

Judicial Council of California

455 Golden Gate Avenue · San Francisco, California 94102-3688 www.courts.ca.gov

REPORT TO THE JUDICIAL COUNCIL

Item No.: 24-132
For business meeting on September 20, 2024

Title

Criminal Law: Firearm and Body Armor Prohibitions

Rules, Forms, Standards, or Statutes Affected Revise forms CR-101, CR-102, CR-160, CR-161, CR-162, and CR-210

Recommended by

Criminal Law Advisory Committee Hon. Brian M. Hoffstadt, Chair

Agenda Item Type

Action Required

Effective Date

January 1, 2025

Date of Report

September 9, 2024

Contact

Sarah Fleischer-Ihn, 415-865-7702 sarah.fleischer-ihn@jud.ca.gov

Executive Summary

The Criminal Law Advisory Committee recommends revising six criminal forms to incorporate firearm and body armor prohibitions enacted in recent legislation. The committee also recommends revisions to the plea and firearm relinquishment forms to reflect new procedures on firearm relinquishment, clarify prohibited items and relinquishment requirements, and refer to the possibility of a lifetime prohibition on firearm possession for misdemeanor domestic violence offenses. Finally, the committee recommends additional revisions to the felony plea form based on other statutory changes, and to the criminal protective order forms based on stakeholder suggestions.

Recommendation

The Criminal Law Advisory Committee recommends that the Judicial Council, effective January 1, 2025:

1. Revise *Plea Form, With Explanations and Waiver of Rights—Felony* (form CR-101) to advise defendants about body armor, firearm, and ammunition-related prohibitions; delete

references to the Division of Juvenile Justice; and update information related to prison commitments based on parole violations;

- 2. Revise *Domestic Violence Plea Form, With Waiver of Rights—Misdemeanor* (form CR-102) to advise defendants about and clarify body armor, firearm, and ammunition-related prohibitions;
- 3. Revise *Criminal Protective Order—Domestic Violence* (form CR-160) and *Criminal Protective Order—Other Than Domestic Violence* (form CR-161) to advise defendants about body armor prohibitions and relinquishment and to clarify the item related to additional protected persons;
- 4. Revise *Order to Surrender Firearms in Domestic Violence Case* (form CR-162) to advise defendants about body armor prohibitions and relinquishment; and
- 5. Revise *Prohibited Persons Relinquishment Form Findings* (form CR-210) to advise defendants about body armor prohibitions and to align the form with statutory changes to the firearm relinquishment procedure.

The proposed revised forms are attached at pages 10–29.

Relevant Previous Council Action

The forms in this proposal all contain firearm and ammunition prohibitions for criminal defendants. The forms were most recently revised effective March 1, 2023, to update advisements on firearm prohibitions to reflect statutory changes to the definition of a firearm.

Analysis/Rationale

This proposal is needed to implement statutory changes regarding firearm, body armor, and ammunition prohibitions and firearm relinquishment requirements and procedures, and to make additional clarifications.

Firearm, body armor, and ammunition prohibitions and relinquishment

Body armor prohibition

Assembly Bill 92 (Stats. 2023, ch. 232) amended Penal Code section 31360² to expand the prohibition against owning, purchasing, or possessing body armor to any person prohibited from

¹ Assembly Bill 1621 (Stats. 2022, ch. 76) expanded the definition of a firearm as used in specified code sections to include completed frames, receivers, and "firearm precursor part[s]."

² All further statutory references are to the Penal Code unless otherwise specified.

possessing a firearm under state law,³ effective January 1, 2024.⁴ The amendment added a requirement that the court advise a prohibited person of the body armor prohibition.⁵

To implement the bill, the committee recommends incorporating a court advisement prohibiting body armor on six criminal forms. These forms currently include a court advisement prohibiting firearm possession due to qualifying convictions or being subject to a criminal protective order.⁶

Advisement of prohibited items and relinquishment requirements

Under existing law, criminal defendants are prohibited from possessing a firearm, ammunition, reloaded ammunition, and ammunition feeding devices if they are convicted of a felony or a specified misdemeanor, and they must relinquish any firearms in their possession. Courts must instruct defendants of these prohibitions and relinquishment requirements on conviction of a qualifying offense.

The Judicial Council plea forms currently include some, but not all, of the advisements on prohibited items and relinquishment requirements. To incorporate all prohibitions, the committee recommends revising form CR-101, item 3j, and form CR-102, item 7f, to supplement the existing language to advise defendants not to own, purchase, receive, or have prohibited items under the defendant's custody or control. The committee also recommends revising forms CR-101 and CR-102 to include reloaded ammunition and ammunition feeding devices, including but not limited to magazines, as prohibited items and state that firearms and firearm parts must be relinquished.

Lifetime firearm ban for misdemeanor domestic violence convictions

Effective January 1, 2019, section 29805 was amended to require a lifetime ban on possession of firearms for anyone convicted of a misdemeanor violation of section 273.5 (willful infliction of

³ Except under section 29610.

⁴ Before this amendment, section 31360 prohibited only persons convicted of "a violent felony under the laws of the United States, the State of California, or any other state, government, or country" from purchasing, owning, or possessing body armor.

⁵ AB 92 also affects numerous protective orders issued in civil, domestic violence, and juvenile matters. The Joint Protective Order Working Group—composed of members from the Criminal Law Advisory Committee, the Civil and Small Claims Advisory Committee, and the Family and Juvenile Law Advisory Committee—met in January 2024 to coordinate consistent and clear language for the body armor prohibition across protective orders. The working group also concluded that clarifying legislation regarding how body armor must be relinquished would be helpful.

⁶ The criminal forms incorporate firearm prohibitions under sections 136.2(a)(1)(G)(ii), 29800, 29805, and Code of Civil Procedure section 527.9.

⁷ §§ 29800(a)(1), 29805, 29810(a)(1) & (2), 30305(a)(1).

⁸ § 29810(a)(2).

⁹ See § 29800(a)(1), 29805.

¹⁰ See §§ 29810(a)(1) & (2), 30305(a)(1).

corporal injury to a spouse or cohabitant) on or after January 1, 2019. ¹¹ Additionally, existing federal law makes it unlawful for a person convicted in any court of a misdemeanor crime of domestic violence "to ship or transport in interstate or foreign commerce, or possess in or affecting commerce, any firearm or ammunition; or to receive any firearm or ammunition which has been shipped or transported in interstate or foreign commerce." ¹²

The committee recommends revising form CR-102 to refer to the possibility of a lifetime ban on possessing firearms and other prohibited items under state and federal law.

Court confirmation of firearm relinquishment

Effective January 1, 2024, section 29810 was amended to add new procedural requirements for firearm relinquishment due to a qualifying conviction. Among these changes, before final disposition or sentencing in the case, courts are required to "confirm" that the defendant relinquished all firearms and whether the court received a completed Prohibited Persons Relinquishment Form and receipts. Under prior law, the court was required to make findings "concerning whether the probation officer's report indicates" that the defendant relinquished all firearms and turned in the Prohibited Persons Relinquishment Form and receipts.

To implement these changes, the committee recommends revising form CR-210's Noncompliance section so a court can indicate whether the defendant turned in the form and reported any firearms, whether the probation officer's report indicates that the defendant possessed firearms, and, if so, whether the firearms were recovered. Additionally, the committee recommends revisions to clarify the court's options in the Compliance section and delete items reflecting former statutory provisions.

Additional legislative changes related to form CR-101

The felony plea form lists the Division of Juvenile Justice (DJJ) as an option for sentencing because criminal courts previously had authority, in limited circumstances, to commit a minor to the DJJ. However, the DJJ closed on June 30, 2023, due to legislation enacted in 2020 and 2021. The committee recommends deleting the reference to the DJJ on form CR-101.

Form CR-101 also refers to possible custody periods due to a parole violation, including being "returned to state prison for up to one year, up to a maximum of _____ years." However, after criminal justice realignment, a person on parole can be returned to state prison to serve parole revocation time only in limited circumstances, and the maximum time served varies and is

¹³ Assem. Bill 732 (Stats. 2023, ch. 240).

¹¹ Assem. Bill 3129 (Stats. 2018, ch. 883).

¹² 18 U.S.C. § 922(g)(9).

¹⁴ As required by section 29810, the Department of Justice has developed such a form with that name and assigned it form number BOF 1022.

¹⁵ See Welf. & Inst. Code, §§ 736.5(c), 1731.5, 1732.6.

¹⁶ Sen. Bill 823 (Stats. 2020, ch. 337); Sen. Bill 92 (Stats. 2021, ch. 18).

determined by the Board of Parole Hearings.¹⁷ The committee recommends revising this language to state that if a defendant violates parole, the defendant may be returned to state prison if the conviction was for a crime subject to section 3000(b)(4) or 3000.1.¹⁸

Stakeholder suggestions related to criminal protective orders (forms CR-160 and CR-161)

The criminal protective orders underwent extensive revisions effective March 1, 2023. These revisions included a check box (new item 3a) for the court to indicate that it finds the protected person's family members have been targeted or harmed by the defendant—a finding required for postconviction protective orders under section 136.2(i)(1). The committee added item 3a because under section 136.2(i)(1), a court may issue an order restraining the defendant from any contact with "a victim of the crime" for up to 10 years on conviction of specified offenses.

Courts have held that a victim's family members cannot be included in the postconviction protective order under section 136.2(i)(1) without evidence they have been targeted or harmed. (See, e.g., *People v. Beckemeyer* (2015) 238 Cal.App.4th 461, 466–467; *People v. Delarosarauda* (2014) 227 Cal.App.4th 205, 212.) An attorney with the Los Angeles City Attorney's office requested that item 3a include nonfamily members if there is evidence they were targeted or harmed during the incident of domestic violence. In support of this request, the attorney asserts that the case law defines a "victim" under section 136(i)(1) to include anyone targeted or harmed during the incident (see *People v. Beckemeyer*, *supra*, 238 Cal.App.4th at p. 466 ["victim" is broadly defined in section 136 as any person against whom there is reason to believe a crime has been committed] and *People v. Race* (2017) 18 Cal.App.5th 211, 219 [the term "victim" under section 136.2 criminal protective orders must be construed broadly to include any individual against whom there is "some evidence" from which the court could find the defendant had committed or attempted to commit some harm within the household]).

The committee recommends revising item 3a to apply to additional protected persons who are also victims of the crime.

An attorney with the Alameda County District Attorney's office requested revising item 1 of the instructions for law enforcement (on page 4 of form CR-160 and page 3 of form CR-161) to state that postconviction protective orders may be issued by the court "regardless of" whether the

_

¹⁷ If a person is subject to parole for a specified sex offense (§ 3000(b)(4)) or a murder conviction with a maximum term of life imprisonment (§ 3000.1(a)(2)) and a court determines that the person has violated the law or the conditions of parole, the person "shall be remanded to the custody of the Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation and the jurisdiction of the Board of Parole Hearings for the purpose of future parole consideration." (§ 3000.08(h).) For persons subject to life parole, a parole reconsideration hearing must be held on the next available calendar, but no later than 12 months from the date of the parole revocation. (§ 3000.1(d); 15 Cal. Code Regs. § 2275(a).) The panel or board must release the person within one year of the date of revocation unless it determines that the circumstances and gravity of the parole violation are such that consideration of public safety requires a lengthier period of incarceration or unless there is a new prison commitment following a conviction. (§ 3000.1(d).) If a person is not rereleased on parole, they must be reconsidered for release on parole annually. (*Ibid*.)

¹⁸ In a separate proposal, the committee is also recommending amendments to California Rules of Court, rule 4.433, to add a reference to the parole periods described in Penal Code section 3000.01 to the provision on the sentencing judge's advisement to the defendant about the parole period to be served after expiration of the sentence.

defendant is sentenced to custody or probation. (See § 136.2(i)(1), which provides: "This protective order may be issued by the court regardless of whether the defendant is sentenced to the state prison or a county jail, whether the defendant is subject to mandatory supervision, or whether imposition of sentence is suspended and the defendant is placed on probation." The committee agrees and recommends the revised language.

Policy implications

The recommended revisions will implement statutory changes so that council forms are accurate. These revisions are consistent with the judicial branch's strategic plan goals of Modernization of Management and Administration (Goal III) and Quality of Justice and Service to the Public (Goal IV).

Comments

The committee received two comments agreeing with the proposal from the Orange County Bar Association and the Joint Rules Subcommittee of the Trial Court Presiding Judges Advisory Committee and the Court Executives Advisory Committee. The Superior Court of Orange County and Giffords Law Center agreed with the proposal if modified, and the California Department of Justice's Office of Gun Violence Prevention and Bureau of Firearms did not indicate a position but included a suggestion for revising language on a form.

A chart with the full text of the comments received and the committee's responses is attached at pages 30–39. The main comments and the committee's responses are discussed below.

Registered firearms

The California Department of Justice and Giffords Law Center objected to specified references to "registered firearms" on *Prohibited Persons Relinquishment Form Findings* (form CR-210), an optional form for courts to record findings about a defendant's compliance with firearm relinquishment requirements under Penal Code section 29810. The circulated proposal referred to relinquishment of registered firearms in items 1c, 2a, 2b, and 3.¹⁹

Because section 29810 contemplates relinquishment of all firearms, both registered and nonregistered, the commenters request deleting the term "registered" from these items. In support of this position, the commenters point to statutory references in section 29810 referring to all firearms:

- "Upon conviction ... the person shall relinquish all firearms they own, possess, or have under their custody or control" (§ 29810(a)(1).)
- A probation officer must investigate whether the Automated Firearms System or other credible information, such as a police report, reveals that the defendant has any firearms (§ 29810(c)(1)), and the officer must report to the court and the prosecuting attorney

¹⁹ Proposed form CR-210 as circulated for public comment can be found on page 29 of the invitation to comment, which can be found at www.courts.ca.gov/documents/spr24-16.pdf.

whether the defendant has properly complied with the requirements of this section by relinquishing all firearms identified by the probation officer's investigation or declared by the defendant on the relinquishment form. (§ 29810(c)(2).)

• "Prior to final disposition or sentencing ... the court shall confirm that the defendant has relinquished all firearms as required" (§ 29810(c)(4).)

The committee previously suggested referring to registered firearms because, in some members' experience, unregistered firearms are not being reported by defendants and probation officers to courts. Additionally, section 29810(c)(3) references follow-up actions the court must take "[i]f the report of the probation officer does not confirm relinquishment of firearms registered in the defendant's name." However, the committee agrees with the commenters' position that the statute contemplates covering all firearms and recommends deleting the term "registered" from the form.

Factual basis for a plea

The Court's Findings and Order section of the felony plea form (form CR-101) states that the court finds that "[a] factual basis exists for the plea and admissions, or the defendant is pleading under a plea bargain under *People v. West.*" The committee requested specific comments on whether to delete the second clause in light of section 1192.5(c) ("The court shall also cause an inquiry to be made of the defendant to satisfy itself that the plea is freely and voluntarily made, and that there is a factual basis for the plea") and case law. ²⁰Additionally, the reference to *People v. West* (1970) 3 Cal.3d 595 appears inaccurate, as *West* holds that a defendant may enter a guilty plea to a lesser related offense to avoid conviction of the greater offense, but a court would still need to find a factual basis for the plea.

The Superior Court of Orange County agreed with deleting the second clause, while the Orange County Bar Association disagreed, stating that "*People v. West* remains valid and does not contradict section 1192.5(c) or *People v. Willard*."

The committee recommends deleting the second clause. In response to the Orange County Bar Association's comment, the committee agrees that *People v. West* remains valid for its holding that a defendant may enter a guilty plea to a lesser related offense to avoid conviction of the greater offense but contends that a *West* plea does not create an exception to a court finding of a factual basis for the plea and admissions.

Expanding additional protected persons for postconviction criminal protective orders. The committee requested specific comments on whether the proposed revisions to item 3a in forms CR-160 and CR-161 properly reflect the case law defining a "victim" for purposes of a postconviction protective order under section 136.2(i)(1).

²⁰ See *People v. Willard* (2007) 154 Cal.App.4th 1329 (a plea is not valid without an adequate factual basis).

The Orange County Bar Association commented that the proposed revisions could more specifically refer to a "victim who was targeted or harmed" or otherwise define a "victim." The committee opts to use the term "victims of the crime" to mirror the language of section 136.2(i)(1).

Alternatives considered

The committee did not consider the alternative of not revising the forms, determining that it was important to revise the forms to implement legislative changes.

In implementing the new body armor prohibition, the committee considered providing more guidance on how "relinquishment" can be satisfied (e.g., include a deadline, who to give it to, and whether destruction of body armor qualifies). However, the committee decided against this approach because the statute does not define relinquishment or provide a framework for compliance.

The committee discussed whether to revise the advisement that a misdemeanor domestic violation conviction *may* subject a defendant to a firearm prohibition to be a mandatory prohibition. Even though the most common misdemeanor domestic violence offenses are listed under prohibitions of firearm possession,²¹ the committee decided not to recommend mandatory prohibition language because there could be offenses, such as vandalism, that may not be subject to a firearm prohibition but still be considered a domestic violence offense, given that a domestic violence offense is defined by the relationship between the defendant and the victim.²²

Fiscal and Operational Impacts

The fiscal and operational impacts of this proposal are largely attributable to legislation. Expected costs include training, case management system updates, and the production of new forms.

Attachments and Links

- 1. Forms CR-101, CR-102, CR-160, CR-161, CR-162, and CR-210, at pages 10-29
- 2. Chart of comments, at pages 30–39
- 3. Link A: Penal Code section 16288, https://leginfo.legislature.ca.gov/faces/codes_displaySection.xhtml?sectionNum=16288.&la wCode=PEN
- 4. Link B: Penal Code section 29800,

 https://leginfo.legislature.ca.gov/faces/codes_displaySection.xhtml?sectionNum=29800.&la

 wCode=PEN

²¹ For example, violations of sections 140, 243, 273.5, 422, and 646.9. (§ 29805(a)(1).)

²² The Penal Code defines "domestic violence" as abuse committed against an adult or a minor who is a spouse, former spouse, cohabitant, former cohabitant, or person with whom the suspect has had a child or is having or has had a dating or engagement relationship. (§ 13700(b).)

- 5. Link C: Penal Code section 29805,

 https://leginfo.legislature.ca.gov/faces/codes_displaySection.xhtml?sectionNum=29805.&la
 wCode=PEN
- 6. Link D: Penal Code section 29810,

 https://leginfo.legislature.ca.gov/faces/codes_displaySection.xhtml?sectionNum=29810.&la

 wCode=PEN
- 7. Link E: Penal Code section 30305, https://leginfo.legislature.ca.gov/faces/codes_displaySection.xhtml?sectionNum=30305.&la wCode=PEN
- 8. Link F: Penal Code section 31360, https://leginfo.legislature.ca.gov/faces/codes_displaySection.xhtml?sectionNum=31360.&la wCode=PEN

ATTORNEY OR F	PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY	STATE B	AR NUMBER:			FOR COURT	USE ONLY	
NAME:								
FIRM NAME:								
STREET ADDRES	SS:							
CITY:		STATE:	ZIP C	ODE:		07/15/	2024	
TELEPHONE NO	::	FAX NO.:				-	-	
EMAIL ADDRESS	3:					DRA		
ATTORNEY FOR	(name):						oved by	
SUPERIOR	COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY	OF			the	Judicia	al Counc	cil
STREET ADDR	ESS:							
MAILING ADDR	ESS:							
CITY AND ZIP C	ODE:							
BRANCH N	AME:							
PEOPLE OF	THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA v.							
DEFENDAN					CASE NUMBER:			
PLEA FO	RM, WITH EXPLANATIONS	AND WAIVI	ER OF RIC	SHTS—FELONY				
INSTRUCTI	ONS:							
	nis form only if you want to plead	quilty or no co	nteet					
				a a with what you roo	d nutvourin	itiala in tha	hay to the	right of
	s form carefully. For each item, if r any item that does not apply to					iliais in the	box to the	right of
	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				OOX DIATIK.			
. ,	6, sign and date the form under							
(4) Keep in ı	mind that the court cannot give le	gal advice. If	you have a	ny questions about a	nything in thi	s form, ask	your attori	ney.
1. CHARG	ES AND MAXIMUM TERM. I wai	nt to plead qu	ilty or no co	ntest ("nolo contende	ere") to the c	narges and	admit the	INITIALS
	prior convictions, enhancements							
	num and maximum penalties for							
				PRIOR CONVICTIONS, EN				
COUNT	CHARGES	YEARS /	MONTHS	ALLEGATIONS & CIRCUI	ASTANCES IN	YEARS /	MONTHS	TOTAL MAXIMUM
000141	(SECTION & DESCRIPTION)	MINIMUM	MAXIMUM	AGGRAVATION & DESCR		MINIMUM	MAXIMUM	TIME
				,	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
-								
				AG	GREGATE MAXIM	UM TIME OF IM	PRISONMENT	
2 PI FA A	GREEMENT. I understand that I	must tell the	court on thi	s form about any pro	mises anvon	e has made	e to me aho	out the
	e I will receive or the sentence rec							
	ained to me that if I plead guilty o							
	ımstances in aggravation listed a					,	,	,
a. Chec		. г		y Jail for				
-		L	Oount	y Jan 101				
(1)	years and	months or						
(2)	not less than years	and r	nonths and	or not more than	years ar	nd r	months.	
(3)	Other (specify):							
b. Pro b	pation for years under con	ditions to be s	set by the co	ourt. includina				
	days in the county jail of		,	, 3				
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							
. \square	up to days in the count							
	and that a violation of any of the							
	, if ordered by the court, may cau							
	m Time of Imprisonment" spec			molude a period of i	nanuatory St	ihei visiou f	inuer Pena	ıı Code
section 1	170(h)(5)(B) if the court sends m	i e io county Ja	III.					

Page 1 of 7

and my no contest plea could be used against me in a civil case.

- (2) The elements of the charged offenses, prior convictions, enhancements, allegations, and circumstances in aggravation;
- (3) Any defenses that I may have;
- (4) My constitutional and statutory rights and waiver of those rights;
- (5) The consequences of this plea, including the immigration consequences; and
- (6) Anything else I think is important to my case.

(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)

(SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY)

PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA v.	CASE NUMBER:
Defendant:	
INTERPRETER'S STA	TEMENT
I, having been duly sworn or having a written oath on file, certify that I truly below.	ransiated this form to the defendant in the language noted
Language: Spanish Other (specify):	
Date:	
	(CERTIFICATION NUMBER)
	•
(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)	(SIGNATURE OF INTERPRETER)
DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S	STATEMENT
I have read this form and understand the terms of the plea agreement.	
agree do not agree with the terms of the plea agreement and	I the indicated sentence.
Date:	
	•
(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)	(SIGNATURE OF DISTRICT ATTORNEY)
COURT'S FINDINGS AN	ID ORDER
The court, having reviewed this form (and any addenda), and having orally	examined the defendant, finds as follows:
1. The initialed items in this form have been read by or read to the defend	ant, and the defendant understands each of them.
2. The defendant understands the nature of the crimes, prior convictions, aggravation listed in item 1 (on page 1) and the consequences of the pl	
3. The defendant expressly, knowingly, understandingly, and intelligently this plea.	waives the constitutional and statutory rights associated with
4. The defendant's plea, admissions, and waiver of rights are made freely	and voluntarily.
 A factual basis exists for the plea and admissions. 	•
3. A factual basis exists for the plea and autilissions.	
The court accepts the defendant's plea, admissions, and waiver of rights, a	nd the defendant is hereby convicted based thereon.
It is ordered that this document be filed with the court's records of this case rights be accepted and entered in the minutes of this court.	and that the defendant's plea, admissions, and waiver of
Date:	
	(SIGNATURE OF JUDICIAL OFFICER)
	(SIGNATURE OF JUDICIAL OFFICER)

CR-101 [Rev. January 1, 2025]

PLEA FORM, WITH EXPLANATIONS AND WAIVER OF RIGHTS—FELONY (Criminal)

Page 7 of 7

Print this form

Save this form

this case or any of my rights or anything else on this form.

CR-102

PE	OPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA v.	CASE NUMBER:
De	fendant(s):	o loc Nombert
		INITIALS
9.	Waiver of Constitutional Rights. For each of the charges, prior convictions (if any), at listed in items 1, 2, and 3, I give up my right to a jury trial, my right to a court hearing, mexamine witnesses, and my right to remain silent and not to incriminate myself. I under incriminating myself with my plea.	y right to confront and cross-
10.	The Plea (check one). I freely and voluntarily plead GUILTY NO CONTES item 1. I offer my plea with full understanding of everything in this form. No one has ma against me, my family, or loved ones; or made any promises to me, except as listed in me to plead guilty or no contest.	ade any threats; used any force
11.	Prior Convictions. I freely and voluntarily admit the prior convictions (if any) listed in it admission may increase the penalties that are imposed on me.	em 2, and I understand that this
12.	Probation Violations. I freely and voluntarily admit the probation violations (if any) liste	ed in item 3.
13.	Sentencing. I understand that I have a right to delay my sentencing at least 6 hours an plea. I give up this right and agree to be sentenced at this time.	nd as long as 5 days after my

CR-102

	<u> </u>
PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA V.	CASE NUMBER:
Defendant(s):	
	I .
DEFEND	ANT'S STATEMENT
I have discussed each item with my attorney. By putting runderstand and agree with what is stated in each item that	ialed each of the items that applies to my case. If I have an attorney ny initials next to the items in this form, I am indicating that I t I have initialed. The nature of the charges, possible defenses, and ns have been explained to me. I understand each of the rights a.
	•
(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)	SIGNATURE OF DEFENDANT
ATTOR	EY'S STATEMENT
including the defendant's constitutional and statutory rights, to regard to those rights, the other items in this form, and the ple	this form with my client. I have explained each of the items in the form, the defendant and have answered all of the defendant's questions with a agreement. I have also discussed the facts of the case with the h charge, any possible defenses to the charges, the effect of any prior the plea.
(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)	SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY
I, having been duly sworn or having a written oath on file, cert	FTER'S STATEMENT fy that I truly translated this form to the defendant in the language noted
below. Language: Spanish Other (specify):	
Language. Spanish Unie (specify).	
Date:	(CERTIFICATION NUMBER)
	•
(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)	SIGNATURE OF INTERPRETER
COURT'S F	NDINGS AND ORDER
The court, having reviewed this form and having orally examin understands each of the initialed items on this form; (b) the deitems 1, 2, and 3 and the consequences of the plea and any a intelligently waives the defendant's constitutional and statutor made freely and voluntarily. The court accepts the defendant's plea, admissions, and waive	ed the defendant, finds that (a) the defendant has read or been read and fendant understands the nature of the crimes and allegations listed in dmissions; (c) the defendant expressly, knowingly, understandingly, and rights; and (d) the defendant's plea, admissions, and waiver of rights are er of rights, and the defendant is hereby convicted based thereon. It is of this case and that the defendant's plea, admissions, and waiver of
Date:	
	SIGNATURE OF JUDICIAL OFFICER

SU	PERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF	FOR COURT USE ONLY
STR	EET ADDRESS:	
MAIL	ING ADDRESS:	
CITY	AND ZIP CODE:	
BRA	NCH NAME:	
	PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA v.	07/09/2024
	DEFENDANT:	DRAFT
	CRIMINAL PROTECTIVE ORDER—DOMESTIC VIOLENCE (CLETS—CPO)	Not approved by the Judicial Council
	ORDER PENDING TRIAL (Pen. Code, § 136.2) MODIFICATION	
	PROBATION CONDITION ORDER (Pen. Code, § 1203.097(a)(2))	
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	CASE NUMBER:
		CASE NOWIBER.
	CONVICTION: PENAL CODE, § 368(I) PENAL CODE, § 646.9(k)	
	This order may take precedence over other conflicting orders; see item	4 on page 4.
1.	Restrained person	
	*Name: *Gender: M F No	onbinary *Race:
	*Date of birth: Height: Weight: Hair color:	Eye color:
2		•
	Protected person	anhinan. Ass.
		onbinary Age:
3.	Additional protected persons	
	*Name *Gender Re	elationship to person in item 2
	 The court finds that the additional protected persons were also victims of the crime (fin orders issued under Penal Code section 136.2(i)(1)). 	ding required for postconviction
	b. The court finds by clear and convincing evidence that the above named percipient witr defendant (finding required for postconviction orders issued under Penal Code section	
	items 1, 2, and 3: Information that has a star (*) next to it is required to add this order into the C	,,,,,,
Ord	er System. Please provide all known information.)	
	Expiration date a. For pretrial orders, this order remains in effect until further court order. To terminate, courts	must use Notice of Termination of
	Protective Order in Criminal Proceeding (form CR-165).	must use Notice of Termination of
	b. For postconviction orders, this order expires on (date):	Postconviction orders under
	Penal Code sections 136.2(i), 273.5(j), 368(/), and 646.9(k) may be valid for up to 10 years.)
_		
	Hearing	
	This proceeding was heard on (date): at (time):	in Dept.:
	by (judicial officer):	
6	Porcenal comitica	
0.	Personal service Defendant was personally served with a copy of this order at the court hearing, and no ad-	ditional proof of service of this order
	is required.	ditional proof of service of this order
	is required.	
7.	The court finds good cause to grant a protective order. See items 8– <mark>19.</mark>	
	To the defendant	
1	• If you do not obey these orders, you can be charged with a crime, go to jail or prison, and/o	or pay a fine.
	It is a felony to take or hide a child in violation of this order.	
	Traveling across state or tribal boundaries with the intent to violate the order may be punish	nable as a federal offense.
	(18 U.S.C. § 2261(a)(1).)	20 2 .020.2. 01101100.
1		

DEFENDANT:		CASE NUMBER:			
 a. The defendant refirearm parts (mode section 16 subject the defendant) b. Within 24 hours 	nust not own, possess, buy or try to buy, receive or try to receive, on the aning receivers, frames, or any item that may be used as or easily 5531), or ammunition. Possession of firearms, firearm parts, or ammunition to state or federal prosecution and may include jail or prison of receiving this order the defendant must turn in to local law enfort firearms and firearm parts owned by the defendant or within th	y turned into a receiver or frame; see Penal nunition while this order is in effect may time and/or a fine. cement, or sell to or store with a licensed			
have been turne	 c. Within 48 hours of receiving this order the defendant must file a receipt with the court showing that all firearms and firearm parts have been turned in, sold, or stored. d. The court finds good cause to believe that the defendant has a firearm and firearm parts within their immediate 				
possessic to ascerta of Civil Pr	possession or control and sets a review hearing for (date): (time): (dept.): to ascertain whether the defendant has complied with the firearm and firearm parts relinquishment requirements of Code of Civil Procedure section 527.9 (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 4.700).				
section 52 serial num but must c	kemption: The court has made the necessary findings to grant an exect 27.9(f). Under California law, the defendant is not required to relinquable of firearm): conly have it during scheduled work hours and to and from their place of the firearm be subject to federal prosecution for possessing or content to the firearm be subject to federal prosecution for possessing or content to the firearm be subject to federal prosecution for possessing or content to the firearm between the firearm because the firea	uish this firearm (specify make, model, and e of work. Even if exempt under California			
9. No body armor	eletidant may be subject to lederal prosecution for possessing of co	ontrolling a lifearm.			
	st not own, possess, or buy any body armor (defined in Penal Code their possession.	section 16288). Defendant must relinquish			
The defendar	ng victim or witness (for pretrial orders issued under Penal Cont must not attempt to or actually prevent or dissuade any victim or report to any law enforcement agency or person.				
	esses (for orders issued under Penal Code section 136.2)				
caretakers, or g	must take no action to obtain the addresses or locations of protecte uardians unless good cause exists otherwise. It finds good cause not to make this order.	d persons or their family members,			
12. Order to no	t abuse				
or real property, dis	t harass, strike, threaten, assault (sexually or otherwise), hit, follow, sturb the peace of, keep under surveillance, annoy by phone or otherwise (on the internet, electronically, or otherwise), or block movement	er electronic means (including repeatedly			
as through	e peace of" means to destroy someone's mental or emotional calm. someone else. This can also be done in any way, such as by phonerive control.				
by this resti or basic ne services; ar immigratior threat, or in	control" means a number of acts that unreasonably limit the free will raining order. Examples include isolating them from friends, relative eds; controlling or keeping track of them, including their movements and making them do something by force, threat, or intimidation, inclust status; and reproductive coercion, meaning controlling someone's attimidation to pressure someone to be or not be pregnant, and to coon, birth control, pregnancy, or access to related health information	es, or other support; keeping them from food s, contacts, activities, money, or access to ding threats based on actual or suspected reproductive choices, such as using force, introl or interfere with someone's			
telephone, mail, em	order t contact the protected persons named in items 2 and 3, directly or inail or other electronic means, or through a third party. Contact through some not violate this order.				
14. Stay-away of Defendant must state a. home e. other local	ay at least yards away from the protected person and the b. job or workplace c. vehicle d. other	eir protected person in item 3			

DEFENDANT:		CASE NUMBER.	
15. Exceptions Defendant may have peaceful contact with the protecte stay-away orders in items 13 and 14 of this order, only			
 a the family, juvenile, or probate court order in issued on (date): 	(case number):		
b. any family, juvenile, or probate court order is	sued <i>after</i> the date this orde	r is signed.	
The restrained and protected persons should always c probate court.	arry a certified copy of the m	ost recent order issued	by the family, juvenile, or
16. Protected animals			
a. The protected persons named in items 2 and 3 are	given the exclusive care, po	ssession, and control o	f the animals listed below:
Name:	Type of animal:	Breed (optional):	Color (optional):
b. Defendant must not take, transfer, sell, encur the animals listed above.			, or otherwise dispose of
c Defendant must not come within	yards of the animals listed a	above.	
17. Electronic monitoring Defendant must be placed on electronic monitoring for (Not to exceed 1 year from the date of this order. Pen.		i)(3).)	
18. Recordings The protected person in item 2 may record communications.	ations made by the person in	item 1 that violate this	order.
19. Other orders			
Executed on (date):			
		JUDICIAL OFFICER	

Certificate of Compliance With Violence Against Women Act (VAWA)

This protective order meets all full faith and credit requirements of the Violence Against Women Act, 18 U.S.C. § 2265 (1994). This court has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter, and the restrained person has been afforded notice and a timely opportunity to be heard as provided by the laws of this jurisdiction. This order is valid and entitled to enforcement in each jurisdiction throughout the 50 states of the United States, the District of Columbia, all tribal lands, and all U.S. territories, and shall be enforced as if it were an order of that jurisdiction.

DEFENDANT:	CASE NUMBER:

Instructions for Law Enforcement

1. Start Date and End Date of Order

This order starts on the date it was issued by a judicial officer.

This order ends as ordered in item 4 on page 1 of this order.

- Orders under Penal Code section 136.2(a) are valid as long as the court has jurisdiction over the case. They are not valid after imposition of a county jail or state prison commitment. (People v. Stone (2004) 123 Cal. App. 4th 153.)
- Orders issued under Penal Code sections 136.2(i)(1), 273.5(j), 368(l), and 646.9(k) are valid for up to 10 years and may be issued by the court regardless of whether the defendant is sentenced to state prison, county jail, or subject to mandatory supervision or if imposition of sentence is suspended and the defendant is placed on probation.
- Orders under Penal Code section 1203.097(a)(2) are probationary orders, and the court has jurisdiction as long as the defendant is on probation.
- To terminate this protective order, courts should use form CR-165, Notice of Termination of Protective Order in Criminal Proceeding (CLETS—CANCEL).

2. If the Protected Person Contacts the Restrained Person

Even if the protected person invites or consents to contact with the restrained person, the orders remain in effect and must be enforced. The protected person cannot be arrested for inviting or consenting to contact with the restrained person. The orders can be changed only by another court order. (Pen. Code, § 13710(b).)

3. Enforcing This Order in California

- . This order must be enforced in California by any law enforcement agency that has received the order, or is shown a copy of the order, or has verified its existence on the California Law Enforcement Telecommunications System (CLETS).
- Law enforcement must determine whether the restrained person had notice of the order. If notice cannot be verified, law enforcement must advise the restrained person of the terms of the order and, if the restrained person fails to comply, must enforce it. (Fam. Code, § 6383.)

4. Conflicting Orders—Priority of Enforcement

If more than one restraining order has been issued protecting the protected person from the restrained person, the orders must be enforced in the following priority (see Penal Code section 136.2 and Family Code sections 6383(h)(2), 6405(b)):

- Emergency Protective Order (EPO): If one of the orders is an Emergency Protective Order (form EPO-001), provisions (e.g., stay-away order) that are more restrictive than in the other restraining/protective orders must be enforced. Provisions of another order that do not conflict with the EPO must be enforced.
- No-Contact Order: If a restraining/protective order includes a no-contact order, the no-contact order must be enforced. Item 13 is an example of a no-contact order.
- Criminal Protective Order (CPO): If none of the orders includes an EPO or a no-contact order, the most recent CPO must be enforced. (Family Code sections 6383(h)(2), 6405(b).) Additionally, a CPO issued in a criminal case involving charges of domestic violence, Penal Code sections 261, 261.5, or former 262, or charges requiring sex offender registration must be enforced over any civil court order. (Penal Code section 136.2(e)(2).) All provisions in the civil court order that do not conflict with the CPO must be enforced.
- Civil Restraining Orders: If there is more than one civil restraining order (e.g., domestic violence, juvenile, elder abuse, civil harassment) then the order that was issued last must be enforced. Provisions that do not conflict with the most recent civil restraining order must be enforced.

Peace Officer Firearm Prohibition Exemption

If a peace officer's employment and personal safety depend on the ability to carry a firearm, a court may grant an exemption that allows the officer to carry a firearm on or off duty, but only if the court finds, after a mandatory psychological examination of the peace officer, that the officer does not pose a threat of harm. (Code Civ. Proc., § 527.9(f).)

CR-160 [Rev. Jan 1, 2025]

Page 4 of 4

Save this form

SUPERIOR COURT OF	CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF			FOR COURT USE ONLY
STREET ADDRESS:				
MAILING ADDRESS:				
CITY AND ZIP CODE:				
BRANCH NAME:				
PEOP	LE OF THE STATE OF CALIF	ORNIA		
	V.			07/15/2025 DRAFT
DEFENDANT:				Not approved by
	OTECTIVE ORDER—OTHI —CPO) (Pen. Code, §§ 136.2			the Judicial Council
ORDER PENDING TRIAL:	Pen. Code, § 136.2		MODIFICATION	
ORDER UPON CONVICTION:	Pen. Code, § 136.2(i) Pen. Code, § 368(<i>l</i>)		Pen. Code, § 646.9(k)	CASE NUMBER:
Restrained person				
*Name:			*Gender: M	F Nonbinary *Race:
*Date of birth:	Height:	Weight:	Hair color:	Eye color:
	rieignt.	weight.	Tiali Color.	Lye color.
2. Protected person				
*Name:			*Gender: M F	Nonbinary Age:
3. Additional protecto	ed persons			
	*Name		*Gender	Relationship to person in item 2
orders iss b The court	ued under Penal Code section finds by clear and convincing e	136.2(i) <mark>(1)).</mark> evidence that t	the above named percipier	ne (finding required for postconviction not witnesses have been harassed by the
	t (finding required for postconvi			
	nformation that has a star (*) n provide all known information.)	ext to it is req	uired to add this order into	the California Restraining and Protective
	ers, this order remains in effect r in Criminal Proceeding (form		ourt order. To terminate, co	urts must use Notice of Termination of
b. For postconvicti	on orders, this order expires	on <i>(date</i>):		(Postconviction orders under
Penal Code sec	tions 136.2(i) and 646.9(k) may	, ,	up to 10 years.)	
5. Hearing				
This proceeding wa by (judicial officer):	s heard on <i>(date):</i>		at <i>(time):</i>	in Dept.:
6. Personal service Defendant wa is required.	s personally served with a cop	y of this order	at the court hearing, and n	no additional proof of service of this order
7. The court finds good	d cause to grant a protective or	der. See item	s 8– <mark>17.</mark>	
To the defendant				
If you do not obe	ey these orders, you can be ch	arged with a c	crime, go to jail or prison, a	nd/or pay a fine.
8 No firearms (guns)), firearm parts, or ammunitio	n		

a. The defendant must not own, possess, buy or try to buy, receive or try to receive, or in any other way get any firearms (guns), firearm parts (meaning receivers, frames, or any item that may be used as or easily turned into a receiver or frame; see Penal Code section 16531), or ammunition. Possession of firearms, firearm parts, or ammunition while this order is in effect may subject the defendant to state or federal prosecution and may include jail or prison time and/or a fine. Page 1 of 3

		CASE NUMBER:
DEF	ENDANT:	GAGE NOWBER.
8. b	. Within 24 hours of receiving this order the defendant must turn in to local law enforce dealer, any firearms or firearm parts owned by the defendant or within the defendant	
С	. Within 48 hours of receiving this order the defendant must file a receipt with the couhave been turned in, sold, or stored.	rt showing that all firearms and firearm parts
d	. The court finds good cause to believe that the defendant has a firearm and fir possession or control and sets a review hearing for (date): to ascertain whether the defendant has complied with the firearm and firearm of Civil Procedure section 527.9 (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 4.700).	(time): (dept.):
е	Limited exemption: The court has made the necessary findings to grant an ex section 527.9(f). Under California law, the defendant is not required to relinqu serial number of firearm): but must only have it during scheduled work hours and while traveling to and under California law, the defendant may be subject to federal prosecution for	ish this firearm (specify make, model, and from their place of work. Even if exempt
9. N	lo body armor	
	The defendant must not own, possess, or buy any body armor (defined in Penal Code any body armor in their possession.	section 16288). Defendant must relinquish
10.	No dissuading victim or witness (for pretrial orders issued under Penal Coo The defendant must not attempt to or actually prevent or dissuade any victim or vor making a report to any law enforcement agency or person.	
	 o obtaining addresses (for orders issued under Penal Code section 136.2) The defendant must take no action to obtain the addresses or locations of protected caretakers, or guardians unless good cause exists otherwise. 	d persons or their family members,
b	. The court finds good cause not to make this order.	
12.	Order to not abuse	
re C	refendant must not harass, strike, threaten, assault (sexually or otherwise), hit, follow, eal property, disturb the peace of, keep under surveillance, annoy by phone or other eleontact), impersonate (on the internet, electronically, or otherwise), or block movements and 3.	ectronic means (including repeatedly
13.	No-contact order	
te s	efendant must not contact the protected persons named in items 2 and 3, directly or in elephone, mail, email or other electronic means, or through a third party. Contact throu et by the court does not violate this order.	
	Stay-away order	tu.
	efendant must stay at least yards away from the protected person and the	
a e	home b job or workplace c vehicle d other other locations:	protected person in item 3
15.	Exceptions	
D	refendant may have peaceful contact with the protected persons named in items 2 and tay-away orders in items <mark>13 and 14</mark> of this order, only for the safe exchange of children	
а	the family, juvenile, or probate court order in (case number): issued on (date):	
b		sianed.
Т	the restrained and protected persons should always carry a certified copy of the most report to the court.	
16. [Electronic monitoring	
D	refendant must be placed on electronic monitoring for <i>(specify length of time):</i> Not to exceed 1 year from the date of this order. Pen. Code, § 136.2(a)(1)(G)(iv), (i)(3)	.)
17.	Other orders	,
Exec	uted on <i>(date):</i>	
		ILIDICIAL OFFICER

DEFENDANT	CASE NUMBER:
DEFENDANT:	

Instructions for Law Enforcement

1. Start Date and End Date of Order

This order starts on the date it was issued by a judicial officer.

This order ends as ordered in item 4 on page 1 of this order.

- Orders under Penal Code section 136.2(a) are valid as long as the court has jurisdiction over the case. They are not valid after imposition of a county jail or state prison commitment. (*People v. Stone* (2004) 123 Cal.App.4th 153.)
- Orders issued under Penal Code sections 136.2(i)(1) and 646.9(k) are valid for up to 10 years and may be issued by the court regardless of whether the defendant is sentenced to state prison, county jail, or subject to mandatory supervision or if imposition of sentence is suspended and the defendant is placed on probation.
- To terminate this protective order, courts should use form CR-165, Notice of Termination of Protective Order in Criminal Proceeding (CLETS—CANCEL).

2. Enforcing This Order in California

• This order must be enforced in California by any law enforcement agency that has received the order, or is shown a copy of the order, or has verified its existence on the California Law Enforcement Telecommunications System (CLETS).

3. Conflicting Orders—Priority of Enforcement

If more than one restraining order has been issued protecting the protected person from the restrained person, the orders must be enforced in the following priority (see Penal Code section 136.2 and Family Code sections 6383(h)(2), 6405(b)):

- Emergency Protective Order (EPO): If one of the orders is an *Emergency Protective Order* (form EPO-001), provisions (e.g., stay-away order) that are more restrictive than in the other restraining/protective orders must be enforced. Provisions of another order that do not conflict with the EPO must be enforced.
- **No-Contact Order:** If a restraining/protective order includes a no-contact order, the no-contact order must be enforced. Item 13 is an example of a no-contact order.
- Criminal Protective Order (CPO): If none of the orders includes an EPO or a no-contact order, the most recent CPO must be enforced. (Family Code sections 6383(h)(2), 6405(b).) Additionally, a CPO issued in a criminal case involving charges of domestic violence, Penal Code sections 261, 261.5, or former 262, or charges requiring sex offender registration must be enforced over any civil court order. (Penal Code section 136.2(e)(2).) All provisions in the civil court order that do not conflict with the CPO must be enforced.
- Civil Restraining Orders: If there is more than one civil restraining order (e.g., domestic violence, juvenile, elder abuse, civil harassment) then the order that was issued last must be enforced. Provisions that do not conflict with the most recent civil restraining order must be enforced.

Peace Officer Firearm Prohibition Exemption

If a peace officer's employment and personal safety depend on the ability to carry a firearm, a court may grant an exemption that allows the officer to carry a firearm on or off duty, but only if the court finds, after a mandatory psychological examination of the peace officer, that the officer does not pose a threat of harm. (Code Civ. Proc., § 527.9(f).)

CR-161 [Rev. Jan. 1, 2025]

SI	JPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF	FOR COURT USE ONLY
ST	REET ADDRESS:	
MA	NILING ADDRESS:	
CI	TY AND ZIP CODE:	06/13/2024
BR	ANCH NAME:	DRAFT Not approved by
	PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA	the Judicial Council
	V.	
	DEFENDANT:	
L		_
	ORDER TO SURRENDER FIREARMS IN DOMESTIC VIOLENCE CASE	
	(CLETS—CPO)	CASE NUMBER:
	(Penal Code, § 136.2(a)(1)(G)(ii))	
Ы	ERSON TO SURRENDER FIREARMS (complete name):	
F	Gender: M F Nonbinary Race:	Date of birth:
	Ht.: Wt.: Hair color:	Eye color:
L	This proceeding was heard on (date): at (time):	
١.	Room: by judicial officer (name):	in Dept.:
2		ains in effect until further court order.
	To terminate, courts must use <i>Notice of Termination of Protective Order in Criminal Pro</i>	
3.	Defendant was personally served with a copy of this order at the court hearing, at	,
	order is required.	·
4.	GOOD CAUSE APPEARING, THE COURT ORDERS THAT THE ABOVE-NAMED D	
	a. Must not own, possess, buy or try to buy, receive or try to receive, or in any other w	
	receivers, frames, or any item that may be used as or easily turned into a receiver ammunition. Possession of firearms, firearm parts, or ammunition while this order i	
	or federal prosecution, and may include jail or prison time and/or a fine.	o in oncot may oubject the defendant to state
	b. Within 24 hours of receiving this order the defendant must turn in to local law enfor	
	gun dealer, any firearms or firearm parts owned by the defendant or within the defe	The state of the s
	c. Within 48 hours of receiving this order the defendant must file a receipt with the co have been turned in, sold, or stored.	urt showing that all firearms or firearm parts
	d. The court finds good cause to believe that the defendant has a firearm or fire	earm narts within their immediate nossession
	or control and sets a review hearing for <i>(date)</i> : <i>(time)</i> :	(dept.):
	to ascertain whether the defendant has complied with the firearm and firearm parts	
	Procedure section 527.9. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 4.700.)	· · ·
	e. Limited exemption: The court has made the necessary findings to grant an e	
	section 527.9(f). Under California law, the defendant is not required to reling	uish this firearm (specify make, model, and
	serial number of firearm): but must only have it during scheduled work hours and to and from their plac	ce of work. Even if exempt under California
	law, the defendant may be subject to federal prosecution for possessing or o	
5.	No body armor	(; 10000) D.f. 1 1 1 1
	The defendant must not own, possess, or buy any body armor (defined in Penal Code any body armor in their possession.	e section 16288). Defendant must relinquish
Ex	ecuted on:	
	(DATE) (SIGNATUI NOTICES	RE OF JUDICIAL OFFICER)
	14011020	

- This order is effective as of the date it was issued by the judicial officer and expires as ordered in item 2.
- This order is to be used ONLY when the court orders firearms relinquishment but does not make any other protective or restraining orders. Do NOT use in conjunction with other criminal protective orders (form CR-160 or CR-161).
- Specified defendants may request an exemption from the firearm relinquishment requirements stated in item 4 of this order. The court must check the box under item 4 to order an exemption from the firearm relinquishment requirements. If the defendant can show that the firearm is necessary as a condition of continued employment, the court may grant an exemption for a particular firearm to be in the defendant's possession only during work hours and while traveling to and from work. If a peace officer's employment and personal safety depend on the ability to carry a firearm, a court may grant an exemption that allows the officer to carry a firearm on or off duty, but only if the court finds, after a mandatory psychological examination of the peace officer, that the officer does not pose a threat of harm. (Code Civ. Proc., § 527.9(f).)

Page 1 of 1

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF	FOR COURT USE ONLY						
STREET ADDRESS:							
MAILING ADDRESS:	9/9/2024						
CITY AND ZIP CODE:	DRAFT						
BRANCH NAME:	Not approved by						
PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA v.	the Judicial Council						
DEFENDANT:							
	CASE NUMBER:						
PROHIBITED PERSONS RELINQUISHMENT FORM FINDINGS (Pen. Code, § 29810(c))	FOR COURT USE ONLY Date: Time: Department:						
Any reference to "firearm" in this form includes any firearms (guns), receivers, frames, and any item that may be used as or easily turned into a receiver or frame (see Penal Code section 16351). The defendant is prohibited from owning, purchasing, receiving, possessing, or having under their custody any firearms, ammunition, and ammunition feeding devices, including but not limited to magazines, and must relinquish all firearms under Penal Code section 29810. The defendant is prohibited from purchasing, owning, or possessing body armor (defined in Penal Code section 16288) and must relinquish any body armor in their possession.							
Compliance							
1. The court received a Prohibited Persons Relinquishment Form from the defendant	nt, and (choose one)						
 defendant relinquished all firearms to a local law enforcement agency or a lice section 29810(a)(3) and provided relinquishment receipts; 	ensed firearms dealer under Penal Code						
 b defendant was allowed an alternative method of relinquishment under Penal C all firearms under an alternative method; or 	Code section 29810(f) and relinquished						
c. defendant has no firearms according to the Prohibited Persons Relinquishment according to the probation officer's report	nt Form and no firearms						
Noncompliance							
2. The court has not received a Prohibited Persons Relinquishment Form from the d	efendant, and <i>(choose one)</i>						
a. the probation officer's report does not indicate any firearms; or							
b. the probation officer's report indicates the defendant has firearms. The firearm	ns were:						
(1) Recovered (explain):							
(2) Not recovered (explain):							
(E)							
The court received a Prohibited Persons Relinquishment Form from the defendant. The probation officer's report indicates the defendant has firearms that were not reported on the form. The firearms were:							
a. Recovered (explain):							
b. Not recovered (explain):							
(DATE) (SIGNATURE OF JUDICIAL OFFICER							
	Page 1 of 1						

SPR24-16
Criminal Law: Firearm and Body Armor Prohibitions (Revise forms CR-101, CR-102, CR-160, CR-161, CR-162, CR-210)
All comments are verbatim unless indicated by an asterisk (*).

	Commenter	Position	Comment	Committee Response
1.	Commenter California Department of Justice, Division of Law Enforcement Office of Gun Violence Prevention and the Bureau of Firearms By Ari Freilich Director	Position N/I	Comment The Office of Gun Violence Prevention and the Bureau of Firearms, within the Division of Law Enforcement in the California Department of Justice (DOJ), respectfully submit this public comment regarding proposed changes to the Judicial Council form CR-210 ("Prohibited Persons Relinquishment Form Findings"). We wish to strongly urge the Judicial Council to reconsider and delete the proposed addition of the word "registered" before "firearm" in items 1(c); 2(a); 2(b); and (3).	The committee appreciates the comments. The committee agrees with the comment and recommends replacing the reference to registered firearms in items 1c, 2b, and 3 of form CR-210 with a reference to firearms generally.
			On the CR-210 form, courts make findings regarding whether a defendant convicted of a firearm-prohibiting criminal offense has complied with firearm relinquishment requirements. The CR-210 form's current language requires courts to make findings regarding whether the defendant has relinquished <i>all</i> firearms identified in the probation officer's report to the court. However, the proposed revisions to the CR-210 form would add four new references to "registered" firearms on form CR-210. (E.g., the revised language would prompt courts, among other things, to make findings regarding whether the defendant has relinquished any "registered" firearms identified in the probation officer's report). This would have the effect of narrowing the court's role in verifying whether a defendant convicted of a firearm-prohibiting crime has relinquished "all" firearms in their possession, including all firearms identified in the probation officer's mandated investigation	

SPR24-16
Criminal Law: Firearm and Body Armor Prohibitions (Revise forms CR-101, CR-102, CR-160, CR-161, CR-162, CR-210)
All comments are verbatim unless indicated by an asterisk (*).

Commenter	Position	Comment	Committee Response
		and report to the court. This would be contrary to public safety and generally inconsistent with the relevant statute, California Penal Code Section 29810.	
		In the context of Penal Code Section 29810 and the CR-210 form, the term "registered" firearms presumably refers to firearms for which there is a record of legal acquisition in DOJ's Automated Firearms System (AFS). However, many individuals convicted of firearm-prohibiting offenses are in possession of firearms that are not recorded in this system. For instance, AFS would generally not have firearm acquisition records for firearms that were unlawfully obtained, or self-assembled as unserialized ghost guns, or for rifles and shotguns that were lawfully acquired before 2014 when California first required DOJ to	
		maintain long gun acquisition records in AFS. To protect the public and enforce laws prohibiting firearm access by individuals convicted of felonies and misdemeanors involving violence or misuse of weapons, California law requires courts, probation officers, and other stakeholders to ensure that newly prohibited criminal defendants verify that they relinquished <i>all</i> firearms, not just those that are "registered" as recorded in AFS. More specifically, Penal Code Section 29810(a) and (b) require courts to order defendants convicted of firearm-prohibiting offenses to	

SPR24-16
Criminal Law: Firearm and Body Armor Prohibitions (Revise forms CR-101, CR-102, CR-160, CR-161, CR-162, CR-210)
All comments are verbatim unless indicated by an asterisk (*).

Commenter	Position	Comment	Committee Response
		relinquish all firearms they own, possess, or have under their custody or control. Penal Code Section 29810(b) requires the defendant to declare all of their firearms, implicitly including firearms that are not recorded in AFS, on the Prohibited Persons Relinquishment Form.	
		The probation officer must then also investigate and report to the court whether the prohibited defendant has any firearms, including firearms that are both recorded and not recorded in the defendant's name: Penal Code Section 29810(c)(1) requires an assigned probation officer to investigate whether <i>either</i> the Automated Firearms System "or other credible information, such as a police report, reveals that the defendant owns, possesses, or has under their custody or control any firearms ." This paragraph then requires the probation officer to ensure that AFS is properly updated to indicate that the defendant has relinquished any firearms	
		identified in the probation officer's report, which may in practice have the effect of "registering" some firearms in AFS that were not previously recorded in that system.	
		Penal Code Section 29810(c)(2) then requires the probation officer to report to the court and prosecuting attorney whether the defendant has complied with the requirements of this statute by "relinquishing all firearms identified by the probation officer's investigation or declared by the defendant on the Prohibited Persons Relinquishment Form." This reference to "all	

SPR24-16
Criminal Law: Firearm and Body Armor Prohibitions (Revise forms CR-101, CR-102, CR-160, CR-161, CR-162, CR-210)
All comments are verbatim unless indicated by an asterisk (*).

Commenter	Position	Comment	Committee Response
Commenter	Position	firearms" would, again, include unregistered firearms identified by either the assigned probation officer or by the defendant. We do acknowledge that Penal Code Section 29810(c)(3) includes a reference to "registered" firearms when it requires courts to take certain steps "if the report of the probation officer does not confirm relinquishment of firearms registered in the defendant's name." We believe this is a drafting oversight that is inconsistent with the intent and language used in the rest of the statute. For instance, Penal Code Section 29810(c)(3) directs courts to issue a warrant to	Committee Response
		search for and seize firearms in appropriate cases, if the court finds probable cause that the defendant has failed to relinquish "any firearms as required". And more definitively, Penal Code Section 29810(c)(4) requires courts to ensure that the defendant relinquished "all" firearms prior to final disposition or sentencing: "Prior to final disposition or sentencing in the case, the court shall confirm that the	
		defendant has relinquished all firearms as required."	
		As a result, it would be inconsistent with the language and intent of this statute for Form CR-210 to add the word "registered" where it appears before "firearm" on the draft form in items 1(c); 2(a); 2(b); and (3). This is inconsistent with the language used throughout most of Penal Code Section 29810 and with the voters' stated intent in enacting Penal Code	

SPR24-16
Criminal Law: Firearm and Body Armor Prohibitions (Revise forms CR-101, CR-102, CR-160, CR-161, CR-162, CR-210)
All comments are verbatim unless indicated by an asterisk (*).

	Commenter	Position	Comment	Committee Response
			Section 29810 through Proposition 63 in 2016; that ballot measure included no references to "registered" firearms. Finally, this would be inconsistent with the Legislature's stated intent in adopting AB 732 (Fong), which amended Penal Code Section 29810 last year to ensure that fewer criminal defendants remained in unlawful possession of firearms (and also introduced an anomalous reference to "registered" firearms). See, e.g., paragraph (d) of AB 732's codified findings (emphasis added): "It is the intent of the Legislature that every person convicted of an offense that prohibits firearm ownership shall in fact relinquish all firearms at the time of conviction. It is the further intent of the Legislature that prosecuting attorneys and courts shall ensure relinquishment of firearms prior to the final disposition of a criminal case."	
2.	Giffords Law Center to Prevent Gun Violence by Julia Weber, Esq., MSW, Consultant	AM	Giffords concurs with the comments submitted by the Department of Justice's Office of Gun Violence Prevention. In addition, we note that the form (CR-210) should not be limited to registered firearms because the probation report and other sources of evidence may also provide the court with information about unregistered firearms. As CR-210 currently indicates, California Penal Code section 29810 prohibits defendants from having firearms. Within section 29810, there is no distinction between registered and unregistered firearms. The proposed addition of "registered" would create confusion about whether the court could include unregistered firearms when addressing non-	The committee agrees with the comment and recommends replacing the reference to registered firearms in items 1c, 2b, and 3 of form CR-210 with a reference to firearms generally.

SPR24-16
Criminal Law: Firearm and Body Armor Prohibitions (Revise forms CR-101, CR-102, CR-160, CR-161, CR-162, CR-210)
All comments are verbatim unless indicated by an asterisk (*).

	Commenter	Position	Comment	Committee Response
			compliance on this form, thereby unnecessarily increasing risk and avoiding holding defendants accountable.	
3.	Orange County Bar Association by Christina Zabat-Fran, President	A	Proposes revising court forms to incorporate new firearm prohibitions, modifying plea and relinquishment forms and revising criminal protective orders to reflect new relinquishment procedure, clarify prohibited items, and incorporate legislative changes Does the proposal appropriately address the	The committee appreciates the comments.
			stated purpose?	
			Yes	No response required.
			Item 5 of the Court Findings and Order section on page 7 of CR-101 states "A factual basis exists for the plea and admissions, or the defendant is pleading under a plea bargain under People v. West." Should the second clause be deleted in light of section 1192.5(c) ("The court shall also cause an inquiry to be made of the defendant to satisfy itself that the plea is freely and voluntarily made, and that there is a factual basis for the plea.") and case law (see People v. Willard (2007) 154 Cal.App.4th 1329 (a plea is not valid without an adequate factual basis)? No. People v. West remains valid and does not	
			contradict section 1192.5(c) or <i>People v.</i> Willard.	The committee agrees that <i>People v. West</i> remains valid for its holding that a defendant may enter a guilty plea to a lesser related offense to avoid conviction of the greater offense, but that a <i>West</i> plea does not create an exception to a court

SPR24-16
Criminal Law: Firearm and Body Armor Prohibitions (Revise forms CR-101, CR-102, CR-160, CR-161, CR-162, CR-210)
All comments are verbatim unless indicated by an asterisk (*).

	Commenter	Position	Comment	Committee Response
			Do the proposed revisions to item 3a in forms CR-160 and CR-161 properly reflect the case law defining a "victim" for purposes of a	finding of a factual basis for the plea and admissions.
			postconviction protective order under section 136.2(i)(1)? The proposed revisions are not in conflict with case law, but could more specifically refer to a "victim who was targeted or harmed" or otherwise define a "victim."	The committee recommends using the term "victim[s] of the crime" to mirror the language of Penal Code section 136.2(i)(1).
4.	Superior Court of Orange County by Elizabeth Flores, Operations Analyst	AM	Position on Proposal: Overall, the proposal addresses its stated purpose. Additionally, items 3k of the felony plea form (CR-101) and 7g of the Misdemeanor plea form (CR-102) should be modified to reference PC 31360.	The committee appreciates the comments. The committee recommends including a reference to Penal Code section 31360 on these items.
			Does the proposal appropriately address the stated purpose? Yes, the proposal appropriately addresses the stated purpose.	No response required.
			Item 5 of the Court Findings and Order section on page 7 of CR-101 states "A factual basis exists for the plea and admissions, or the defendant is pleading under a plea bargain under People v. West." Should the second clause be deleted in light of section 1192.5(c) ("The court shall also cause an inquiry to be	

SPR24-16
Criminal Law: Firearm and Body Armor Prohibitions (Revise forms CR-101, CR-102, CR-160, CR-161, CR-162, CR-210)
All comments are verbatim unless indicated by an asterisk (*).

Commenter	Position	Comment	Committee Response
		made of the defendant to satisfy itself that the plea is freely and voluntarily made, and that there is a factual basis for the plea.") and case law (see People v. Willard (2007) 154 Cal.App.4th 1329 (a plea is not valid without an adequate factual basis? Yes, the second clause should be deleted considering PC 1192.5(c) and the precedent reiterated in People v. Willard (2007) 154 Cal.App.4th 1329.	The committee agrees with the comment and will recommend removing it from the form.
		Do the proposed revisions to item 3a in forms CR-160 and CR-161 properly reflect the case law defining a "victim" for purposes of a postconviction protective order under section 136.2(i)(1)? Yes, they do. "Immediate family members" include the spouse, children, or parents of the victim or witness."	The committee appreciates the comment.
		Would the proposal provide cost savings? If so, please quantify. This is not a cost-saving measure. New guilty plea waiver forms, revised protective orders, revised finding forms, and a new form of relinquishment have to be produced.	The committee appreciates the comment.
		What would the implementation requirements be for courts—for example, training staff (please identify position and expected hours of training), revising processes and procedures (please describe), changing docket codes in case management systems, or modifying case management systems?	

SPR24-16
Criminal Law: Firearm and Body Armor Prohibitions (Revise forms CR-101, CR-102, CR-160, CR-161, CR-162, CR-210)
All comments are verbatim unless indicated by an asterisk (*).

	Commenter	Position	Comment	Committee Response
			OCSC implemented docket codes that reference both the firearms and body armor prohibition. The proposal addresses them as two separate items, therefore, we would have to remove reference to body armor from existing docket codes and create them separately. In addition, the courtroom clerks will need to be more vigilant of the specific box, which must be checked as well.	The committee appreciates the comment.
			Would three months from Judicial Council approval of this proposal until its effective date provide sufficient time for implementation? For OCSC, three months is sufficient because it would not be a great impact to the court. How well would this proposal work in courts of	The committee appreciates the comment.
			different sizes? This proposal works well for a court of our size.	The committee appreciates the comment.
5.	Trial Court Presiding Judges Advisory Committee (TCPJAC) and the Court Executives Advisory Committee (CEAC)	A	The JRS notes that the proposal is required to conform to a change of law. The JRS also notes the following:	The committee appreciates the comments.
	by TCPJAC/CEAC Joint Rules Subcommittee (JRS)		The proposal does appropriately address the stated purpose. Regarding the requested comment as to Item 5 of the Court Findings and Order section on CR-101 plea form, the second clause should be deleted in light of the cited language from section 1192.5(c) in the Request for Specific Comments. Additionally, the	The committee agrees with the comment and will recommend removing the second clause from the form.
			proposed revisions to item 3a in forms CR-160 and CR-161 do properly reflect the caselaw	The committee appreciates the comment.

SPR24-16
Criminal Law: Firearm and Body Armor Prohibitions (Revise forms CR-101, CR-102, CR-160, CR-161, CR-162, CR-210)
All comments are verbatim unless indicated by an asterisk (*).

Commenter	Position	Comment	Committee Response
		defining a "victim" for purposes of a postconviction protective order under section 136.2(i)(1) and preserve the trial court's discretion to make appropriate orders.	
		Overall, the changes made to the forms provide consistency and clarity to the various forms as to the Firearm and Body Armor Prohibitions. There will not be a significant fiscal impact in that the proposal will amend existing forms, not create new forms. Existing case management codes should be largely unaffected. A three month implementation date appears to be adequate for courts of all sizes.	The committee appreciates the comment.