

Judicial Council of California

455 Golden Gate Avenue · San Francisco, California 94102-3688 www.courts.ca.gov

REPORT TO THE JUDICIAL COUNCIL

Item No.: 23-134
For business meeting on September 19, 2023

Title

Trial Court Budget: Allocation Methodologies for Senate Bill 154 and Senate Bill 101 Backfill Funding

Rules, Forms, Standards, or Statutes Affected None

Recommended by

Trial Court Budget Advisory Committee Hon. Jonathan B. Conklin, Chair

Agenda Item Type

Action Required

Effective Date

September 19, 2023

Date of Report

August 24, 2023

Contact

Oksana Tuk, 916-643-8027 oksana.tuk@jud.ca.gov

Executive Summary

The Trial Court Budget Advisory Committee recommends the Judicial Council approve the allocation methodologies to provide trial court backfill funding for (1) fee waiver changes included in the 2022 Budget Act (Senate Bill 154) for 2022–23 and ongoing, and (2) the elimination of certain criminal fees included in the 2023 Budget Act (Senate Bill 101) for 2023–24 and ongoing.

Recommendation

The Trial Court Budget Advisory Committee recommends the Judicial Council, effective September 19, 2023:

- 1. Approve the five-year revenue collection methodology for allocation of the \$689,000 backfill funding (Senate Bill 154) to the trial courts for 2022–23 and ongoing, as outlined in Attachment A. In 2023–24, trial courts will receive a total of \$1.4 million, which includes the annual backfill amount for 2022–23 and 2023–24; and
- 2. Approve the five-year average revenue collection methodology for allocation of the \$826,000 backfill funding (Senate Bill 101) to the trial courts for 2023–24 and ongoing.

These recommendations were presented to the Judicial Branch Budget Committee on August 7, 2023 and approved for consideration by the Judicial Council.

Relevant Previous Council Action

No previous action relevant to this recommendation was taken by the Judicial Council.

Analysis/Rationale

Assembly Bill (AB) 199 (Ch. 57, Stats. 2022)¹ expands eligibility for civil filing fee waivers by increasing the poverty threshold from 125 percent to 200 percent to qualify for automatic waivers on various filing fees. Accordingly, the 2022 Budget Act (Senate Bill (SB) 154, Ch. 43, Stats. 2022)² provides up to \$18 million in funding for revenue loss resulting from AB 199.

Judicial Council Budget Services staff worked with the Department of Finance to determine the estimated backfill need for revenue loss resulting from AB 199 that is not already addressed through existing backfill methodologies. In May 2023, the final amount was determined to be \$1.6 million. Of this amount, \$689,000 is designated for the trial courts. The balance will be distributed to county law libraries.

AB 134 (Ch. 47, Stats. 2023),³ the 2023–24 public safety trailer bill, repeals criminal administrative fees related to a change of plea or set-aside verdict and record sealing. The 2023 Budget Act (SB 101, Ch. 12, Stats. 2023)⁴ includes up to \$826,000 to backfill trial courts for revenue loss resulting from AB 134.

Methodology for allocation of SB 154 backfill funding

Judicial Council Budget Services staff, in consultation with the Department of Finance, developed a methodology to determine the amount of trial court revenue backfill needed, which uses revenue collection data comprised of all affected filing fees under AB 199 over the five-year period from 2017–18 through 2021–22, as outlined in Table 1 below.

Table 1. Trial court revenue collections associated with AB 199

Fiscal Year	Revenue Collected			
2017–18	\$23,048,000			
2018–19	\$22,100,000			
2019–20	\$17,682,000			
2020–21	\$21,080,000			
2021–22	\$18,638,000			
Total	\$102,548,000			

¹ AB 199 is available at https://leginfo.legislature.ca.gov/faces/billNavClient.xhtml?bill id=202120220AB199.

² SB 154 is available at https://leginfo.legislature.ca.gov/faces/billNavClient.xhtml?bill_id=202320240SB154.

³ AB 134 is available at https://leginfo.legislature.ca.gov/faces/billNavClient.xhtml?bill_id=202320240AB134.

⁴ SB 101 is available at https://leginfo.legislature.ca.gov/faces/billNavClient.xhtml?bill id=202320240SB101.

The five-year revenue data amount of \$102.5 million is used as the percentage base to proportionally allocate the \$689,000 backfill funding to the trial courts. This allocation methodology, as outlined in Attachment A, provides a detailed breakdown by court.

Methodology for allocation of SB 101 backfill funding

Judicial Council Budget Services staff, again in consultation with the Department of Finance, developed a methodology to determine the amount of backfill funding for criminal fees affected under SB 101 to be allocated to the trial courts. The \$826,000 is based on the five-year average, from 2016–17 through 2020–21, of revenue collection data for these fees, as outlined in Table 2 below.

Table 2. Trial court revenue collections associated with SB 101

Fiscal Year	Revenue Collected				
2016–17	\$955,000				
2017–18	\$889,000				
2018–19	\$834,000				
2019–20	\$647,000				
2020–21	\$807,000				
5-Year Average	\$826,000				

Attachment B provides a detailed breakdown of the \$826,000 by individual court based on the five-year average of actual collection data.

The above allocation methodologies were approved by the Trial Court Budget Advisory Committee at its meeting on July 6, 2023⁵ and the Judicial Branch Budget Committee at its meeting on August 7, 2023.⁶

Policy implications

There are no policy implications to consider for the recommended methodologies.

Comments

Circulation for public comment was not required for this proposal.

Alternatives considered

No alternatives were considered as the recommendations were developed in consultation with the Department of Finance and are based on historical revenue collections for the related fees.

⁵ Trial Court Budget Advisory Com. meeting report (July 6, 2023), www.courts.ca.gov/documents/tcbac-20230706-materials.pdf.

⁶ Judicial Branch Budget Com. meeting report (Aug. 7, 2023), www.courts.ca.gov/documents/jbbc-20230807-materials.pdf.

Fiscal and Operational Impacts

The recommendations would ensure funding stability in the allocations received by the trial courts as the backfill funding would cover the loss of fee revenue due to AB 199 and AB 134.

Attachments and Links

- 1. Attachment A: Trial Court SB 154 Backfill Allocation for 2022–23 and Ongoing
- 2. Attachment B: Trial Court SB 101 Backfill Allocation for 2023-24 and Ongoing

	Total Reven	ue Collected from 20:					
Court	Trial Court Trust Fund Revenue	Dispute Resolution Revenue	Small Claims Advisory Revenue	Total Revenue Collected	% of Total	Proposed SB 154 Backfill Allocation	
	Α	В	С	D	E	F	
				(SUM (A+B+C))	(D/ Total D)	(E * \$689,000)	
Alameda	\$2,386,917	-	-	\$2,386,917	2.328%	\$16,047	
Alpine	44,109	-	-	44,109	0.043%	\$297	
Amador	77,937	-	-	77,937	0.076%	\$524	
Butte	241,550	-	-	241,550	0.236%	\$1,624	
Calaveras	94,864	-	-	94,864	0.093%	\$638	
Colusa	21,719	-	-	21,719	0.021%	\$146	
Contra Costa	1,540,851	-	-	1,540,851	1.503%	\$10,359	
Del Norte	73,629	-	1,306	74,936	0.073%	\$504	
El Dorado	1,092,501	-	12,164	1,104,666	1.077%	\$7,426	
Fresno	1,461,078	813,296	89,994	2,364,369	2.306%	\$15,895	
Glenn	59,583	-	900	60,483	0.059%	\$407	
Humboldt	1,113,132	-	7,981	1,121,113	1.093%	\$7,537	
Imperial	374,321	-	9,858	384,179	0.375%	\$2,583	
Inyo	66,053	-	623	66,676	0.065%	\$448	
Kern	1,033,870	776,147	113,880	1,923,897	1.876%	\$12,934	
Kings	465.088	-	5,388	470,476	0.459%	\$3,163	
Lake	171,236	-	- 3,500	171,236	0.167%	\$1,151	
Lassen	70,615	-	_	70,615	0.069%	\$475	
Los Angeles	26,561,130	-		26,561,130	25.901%	\$178,566	
Madera	430,506	-	14,532	445,038	0.434%	\$2,992	
Marin	697,239	_	27,078	724,317	0.706%	\$4,869	
Mariposa	57,149	_	27,070	57,149	0.056%	\$384	
Mendocino	184,237	-	4,515	188,752	0.184%	\$1,269	
Merced	561,347	193,664	4,313	755,011	0.736%	\$5,076	
Modoc	136,644	155,004	618	137,262	0.134%	\$923	
Mono	289,328	-	010	289,328	0.134%	\$1,945	
Monterey	1,410,802	268,612	48,291	1,727,704	1.685%	\$1,615	
Napa	230,291	108,665	40,231	338,955	0.331%	\$2,279	
Nevada	147,055	108,003		147,055	0.143%	\$989	
	14,296,032	-	469,764	14,765,796	14.399%	\$99,268	
Orange Placer	981,140		25,074		0.981%		
	49,564	-	25,074	1,006,214	0.981%	\$6,765 \$333	
Plumas		-	222.400	49,564			
Riverside	6,634,602	-	323,186	6,957,788	6.785%	\$46,776	
Sacramento	2,796,284	-	152,366	2,948,649	2.875%	\$19,823	
San Benito	252,242	-	3,024	255,266	0.249%	\$1,716	
San Bernardino	5,238,043	-	- 200 452	5,238,043	5.108%	\$35,214	
San Diego	4,700,128		360,452	5,060,580	4.935%	\$34,021	
San Francisco	2,595,627		83,574	2,679,201	2.613%	\$18,012	
San Joaquin	805,343		-	805,343	0.785%	\$5,414	
San Luis Obispo	574,132			574,132	0.560%	\$3,860	
San Mateo	2,390,668		58,361	2,993,178	2.919%	\$20,123	
Santa Barbara	909,451		401000	909,451	0.887%	\$6,114	
Santa Clara	4,841,160		164,812	5,005,972	4.882%	\$33,654	
Santa Cruz	401,722	-	-	401,722	0.392%	\$2,701	
Shasta	273,942	-	10,741	284,683	0.278%	\$1,914	
Sierra	3,685	-	-	3,685	0.004%	\$25	
Siskiyou	91,715		-	91,715	0.089%	\$617	
Solano	1,241,091		38,854	1,681,975	1.640%	\$11,308	
Sonoma	1,089,648		33,826	1,123,475	1.096%	\$7,553	
Stanislaus	1,099,918	439,233	-	1,539,151	1.501%	\$10,347	
Sutter	238,687	-	-	238,687	0.233%	\$1,605	
Tehama	95,714	-	15,303	111,017	0.108%	\$746	
Trinity	57,051	-	-	57,051	0.056%	\$384	
Tulare	854,565		56,256	1,241,007	1.210%	\$8,343	
Tuolumne	119,516	-	5,418	124,935	0.122%	\$840	
Ventura	2,382,322	-	-	2,382,322	2.323%	\$16,016	
Yolo	294,359		-	294,359	0.287%	\$1,979	
Yuba	130,588		-	130,588	0.127%	\$878	
	Total \$96,533,719	\$3,875,983	\$2,138,140	\$102,547,842	100%	\$689,000	

	Total Revenue Collected by Penal Code 1203.4						.,	Proposed SB 101
Court	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19	2019-20	2020-21	5-Year Total	% of Total	Backfill Allocation
	Α	В	С	D	E	F	G	н
						(SUM (A:E))	(F/ Total F)	(AVG (A:E))
Contra Costa	\$17,010	\$3,870	\$360	\$720	\$90	\$22,050	0.534%	\$4,410
Del Norte	-	1,500	2,700	300	-	4,500	0.109%	\$900
El Dorado	225	-	-	-	4	229	0.006%	\$46
Fresno	486	-	-	321	333	1,140	0.028%	\$228
Kings	13,099	9,760	9,596	5,619	7,620	45,694	1.106%	\$9,139
Mariposa	420	1,200	600	660	660	3,540	0.086%	\$708
Mendocino	15,735	14,630	10,230	7,350	3,300	51,245	1.240%	\$10,249
Merced	11,131	8,130	6,900	8,250	9,493	43,903	1.063%	\$8,781
Merced	2,840	3,015	1,682	1,380	1,634	10,552	0.255%	\$2,110
Monterey	51,095	4,350	28,405	26,039	38,511	148,400	3.591%	\$29,680
Nevada	6,736	6,387	6,935	6,794	5,981	32,833	0.795%	\$6,567
Orange	330,383	371,702	334,509	251,618	329,675	1,617,887	39.155%	\$323,577
Placer	11,454	12,937	10,234	9,724	7,968	52,316	1.266%	\$10,463
Riverside	2,435	213	349	-	150	3,146	0.076%	\$629
Sacramento	140,036	123,805	118,268	109,085	95,683	586,877	14.203%	\$117,375
San Bernardino	150,168	142,970	113,134	86,181	132,377	624,830	15.122%	\$124,966
San Joaquin	39,170	32,785	31,500	19,640	34,780	157,875	3.821%	\$31,575
San Mateo	64,087	64,868	71,555	41,437	52,292	294,240	7.121%	\$58,848
Santa Barbara	29,277	36,381	33,456	21,801	23,778	144,692	3.502%	\$28,938
Santa Cruz	14,930	14,896	11,581	10,045	9,675	61,127	1.479%	\$12,225
Solano	16,872	16,155	16,255	13,925	24,432	87,639	2.121%	\$17,528
Sonoma	29,589	14,725	18,537	20,226	23,030	106,107	2.568%	\$21,221
Sutter	8,120	4,513	7,587	5,875	5,741	31,837	0.770%	\$6,367
Totals	\$955,000	\$889,000	\$834,000	\$647,000	\$807,000	\$4,132,000	100%	\$826,000