



JUDICIAL COUNCIL OF CALIFORNIA

455 Golden Gate Avenue · San Francisco, California 94102-3688

www.courts.ca.gov

REPORT TO THE JUDICIAL COUNCIL

For business meeting on December 16, 2016

Title	Agenda Item Type
Judicial Council Report to the Legislature: Receipts and Expenditures from Local Courthouse Construction Funds	Action Required
Rules, Forms, Standards, or Statutes Affected	Effective Date
None	October 31, 2016
Recommended by	Date of Report
Judicial Council staff Angela Guzman, Manager Budget Services	October 28, 2016
	Contact
	Lynette Stephens, 916-263-1363 Lynette.Stephens@jud.ca.gov
	Michael Sun, 415-865-4037 Michael.Sun@jud.ca.gov

Executive Summary

Judicial Council Budget Services recommends approving *Receipts and Expenditures from Local Courthouse Construction Funds: Report to the Budget and Fiscal Committees of the Legislature* for submission to the Legislature. The report provides information for the reporting period of July 1, 2015, to June 30, 2016, on receipts and expenditures from local courthouse construction funds, as reported by each county. The annual submission of this report is required under Government Code section 70403(d).

Recommendation

Budget Services recommends that the Judicial Council, effective December 16, 2016:

1. Approve the attached annual report for the period of July 1, 2015, to June 30, 2016, on receipts and expenditures from local courthouse construction funds, as reported by each county; and
2. Direct staff to submit the report to the Legislature.

Previous Council Action

The previous 10 reports can be found at www.courts.ca.gov/7466.htm.

Rationale for Recommendation

The annual submission of this report is required under Government Code section 70403(d). When a county submits its annual report on the condition of the local courthouse construction fund, the Judicial Council staff reviews the report and requests the information necessary to determine compliance, including the beginning and ending fund balances and an explanation of expenditures by project, if the report does not include that information. Each review includes a determination of whether the receipts and expenditures were made in accordance with the provisions of Government Code section 76100, including verification that outstanding debt service still exists. If, based on the information provided, the Judicial Council concludes that a county made an expenditure not permitted by statute, the Judicial Council will notify the county and the California Department of Finance of the amount due for repayment to the state.

Comments, Alternatives Considered, and Policy Implications

The attached annual report was not circulated for comment because it is factual and does not contain recommendations. No alternatives were considered because submission of this report is required by statute.

Implementation Requirements, Costs, and Operational Impacts

The attached report is factual, with no recommendations and no consequential costs or impacts determined at this time.

Attachments

1. *Receipts and Expenditures from Local Courthouse Construction Funds: Report to the Budget and Fiscal Committees of the Legislature*



JUDICIAL COUNCIL OF CALIFORNIA

455 Golden Gate Avenue
San Francisco, CA 94102-3688
Tel 415-865-4200
TDD 415-865-4272
Fax 415-865-4205
www.courts.ca.gov

HON. TANI G. CANTIL-SAKAUYE
Chief Justice of California
Chair of the Judicial Council

HON. DOUGLAS P. MILLER
Chair, Executive and Planning Committee

HON. DAVID M. RUBIN
Chair, Litigation Management Committee

HON. KENNETH K. SO
Chair, Policy Coordination and Liaison Committee

HON. HARRY E. HULL, JR.
Chair, Rules and Projects Committee

HON. MARSHA G. SLOUGH
Chair, Technology Committee

HON. DAVID M. RUBIN
Chair, Judicial Branch Budget Committee

Hon. Marla O. Anderson
Hon. Brian John Back
Hon. Richard Bloom
Hon. Stacy Boulware Eurie
Hon. Kyle S. Brodie
Hon. Daniel J. Buckley
Hon. Ming W. Chin
Hon. Samuel K. Feng
Hon. James M. Humes
Hon. HannahBeth Jackson
Ms. Audra Ibarra
Mr. Patrick M. Kelly
Hon. Dalila C. Lyons
Ms. Donna D. Melby
Hon. Gary Nadler
Ms. Debra Elaine Pole
Hon. Dean T. Stout

ADVISORY MEMBERS

Hon. Jeffrey B. Barton
Hon. C. Todd Bottke
Mr. Jake Chatters
Mr. Richard D. Feldstein
Ms. Kimberly Flener
Hon. Scott M. Gordon
Hon. David E. Gunn

MR. MARTIN HOSHINO
Administrative Director,
Judicial Council

October 31, 2016

Hon. Ricardo Lara
Chair, Senate Committee on
Appropriations
California State Senate
State Capitol, Room 5050
Sacramento, California 95814

Hon. Mark Leno
Chair, Senate Committee on
Budget and Fiscal Review
California State Senate
State Capitol, Room 5100
Sacramento, California 95814

Hon. Lorena Gonzalez
Chair, Assembly Committee on
Appropriations
California State Assembly
State Capitol, Room 2114
Sacramento, California 95814

Hon. Philip Ting
Chair, Assembly Committee on
Budget
California State Assembly
State Capitol, Room 6026
Sacramento, California 95814

Re: 2016 Report to the Legislature: *Receipts and Expenditures from Local Courthouse Construction Funds*, as required under Government Code section 70403(d)

Dear Senator Lara, Senator Leno, Assembly Member Gonzalez, and Assembly Member Ting:

Attached is the Judicial Council report required under Government Code section 70403(d) regarding receipts and expenditures from local courthouse construction funds, as reported by each county.

October 31, 2016

Page 2

If you have questions about this report, please contact Zlatko Theodorovic, Director, Judicial Council, Budget Services, at 916-263-1397 or Zlatko.Theodorovic@jud.ca.gov.

Sincerely,



Martin Hoshino
Administrative Director
Judicial Council of California

MH/LS/MS

Enclosures

cc: Diane F. Boyer-Vine, Legislative Counsel
Daniel Alvarez, Secretary of the Senate
E. Dotson Wilson, Chief Clerk of the Assembly
Shaun Naidu, Policy Consultant, Office of Senate President pro Tempore Kevin de León
Alf Brandt, Senior Counsel, Office of Assembly Speaker Anthony Rendon
Anita Lee, Senior Fiscal and Policy Analyst, Legislative Analyst's Office
Tina McGee, Executive Secretary, Legislative Analyst's Office
Tiffany Garcia, Program Budget Analyst, Department of Finance
Margie Estrada, Chief Counsel, Senate Judiciary Committee
Julie Salley-Gray, Consultant, Senate Budget and Fiscal Review Committee
Jolie Onodera, Consultant, Senate Appropriations Committee
Matt Osterli, Consultant, Senate Republican Fiscal Office
Mike Petersen, Consultant, Senate Republican Policy Office
Alison Merrilees, Chief Counsel, Assembly Judiciary Committee
Marvin Deon, Consultant, Assembly Budget Committee
Chuck Nicol, Principal Consultant, Assembly Appropriations Committee
Allan Cooper, Consultant, Assembly Republican Office of Policy & Budget
Paul Dress, Consultant, Assembly Republican Office of Policy & Budget
Peggy Collins, Principal Consultant, Joint Legislative Budget Committee
Cory T. Jaspersen, Director, Governmental Affairs, Judicial Council
Zlatko Theodorovic, Director, Budget Services, Judicial Council
Laura Speed, Principal Manager, Governmental Affairs, Judicial Council
Peter Allen, Director, Public Affairs, Judicial Council
Angela Guzman, Budget Manager, Budget Services, Judicial Council
Yvette Casillas-Sarcos, Administrative Coordinator, Governmental Affairs,
Judicial Council



JUDICIAL COUNCIL OF CALIFORNIA

455 Golden Gate Avenue
San Francisco, CA 94102-3688
Tel 415-865-4200
TDD 415-865-4272
Fax 415-865-4205
www.courts.ca.gov

HON. TANI G. CANTIL-SAKAUYE
Chief Justice of California
Chair of the Judicial Council

HON. DOUGLAS P. MILLER
Chair, Executive and Planning Committee

HON. DAVID M. RUBIN
Chair, Litigation Management Committee

HON. KENNETH K. SO
*Chair, Policy Coordination and
Liaison Committee*

HON. HARRY E. HULL, JR.
Chair, Rules and Projects Committee

HON. MARSHA G. SLOUGH
Chair, Technology Committee

HON. DAVID M. RUBIN
Chair, Judicial Branch Budget Committee

Hon. Marla O. Anderson
Hon. Brian John Back
Hon. Richard Bloom
Hon. Stacy Boulware Eurie
Hon. Kyle S. Brodie
Hon. Daniel J. Buckley
Hon. Ming W. Chin
Hon. Samuel K. Feng
Hon. James M. Humes
Hon. HannahBeth Jackson
Ms. Audra Ibarra
Mr. Patrick M. Kelly
Hon. Dalila C. Lyons
Ms. Donna D. Melby
Hon. Gary Nadler
Ms. Debra Elaine Pole
Hon. Dean T. Stout

ADVISORY MEMBERS

Hon. Jeffrey B. Barton
Hon. C. Todd Bottke
Mr. Jake Chatters
Mr. Richard D. Feldstein
Ms. Kimberly Flener
Hon. Scott M. Gordon
Hon. David E. Gunn

MR. MARTIN HOSHINO
Administrative Director,
Judicial Council

Report title: *Receipts and Expenditures from Local Courthouse
Construction Funds: Report to the Budget and
Fiscal Committees of the Legislature*

Statutory Citation: Government Code section 70403(d)

Date of Report: October 31, 2016

The Judicial Council has submitted a report to the Legislature in accordance with Government Code section 70403(d) regarding receipts and expenditures from local courthouse construction funds, as reported by each county. The following summary is provided under the requirements of Government Code section 9795.

Government Code section 70402(a) requires that any amount in a county's local courthouse construction fund be transferred to the State Court Facilities Construction Fund following the date of the last transfer of responsibility for court facilities from that county to the Judicial Council if there is no outstanding bonded indebtedness. All such facility transfers were complete as of December 31, 2009.

As of October 31, 2016, five counties that have transferred their court facilities and have no outstanding bonded indebtedness—Inyo, Lassen, Modoc, Siskiyou, and Tehama—have yet to transfer their courthouse construction fund balances to the State Court Facilities Construction Fund. Of the five counties, Inyo and Siskiyou have outstanding projects, and after completion of the projects the counties will be required to remit any savings from the project budget to the State Court Facilities Construction Fund.

Seventeen counties have transferred the fund balances, or partial fund balances, in their local courthouse construction funds to the State Court Facilities Construction Fund. Of these 17, El Dorado County has an outstanding project commitment and will continue to submit annual reports until the project is complete. Four counties—Alpine, Mariposa, Trinity, and Tuolumne—retained their courthouse construction funds consistent with responsibility for 100 percent of court square footage. Two counties—Madera and Sierra—reported zero receipts and

October 31, 2016

Page 2

expenditures. Thirty counties continue to retain their courthouse construction funds as statutorily permitted because they have bonded indebtedness for which the funds are used. The 30 counties that retain their courthouse construction funds to pay off outstanding debt will continue to submit annual reports on revenues and expenditures until the debt obligation is retired.

The full report is available at www.courts.ca.gov/7466.htm. For more information or to obtain a printed copy of the report, please contact Ms. Angela Guzman, Manager, at 916-643-8041.

JUDICIAL COUNCIL OF CALIFORNIA

Hon. Tani G. Cantil-Sakauye
*Chief Justice of California and
Chair of the Judicial Council*

Martin Hoshino
*Administrative Director
Judicial Council*

ADMINISTRATIVE DIVISION


John Wordlaw
Chief Administrative Officer

BUDGET SERVICES

Zlatko Theodorovic
Director

Lynette Stephens and Michael Sun

*Senior Fiscal Analysts
Primary Authors of Report*



Receipts and Expenditures from Local Courthouse Construction Funds

Report to the Budget and Fiscal
Committees of the Legislature

July 1, 2015, to June 30, 2016
January 1, 1998, to December 31, 2005 (Update)
January 1, 2006, to June 30, 2007 (Update)
July 1, 2007, to June 30, 2008 (Update)
July 1, 2008, to June 30, 2009 (Update)
July 1, 2009, to June 30, 2010 (Update)
July 1, 2010, to June 30, 2011 (Update)
July 1, 2011, to June 30, 2012 (Update)
July 1, 2012, to June 30, 2013 (Update)
July 1, 2013, to June 30, 2014 (Update)
July 1, 2014, to June 30, 2015 (Update)



JUDICIAL COUNCIL
OF CALIFORNIA

ADMINISTRATIVE DIVISION
BUDGET SERVICES

Judicial Council of California
455 Golden Gate Avenue
San Francisco, CA 94102-3688

County Reporting on Local Courthouse Construction Funds

The Trial Court Facilities Act of 2002 (Sen. Bill 1732 [Escutia]; Stats. 2002, ch. 1082) requires counties to report receipts to and expenditures from local courthouse construction funds. Government Code section 70403 mandates that each county submit a report to the Administrative Director of all local courthouse construction fund receipts and expenditures for the period January 1, 1998, to December 31, 2005. Counties retaining funds for the purpose of paying bonded indebtedness must submit to the Judicial Council of California and the California Department of Finance annual updates of all receipts and expenditures within 90 days after the end of each fiscal year. The section further requires the Judicial Council to submit a report to the Legislature on the information received from the counties regarding the status of local courthouse construction funds. This report covers the period from July 1, 2015, to June 30, 2016, and includes updates to prior reports covering the periods of January 1, 1998, to December 31, 2005; January 1, 2006, to June 30, 2007; July 1, 2007, to June 30, 2008; July 1, 2008, to June 30, 2009; July 1, 2009, to June 30, 2010; July 1, 2010, to June 30, 2011; July 1, 2011, to June 30, 2012; July 1, 2012, to June 30, 2013; July 1, 2013, to June 30, 2014; and July 1, 2014, to June 30, 2015.

Government Code section 70402(a) requires that any amount in a county's courthouse construction fund established under Government Code section 76100 be transferred to the State Court Facilities Construction Fund following the date of the last transfer of responsibility for court facilities from the county to the Judicial Council if there is no outstanding bonded indebtedness. All transfers of court facilities were complete as of December 31, 2009.

As of October 31, 2016, five counties that have transferred their court facilities and have no outstanding bonded indebtedness—Inyo, Lassen, Modoc, Siskiyou, and Tehama—have yet to transfer their courthouse construction fund balances to the State Court Facilities Construction Fund. Of the five counties, Inyo and Siskiyou have outstanding projects and after completion of the projects, the counties will be required to remit any savings from the project budget to the State Court Facilities Construction Fund. Seventeen counties have transferred the fund balances, or partial fund balances, in their local courthouse construction funds to the State Court Facilities Construction Fund. Of these 17, El Dorado County has an outstanding project commitment and will continue to submit annual reports until the project is complete. Four counties—Alpine, Mariposa, Trinity, and Tuolumne—retained their courthouse construction funds consistent with responsibility for 100 percent of court square footage. Two counties—Madera and Sierra—reported zero receipts and expenditures. Thirty counties continue to retain their courthouse construction funds as statutorily permitted because they have bonded indebtedness for which the funds are used. The 30 counties that retain their courthouse construction funds to pay off outstanding debt will continue to submit annual reports on revenues and expenditures until the debt obligation is retired.

County Reporting Under Section 70403(b) for July 1, 2015 to June 30, 2016

As of October 31, 2016, the Judicial Council received reports on the condition of local courthouse construction funds from all 30 of the counties that have outstanding bonded indebtedness as required by statute (see Attachment 1). All reports received are in compliance with section 70403(b), which requires an annual update of all local courthouse construction fund receipts and expenditures from counties with outstanding bonded indebtedness; in this case, for the period from July 1, 2015, to June 30, 2016.

As reported by the 30 counties, the local courthouse construction fund receipts totaled \$43,318,928 during the statutory reporting period. The 30 counties reported a total of \$46,622,580 in local courthouse construction fund expenditures.

Status of reviews

When a county submits its annual report on the condition of the local courthouse construction fund, the Judicial Council staff reviews the report and requests the information necessary to determine compliance, including the beginning and ending fund balances and an explanation of expenditures by project, if the report does not include that information. Each review includes a determination of whether the receipts and expenditures were made in accordance with the provisions of Government Code section 76100, including verifying that outstanding debt service still exists. If the Judicial Council concludes, based on the information provided, that a county made an expenditure not permitted by statute, the Judicial Council will notify the county and the California Department of Finance of the amount due for repayment to the state.

Status of determination of repayment amounts required under section 70403(d)

As reviews of the reports are completed for the counties with outstanding debt service, repayments to the State Court Facilities Construction Fund, if any, will be finalized and reported in subsequent annual reports from the Judicial Council to the budget and fiscal committees of the Legislature. Reviews of the county reports have not yet been completed; therefore, at this time there are no repayments to report for this reporting period.

Update to Report for January 1, 1998 to December 31, 2005 Reporting Period

The previous report issued under Government Code section 70403(d) reported that all 58 counties had submitted reports on their local courthouse construction fund receipts and expenditures (see Attachment 2). All reports were in compliance with requirements of the statute for the reporting period.

Based on the reports received from the counties, there is no update to the previously reported receipts of \$535,548,274 and expenditures of \$508,961,285. In addition, the Judicial Council is not aware of any significant issues that might cause us to question the revenues and expenditures as reported. As this period extends beyond the counties' current record retention requirements, no further detailed reviews will be conducted at this time unless warranted by reasons such as impact on prior fiscal period reports based on adjustments in the current fiscal year reporting or a request for review by the state or the courts based on additional information received.

Update to Report for January 1, 2006 to June 30, 2007 Reporting Period

The previous report issued under Government Code section 70403(d) reported that all 38 counties that had outstanding bonded indebtedness submitted reports on their local courthouse construction fund receipts and expenditures (see Attachment 3). All reports were in compliance with requirements of the statute for the reporting period.

Based on the reports received from the counties, there is no update to the previously reported receipts of \$104,014,855 and expenditures of \$109,374,237. In addition, the Judicial Council is not aware of any significant issues that might cause us to question the revenues and expenditures as reported. As this period extends beyond the counties' current record retention requirements, no further detailed reviews will be conducted at this time unless warranted by reasons such as impact on prior fiscal period reports based on adjustments in the current fiscal year reporting or a request for review by the state or the courts based on additional information received.

Update to Report for July 1, 2007 to June 30, 2008 Reporting Period

The previous report issued under Government Code section 70403(d) reported that all 37 counties that had outstanding bonded indebtedness submitted reports on their local courthouse construction fund receipts and expenditures (see Attachment 4). All reports were in compliance with requirements of the statute for the reporting period.

Based on the reports received from the counties, there is no update to the previously reported receipts of \$66,881,239 and expenditures of \$73,548,350. In addition, the Judicial Council is not aware of any significant issues that might cause us to question the revenues and expenditures as reported. As this period extends beyond the counties' current record retention requirements, no further detailed reviews will be conducted at this time unless warranted by reasons such as impact on prior fiscal period reports based

on adjustments in the current fiscal year reporting or a request for review by the state or the courts based on additional information received.

Update to Report for July 1, 2008 to June 30, 2009 Reporting Period

The previous report issued under Government Code section 70403(d) reported that all 37 counties that had bonded indebtedness during the reporting period submitted reports on their local courthouse construction fund receipts and expenditures (see Attachment 5). All reports were in compliance with requirements of the statute for the reporting period.

Based on the reports received from the counties, there is no update to the previously reported receipts of \$65,646,980 and expenditures of \$72,252,129. In addition, the Judicial Council is not aware of any significant issues that might cause us to question the revenues and expenditures as reported. As this period extends beyond the counties' current record retention requirements, no further detailed reviews will be conducted at this time unless warranted by reasons such as impact on prior fiscal period reports based on adjustments in the current fiscal year reporting or a request for review by the state or the courts based on additional information received.

Update to Report for July 1, 2009 to June 30, 2010 Reporting Period

The previous report issued under Government Code section 70403(d) reported that all 34 counties that had outstanding bonded indebtedness submitted reports on their local courthouse construction fund receipts and expenditures (see Attachment 6). All reports were in compliance with requirements of the statute for the reporting period.

Based on the reports received from the counties, there is no update to the previously reported receipts of \$62,562,091 and expenditures of \$53,842,524. In addition, the Judicial Council is not aware of any significant issues that might cause us to question the revenues and expenditures as reported. As this period extends beyond the counties' current record retention requirements, no further detailed reviews will be conducted at this time unless warranted by reasons such as impact on prior fiscal period reports based on adjustments in the current fiscal year reporting or a request for review by the state or the courts based on additional information received.

Update to Report for July 1, 2010 to June 30, 2011 Reporting Period

The previous report issued under Government Code section 70403(d) reported that all 33 counties that had outstanding bonded indebtedness submitted reports on their local courthouse construction fund receipts and expenditures (see Attachment 7). All reports were in compliance with requirements of the statute for the reporting period.

Based on the reports received from the counties, there is no update to the previously reported receipts of \$56,606,590 and expenditures of \$63,887,888. In addition, the Judicial Council is not aware of any significant issues that might cause us to question the revenues and expenditures as reported. As this period extends beyond the counties' current record retention requirements, no further detailed reviews will be conducted at this time unless warranted by reasons such as impact on prior fiscal period reports based on adjustments in the current fiscal year reporting or a request for review by the state or the courts based on additional information received.

Update to Report for July 1, 2011 to June 30, 2012 Reporting Period

The previous report issued under Government Code section 70403(d) reported that all 32 counties that had outstanding bonded indebtedness submitted reports on their local courthouse construction fund receipts and expenditures (see Attachment 8). All reports were in compliance with requirements of the statute for the reporting period.

Based on the reports received from the counties, there is no update to the previously reported receipts of \$46,813,805 and expenditures of \$71,647,913. In addition, the Judicial Council is not aware of any significant issues that might cause us to question the revenues and expenditures as reported.

Update to Report for July 1, 2012 to June 30, 2013 Reporting Period

The previous report issued under Government Code section 70403(d) reported that all 32 counties that had outstanding bonded indebtedness submitted reports on their local courthouse construction fund receipts and expenditures (see Attachment 9). All reports were in compliance with requirements of the statute for the reporting period.

Based on the reports received from the counties, there is no update to the previously reported receipts of \$47,657,024 and expenditures of \$60,244,353. In addition, the Judicial Council is not aware of any significant issues that might cause us to question the revenues and expenditures as reported.

Update to Report for July 1, 2013 to June 30, 2014 Reporting Period

The previous report issued under Government Code section 70403(d) reported that all 32 counties that had outstanding bonded indebtedness submitted reports on their local courthouse construction fund receipts and expenditures (see Attachment 10). All reports were in compliance with requirements of the statute for the reporting period.

Based on the reports received from the counties, there is no update to the previously reported receipts of \$44,912,546 and expenditures of \$48,196,759. In addition, the

Judicial Council is not aware of any significant issues that might cause us to question the revenues and expenditures as reported.

Update to Report for July 1, 2014 to June 30, 2015 Reporting Period

The previous report issued under Government Code section 70403(d) reported that all 30 counties that had outstanding bonded indebtedness submitted reports on their local courthouse construction fund receipts and expenditures (see Attachment 11). All reports were in compliance with requirements of the statute for the reporting period.

The attached report has been corrected to reflect a revised expenditure amount for the following counties: Alameda, Riverside, Santa Clara, and Sonoma per updated county reports. The report has also been corrected to reflect revised receipt amounts for the following counties: Contra Costa, Riverside, and Tulare, per updated county reports. With the revised report data, the total of the local courthouse construction fund expenditures reported was \$63,820,046 as compared to the previously reported expenditures of \$68,298,645. The total of the local courthouse construction fund receipts reported was \$45,913,090 as compared to the previously reported receipts of \$46,267,786. The Judicial Council is not aware of any other issues that might cause us to question the revenues and expenditures as reported.

Attachments

1. County reporting for period July 1, 2015 to June 30, 2016
2. County reporting for period January 1, 1998 to December 31, 2005
3. County reporting for period January 1, 2006 to June 30, 2007
4. County reporting for period July 1, 2007 to June 30, 2008
5. County reporting for period July 1, 2008 to June 30, 2009
6. County reporting for period July 1, 2009 to June 30, 2010
7. County reporting for period July 1, 2010 to June 30, 2011
8. County reporting for period July 1, 2011 to June 30, 2012
9. County reporting for period July 1, 2012 to June 30, 2013
10. County reporting for period July 1, 2013 to June 30, 2014
11. County reporting for period July 1, 2014 to June 30, 2015

July 1, 2015 to June 30, 2016

Overview of County Reporting on Local Courthouse Construction Funds Under Government Code Section 70403(d) ATTACHMENT 1

	County	Review Status <i>(complete/in progress)</i>	Revenues for Period <i>(as reported by county)</i>	Expenditures for Period <i>(as reported by county)</i>	Repayments Due	Bonded Indebtedness <i>(indicated in report)</i>
1	Alameda ¹	In Progress	2,468,690	2,355,691		X
2	Amador	In Progress	31,360	31,017		X
3	Contra Costa	In Progress	1,266,862	1,266,862		X
4	El Dorado ^{2,3}	In Progress	9,375	60,249		X
5	Glenn	In Progress	107,892	0		X
6	Humboldt	In Progress	197,729	303,095		X
7	Los Angeles	In Progress	13,285,000	20,803,000		X
8	Marin	In Progress	350,827	353,259		X
9	Merced	In Progress	342,228	361,917		X
10	Monterey ⁴	In Progress	Not Available	Not Available		X
11	Napa	In Progress	329,638	0		X
12	Orange	In Progress	3,684,544	3,687,134		X
13	Placer	In Progress	274,686	330,000		X
14	Riverside	In Progress	4,921,034	4,826,056		X
15	Sacramento	In Progress	1,340,666	1,222,985		X
16	San Bernardino	In Progress	1,355,117	(432,554)		X
17	San Diego	In Progress	1,054,010	1,283,876		X
18	San Francisco	In Progress	2,526,744	2,506,069		X
19	San Joaquin	In Progress	2,989,592	185,757		X
20	San Luis Obispo	In Progress	393,972	447,252		X
21	San Mateo	In Progress	979,591	1,376,103		X
22	Santa Barbara	In Progress	849,818	218,939		X
23	Santa Clara	In Progress	1,247,735	1,247,706		X
24	Santa Cruz	In Progress	115,816	115,816		X
25	Shasta	In Progress	526,709	527,467		X
26	Solano	In Progress	267,615	397,193		X
27	Sonoma	In Progress	453,170	448,488		X
28	Stanislaus	In Progress	442,853	711,511		X
29	Tulare	In Progress	576,251	41,350		X
30	Ventura	In Progress	929,404	1,946,342		X
	TOTALS		\$ 43,318,928	\$ 46,622,580		30

Notes:

1. Pending debt for new approved capital project
2. Bonded indebtedness: approved project under way, pending completion for Inyo (report not received), and Siskiyou (report not received)
3. Partial CCF balance transferred to State Court Facilities Construction Fund per Gov. Code, § 70402(a)
4. Monterey County requested for an extension and have not yet provided their FY 15-16 reports.

January 1, 1998 to December 31, 2005

Overview of County Reporting on Local Courthouse Construction Funds Under Government Code Section 70403(d)

ATTACHMENT 2

County	Review Status (complete/in progress)	Revenues for Period (as reported by county)	Expenditures for Period (as reported by county)	Repayments Due	Bonded Indebtedness (indicated in report)
1 Alameda ³	In Progress	\$ 21,484,121	\$ 20,559,653		X
2 Alpine	In Progress	137,794	57,955		
3 Amador ³	In Progress	523,222	247,047		X
4 Butte	In Progress	3,694,518	3,934,294		X
5 Calaveras ²	In Progress	1,406,258	915,924		X
6 Colusa	Complete	463,599	479,021	0	X
7 Contra Costa	In Progress	10,204,719	10,568,957		X
8 Del Norte ²	Complete	685	0	0	
9 El Dorado ³	In Progress	1,856,437	537,447		X
10 Fresno ²	In Progress	1,682,944	1,215,322		X
11 Glenn	In Progress	1,082,644	932,282		X
12 Humboldt	Complete	16,620,537	17,066,091	0	X
13 Imperial	In Progress	1,628,153	2,136,263		X
14 Inyo	In Progress	1,131,564	327,961		
15 Kern ²	In Progress	517,693	527,625		
16 Kings ²	In Progress	457,396	430,658		
17 Lake ²	Complete	22,536	25,077	0	
18 Lassen	In Progress	1,906,284	2,771,540		
19 Los Angeles	In Progress	196,130,000	170,210,000		X
20 Madera	Complete	0	0	0	
21 Marin ³	In Progress	3,901,196	3,424,565		X
22 Mariposa	In Progress	319,581	77,956		
23 Mendocino ²	In Progress	115,867	351,454		
24 Merced	Complete	8,822,580	5,695,062	0	X
25 Modoc	In Progress	229,582	229,377		X
26 Mono ²	Complete	507,544	507,543	0	
27 Monterey ³	In Progress	5,098,670	8,743,508		X
28 Napa	In Progress	3,578,837	4,371,585		X
29 Nevada	Complete	1,899,407	2,061,522	0	X
30 Orange	In Progress	39,002,259	37,253,412		X
31 Placer ⁴	In Progress	3,276,764	3,082,339		X
32 Plumas ²	Complete	9,564	55,516	0	
33 Riverside	In Progress	26,049,765	24,854,033		X
34 Sacramento	In Progress	15,744,490	14,433,367		X
35 San Benito	Complete	684,673	309,182	0	X
36 San Bernardino	In Progress	17,582,803	18,638,886		X
37 San Diego	In Progress	8,394,261	13,020,630	0	
38 San Francisco ¹	In Progress	41,787,702	55,296,023		X
39 San Joaquin	In Progress	11,766,826	7,836,561		X
40 San Luis Obispo	In Progress	4,873,888	2,175,271		X
41 San Mateo	In Progress	11,678,138	10,801,760		X
42 Santa Barbara	In Progress	9,701,438	9,988,837		X
43 Santa Clara	Complete	16,761,142	16,831,245	0	X
44 Santa Cruz	In Progress	1,559,915	1,444,729		X
45 Shasta	In Progress	4,551,181	3,758,240		X
46 Sierra	Complete	0	0	0	
47 Siskiyou	In Progress	3,706,842	113,234		
48 Solano	In Progress	4,625,213	4,806,996		X
49 Sonoma ³	In Progress	6,145,733	9,506,933		X
50 Stanislaus	Complete	4,224,471	3,411,085	0	X
51 Sutter ²	Complete	592,087	288,836	0	
52 Tehama	In Progress	1,396	5,379		
53 Trinity	Complete	319,189	259,736	0	
54 Tulare ³	In Progress	3,554,263	3,361,812		X
55 Tuolumne	In Progress	1,020,500	1,784,183		
56 Ventura	In Progress	9,488,022	4,699,661		X
57 Yolo ²	In Progress	1,993,191	1,756,977		
58 Yuba ¹	In Progress	1,028,190	780,733		X
TOTALS		\$ 535,548,274	\$ 508,961,285		38

Notes:

1. Report prepared on a fiscal year basis; includes July to December 1997
2. Final county report: CCF balance transferred to State Court Facilities Construction Fund per Gov. Code, § 70402(a)
3. Report revised to reflect updated county reporting.
4. Report revised to exclude fund balance carried forward.

January 1, 2006 to June 30, 2007

Overview of County Reporting on Local Courthouse Construction Funds Under Government Code Section 70403(d) ATTACHMENT 3

	County	Review Status (complete/in progress)	Revenues for Period (as reported by county)	Expenditures for Period (as reported by county)	Repayments Due	Bonded Indebtedness (indicated in report)
1	Alameda ¹	In Progress	4,402,815	4,683,200		X
2	Amador	In Progress	138,964	790,443		X
3	Butte	In Progress	185,715	152,869		X
4	Colusa ³	In Progress	122,472	(492,877)		X
5	Contra Costa	In Progress	2,200,103	2,065,795		X
6	El Dorado ²	In Progress	509,264	5,596		X
7	Glenn ²	In Progress	287,153	0		X
8	Humboldt	In Progress	382,005	621,665		X
9	Imperial	In Progress	376,310	542,730		X
10	Inyo ²	In Progress	371,140	0		X
11	Los Angeles	In Progress	41,048,000	47,803,000		X
12	Marin ⁴	In Progress	874,448	859,446		X
13	Merced	In Progress	1,016,562	2,153,587		X
14	Modoc	In Progress	30,502	24,688		X
15	Monterey ⁴	In Progress	1,321,173	1,354,852	Corrected	X
16	Napa	In Progress	748,698	338,550		X
17	Nevada	In Progress	333,999	150,405		X
18	Orange ⁴	In Progress	7,363,506	8,598,962	Corrected	X
19	Placer	In Progress	839,793	1,020,599		X
20	Riverside	In Progress	7,910,417	6,484,190		X
21	Sacramento	In Progress	3,317,350	4,510,721		X
22	San Benito	In Progress	241,653	(97,327)		X
23	San Bernardino	In Progress	2,376,405	0		X
24	San Diego	In Progress	1,632,637	3,315,000		X
25	San Francisco ⁴	In Progress	6,278,455	6,526,815		X
26	San Joaquin	In Progress	1,756,484	1,417,928		X
27	San Luis Obispo ¹	In Progress	1,121,122	1,145,925		X
28	San Mateo	In Progress	2,203,231	1,013,932		X
29	Santa Barbara	In Progress	1,806,072	2,009,297		X
30	Santa Clara	In Progress	3,538,275	4,316,755		X
31	Santa Cruz	In Progress	333,146	199,842		X
32	Shasta	In Progress	983,873	1,660,572		X
33	Solano	In Progress	960,428	962,708		X
34	Sonoma	In Progress	1,677,328	847,569		X
35	Stanislaus	In Progress	1,438,936	574,934		X
36	Tulare	In Progress	862,887	501,417		X
37	Ventura	In Progress	2,389,106	3,120,449		X
38	Yuba	In Progress	634,427	190,000		X
	TOTALS		\$ 104,014,855	\$ 109,374,237		38

Notes:

1. Pending debt for new approved capital project
2. Bonded indebtedness: approved project under way, pending completion for Glenn, El Dorado, Inyo, and Siskiyou (report not received)
3. Pending review of request for use of CCFs, request subsequently cancelled
4. Report revised to reflect updated county reporting.

July 1, 2007 to June 30, 2008

Overview of County Reporting on Local Courthouse Construction Funds Under Government Code Section 70403(d) ATTACHMENT 4

	County	Review Status <i>(complete/in progress)</i>	Revenues for Period <i>(as reported by county)</i>	Expenditures for Period <i>(as reported by county)</i>	Repayments Due	Bonded Indebtedness <i>(indicated in report)</i>
1	Alameda ¹	In Progress	2,584,839	669,332		X
2	Amador	In Progress	88,617	70,757		X
3	Butte	In Progress	112,501	120,520		X
4	Contra Costa	In Progress	1,864,761	1,864,761		X
5	El Dorado ²	In Progress	295,078	33,130		X
6	Glenn ²	In Progress	256,052	410,432		X
7	Humboldt	In Progress	294,809	309,720		X
8	Imperial	In Progress	225,024	286,636		X
9	Inyo ²	In Progress	158,669	0		X
10	Los Angeles	In Progress	24,558,000	27,050,000		X
11	Marin	In Progress	532,573	255,157		X
12	Merced	In Progress	609,809	805,559		X
13	Modoc	In Progress	34,124	39,400		X
14	Monterey	In Progress	648,125	648,125		X
15	Napa	In Progress	479,985	226,835		X
16	Nevada	In Progress	229,500	7,318		X
17	Orange	In Progress	4,748,186	4,518,072		X
18	Placer	In Progress	542,358	500,000		X
19	Riverside	In Progress	6,382,244	8,340,240		X
20	Sacramento	In Progress	2,004,667	2,100,000		X
21	San Benito	In Progress	142,778	30,156		X
22	San Bernardino	In Progress	2,787,159	2,052,703		X
23	San Diego	In Progress	1,175,172	2,210,000		X
24	San Francisco ³	In Progress	4,049,323	4,495,551		X
25	San Joaquin	In Progress	1,635,756	2,891,002		X
26	San Luis Obispo	In Progress	712,987	4,931,326		X
27	San Mateo	In Progress	1,349,001	1,028,248		X
28	Santa Barbara	In Progress	1,154,245	1,168,383		X
29	Santa Clara	In Progress	1,945,344	2,376,202		X
30	Santa Cruz	In Progress	209,166	320,445		X
31	Shasta ³	In Progress	850,992	973,954		X
32	Solano	In Progress	592,026	408,999		X
33	Sonoma	In Progress	578,945	550,096		X
34	Stanislaus	In Progress	598,497	181,158		X
35	Tulare	In Progress	569,301	0		X
36	Ventura	In Progress	1,464,010	1,563,349		X
37	Yuba	In Progress	416,616	110,784		X
	TOTALS		\$ 66,881,239	\$ 73,548,350		37

Notes:

1. Pending debt for new approved capital project
2. Bonded indebtedness: approved project under way, pending completion for Glenn, El Dorado, Inyo, and Siskiyou (report not received)
3. Report revised to reflect updated county reporting.

July 1, 2008 to June 30, 2009

Overview of County Reporting on Local Courthouse Construction Funds Under Government Code Section 70403(d) ATTACHMENT 5

	County	Review Status (complete/in progress)	Revenues for Period (as reported by county)	Expenditures for Period (as reported by county)	Repayments Due	Bonded Indebtedness (indicated in report)
1	Alameda ¹	In Progress	2,751,481	2,902,462		X
2	Amador	In Progress	79,419	70,560		X
3	Butte	In Progress	87,484	0		X
4	Contra Costa	In Progress	1,268,123	1,267,676		X
5	El Dorado ²	In Progress	230,427	74,105		X
6	Glenn ²	In Progress	183,671	0		X
7	Humboldt	In Progress	273,227	309,720		X
8	Imperial	In Progress	218,597	285,333		X
9	Inyo ²	In Progress	157,849	0		X
10	Los Angeles ⁶	In Progress	23,656,000	28,396,000		X
11	Marin	In Progress	474,805	476,753		X
12	Merced	In Progress	558,140	419,449		X
13	Modoc ³	In Progress	31,162	918		X
14	Monterey	In Progress	628,824	628,824		X
15	Napa	In Progress	452,943	230,079		X
16	Nevada ⁴	In Progress	217,835	281,349		X
17	Orange	In Progress	4,950,165	4,519,492		X
18	Placer	In Progress	585,167	500,000		X
19	Riverside	In Progress	7,159,229	6,756,172		X
20	Sacramento	In Progress	1,918,448	2,100,000		X
21	San Benito ⁴	In Progress	116,949	22,480		X
22	San Bernardino	In Progress	2,845,683	0		X
23	San Diego	In Progress	774,706	2,200,000		X
24	San Francisco	In Progress	3,818,576	4,670,026		X
25	San Joaquin	In Progress	931,011	5,198,000		X
26	San Luis Obispo	In Progress	520,719	402,745		X
27	San Mateo	In Progress	1,119,734	1,444,211		X
28	Santa Barbara	In Progress	1,142,134	1,199,361		X
29	Santa Clara	In Progress	2,033,816	2,382,519		X
30	Santa Cruz ⁵	In Progress	178,215	210,199		X
31	Shasta	In Progress	667,154	691,021		X
32	Solano	In Progress	574,761	403,512		X
33	Sonoma	In Progress	540,554	486,299		X
34	Stanislaus	In Progress	875,803	1,181,356		X
35	Tulare	In Progress	1,659,430	850,000		X
36	Ventura	In Progress	1,462,308	1,562,167		X
37	Yuba	In Progress	502,432	129,341		X
	TOTALS		\$ 65,646,980	\$ 72,252,129		37

Notes:

1. Pending debt for new approved capital project
2. Bonded indebtedness: approved project under way, pending completion for Glenn, El Dorado, and Inyo
3. Debt retired in FY 2008-2009; pending receipt of county report to confirm
4. Final county report: CCF balance transferred to State Court Facilities Construction Fund per Gov. Code, § 70402(a)
5. Report revised to reflect updated county reporting.
6. Report revised to reflect updated county reporting.

July 1, 2009 to June 30, 2010
Overview of County Reporting on
Local Courthouse Construction Funds Under Government Code Section 70403(d)
ATTACHMENT 6

	County	Review Status (complete/in progress)	Revenues for Period (as reported by county)	Expenditures for Period (as reported by county)	Repayments Due	Bonded Indebtedness (indicated in report)
1	Alameda ^{1,5}	In Progress	2,612,121	(2,444,214)		X
2	Amador	In Progress	48,075	48,075		X
3	Butte	In Progress	76,149	0		X
4	Contra Costa	In Progress	1,316,789	1,317,236		X
5	El Dorado ²	In Progress	193,653	484,855		X
6	Glenn ²	In Progress	162,380	0		X
7	Humboldt ⁴	In Progress	252,781	314,535		X
8	Imperial ³	In Progress	86,222	285,763		X
9	Inyo ²	In Progress	168,589	0		X
10	Los Angeles	In Progress	22,144,000	27,810,000		X
11	Marin	In Progress	435,339	507,000		X
12	Merced	In Progress	462,112	1,605,036		X
13	Monterey	In Progress	607,576	607,576		X
14	Napa	In Progress	445,445	220,244		X
15	Orange	In Progress	5,606,919	4,512,691		X
16	Placer	In Progress	531,102	500,000		X
17	Riverside	In Progress	7,751,474	6,968,876		X
18	Sacramento	In Progress	2,011,315	1,977,600		X
19	San Bernardino	In Progress	2,860,071	2,052,703		X
20	San Diego ⁵	In Progress	995,110	(7,773,745)		X
21	San Francisco	In Progress	3,588,795	4,635,781		X
22	San Joaquin	In Progress	244,882	187,459		X
23	San Luis Obispo	In Progress	439,924	306,069		X
24	San Mateo	In Progress	1,321,247	1,398,699		X
25	Santa Barbara	In Progress	1,230,460	1,345,019		X
26	Santa Clara	In Progress	1,864,910	1,994,420		X
27	Santa Cruz	In Progress	168,234	211,112		X
28	Shasta	In Progress	572,397	697,373		X
29	Solano	In Progress	568,979	402,768		X
30	Sonoma	In Progress	578,545	735,327		X
31	Stanislaus	In Progress	815,137	388,830		X
32	Tulare ⁴	In Progress	360,426	300,000		X
33	Ventura	In Progress	1,338,867	1,555,461		X
34	Yuba	In Progress	702,066	689,975		X
	TOTALS		\$ 62,562,091	\$ 53,842,524		34

Notes:

1. Pending debt for new approved capital project
2. Bonded indebtedness: approved project under way, pending completion for Glenn, El Dorado, and Inyo
3. Bonded debt retired in February 2010; this will be the final reporting period; CCF balance transferred to State Court Facilities Construction Fund per Gov. Code, § 70402(a)
4. Report revised to reflect updated county reporting.
5. Corrected expenditure amount erroneously reflected in prior report.

July 1, 2010 to June 30, 2011

Overview of County Reporting on Local Courthouse Construction Funds Under Government Code Section 70403(d) ATTACHMENT 7

	County	Review Status (complete/in progress)	Revenues for Period (as reported by county)	Expenditures for Period (as reported by county)	Repayments Due	Bonded Indebtedness (indicated in report)
1	Alameda ¹	In Progress	2,530,934	550,729		X
2	Amador	In Progress	37,317	36,525		X
3	Butte	In Progress	85,512	249,860		X
4	Contra Costa	In Progress	1,308,510	1,308,510		X
5	El Dorado ²	In Progress	97,970	1,032,936		X
6	Glenn ²	In Progress	146,737	0		X
7	Humboldt	In Progress	250,695	310,935		X
8	Inyo ²	In Progress	153,131	0		X
9	Los Angeles	In Progress	19,513,000	24,769,000		X
10	Marin	In Progress	512,977	398,681		X
11	Merced	In Progress	494,217	494,217		X
12	Monterey	In Progress	601,061	542,313		X
13	Napa	In Progress	452,511	233,979		X
14	Orange	In Progress	5,241,871	4,532,460		X
15	Placer	In Progress	455,630	500,000		X
16	Riverside	In Progress	7,413,489	7,961,871		X
17	Sacramento	In Progress	1,633,444	1,790,000		X
18	San Bernardino	In Progress	2,438,086	2,244,140		X
19	San Diego ⁴	In Progress	775,112	775,112		X
20	San Francisco	In Progress	3,228,950	4,573,121		X
21	San Joaquin	In Progress	157,764	209,573		X
22	San Luis Obispo	In Progress	313,710	307,169		X
23	San Mateo	In Progress	1,526,321	3,856,256		X
24	Santa Barbara	In Progress	1,129,184	578,258		X
25	Santa Clara	In Progress	1,800,171	2,187,964		X
26	Santa Cruz	In Progress	150,443	210,762		X
27	Shasta ³	In Progress	529,828	679,900		X
28	Solano	In Progress	405,350	408,515		X
29	Sonoma	In Progress	523,842	564,544		X
30	Stanislaus	In Progress	822,537	319,944		X
31	Tulare	In Progress	379,762	522,255		X
32	Ventura	In Progress	1,168,925	1,540,047		X
33	Yuba	In Progress	327,598	198,312		X
	TOTALS		\$ 56,606,590	\$ 63,887,888		33

Notes:

1. Pending debt for new approved capital project
2. Bonded indebtedness: approved project under way, pending completion for Glenn, El Dorado, Inyo, and Siskiyou (report not received)
3. Report revised to reflect updated county reporting.
4. Corrected expenditure amount erroneously reflected in prior report.

July 1, 2011 to June 30, 2012

Overview of County Reporting on Local Courthouse Construction Funds Under Government Code Section 70403(d) ATTACHMENT 8

	County	Review Status (complete/in progress)	Revenues for Period (as reported by county)	Expenditures for Period (as reported by county)	Repayments Due	Bonded Indebtedness (indicated in report)
1	Alameda ¹	In Progress	2,366,638	2,322,747		X
2	Amador	In Progress	40,387	39,854		X
3	Butte	In Progress	101,211	109,853		X
4	Contra Costa	In Progress	1,046,449	1,046,449		X
5	El Dorado ^{2,3}	In Progress	58,363	1,118,967		X
6	Glenn	In Progress	141,838	636,959		X
7	Humboldt	In Progress	241,573	309,720		X
8	Los Angeles ³	In Progress	17,906,000	27,351,000		X
9	Marin	In Progress	473,298	450,000		X
10	Merced	In Progress	465,336	1,197,798		X
11	Monterey	In Progress	567,526	590,005		X
12	Napa	In Progress	410,556	233,962		X
13	Orange	In Progress	(324,767)	4,533,653		X
14	Placer	In Progress	357,841	500,000		X
15	Riverside	In Progress	6,379,663	6,302,426		X
16	Sacramento	In Progress	1,709,607	1,594,000		X
17	San Bernardino	In Progress	2,056,446	9,007,338		X
18	San Diego	In Progress	1,074,854	880,000		X
19	San Francisco	In Progress	3,031,247	4,570,627		X
20	San Joaquin	In Progress	182,206	210,804		X
21	San Luis Obispo	In Progress	405,532	308,119		X
22	San Mateo	In Progress	1,233,152	1,320,075		X
23	Santa Barbara	In Progress	992,318	714,908		X
24	Santa Clara	In Progress	1,850,633	2,293,536		X
25	Santa Cruz	In Progress	119,332	184,429		X
26	Shasta ³	In Progress	473,583	418,546		X
27	Solano	In Progress	393,515	407,204		X
28	Sonoma	In Progress	598,381	734,584		X
29	Stanislaus	In Progress	683,157	329,542		X
30	Tulare	In Progress	353,309	352,000		X
31	Ventura	In Progress	1,105,507	1,536,739		X
32	Yuba	In Progress	319,114	42,069		X
	TOTALS		\$ 46,813,805	\$ 71,647,913		32

Notes:

1. Pending debt for new approved capital project
2. Bonded indebtedness: approved project under way, pending completion for Inyo (report not received), and Siskiyou (report not received)
3. Report revised to reflect updated county reporting.

July 1, 2012 to June 30, 2013

Overview of County Reporting on Local Courthouse Construction Funds Under Government Code Section 70403(d) ATTACHMENT 9

	County	Review Status (complete/in progress)	Revenues for Period (as reported by county)	Expenditures for Period (as reported by county)	Repayments Due	Bonded Indebtedness (indicated in report)
1	Alameda ¹	In Progress	2,485,671	1,137,754		X
2	Amador	In Progress	45,474	45,117		X
3	Butte	In Progress	84,544	88,515		X
4	Contra Costa ⁴	In Progress	1,651,301	1,425,736		X
5	El Dorado ^{2,3}	In Progress	34,540	151,026		X
6	Glenn	In Progress	135,432	0		X
7	Humboldt	In Progress	223,486	309,720		X
8	Los Angeles	In Progress	16,109,000	25,672,000		X
9	Marin	In Progress	409,862	450,000		X
10	Merced	In Progress	435,065	419,379		X
11	Monterey	In Progress	536,676	523,762		X
12	Napa	In Progress	410,822	232,741		X
13	Orange	In Progress	2,731,944	3,926,135		X
14	Placer	In Progress	335,005	316,000		X
15	Riverside	In Progress	6,261,824	7,499,484		X
16	Sacramento	In Progress	1,583,788	1,710,000		X
17	San Bernardino	In Progress	1,877,838	1,893,238		X
18	San Diego	In Progress	935,586	880,000		X
19	San Francisco	In Progress	2,942,727	4,735,166		X
20	San Joaquin	In Progress	128,326	171,979		X
21	San Luis Obispo ⁴	In Progress	373,124	308,768		X
22	San Mateo	In Progress	1,184,153	1,327,071		X
23	Santa Barbara	In Progress	895,428	866,791		X
24	Santa Clara	In Progress	2,224,957	2,224,957		X
25	Santa Cruz	In Progress	113,217	113,217		X
26	Shasta	In Progress	469,811	600,347		X
27	Solano	In Progress	338,527	400,250		X
28	Sonoma	In Progress	422,827	563,549		X
29	Stanislaus	In Progress	594,254	339,428		X
30	Tulare ⁴	In Progress	353,428	356,000		X
31	Ventura	In Progress	1,039,784	1,528,445		X
32	Yuba	In Progress	288,603	27,778		X
	TOTALS		\$ 47,657,024	\$ 60,244,353		32

Notes:

1. Pending debt for new approved capital project
2. Bonded indebtedness: approved project under way, pending completion for Inyo (report not received), and Siskiyou (report not received)
3. Partial CCF balance transferred to State Court Facilities Construction Fund per Gov. Code, § 70402(a)
4. Report revised to reflect updated county reporting.

July 1, 2013 to June 30, 2014

Overview of County Reporting on Local Courthouse Construction Funds Under Government Code Section 70403(d) ATTACHMENT 10

County	Review Status (complete/in progress)	Revenues for Period (as reported by county)	Expenditures for Period (as reported by county)	Repayments Due	Bonded Indebtedness (indicated in report)
1 Alameda ¹	In Progress	2,369,762	1,019,287		X
2 Amador	In Progress	31,178	31,055		X
3 Butte ³	In Progress	49,854	92,977		X
4 Contra Costa	In Progress	1,151,351	1,376,810		X
5 El Dorado ²	In Progress	20,391	83,104		X
6 Glenn ²	In Progress	130,092	0		X
7 Humboldt	In Progress	201,410	309,720		X
8 Los Angeles ⁴	In Progress	16,162,000	21,428,000		X
9 Marin	In Progress	429,291	450,000		X
10 Merced	In Progress	389,021	391,577		X
11 Monterey	In Progress	498,101	502,886		X
12 Napa	In Progress	393,937	428,146		X
13 Orange	In Progress	2,526,864	3,931,815		X
14 Placer	In Progress	309,068	200,000		X
15 Riverside	In Progress	5,648,816	7,816,740		X
16 Sacramento	In Progress	1,503,029	1,530,000		X
17 San Bernardino	In Progress	1,858,919	1,856,895		X
18 San Diego	In Progress	973,381	925,000		X
19 San Francisco	In Progress	2,764,314	(1,642,048)		X
20 San Joaquin	In Progress	233,078	176,321		X
21 San Luis Obispo	In Progress	379,180	309,434		X
22 San Mateo	In Progress	1,168,417	1,285,003		X
23 Santa Barbara	In Progress	867,708	967,523		X
24 Santa Clara	In Progress	1,344,302	1,209,531		X
25 Santa Cruz	In Progress	110,760	110,760		X
26 Shasta	In Progress	471,040	338,887		X
27 Solano	In Progress	312,423	398,991		X
28 Sonoma	In Progress	522,716	452,158		X
29 Stanislaus	In Progress	579,712	337,780		X
30 Tulare ⁴	In Progress	327,228	325,966		X
31 Ventura	In Progress	993,181	1,531,934		X
32 Yuba ³	In Progress	192,022	20,507		X
TOTALS		\$ 44,912,546	\$ 48,196,759		32

Notes:

1. Pending debt for new approved capital project, loan from the Immediate and Critical Needs Account (Fund 3138) authorized in FY 2014-2015.
2. Bonded indebtedness: approved project under way, pending completion for Inyo (report not received), and Siskiyou (report not received)
3. Butte and Yuba Debt Service retired in FY 2013-2014. Final county report: CCF balance to be transferred to State Court Facilities Construction Fund per Gov. Code, § 70402(a)
4. Report revised to reflect updated county reporting.

July 1, 2014 to June 30, 2015

Overview of County Reporting on Local Courthouse Construction Funds Under Government Code Section 70403(d) ATTACHMENT 11

	County	Review Status (complete/in progress)	Revenues for Period (as reported by county)	Expenditures for Period (as reported by county)	Repayments Due	Bonded Indebtedness (indicated in report)
1	Alameda ^{1,4}	In Progress	2,277,226	8,707,581		X
2	Amador	In Progress	34,585	34,302		X
3	Contra Costa ⁴	In Progress	1,361,874	1,361,981		X
4	El Dorado ^{2,3}	In Progress	12,963	73,308		X
5	Glenn	In Progress	129,045	0		X
6	Humboldt	In Progress	206,992	309,720		X
7	Los Angeles	In Progress	15,371,000	25,278,000		X
8	Marin	In Progress	386,669	448,026		X
9	Merced	In Progress	339,788	340,465		X
10	Monterey	In Progress	481,971	456,906		X
11	Napa	In Progress	379,761	7,359		X
12	Orange	In Progress	4,006,524	3,939,167		X
13	Placer	In Progress	303,282	200,000		X
14	Riverside ⁴	In Progress	5,267,529	7,829,100		X
15	Sacramento	In Progress	1,473,332	1,480,000		X
16	San Bernardino	In Progress	1,759,535	1,760,364		X
17	San Diego	In Progress	1,529,645	970,000		X
18	San Francisco	In Progress	2,604,373	2,712,322		X
19	San Joaquin	In Progress	778,528	181,196		X
20	San Luis Obispo	In Progress	420,737	353,319		X
21	San Mateo	In Progress	1,112,077	1,135,270		X
22	Santa Barbara	In Progress	892,907	747,046		X
23	Santa Clara ⁴	In Progress	1,312,129	1,261,190		X
24	Santa Cruz	In Progress	115,794	115,794		X
25	Shasta	In Progress	472,279	664,625		X
26	Solano	In Progress	265,214	398,671		X
27	Sonoma ⁴	In Progress	503,108	461,939		X
28	Stanislaus	In Progress	486,828	360,099		X
29	Tulare ⁴	In Progress	658,142	344,724		X
30	Ventura	In Progress	969,253	1,887,572		X
	TOTALS		\$ 45,913,090	\$ 63,820,046		30

Notes:

1. Pending debt for new approved capital project
2. Bonded indebtedness: approved project under way, pending completion for Inyo (report not received), and Siskiyou (report not received)
3. Partial CCF balance transferred to State Court Facilities Construction Fund per Gov. Code, § 70402(a)
4. This report has been revised updating the revenue figures for Contra Costa, Riverside, and Tulare counties. The expenditure figures for Alameda, Riverside, Santa Clara, and Sonoma counties were also updated.