



JUDICIAL COUNCIL OF CALIFORNIA

TECHNOLOGY COMMITTEE

www.courts.ca.gov/jctc.htm
jctc@jud.ca.gov

JUDICIAL COUNCIL TECHNOLOGY COMMITTEE

MINUTES OF OPEN MEETING

May 23, 2018

3:00 - 4:05 PM

Sequoia Room, Judicial Council Conference Center
455 Golden Gate Avenue, San Francisco, CA 94102-3688

Advisory Body Members Present: Hon. Marsha G. Slough, Chair; Hon. Gary Nadler, Vice-Chair; Hon. Ming W. Chin; Hon. Kyle S. Brodie; Hon. Rebecca Wightman; Mr. Jake Chatters; Ms. Rachel W. Hill; Ms. Audra Ibarra; and Ms. Andrea K. Rohmann

Advisory Body Members Absent: None

Liaison Members Present: Hon. Sheila F. Hanson

Others Present: Hon. Jackson Lucky; Hon. Samantha Jessner; Mr. Snorri Ogata; Mr. Robert Oyung; Mr. Mark Dusman; Ms. Virginia Sanders-Hinds; Ms. Heather Pettit; Mr. David Koon; Ms. Kathy Fink; Ms. Jamel Jones; Ms. Camilla Kieliger; Mr. Douglas Denton; Ms. Shelly Curran; and Ms. Martha Wright

OPEN MEETING

Call to Order and Roll Call

The chair called the meeting to order, took roll call, and advised no public comments were received.

Approval of Minutes

The advisory body reviewed and approved the minutes of the April 16, 2018 action by e-mail and the May 14, 2018 meeting.

DISCUSSION AND ACTION ITEMS

Item 1

Chair Report

Update: Hon. Marsha Slough, Chair of the Judicial Council Technology Committee (JCTC), welcomed and thanked everyone for attending. Justice Slough reviewed the agenda items for the meeting.

Item 2

Status/Final Report on the Intelligent Forms Workstream of the Information Technology Advisory Committee (ITAC)

Update: Hon. Jackson Lucky, Executive Sponsor, and Ms. Camilla Kieliger, Project Manager, provided an update and final report from the ITAC Intelligent Forms Workstream Phase 1 activities. This included review of the workstream charge, review of work completed and final recommendations, and next steps of the workstream. The workstream recommendations covered the following topics: certified forms, data population API, accessibility, governance, prioritization of upgrades, evaluation of dynamic forms and document assembly. The meeting materials contained the full report.

Action: The committee discussed the report. The committee voted to approve the report from the workstream.

Item 3

Video Remote Interpreting Workstream Update

Update: Hon. Samantha Jessner, Executive Sponsor, and Mr. Douglas Denton, Supervising Analyst in the Judicial Council Court Operations Services office, provided a status of the ITAC/Language Access Plan Implementation Task Force (LAPITF) Video Remote Interpreting program and workstream, which included recent milestones specific to the pilot project (LAP recommendation 16) and establishing minimum technology requirements for remote interpreting (LAP recommendation 14).

Action: The committee received the report.

Item 4

Ability-to-Pay Tool Program Overview

Update: Ms. Shelly Curran, Director of the Judicial Council Criminal Justice Services office, and Ms. Martha Wright, Supervising Analyst of the office, provided an introduction and overview of the Ability-to-Pay tool program, currently in development.

Action: The committee received the report.

A D J O U R N M E N T

There being no further business, the meeting was adjourned.



JUDICIAL COUNCIL OF CALIFORNIA

TECHNOLOGY COMMITTEE

www.courts.ca.gov/jctc.htm
jctc@jud.ca.gov

JUDICIAL COUNCIL TECHNOLOGY COMMITTEE

MINUTES OF OPEN MEETING

June 11, 2018
12:00 - 1:00 PM

Teleconference

Advisory Body Members Present: Hon. Marsha G. Slough, Chair; Hon. Ming W. Chin; Hon. Kyle S. Brodie; Hon. Rebecca Wightman; Mr. Jake Chatters; Ms. Rachel W. Hill; and Ms. Andrea K. Rohmann

Advisory Body Members Absent: Hon. Gary Nadler, Vice-Chair; and Ms. Audra Ibarra

Liaison Members Present: Hon. Sheila F. Hanson

Others Present: Mr. David Yamasaki; Mr. Mark Dusman; Ms. Virginia Sanders-Hinds; Ms. Heather Pettit; Mr. David Koon; Ms. Kathy Fink; Ms. Jamel Jones; Ms. Jessica Goldstein; and Mr. Zlatko Theodorovic

OPEN MEETING

Call to Order and Roll Call

The chair called the meeting to order, took roll call, and advised no public comments were received.

Approval of Minutes

The advisory body reviewed and approved the minutes of the May 23, 2018 meeting.

DISCUSSION AND ACTION ITEMS

Item 1

Chair Report

Update: Hon. Marsha Slough, Chair of the Judicial Council Technology Committee (JCTC), welcomed and thanked everyone for attending. Justice Slough reviewed the agenda for the meeting, as well as provided updates on recent meetings in which she and other members represented the JCTC or reported on the JCTC activities.

Item 2

Update/Report on Information Technology Advisory Committee (ITAC)

Update: Hon. Sheila F. Hanson, Chair of ITAC, provided an update and report on the activities of the advisory committee, its subcommittees, and its workstreams. Workstreams with key milestones highlighted included the Digital Evidence, Data Analytics, and Next Generation Hosting.

Action: The committee received the report.

Item 3

Extension of V3 Case Management System (CMS) Support (Action)

Update: Mr. David Yamasaki, Court Executive Officer of Orange County Superior Court, and Ms. Kathleen Fink, Manager in Judicial Council Information Technology (JCIT), provided a report on the V3 CMS, specifically an update on the transition of the V3 courts to a new CMS. The committee was asked to consider a proposal to extend the use of V3 funding that was approved by the Judicial Council for one additional year, to June 30, 2020. This will allow adequate time for Orange, Sacramento, San Diego, and Ventura Superior Courts to transition off of JCIT V3. This proposal was previously approved by the Trial Court Budget Advisory Committee. The proposal was not for additional funding but rather to extend the use of the funding previously approved to ensure effective deployments of the new CMSs.

Action: The committee received the report. After discussion, the committee voted unanimously to approve the proposal.

Item 4

Technology Budget Change Proposals (BCPs) for FY19/20 Update

Update: Hon. Marsha G. Slough and Mr. Mark Dusman provided an update and report on the outcomes of the May 23, 2018 Judicial Branch Budget Committee, in which it prioritized the BCP concepts submitted across all programs for FY 19/20 funding. The report included a review of the impacts to technology proposals and provided the next steps in the process.

Action: The committee received the report.

A D J O U R N M E N T

There being no further business, the meeting was adjourned.