	-
Siskiyou	172,097	0.07%	250,588	0.13%	N	-	0%	-
Solano	1,386,404	0.60%	1,112,796	0.60%	N	-	0%	-
Sonoma	2,060,600	0.89%	1,639,472	0.88%	N	-	0%	-
Stanislaus	1,614,945	0.69%	1,284,896	0.69%	N	-	0%	-
Sutter	430,755	0.19%	363,813	0.20%	N	-	0%	-
Tehama	339,029	0.15%	339,029	0.18%	N	-	0%	-
Trinity	65,884	0.03%	83,204	0.04%	N	-	0%	-
Tulare	3,753,824	1.61%	1,984,956	1.07%	Y	3,753,823.94	2.67%	3,343.86
Tuolumne	317,223	0.14%	304,674	0.16%	N	-	0%	-
Ventura	1,998,532	0.86%	1,590,089	0.86%	N	-	0%	-
Yolo	1,473,280	0.63%	1,182,527	0.64%	N	-	0%	-
Yuba	807,295	0.35%	600,000	0.32%	Y	807,295.11	0.57%	719.13
Reserve	-	-	100,000					
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 232,480,168</b>		<b>\$ 185,764,227</b>			<b>\$ 140,488,617.95</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>\$ 125,145</b>
Reserved for admin.								\$ 232,043
Distribution amount available to courts								\$ 125,145
Total collected								\$ 357,189

1. A court is eligible for an allocation if the court has met both the Funding Need and Participation requirements described in section 14 of the JDCCP Guidelines. This table indicates a court's eligibility to receive an allocation based on the Funding Need criteria. Courts that meet the Funding Need criteria must also meet the Participation requirements in order to receive an allocation.

	Estimated Funding Need (Circulating Order - September 2025)	Estimated Funding Need as Percentage of Statewide Need (Col. A Total)	Allocation of Court Appointed Counsel (CAC) Base Funding in 2025-26	Allocation as a Percentage of Total CAC Base Funding in 2025-26 (Col. C Total)	Estimated Unspent CAC Funding 2025-26	Eligible for and Accepted Reallocated Funding	Funding Need of Eligible Courts (Col. A when Col. F equals "Y")	Need as a % of Total Need of Eligible Courts (Col. G Total)	Recommended CAC Reallocation 2025-26 (New)
Court	Col. A	Col. B	Col. C	Col. D	Col. E	Col. F	Col. G	Col. H	Col. I
Alameda	\$5,200,616	2.24%	\$4,137,757	2.23%	\$ -	Y	\$ 5,200,616	2.76%	\$ 31,280
Alpine	18,488	0.01%	18,488	0.01%	-	N	\$ -	0.00%	\$ -
Amador	195,107	0.08%	162,137	0.09%	-	N	\$ -	0.00%	\$ -
Butte	1,173,237	0.50%	933,460	0.50%	-	Y	\$ 1,173,237	0.62%	\$ 6,116
Calaveras	261,689	0.11%	245,673	0.13%	-	N	\$ -	0.00%	\$ -
Colusa	92,682	0.04%	72,682	0.04%	15,000	N	\$ -	0.00%	\$ -
Contra Costa	3,028,870	1.30%	2,409,855	1.30%	-	Y	\$ 3,028,870	1.61%	\$ 18,218
Del Norte	259,687	0.11%	275,298	0.15%	-	N	\$ -	0.00%	\$ -
El Dorado	668,438	0.29%	668,438	0.36%	-	N	\$ -	0.00%	\$ -
Fresno	6,453,499	2.78%	4,936,000	2.66%	-	N	\$ -	0.00%	\$ -
Glenn	140,795	0.06%	146,455	0.08%	-	N	\$ -	0.00%	\$ -
Humboldt	946,581	0.41%	946,581	0.51%	-	N	\$ -	0.00%	\$ -
Imperial	702,205	0.30%	797,587	0.43%	-	N	\$ -	0.00%	\$ -
Inyo	81,884	0.04%	81,884	0.04%	-	N	\$ -	0.00%	\$ -
Kern	5,757,583	2.48%	4,580,896	2.47%	-	Y	\$ 5,757,583	3.06%	\$ 34,630
Kings	1,070,376	0.46%	1,023,513	0.55%	150,000.00	N	\$ -	0.00%	\$ -
Lake	188,449	0.08%	246,219	0.13%	-	N	\$ -	0.00%	\$ -
Lassen	170,559	0.07%	170,559	0.09%	-	N	\$ -	0.00%	\$ -
Los Angeles	104,063,283	44.76%	82,795,685	44.57%	-	Y	\$ 104,063,283	55.31%	\$ 625,911
Madera	906,405	0.39%	797,713	0.43%	-	N	\$ -	0.00%	\$ -
Marin	398,873	0.17%	398,873	0.21%	-	N	\$ -	0.00%	\$ -
Mariposa	109,316	0.05%	104,702	0.06%	-	N	\$ -	0.00%	\$ -
Mendocino	666,874	0.29%	666,874	0.36%	-	N	\$ -	0.00%	\$ -
Merced	1,619,967	0.70%	1,288,891	0.69%	-	Y	\$ 1,619,967	0.86%	\$ 9,744
Modoc	55,531	0.02%	79,436	0.04%	-	N	\$ -	0.00%	\$ -
Mono	32,202	0.01%	28,683	0.02%	-	N	\$ -	0.00%	\$ -
Monterey	715,812	0.31%	574,546	0.31%	30,000	N	\$ -	0.00%	\$ -
Napa	398,461	0.17%	319,824	0.17%	-	N	\$ -	0.00%	\$ -
Nevada	169,292	0.07%	169,292	0.09%	-	N	\$ -	0.00%	\$ -
Orange	13,311,808	5.73%	10,591,250	5.70%	-	Y	\$ 13,311,808	7.07%	\$ 80,066
Placer	920,382	0.40%	738,744	0.40%	-	N	\$ -	0.00%	\$ -
Plumas	98,933	0.04%	137,275	0.07%	-	N	\$ -	0.00%	\$ -
Riverside	17,353,158	7.46%	13,806,662	7.43%	-	N	\$ -	0.00%	\$ -
Sacramento	5,655,172	2.43%	4,499,414	2.42%	-	Y	\$ 5,655,172	3.01%	\$ 34,014
San Benito	124,179	0.05%	99,672	0.05%	-	N	\$ -	0.00%	\$ -
San Bernardino	20,782,763	8.94%	16,535,353	8.90%	-	Y	\$ 20,782,763	11.05%	\$ 125,002
San Diego	7,440,278	3.20%	5,919,695	3.19%	-	Y	\$ 7,440,278	3.95%	\$ 44,751
San Francisco	4,328,355	1.86%	3,443,762	1.85%	-	Y	\$ 4,328,355	2.30%	\$ 26,034
San Joaquin	4,245,431	1.83%	3,377,785	1.82%	-	Y	\$ 4,245,431	2.26%	\$ 25,535
San Luis Obispo	954,201	0.41%	765,888	0.41%	-	N	\$ -	0.00%	\$ -
San Mateo	827,243	0.36%	663,986	0.36%	-	N	\$ -	0.00%	\$ -
Santa Barbara	1,875,853	0.81%	1,492,481	0.80%	-	Y	\$ 1,875,853	1.00%	\$ 11,283
Santa Clara	2,687,186	1.16%	2,138,001	1.15%	-	Y	\$ 2,687,186	1.43%	\$ 16,163
Santa Cruz	563,955	0.24%	563,955	0.30%	-	N	\$ -	0.00%	\$ -
Shasta	1,313,197	0.56%	1,044,817	0.56%	-	Y	\$ 1,313,197	0.70%	\$ 7,898
Sierra	31,447	0.01%	31,447	0.02%	-	N	\$ -	0.00%	\$ -
Siskiyou	172,097	0.07%	250,588	0.13%	-	N	\$ -	0.00%	\$ -
Solano	1,386,404	0.60%	1,112,796	0.60%	-	N	\$ -	0.00%	\$ -
Sonoma	2,060,600	0.89%	1,639,472	0.88%	-	Y	\$ 2,060,600	1.10%	\$ 12,394
Stanislaus	1,614,945	0.69%	1,284,896	0.69%	-	Y	\$ 1,614,945	0.86%	\$ 9,713
Sutter	430,755	0.19%	363,813	0.20%	-	N	\$ -	0.00%	\$ -
Tehama	339,029	0.15%	339,029	0.18%	-	N	\$ -	0.00%	\$ -
Trinity	65,884	0.03%	83,204	0.04%	-	N	\$ -	0.00%	\$ -
Tulare	3,753,824	1.61%	1,984,956	1.07%	-	N	\$ -	0.00%	\$ -
Tuolumne	317,223	0.14%	304,674	0.16%	-	N	\$ -	0.00%	\$ -
Ventura	1,998,532	0.86%	1,590,089	0.86%	-	Y	\$ 1,998,532	1.06%	\$ 12,021
Yolo	1,473,280	0.63%	1,182,527	0.64%	-	N	\$ -	0.00%	\$ -
Yuba	807,295	0.35%	600,000	0.32%	-	N	\$ -	0.00%	\$ -
Unallocated	-	-	100,000	-	-	-	\$ -	-	\$ -
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$232,480,168</b>		<b>\$185,764,227</b>		<b>\$195,000</b>		<b>\$188,157,676</b>	<b>100.00%</b>	<b>\$ 1,130,773</b>
<i>Remaining Funding for Reallocation as of September 2025</i>					<b>\$935,773</b>				
<b>Total Returned</b>									<b>\$1,130,773.00</b>