

Judicial Council of California

455 Golden Gate Avenue · San Francisco, California 94102-3688 www.courts.ca.gov

REPORT TO THE JUDICIAL COUNCIL

Item No.: 25-062
For business meeting on February 21, 2025

Title

Trial Court Budget: Allocation for Partial Restoration of Trial Court Operations Funding for Fiscal Year 2024–25

Rules, Forms, Standards, or Statutes AffectedNone

Recommended by

Trial Court Budget Advisory Committee Hon. Jonathan B. Conklin, Chair

Report Type

Action Required

Effective Date

February 21, 2025

Date of Report

February 7, 2025

Contact

Oksana Tuk, 916-643-8027 oksana.tuk@jud.ca.gov

Executive Summary

The Budget Act of 2024 included an ongoing reduction of \$97 million General Fund to the trial courts, consistent with the statewide ongoing state operations reduction authorized by Control Section 4.05. The fiscal year (FY) 2025–26 Governor's Budget included a partial restoration of \$42 million beginning in FY 2024–25, which will reduce the ongoing reduction to \$55 million. On a one-time basis, in FY 2024–25, the proposed restoration will use available reserves in the Trial Court Trust Fund for this purpose. The Trial Court Budget Advisory Committee recommends allocating the partial restoration in the current year consistent with the methodology that was used to allocate the initial \$97 million reduction. The increased allocation will mitigate the impact of the reduction on services provided to the public and increase access to justice.

Recommendation

The Trial Court Budget Advisory Committee recommends that the Judicial Council, effective February 21, 2025, allocate the \$42 million partial restoration using the methodology approved by the Judicial Council at its July 12, 2024, business meeting for the initial \$97 million reduction. This approach will recalculate the reduction to trial court operations using the initial methodology with the restored funding, which results in a revised \$55 million reduction for

FY 2024–25. Approval of this current year allocation is contingent upon approval of the Legislature.

Relevant Previous Council Action

The Judicial Council allocates funding to the trial courts through various methodologies including its approved Workload Formula allocation methodology. Currently, the Workload Formula policy states that allocations in fiscal years for which a budget reduction must be implemented will be addressed as needed, with special consideration toward those courts below the statewide average funding level. A methodology for applying a funding reduction will be determined in the year a reduction occurs.

At its business meeting on July 12, 2024,¹ the council approved a recommendation to apply the same methodology for the \$97 million reduction that was adopted at its business meeting on July 24, 2020,² to allocate a \$167.8 million reduction that occurred due to the projected budget deficit resulting from the COVID-19 pandemic. When the \$167.8 million reduction was restored in the Budget Act of 2021, the funding was allocated back to the trial courts in the exact amounts of their initial reductions.

Analysis/Rationale

The Budget Act of 2024 included an ongoing reduction of \$97 million General Fund to trial court operations. To mitigate the impact of this reduction on access to justice, the FY 2025–26 Governor's Budget included a proposed partial restoration of \$42 million beginning in FY 2024–25. On a one-time basis, in FY 2024–25, the restoration will be funded with available reserves in the Trial Court Trust Fund. The administration will reassess the condition of the Trial Court Trust Fund in the spring to evaluate the need for a General Fund backfill.

At its meeting on January 22, 2025,³ the Trial Court Budget Advisory Committee approved the allocation of the \$42 million partial restoration using the methodology approved by the Judicial Council at its July 12, 2024, business meeting for the initial \$97 million reduction. This approach will back the \$97 million reduction out of the formula and recalculate the current year reduction to trial court operations using the revised \$55 million reduction.

¹ Judicial Council of Cal., Advisory Com. Rep., *Trial Court Budget: Allocations from the Trial Court Trust Fund and Trial Court Allocations for Fiscal Year 2024–25* (June 17, 2024), https://jcc.legistar.com/View.ashx?M=F&ID=13077708&GUID=08C509A8-B264-4D66-AFDC-B3EC97A5D296.

² Judicial Council of Cal., Advisory Com. Rep., *Trial Court Budget: Allocations from the Trial Court Trust Fund and Trial Court Allocations for Fiscal Year 2020–21* (July 2, 2020), https://jcc.legistar.com/View.ashx?M=F&ID=8651228&GUID=27A3B6D8-9783-4865-8C5A-F6697EB58734.

³ Trial Court Budget Advisory Committee Meeting Materials (Jan. 22, 2025), https://courts.ca.gov/system/files/file/tcbac-20250122-materials.pdf.

The Judicial Branch Budget Committee approved this recommendation at its meeting on January 29, 2025.⁴

Under Item 0250-101-0932, Provision 4 of the Budget Act of 2024, the Judicial Council submitted a letter to the Department of Finance requesting a corresponding \$42 million increase in expenditure authority from the Trial Court Trust Fund in the current year. Additionally, a 30-day notification in writing to the chairpersons of the committees and appropriate subcommittees that consider the State Budget, and the chairperson of the Joint Legislative Budget Committee is required prior to adjusting the expenditure authority in this item.

The Judicial Council requested an expedited review period of 15 days, instead of the 30-day notification period. This will support the Judicial Council's intent to allocate this partial restoration to the trial courts as expeditiously as possible to mitigate the impact of the reduction on core programs and services provided to the public, and improve access to justice.

Policy implications

None.

Comments

This proposal was not circulated for comment. However, this recommendation was considered at meetings that were open to the public and no public comments were received.

Alternatives considered

The Trial Court Budget Advisory Committee also considered an alternative methodology for FY 2024–25 to allocate the \$42 million partial restoration to the trial courts proportionally based on their initial reduction amounts for the \$97 million. This methodology would represent a 42.6 percent restoration of each court's initial reduction.

For example, Court A had an initial reduction of \$100,000 of the \$97 million. After the proportional restoration, the court will receive \$42,626 or (\$100,000 / \$96,982,000 * \$41,340,000). Thus, the court's revised reduction will be \$57,374 or (\$100,000 - \$42,626).

This methodology was not recommended as it did not advance the goal of funding equity for the trial courts by using the Workload Formula model.

Fiscal and Operational Impacts

The partial restoration of \$42 million in the current year will provide additional resources for the trial courts and increase the statewide average funding level from 91.3 percent to 92.8 percent. This funding will mitigate the impact of the initial \$97 million reduction on core programs and

⁴ Judicial Branch Budget Committee Meeting Materials (Jan. 29, 2025), https://courts.ca.gov/system/files/file/jbbc-20250129-materials.pdf.

services and improve access to justice. Trial courts have indicated that this funding will allow them to expand access to the courts by reversing staff furloughs and hiring freezes and extend counter hours to the public.

Attachments and Links

1. Attachment A: Partial Funding Restoration for FY 2024–25

Partial Funding Restoration for FY 2024–25

| | 2024-25 | | 2024-25 |
|----------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|------------------|
| | | 2024.25 | Workload |
| | Final | 2024-25 | Formula |
| Court | Workload | Workload | Percentage |
| | Formula | Formula | (BEFORE |
| | Allocation | | Restoration) |
| | A | В | C |
| Alameda | 88,446,403 | 94,645,177 | 93.45% |
| Alpine | 978,500 | 549,681 | 178.01% |
| Amador | 4,318,750 | 4,684,703 | 92.19% |
| Butte | 13,707,099 | 14,689,951 | 93.31% |
| Calaveras | 3,299,313 | 3,767,570 | 87.57% |
| Colusa | 2,454,902 | 2,635,032 | 93.16% |
| Contra Costa | 51,597,645 | 59,907,816 | 86.13% |
| Del Norte | 4,483,485 | 3,875,339 | 115.69% |
| El Dorado | 9,519,963 | 10,819,495 | 87.99% |
| Fresno | 63,133,105 | 66,287,167 | 95.24% |
| Glenn | 2,990,182 | 3,237,289 | 92.37% |
| Humboldt | 8,900,393 | 9,318,361 | 95.51% |
| Imperial | 10,163,038 | 8,073,327 | 125.88% |
| Inyo | 2,512,390 | 2,676,571 | 93.87% |
| Kern | 66,272,438 | 68,776,330 | 96.36% |
| Kings | 10,774,613 | 12,025,488 | 89.60% |
| Lake | 5,078,997 | 6,056,222 | 83.86% |
| Lassen | 2,581,880 | 2,580,519 | 100.05% |
| Los Angeles | 713,278,790 | 791,102,381 | 90.16% |
| Madera | 12,659,634 | 13,875,025 | 91.24% |
| Marin | 14,079,161 | 15,677,866 | 89.80% |
| Mariposa | 1,860,977 | 1,846,094 | 100.81% |
| Mendocino | 7,672,588 | 7,775,002 | 98.68% |
| Merced Modoc | 16,500,078 1,372,099 | 18,264,043 1,480,959 | 90.34% 92.65% |
| Mono | 2,417,935 | 2,038,771 | 118.60% |
| Monterey | 26,002,768 | 28,560,984 | 91.04% |
| Napa | 9,487,748 | 10,740,134 | 88.34% |
| Nevada | 6,570,957 | 7,425,652 | 88.49% |
| Orange | 186,230,932 | 209,526,287 | 88.88% |
| Placer | 24,862,554 | 27,355,659 | 90.89% |
| Plumas | 1,897,592 | 1,629,248 | 116.47% |
| Riverside | 134,884,127 | 155,691,163 | 86.64% |
| Sacramento | 109,842,203 | 122,332,264 | 89.79% |
| San Benito | 4,779,146 | 4,197,092 | 113.87% |
| San Bernardino | 135,901,495 | 156,640,095 | 86.76% |
| San Diego | 176,701,558 | 189,500,353 | 93.25% |
| San Francisco | 64,458,077 | 55,305,114 | 116.55% |
| San Joaquin | 49,951,911 | 53,533,653 | 93.31% |
| San Luis Obispo | 18,523,163 | 19,492,482 | 95.03% |
| San Mateo | 42,988,911 | 49,033,290 | 87.67% |
| Santa Barbara | 26,681,819 | 29,058,002 | 91.82% |
| Santa Clara | 93,382,508 | 97,354,039 | 95.92% |
| Santa Cruz | 16,363,507 | 16,940,790 | 96.59% |
| Shasta | 16,201,831 | 18,198,452 | 89.039 |
| Sierra | 978,500 | 623,149 | 157.02% |
| Siskiyou | 4,314,253 | 4,841,098 | 89.129 |
| Sonoma | 28,669,037 | 31,445,139 30,732,916 | 91.17% 99.18% |
| Sonoma Stanislaus | 30,480,267 31,437,389 | 30,732,916 37,054,820 | 99.189 |
| Sutter | 8,192,412 | 9,485,325 | 84.849 |
| Tehama | 5,876,354 | 6,426,611 | 91.449 |
| Trinity | 1,987,739 | 2,276,992 | 87.30% |
| Tulare | 32,682,780 | 38,548,955 | 84.78% |
| Tuolumne | 4,818,467 | 5,085,552 | 94.75% |
| Ventura | 44,177,371 | 46,999,346 | 94.00% |
| Yolo | 15,341,081 | 17,504,806 | 87.64% |
| Yuba | 6,144,600 | 7,883,564 | 77.94% |
| | 3,1-7-7,000 | 2,718,089,203 | 77.54/ |

| 2024-25 2024-25 2024-25 Workload W | 2024-25 Vorkload Formula ercentage |
|--|---|
| 2024-25 2024-25 2024-25 Workload Workload Formula Fo | orkload Formula |
| Revised Partial Formula Formula Reduction Reduction (\$96.982 million) (\$55.642 million) (\$41.34 million) (AFTER Restoration) Restoration C | ormula |
| Reduction Restoration Allocation Percentage Perce | |
| (\$96.982 million) (\$55.642 million) (\$41.34 million) (AFTER Restoration) D E F G H | rcentage |
| Restoration) Restoration) D E F G H | |
| D E F G H | CHANGE |
| | |
| | 1.52% |
| 978,500 178.01% | 0.00% |
| (167,223) (95,942) 71,281 4,390,031 93.71% | 1.52% |
| (583,710) (360,287) 223,423 13,930,522 94.83% | 1.52% |
| (111,187) (53,833) 57,354 3,356,668 89.09% | 1.52% |
| (94,059) (53,965) 40,094 2,494,996 94.69% | 1.52% |
| (1,738,846) (841,887) 896,959 52,494,605 87.63% | 1.50% |
| (1,738,840) (841,887) 830,939 32,434,003 87.03% (138,333) (79,366) 58,966 4,542,452 117.21% | 1.52% |
| (138,535) (79,500) 38,500 4,542,432 117.21% (320,824) (155,331) 165,492 9,685,455 89.52% | 1.53% |
| | 1.52% |
| | 1.52% |
| | 1.52% |
| | |
| (368,916) (246,074) 122,842 10,285,880 127.41% | 1.52% |
| (95,542) (54,816) 40,726 2,553,116 95.39% | 1.52% |
| (3,142,777) (2,096,291) 1,046,485 67,318,923 97.88% | 1.52% |
| (429,257) (246,280) 182,977 10,957,590 91.12% | 1.52% |
| (171,163) (82,871) 88,292 5,167,289 85.32% | 1.46% |
| (92,113) (52,849) 39,265 2,621,145 101.57% | 1.52% |
| (28,238,886) (16,201,647) 12,037,239 725,316,029 91.68% | 1.52% |
| (495,278) (284,158) 211,119 12,870,753 92.76% | 1.52% |
| (474,469) (229,721) 244,748 14,323,909 91.36% | 1.56% |
| (65,897) (37,808) 28,090 1,889,067 102.33% | 1.52% |
| (355,283) (236,981) 118,303 7,790,891 100.20% | 1.52% |
| (651,946) (374,045) 277,902 16,777,980 91.86% | 1.52% |
| (52,864) (30,330) 22,534 1,394,633 94.17% | 1.52% |
| (72,775) (41,754) 31,021 2,448,957 120.12% | 1.52% |
| (1,019,502) (584,924) 434,578 26,437,346 92.56% | 1.52% |
| (319,738) (154,806) 164,932 9,652,680 89.87% | 1.54% |
| (221,442) (107,214) 114,228 6,685,185 90.03% | 1.54% |
| (6,276,002) (3,038,614) 3,237,387 189,468,320 90.43% | 1.55% |
| (976,477) (560,239) 416,238 25,278,792 92.41% | 1.52% |
| (58,157) (33,367) 24,790 1,922,382 117.99% | 1.52% |
| (4,545,609) (2,200,820) 2,344,789 137,228,916 88.14% | 1.51% |
| (3,701,694) (1,792,227) 1,909,467 111,751,670 91.35% | 1.56% |
| (149,818) (85,956) 63,862 4,843,008 115.39% | 1.52% |
| (4,579,894) (2,217,420) 2,362,474 138,263,969 88.27% | 1.51% |
| (6,764,332) (3,880,936) 2,883,396 179,584,953 94.77% | 1.52% |
| (2,527,201) (1,685,691) 841,510 65,299,587 118.07% | 1.52% |
| (2,430,393) (1,616,188) 814,205 50,766,116 94.83% | 1.52% |
| (890,721) (594,128) 296,593 18,819,756 96.55% | 1.52% |
| (1,448,731) (701,423) 747,307 43,736,218 89.20% | 1.52% |
| (1,037,243) (595,103) 442,140 27,123,960 93.34% | 1.52% |
| (4,448,653) (2,967,335) 1,481,318 94,863,826 97.44% | 1.52% |
| (774,120) (516,352) 257,767 16,621,274 98.11% | 1.52% |
| (546,003) (264,355) 281,648 16,483,479 90.58% | 1.55% |
| 978,500 157.02% | 0.00% |
| (145,391) (70,393) 74,998 4,389,251 90.67% | 1.55% |
| (1,122,454) (643,991) 478,462 29,147,499 92.69% | 1.52% |
| (1,404,359) (936,734) 467,625 30,947,892 100.70% | 1.52% |
| (1,059,443) (512,944) 546,499 31,983,888 86.32% | 1.47% |
| (276,085) (133,670) 142,415 8,334,826 87.87% | 1.50% |
| (229,402) (131,616) 97,786 5,974,139 92.96% | 1.52% |
| (66,987) (32,433) 34,554 2,022,293 88.81% | 1.52% |
| (1,101,413) (533,265) 568,148 33,250,929 86.26% | 1.47% |
| (232,387) (155,007) 77,381 4,895,848 96.27% | 1.52% |
| (2,147,664) (1,432,532) 715,132 44,892,503 95.52% | 1.52% |
| (516,996) (250,311) 266,685 15,607,767 89.16% | 1.52% |
| (207,074) (100,258) 106,816 6,251,416 79.30% | 1.35% |
| (96,982,000) (55,642,000) 41,340,000 2,523,207,415 92.83% | 1.52% |

Floor courts (2) Cluster 1 courts (13)