



# Judicial Council of California

455 Golden Gate Ave.  
San Francisco, CA  
94102-3688

## Meeting Agenda

## Judicial Council

Meeting materials are available through the hyperlinked reports on this agenda.

*Open to the Public Unless Indicated as Closed  
(Cal. Rules of Court, rule 10.6(a))*

*Requests for ADA accommodation should be directed to  
JCCAccessCoordinator@jud.ca.gov*

---

Thursday, July 27, 2017

1:30 PM

San Francisco

---

### **CLOSED SESSION (RULE 10.6(B))—PLANNING, PERSONNEL, AND DISCUSSION PROTECTED BY THE ATTORNEY-CLIENT PRIVILEGE**

Session 1:30 – 2:00 p.m.

Transitional Break 2:00 – 2:10 p.m.

### **OPEN SESSION (RULE 10.6(A)) — MEETING AGENDA**

**Council Members**

**Call to Order**

**Judicial Council Members' Liaison Reports**

[17-118](#)

**Judicial Council Members' Liaison Reports**

**Summary:**

Judicial Council Members report on their visits to the Superior Courts of California.

*30 minutes*

### **EDUCATION AGENDA**

[17-106](#)

**Judicial Branch Technology Update: Judicial Council Information Technology Transformation and Case Management System Replacements (No Action Required. There are no materials for this item.)**

**Summary:**

An update on the implementation of the Judicial Council-adopted *Court Technology Governance and Strategic Plan*. The report will provide updates on the Judicial Council Information Technology transformation process and trial court case management system replacements.

**Speakers:**

Hon. Marsha G. Slough, Chair, Judicial Council Technology Committee  
Mr. Robert Oyung, Information Technology

30 minutes

## DISCUSSION AGENDA

[17-077](#)

### **Trial Courts: Update of Resource Assessment Study Model (Action Required)**

**Summary:**

The Workload Assessment Advisory Committee recommends that the Judicial Council adopt the updated workload measures of the Resource Assessment Study (RAS), with the understanding that ongoing technical adjustments will continue to be made as more data become available. The RAS model uses a weighted caseload methodology to measure trial court staff need; previous iterations of the model were approved by the Judicial Council in 2005 and 2013. The RAS model serves as the foundation of the judicial branch's Workload-based Allocation and Funding Methodology.

**Speakers:**

Hon. Lorna A. Alksne, Chair, Workload Assessment Advisory Committee  
Ms. Leah Rose-Goodwin, Office of Court Research

40 minutes

[17-104](#)

### **Judicial Council Report to the Legislature: Sargent Shriver Civil Counsel Act (Action Required)**

**Summary:**

The Shriver Civil Counsel Act Implementation Committee recommends that the Judicial Council approve the *Evaluation of the Sargent Shriver Civil Counsel Act (AB 590)* and forward the report to the Legislature. The Judicial Council submitted a preliminary evaluation to the Legislature on January 31, 2016--*Report to the Legislature on the Sargent Shriver Civil Counsel Act*--as required by Government Code section 68085.1(c). That report examined the effect of providing legal representation to low-income persons in cases involving landlord/tenant matters, highly conflicted child custody cases, and guardianship and conservatorship matters of the person. This more comprehensive evaluation reviews data from legal services case records, court files, and interviews with clients, courts, and legal services programs and other stakeholders, in addition to providing a comprehensive review of other research.

**Speakers:**

Hon. Earl Johnson, Jr. (Ret.), Chair, Shriver Civil Counsel Act  
Implementation Committee  
Hon. Laurie D. Zelon, Vice-chair, Shriver Civil Counsel Act  
Implementation Committee  
Dr. Kelly Jarvis, Principal Researcher, NPC Consulting  
Ms. Bonnie Rose Hough, Center for Families, Children & the Courts

25 minutes

[17-103](#)

### **Sargent Shriver Civil Counsel Act: Selection of Pilot Projects (Action Required)**

**Summary:**

The Sargent Shriver Civil Counsel Act (Assem. Bill 590) provided that, commencing in fiscal year 2011-2012, one or more pilot projects selected by the Judicial Council

are to be funded to provide legal representation and improved court services to low-income parties on critical legal issues affecting basic human needs. The Shriver Civil Counsel Act Implementation Committee recommends that the Judicial Council award \$7,244,437 in grants to qualified legal service organizations and court partners for pilot projects.

**Speakers:**

Hon. Earl Johnson, Jr. (Ret.), Chair, Shriver Civil Counsel Act  
Implementation Committee

Hon. Laurie D. Zelon, Vice-chair, Shriver Civil Counsel Act  
Implementation Committee

Ms. Bonnie Rose Hough, Center for Families, Children & the Courts

*20 minutes*

## **Adjournment**