



## JUDICIAL COUNCIL OF CALIFORNIA

455 Golden Gate Avenue, San Francisco, California 94102-3688

[www.courts.ca.gov](http://www.courts.ca.gov)

---

# REPORT TO THE JUDICIAL COUNCIL

For business meeting on January 17, 2020

---

**Title**

Report to the Legislature: *Receipts and Expenditures from Local Courthouse Construction Funds*

**Agenda Item Type**

Information Only

**Date of Report**

December 6, 2019

**Submitted by**

Judicial Council staff  
Zlatko Theodorovic, Director  
Budget Services

**Contact**

Donna Newman, 916-263-7498  
[Donna.Newman@jud.ca.gov](mailto:Donna.Newman@jud.ca.gov)

---

### Executive Summary

Government Code section 70403 requires the Judicial Council to report to the budget and fiscal committees of the Legislature annually, on or before January 1, all receipts and expenditures from the local courthouse construction funds, including any amounts required to be repaid by counties, based on the information received from counties pursuant to section 70402. The attached *Receipts and Expenditures from Local Courthouse Construction Funds* report provides information for the reporting period of July 1, 2018, to June 30, 2019.

The attached annual report reflects 30 counties collecting courthouse construction funds with revenues totaling \$39 million and expenditures of \$34.5 million. During this reporting period, two counties, Orange and Tulare, transferred the balance of their courthouse construction funds to the State Court Facilities Construction Fund, totaling \$4.5 million.

### Relevant Previous Council Action

Pursuant to Government Code section 70403(b), commencing January 1, 2007, each county “shall submit annual updates on all receipts and expenditures from the local courthouse construction fund” to the Judicial Council and the Director of Finance if the county retains the fund under section 70325(a) for payment on existing bond indebtedness of a courthouse facility.

All previous reports were submitted and approved at Judicial Council meetings and are available at [www.courts.ca.gov/7466.htm](http://www.courts.ca.gov/7466.htm).

## **Analysis/Rationale**

The annual submission of this report is required under Government Code section 70403. The code section further requires a county that has retained the fund to submit an annual report of revenues and expenditures to the Judicial Council.

When a county submits its annual report on the condition of the local courthouse construction fund, Judicial Council staff review the report and request information necessary to determine compliance, including the beginning and ending fund balances and an explanation of expenditures by project. Each review includes a determination of whether the receipts and expenditures were made in accordance with the provisions of Government Code section 76100, including verification that outstanding debt service still exists. If, based on the information provided, the Judicial Council concludes that a county made an expenditure not permitted by statute, the council will notify the county and the Department of Finance of the amount due for repayment to the state.

Below is a summary of the status of the 58 counties' courthouse construction funds as of June 30, 2019:

- Five counties that transferred their court facilities and have no outstanding bond indebtedness—Inyo, Lassen, Modoc, Siskiyou, and Tehama—have yet to transfer their courthouse construction fund balances to the State Court Facilities Construction Fund.
  - Two of the five counties, Inyo and Siskiyou, have outstanding project commitments; after completion of the projects, the counties will be required to remit any savings from the project budget.
  - Lassen is working with the Judicial Council to remit the balance of its courthouse construction fund.
  - The two remaining counties, Modoc and Tehama, are working with staff to determine their balance of outstanding funds to remit.
- Twenty-one counties had previously transferred the fund balances, or partial fund balances, in their local courthouse construction funds to the State Court Facilities Construction Fund. During this reporting period, two counties, Orange and Tulare, transferred their fund balances, totaling \$4.5 million, to the State Court Facilities Construction Fund after their bond indebtedness concluded.
- Four counties—Alpine, Mariposa, Trinity, and Tuolumne—retained their courthouse construction funds consistent with responsibility for 100 percent of court square footage.

- Twenty-four counties continue to report their courthouse construction funds as statutorily permitted because they have bond indebtedness or approved outstanding projects for which the funds are used:
  - The 23 counties that retain their courthouse construction funds to pay off outstanding debt will continue to submit annual reports on revenues and expenditures until the debt obligation is retired.
  - El Dorado County does not have bond indebtedness but rather an outstanding project commitment and will continue to submit annual reports until the project is complete.
- Four counties – Humboldt, San Bernardino, Sonoma, and Ventura – have concluded their bond indebtedness, and are working with Judicial Council Staff to remit their balances to the State Court Facilities Construction Fund. These counties will continue to submit annual reports until the remaining balance in their Courthouse Construction Fund has been remitted.

No repayments for inappropriate use of funds were identified during this reporting period. As stated previously, the attached annual report reflects 30 counties collecting courthouse construction funds with revenues totaling \$39 million, expenditures of \$34.5 million, and balances remitted to State Court Facilities Construction Fund of \$4.5 million.

### **Fiscal Impact and Policy Implications**

No costs to the branch are associated with this report.

### **Attachments and Links**

1. Attachment 1: *Receipts and Expenditures from Local Courthouse Construction Funds*



# JUDICIAL COUNCIL OF CALIFORNIA

455 Golden Gate Avenue  
San Francisco, CA 94102-3688  
Tel 415-865-4200  
TDD 415-865-4272  
Fax 415-865-4205  
[www.courts.ca.gov](http://www.courts.ca.gov)

HON. TANI G. CANTIL-SAKAUYE  
Chief Justice of California  
Chair of the Judicial Council

HON. MARSHA G. SLOUGH  
Chair, Executive and Planning Committee

HON. DAVID M. RUBIN  
Chair, Judicial Branch Budget Committee  
Chair, Litigation Management Committee

HON. MARLA O. ANDERSON  
Chair, Policy Coordination and  
Liaison Committee

HON. HARRY E. HULL, JR.  
Chair, Rules and Projects Committee

HON. KYLE S. BRODIE  
Chair, Technology Committee

Hon. Richard Bloom  
Hon. C. Todd Botke  
Hon. Stacy Boulware Eurie  
Hon. Ming W. Chin  
Hon. Jonathan B. Conklin  
Hon. Samuel K. Feng  
Hon. Brad R. Hill  
Ms. Rachel W. Hill  
Hon. Harold W. Hopp  
Hon. HannahBeth Jackson  
Mr. Patrick M. Kelly  
Hon. Dalila Corral Lyons  
Ms. Gretchen Nelson  
Mr. Maxwell V. Pritt

#### ADVISORY MEMBERS

Ms. Nancy CS Eberhardt  
Hon. Carin T. Fujisaki  
Mr. Kevin Harrigan  
Hon. Joyce D. Hinrichs  
Hon. Ann C. Moorman  
Mr. Michael M. Roddy  
Hon. Tam Nomoto Schumann  
Hon. Eric C. Taylor  
Ms. Andrea K. Wallin-Rohmann  
Hon. Rebecca L. Wightman

MR. MARTIN HOSHINO  
Administrative Director,  
Judicial Council

January 1, 2020

Hon. Anthony J. Portantino  
Chair, Senate Appropriations  
Committee  
State Capitol, Room 2206  
Sacramento, California 95814

Hon. Holly J. Mitchell  
Chair, Senate Budget and Fiscal  
Review Committee  
State Capitol, Room 5019  
Sacramento, California 95814

Hon. Patricia C. Bates  
Chair, Assembly Appropriations  
Committee  
State Capitol, Room 2114  
Sacramento, California 95814

Hon. Philip Y. Ting  
Chair, Assembly Budget  
Committee  
State Capitol, Room 6026  
Sacramento, California 95814

Re: Receipts and Expenditures from Local Courthouse Construction  
Funds, as required under Government Code section 70403

Dear Senator Portantino, Senator Mitchell, Assembly Member Bates, and  
Assembly Member Ting:

Pursuant to Government Code section 70403, the Judicial Council is  
submitting Receipts and Expenditures from Local Courthouse  
Construction Funds as reported by each county. Additional information is  
available in Attachment A (*County Reporting for the Period July 1, 2018  
to June 30, 2019: Courthouse Constructions Funds Under Government  
Code Section 70403*) or on the California Courts website on the  
“Legislative Reports” webpage at [www.courts.ca.gov/7466.htm](http://www.courts.ca.gov/7466.htm).

If you have questions about this report, please contact Zlatko  
Theodorovic, Director, Judicial Council Budget Services, at  
916-263-1397 or [Zlatko.Theodorovic@jud.ca.gov](mailto:Zlatko.Theodorovic@jud.ca.gov).

Sincerely,

Martin Hoshino  
Administrative Director  
Judicial Council

November 21, 2019

Page 2

MH/DN

Enclosures

cc: Diane F. Boyer-Vine, Legislative Counsel

Erika Contreras, Secretary of the Senate

Sue Parker, Assistant Clerk of the Assembly

Eric Dang, Policy Consultant, Office of Senate President pro Tempore Toni G. Atkins

Amy Alley, Policy Advisor, Office of Senate President pro Tempore Tony G. Atkins

Alf Brandt, Senior Counsel, Office of Assembly Speaker Anthony Rendon

Gabrielle Zeps, Policy Consultant, Office of Assembly Speaker Anthony Rendon

Anita Lee, Principal Fiscal and Policy Analyst, Legislative Analyst's Office

Tina McGee, Executive Secretary, Legislative Analyst's Office

Jessie Romine, Budget Analyst, Department of Finance

Margie Estrada, Chief Counsel, Senate Judiciary Committee

Mary Kennedy, Chief Counsel, Senate Public Safety Committee

Christopher Francis, Consultant, Senate Budget and Fiscal Review Committee

Shaun Naidu, Consultant, Senate Appropriations Committee

Jennifer Troia, Principal Consultant, Joint Legislative Budget Committee

Eric Csizmar, Consultant, Senate Republican Policy Office

Matt Osterli, Consultant, Senate Republican Fiscal Office

Morgan Branch, Consultant, Senate Republican Policy Office

Alison Merrilees, Chief Counsel, Assembly Judiciary Committee

Gregory Pagan, Chief Counsel, Assembly Public Safety Committee

Jennifer Kim, Consultant, Assembly Budget Committee

Jay Dickenson, Chief Consultant, Assembly Appropriations Committee

Kimberly Horiuchi, Principal Consultant, Assembly Appropriations Committee

Lyndsay Mitchell, Consultant, Assembly Republican Office of Policy & Budget

Gary Olson, Consultant, Assembly Republican Office of Policy & Budget

Daryl Thomas, Consultant, Assembly Republican Office of Policy & Budget

Amy Leach, Minute Clerk, Office of Assembly Chief Clerk

Cory T. Jasperson, Director, Governmental Affairs, Judicial Council

Peter Allen, Director, Public Affairs, Judicial Council

Zlatko Theodorovic, Director, Budget Services, Judicial Council

Lucy Fogarty, Deputy Director, Budget Services, Judicial Council

Angela Cowan, Budget Manager, Budget Services, Judicial Council

Yvette Casillas-Sarcos, Administrative Coordinator, Governmental Affairs, Judicial Council



# JUDICIAL COUNCIL OF CALIFORNIA

455 Golden Gate Avenue  
San Francisco, CA 94102-3688  
Tel 415-865-4200  
TDD 415-865-4272  
Fax 415-865-4205  
[www.courts.ca.gov](http://www.courts.ca.gov)

HON. TANI G. CANTIL-SAKAUYE  
*Chief Justice of California  
Chair of the Judicial Council*

HON. MARSHA G. SLOUGH  
*Chair, Executive and Planning Committee*

HON. DAVID M. RUBIN  
*Chair, Judicial Branch Budget Committee  
Chair, Litigation Management Committee*

HON. MARLA O. ANDERSON  
*Chair, Policy Coordination and  
Liaison Committee*

HON. HARRY E. HULL, JR.  
*Chair, Rules and Projects Committee*

HON. KYLE S. BRODIE  
*Chair, Technology Committee*

*Hon. Richard Bloom  
Hon. C. Todd Botke  
Hon. Stacy Boulware Eurie  
Hon. Ming W. Chin  
Hon. Jonathan B. Conklin  
Hon. Samuel K. Feng  
Hon. Brad R. Hill  
Ms. Rachel W. Hill  
Hon. Harold W. Hopp  
Hon. HannahBeth Jackson  
Mr. Patrick M. Kelly  
Hon. Dalila Corral Lyons  
Ms. Gretchen Nelson  
Mr. Maxwell V. Pritt*

#### ADVISORY MEMBERS

*Ms. Nancy CS Eberhardt  
Hon. Carin T. Fujisaki  
Mr. Kevin Harrigan  
Hon. Joyce D. Hinrichs  
Hon. Ann C. Moorman  
Mr. Michael M. Roddy  
Hon. Tam Nomoto Schumann  
Hon. Eric C. Taylor  
Ms. Andrea K. Wallin-Rohmann  
Hon. Rebecca L. Wightman*

MR. MARTIN HOSHINO  
*Administrative Director,  
Judicial Council*

Report title: *Receipts and Expenditures from Local Courthouse  
Construction Funds*

Statutory citation: Government Code section 70403

Date of report: January 1, 2020

The Judicial Council is submitting the annual report to the Legislature pursuant to Government Code section 70403 regarding receipts, expenditures, and any repayments from local courthouse construction funds as reported by each county.

Government Code section 70402(a) requires that any amount in a county's local courthouse construction fund be transferred to the State Court Facilities Construction Fund at the later of the following dates: (1) the last transfer of responsibility for court facilities from that county to the Judicial Council, or December 31, 2009 if there is no outstanding bond indebtedness; (2) the date of the final payment of the bond indebtedness for any court facility paid from that fund is retired.

The code section also requires a county that has retained these revenue sources under Government Code section 70325 to submit an annual report of revenues and expenditures, including any amounts to be repaid by counties, to the Judicial Council.

The attached annual report reflects 30 counties collecting courthouse construction funds with revenues totaling \$39 million and expenditures of \$34.5 million. During this reporting period, two counties, Orange and Tulare, transferred the balance of their courthouse construction funds totaling \$4.5 million to the State Court Facilities Construction Fund.

Below is a summary of the status of the 58 counties' courthouse construction funds as of June 30, 2019:

- Five counties that transferred their court facilities and have no outstanding bond indebtedness—Inyo, Lassen, Modoc, Siskiyou, and Tehama—have yet to transfer their courthouse construction fund balances to the State Court Facilities Construction Fund.

- Two of the five counties, Inyo and Siskiyou, have outstanding project commitments; after completion of the projects, the counties will be required to remit any savings from the project budget to the State Court Facilities Construction Fund.
  - Lassen is working with the Judicial Council staff to remit the balance of its courthouse construction fund to the State Court Facilities Construction Fund.
  - The Judicial Council staff is working with the two remaining counties, Modoc and Tehama, to determine their balance of outstanding funds to remit.
- Twenty-one counties had previously transferred the fund balances, or partial fund balances, in their local courthouse construction funds to the State Court Facilities Construction Fund. During this reporting period, two counties, Orange and Tulare, transferred their fund balances totaling \$4.5 million after their bond indebtedness concluded.
- Four counties—Alpine, Mariposa, Trinity, and Tuolumne—retained their courthouse construction funds consistent with responsibility for 100 percent of court square footage.
- Twenty-four counties continue to report their courthouse construction funds as statutorily permitted because they have bond indebtedness or approved projects for which the funds are used.
  - The 23 counties that retain their courthouse construction funds to pay off outstanding debt will continue to submit annual reports on revenues and expenditures until the debt obligation is retired.
  - El Dorado County does not have bond indebtedness but rather an outstanding project commitment and will continue to submit annual reports until the project is complete.
- Four counties – Humboldt, San Bernardino, Sonoma, and Ventura – have concluded their bond indebtedness, and are working with Judicial Council Staff to remit their balances to the State Court Facilities Construction Fund. These counties will continue to submit annual reports until the remaining balance in their Courthouse Construction Fund has been remitted.

No repayments for inappropriate use of funds were identified during this reporting period.

The full report is available at [www.courts.ca.gov/7466.htm](http://www.courts.ca.gov/7466.htm).

A printed copy of the report may be obtained by calling 415-865-8777.

# Receipts and Expenditures from Local Courthouse Construction Funds

---

JANUARY 2020



JUDICIAL COUNCIL  
OF CALIFORNIA

---

ADMINISTRATIVE DIVISION  
BUDGET SERVICES



## **JUDICIAL COUNCIL OF CALIFORNIA**

**Hon. Tani G. Cantil-Sakauye**  
*Chief Justice of California and  
Chair of the Judicial Council*

**Martin Hoshino**  
*Administrative Director  
Judicial Council*

### **ADMINISTRATIVE DIVISION**

**John Wordlaw**  
*Chief Administrative Officer*

### **BUDGET SERVICES**

**Zlatko Theodorovic**  
*Director*

**Angela Cowan**  
*Budget Manager*

**Donna Newman**  
*Budget Supervisor  
Primary Author of Report*

## **County Reporting on Local Courthouse Construction Funds**

The Trial Court Facilities Act of 2002 (Sen. Bill 1732 (Escutia); Stats. 2002, ch. 1082) requires counties to report receipts to and expenditures from local courthouse construction funds. Government Code section 70403 mandates that each county submit a report to the Judicial Council's Administrative Director of all local courthouse construction fund receipts and expenditures for the period January 1, 1998, to December 31, 2005.

Counties retaining funds to pay bond indebtedness must submit to the Judicial Council and the Director of Finance annual updates of all receipts and expenditures within 90 days after the end of each fiscal year. Section 70403 further requires the Judicial Council to submit a report to the Legislature on the information received from the counties regarding the status of local courthouse construction funds. This report covers the period from July 1, 2018, to June 30, 2019.

Government Code section 70402(a) requires that any amount in a county's local courthouse construction fund be transferred to the State Court Facilities Construction Fund at the later of the following dates: (1) the last transfer of responsibility for court facilities from that county to the Judicial Council, or December 31, 2009 if there is no outstanding bond indebtedness; (2) the date of the final payment of the bond indebtedness for any court facility paid from that fund is retired.

Below is a summary of the status of the 58 counties' courthouse construction funds as of June 30, 2019:

- Five counties that transferred their court facilities and have no outstanding bond indebtedness—Inyo, Lassen, Modoc, Siskiyou, and Tehama—have yet to transfer their courthouse construction fund balances to the State Court Facilities Construction Fund.
  - Two of the five counties, Inyo and Siskiyou, have outstanding projects; after completion of the projects, the counties will be required to remit any savings from the project budget to the State Court Facilities Construction Fund.
  - Lassen is working with the Judicial Council to remit the balance of its courthouse construction fund to the State Court Facilities Construction Fund.
  - The Judicial Council is working with the two remaining counties, Modoc and Tehama, to determine their balance of outstanding funds to remit.
- Twenty-one counties have transferred the fund balances, or partial fund balances, in their local courthouse construction funds to the State Court Facilities Construction Fund. In this reporting period, two counties, Orange and Tulare,

transferred their fund balances, totaling \$4.5 million, after their bond indebtedness concluded.

- Four counties—Alpine, Mariposa, Trinity, and Tuolumne—retained their courthouse construction funds consistent with responsibility for 100 percent of court square footage.
- Twenty-four counties continue to report their courthouse construction funds as statutorily permitted because they have bond indebtedness or approved outstanding projects for which the funds are used:
  - The 23 counties that retain their courthouse construction funds to pay off outstanding debt will continue to submit annual reports on revenues and expenditures until the debt obligation is retired.
  - El Dorado County does not have bond indebtedness but rather an outstanding project commitment and will continue to submit annual reports until the project is complete.
- Four counties – Humboldt, San Bernardino, Sonoma, and Ventura – have concluded their bond indebtedness, and are working with Judicial Council Staff to remit their balances to the State Court Facilities Construction Fund. These counties will continue to submit annual reports until the remaining balance in their Courthouse Construction Fund has been remitted.

### **County Reporting Under Section 70403(b) for July 1, 2018, to June 30, 2019**

As of October 1, 2019, the Judicial Council received reports on the condition of local courthouse construction funds from 30 counties that have outstanding bond indebtedness, as required by statute (see Attachment 1), for the reporting period from July 1, 2018, to June 30, 2019. All reports have been received and analyzed and are believed to be in compliance with section 70403(b).

As reported by the 30 counties, the local courthouse construction fund receipts totaled \$38,957,533 and expenditures totaled \$34,494,805 during the statutory reporting period. Additionally, \$4,491,670 was remitted to the State Court Facilities Construction Fund from Orange and Tulare counties in compliance with Government Code section 70402(a).

### **Status of Reviews**

When a county submits its annual report on the condition of the local courthouse construction fund, Judicial Council staff review the report and request the information necessary to determine compliance, including the beginning and ending fund balances and an explanation of expenditures by project, if the report does not contain that

information. Each review includes a determination of whether the receipts and expenditures were made in accordance with the provisions of Government Code section 76100, including verifying that outstanding debt service still exists. If the Judicial Council concludes, based on the information provided, that a county made an expenditure not permitted by statute, the Judicial Council will notify the county and the Department of Finance of the amount due for repayment to the state. Based on fiscal year 2018–19 reports, no repayments have been identified.

#### **Status of Determination of Repayment Amounts Required Under Section 70403(d)**

As reviews of reports are completed for counties with outstanding debt service, repayments to the State Court Facilities Construction Fund, if any, will be finalized and reported in subsequent annual reports from the Judicial Council to the budget and fiscal committees of the Legislature.

#### **County Reporting for All Prior Reporting Periods**

Based on the reports received from the counties for these reporting periods, there is no update to the previously reported receipts and expenditures. All reports appear to be in compliance with requirements of the statute for the reporting period. In addition, the Judicial Council is unaware of any significant issues that might raise questions about the revenues and expenditures as reported. No further detailed reviews will be conducted on reports beyond fiscal year 2014–15 unless warranted. All prior reports are available at [www.courts.ca.gov/7466.htm](http://www.courts.ca.gov/7466.htm).

#### **Attachments**

Attachment 1: *County Reporting for Period July 1, 2018 to June 30, 2019: Courthouse Constructions Funds Under Government Code Section 70403*

**July 1, 2018 to June 30, 2019**  
**Local Courthouse Construction Funds Under Government Code Section 70403**  
**ATTACHMENT 1**

	County	Review Status (complete/in progress)	Revenues for Period (as reported by county)	Expenditures for Period (as reported by county)	Balances Remitted to State Court Facilities Construction Fund (SCFCF)	Bonded Indebtedness (indicated in report)
1	Alameda <sup>1</sup>	In Progress	1,960,350	966,210		x
2	Amador	In Progress	30,535	29,416		x
3	Contra Costa	In Progress	896,290	237,363		x
4	El Dorado <sup>2,3</sup>	In Progress	11,622	0		x
5	Glenn	In Progress	87,556	0		x
6	Humboldt <sup>4</sup>	In Progress	137,236	0		Completed 12/4/2017
7	Los Angeles	In Progress	11,317,000	13,981,000		x
8	Marin	In Progress	400,000	352,599		x
9	Merced	In Progress	388,803	384,002		x
10	Monterey	In Progress	560,723	560,723		x
11	Napa	In Progress	290,724	7,434		x
12	Orange	Completed	4,407,974	3,663,714	158,293	Completed 6/1/2019
13	Placer	In Progress	264,818	255,000		x
14	Riverside	In Progress	5,394,423	4,849,767		x
15	Sacramento	In Progress	1,067,174	1,151,516		x
16	San Bernardino <sup>4</sup>	In Progress	1,558,359	0		Completed 7/1/2016
17	San Diego	In Progress	1,024,026	1,039,016		x
18	San Francisco	In Progress	2,481,628	2,048,787		x
19	San Joaquin	In Progress	627,826	0		x
20	San Luis Obispo	In Progress	395,141	171,864		x
21	San Mateo	In Progress	1,184,983	1,218,836		x
22	Santa Barbara	In Progress	765,302	218,898		x
23	Santa Clara	In Progress	1,233,828	1,200,000		x
24	Santa Cruz	In Progress	117,398	117,398		x
25	Shasta	In Progress	523,018	523,018		x
26	Solano	In Progress	389,958	246,964		x
27	Sonoma <sup>4</sup>	In Progress	105,949	0		Completed 11/15/2017
28	Stanislaus	In Progress	348,895	463,976		x
29	Tulare <sup>4</sup>	Completed	106,472	0	4,333,377	Completed 08/2018
30	Ventura	In Progress	879,522	807,304		Completed 11/1/2019
	<b>TOTALS</b>		<b>\$ 38,957,533</b>	<b>\$ 34,494,805</b>	<b>\$ 4,491,670</b>	

**Notes:**

1. Pending debt for new approved capital project.
2. Bonded indebtedness: approved project underway.
3. Partial Courthouse Construction Fund balance transferred to State Court Facilities Construction Fund per Government Code section § 70402(a).
4. Bond indebtedness is complete; JCC staff is working with counties to remit their CCF balances to the State Court Facilities Construction Fund.

# July 1, 2017, to June 30, 2018

## Overview of County Reporting on Local Courthouse Construction Funds Under Government Code Section 70403(d) ATTACHMENT 1

	County	Review Status (complete/in progress)	Revenues for Period (as reported by county)	Expenditures for Period (as reported by county)	Repayments Due	Bonded Indebtedness (indicated in report)
1	Alameda <sup>1</sup>	In Progress	1,864,982	963,966		X
2	Amador	In Progress	29,620	28,907		X
3	Contra Costa	In Progress	861,088	242,856		X
4	El Dorado <sup>2,3</sup>	In Progress	7,628	78,106		X
5	Glenn	In Progress	85,084	0		X
6	Humboldt	In Progress	150,948	154,860		X
7	Los Angeles	In Progress	11,192,000	15,951,000		X
8	Marin	In Progress	384,201	353,385		X
9	Merced	In Progress	360,011	315,735		X
10	Monterey	In Progress	491,249	491,249		X
11	Napa	In Progress	285,405	13,980		X
12	Orange	In Progress	3,677,443	3,663,714		X
13	Placer	In Progress	295,411	255,000		X
14	Riverside	In Progress	5,162,456	4,867,323		X
15	Sacramento	In Progress	1,228,895	1,260,000		X
16	San Bernardino	In Progress	1,615,579	0		X
17	San Diego	In Progress	988,985	920,580		X
18	San Francisco	In Progress	2,528,543	2,051,592		X
19	San Joaquin	In Progress	747,512	198,088		X
20	San Luis Obispo	In Progress	406,374	309,434		X
21	San Mateo	In Progress	1,078,143	1,218,431		X
22	Santa Barbara	In Progress	692,116	222,639		X
23	Santa Clara	In Progress	1,115,478	1,152,291		X
24	Santa Cruz	In Progress	129,420	129,420		X
25	Shasta	In Progress	520,985	520,984		X
26	Solano	In Progress	337,497	398,589		X
27	Sonoma	In Progress	514,545	448,449		X
28	Stanislaus	In Progress	426,426	589,209		X
29	Tulare	In Progress	378,653	0		X
30	Ventura	In Progress	963,976	990,815		X
	<b>TOTALS</b>		<b>\$ 38,520,654</b>	<b>\$ 37,790,602</b>		<b>30</b>

### Notes:

1. Pending debt for new approved capital project
2. Bonded indebtedness: approved project under way, pending completion for Inyo (report not received), and Siskiyou (report not received)
3. Partial CCF balance transferred to State Court Facilities Construction Fund per Gov. Code, § 70402(a)

# January 1, 1998, to December 31, 2005

## Overview of County Reporting on Local Courthouse Construction Funds Under Government Code Section 70403(d)

### ATTACHMENT 2

	County	Review Status (complete/in progress)	Revenues for Period (as reported by county)	Expenditures for Period (as reported by county)	Repayments Due	Bonded Indebtedness (indicated in report)
1	Alameda	In Progress	21,484,121	20,559,653		X
2	Alpine	In Progress	137,794	57,955		
3	Amador	In Progress	523,222	247,047		X
4	Butte	In Progress	3,694,518	3,934,294		X
5	Calaveras <sup>2</sup>	In Progress	1,406,258	915,924		X
6	Colusa	Complete	463,599	479,021	0	X
7	Contra Costa	In Progress	10,204,719	10,568,957		X
8	Del Norte <sup>2</sup>	Complete	685	0	0	
9	El Dorado	In Progress	1,856,437	537,447		X
10	Fresno <sup>2</sup>	In Progress	1,682,944	1,215,322		X
11	Glenn	In Progress	1,082,644	932,282		X
12	Humboldt	Complete	16,626,149	16,908,856	0	X
13	Imperial	In Progress	1,628,153	2,136,263		X
14	Inyo	In Progress	1,131,564	327,961		
15	Kern <sup>2</sup>	In Progress	517,693	527,625		
16	Kings <sup>2</sup>	In Progress	457,396	430,658		
17	Lake <sup>2</sup>	Complete	22,536	25,077	0	
18	Lassen	In Progress	1,906,284	2,771,540		
19	Los Angeles	In Progress	196,130,000	170,210,000		X
20	Madera	Complete	0	0	0	
21	Marin	In Progress	3,901,196	3,424,565		X
22	Mariposa	In Progress	319,581	77,956		
23	Mendocino <sup>2</sup>	In Progress	115,867	351,454		
24	Merced	Complete	8,822,580	5,695,062	0	X
25	Modoc	In Progress	229,582	229,377		X
26	Mono <sup>2</sup>	Complete	507,544	507,543	0	
27	Monterey	In Progress	5,098,670	8,743,508		X
28	Napa <sup>3</sup>	In Progress	3,578,837	4,371,585		X
29	Nevada	Complete	1,899,407	2,061,522	0	X
30	Orange	In Progress	39,002,259	37,253,412		X
31	Placer	In Progress	3,276,764	3,082,339		X
32	Plumas <sup>2</sup>	Complete	9,564	55,516	0	
33	Riverside	In Progress	26,049,765	24,854,033		X
34	Sacramento	In Progress	15,744,490	14,433,367		X
35	San Benito	Complete	684,673	309,182	0	X
36	San Bernardino	In Progress	17,582,803	18,638,886		X
37	San Diego	In Progress	8,394,261	13,020,630	0	
38	San Francisco <sup>1</sup>	In Progress	41,787,702	55,296,023		X
39	San Joaquin	In Progress	11,766,826	7,836,561		X
40	San Luis Obispo	In Progress	4,873,888	2,175,271		X
41	San Mateo	In Progress	11,678,138	10,801,760		X
42	Santa Barbara	In Progress	9,701,438	9,988,837		X
43	Santa Clara	Complete	16,761,142	16,831,245	0	X
44	Santa Cruz	In Progress	1,559,915	1,444,729		X
45	Shasta	In Progress	4,551,181	3,758,240		X
46	Sierra	Complete	0	0	0	
47	Siskiyou	In Progress	1,885,458	113,234		
48	Solano	In Progress	4,625,213	4,806,996		X
49	Sonoma	In Progress	6,145,733	9,506,933		X
50	Stanislaus	Complete	4,224,471	3,411,085	0	X
51	Sutter <sup>2</sup>	Complete	592,087	288,836	0	
52	Tehama	In Progress	1,396	5,379		
53	Trinity	Complete	319,189	259,736	0	
54	Tulare	In Progress	3,554,263	3,361,812		X
55	Tuolumne	In Progress	1,020,500	1,784,183		
56	Ventura	In Progress	9,488,022	4,699,661		X
57	Yolo <sup>2</sup>	In Progress	1,993,191	1,756,977		
58	Yuba <sup>1</sup>	In Progress	1,028,190	780,733		X
	<b>TOTALS</b>		<b>\$ 533,732,502</b>	<b>\$ 508,804,050</b>		<b>38</b>

#### Notes:

1. Report prepared on a fiscal year basis; includes July to December 1997
2. Final county report: CCF balance transferred to State Court Facilities Construction Fund per Gov. Code, § 70402(a)
3. Report revised to reflect updated county reporting.

# January 1, 2006, to June 30, 2007

## Overview of County Reporting on Local Courthouse Construction Funds Under Government Code Section 70403(d) ATTACHMENT 3

	County	Review Status (complete/in progress)	Revenues for Period (as reported by county)	Expenditures for Period (as reported by county)	Repayments Due	Bonded Indebtedness (indicated in report)
1	Alameda <sup>1</sup>	In Progress	4,402,815	4,683,200		X
2	Amador	In Progress	138,964	790,443		X
3	Butte	In Progress	185,715	152,869		X
4	Colusa <sup>3</sup>	In Progress	122,472	(492,877)		X
5	Contra Costa	In Progress	2,200,103	2,065,795		X
6	El Dorado <sup>2</sup>	In Progress	509,264	5,596		X
7	Glenn <sup>2</sup>	In Progress	287,153	0		X
8	Humboldt	In Progress	382,071	621,665		X
9	Imperial	In Progress	376,310	542,730		X
10	Inyo <sup>2</sup>	In Progress	371,140	0		X
11	Los Angeles	In Progress	41,048,000	47,803,000		X
12	Marin <sup>4</sup>	In Progress	874,448	856,446		X
13	Merced	In Progress	1,016,562	2,153,587		X
14	Modoc	In Progress	30,502	24,688		X
15	Monterey	In Progress	1,321,173	1,354,852	Corrected	X
16	Napa	In Progress	748,698	338,550		X
17	Nevada	In Progress	333,999	150,405		X
18	Orange	In Progress	7,363,506	8,598,962	Corrected	X
19	Placer	In Progress	839,793	1,020,599		X
20	Riverside	In Progress	7,910,417	6,484,190		X
21	Sacramento	In Progress	3,317,350	4,510,721		X
22	San Benito	In Progress	241,653	(97,327)		X
23	San Bernardino	In Progress	2,376,405	0		X
24	San Diego	In Progress	1,632,637	3,315,000		X
25	San Francisco	In Progress	6,278,455	6,526,815		X
26	San Joaquin	In Progress	1,756,484	1,417,928		X
27	San Luis Obispo <sup>1</sup>	In Progress	1,121,122	1,145,925		X
28	San Mateo	In Progress	2,203,231	1,013,932		X
29	Santa Barbara	In Progress	1,806,072	2,009,297		X
30	Santa Clara	In Progress	3,538,275	4,316,755		X
31	Santa Cruz	In Progress	333,146	199,842		X
32	Shasta	In Progress	983,873	1,660,572		X
33	Solano	In Progress	960,428	962,708		X
34	Sonoma	In Progress	1,677,328	847,569		X
35	Stanislaus	In Progress	1,437,403	574,934		X
36	Tulare	In Progress	862,887	501,417		X
37	Ventura	In Progress	2,389,106	3,120,449		X
38	Yuba	In Progress	634,427	190,000		X
	<b>TOTALS</b>		<b>\$ 104,013,388</b>	<b>\$ 109,371,237</b>		<b>38</b>

### Notes:

1. Pending debt for new approved capital project
2. Bonded indebtedness: approved project under way, pending completion for Glenn, El Dorado, Inyo, and Siskiyou (report not received)
3. Pending review of request for use of CCFs, request subsequently cancelled
4. Report revised to reflect updated county reporting.



## July 1, 2007, to June 30, 2008

### Overview of County Reporting on Local Courthouse Construction Funds Under Government Code Section 70403(d) ATTACHMENT 4

	County	Review Status (complete/in progress)	Revenues for Period (as reported by county)	Expenditures for Period (as reported by county)	Repayments Due	Bonded Indebtedness (indicated in report)
1	Alameda <sup>1</sup>	In Progress	2,584,839	669,332		X
2	Amador	In Progress	88,617	70,757		X
3	Butte	In Progress	112,501	120,520		X
4	Contra Costa	In Progress	1,864,761	1,864,761		X
5	El Dorado <sup>2</sup>	In Progress	295,078	33,130		X
6	Glenn <sup>2</sup>	In Progress	256,052	410,432		X
7	Humboldt	In Progress	294,809	309,720		X
8	Imperial	In Progress	225,024	286,636		X
9	Inyo <sup>2</sup>	In Progress	158,669	0		X
10	Los Angeles	In Progress	24,558,000	27,050,000		X
11	Marin	In Progress	532,573	255,157		X
12	Merced	In Progress	609,809	805,559		X
13	Modoc	In Progress	34,124	39,400		X
14	Monterey	In Progress	648,125	648,125		X
15	Napa	In Progress	479,985	226,835		X
16	Nevada	In Progress	229,500	7,318		X
17	Orange	In Progress	4,748,186	4,518,072		X
18	Placer	In Progress	542,358	500,000		X
19	Riverside	In Progress	6,382,244	8,340,240		X
20	Sacramento	In Progress	2,004,667	2,100,000		X
21	San Benito	In Progress	142,778	30,156		X
22	San Bernardino	In Progress	2,787,159	2,052,703		X
23	San Diego	In Progress	1,175,172	2,210,000		X
24	San Francisco	In Progress	4,049,323	4,495,551		X
25	San Joaquin	In Progress	1,635,756	2,891,002		X
26	San Luis Obispo	In Progress	712,987	4,931,326		X
27	San Mateo	In Progress	1,349,001	1,028,248		X
28	Santa Barbara	In Progress	1,154,245	1,168,383		X
29	Santa Clara	In Progress	1,945,344	2,376,202		X
30	Santa Cruz	In Progress	209,166	320,445		X
31	Shasta	In Progress	850,992	973,954		X
32	Solano	In Progress	592,026	408,999		X
33	Sonoma	In Progress	578,945	550,096		X
34	Stanislaus	In Progress	598,497	181,158		X
35	Tulare	In Progress	569,301	0		X
36	Ventura	In Progress	1,464,010	1,563,349		X
37	Yuba	In Progress	416,616	110,784		X
	<b>TOTALS</b>		<b>\$ 66,881,239</b>	<b>\$ 73,548,350</b>		<b>37</b>

**Notes:**

1. Pending debt for new approved capital project
2. Bonded indebtedness: approved project under way, pending completion for Glenn, El Dorado, Inyo, and Siskiyou (report not received)

## July 1, 2008, to June 30, 2009

### Overview of County Reporting on Local Courthouse Construction Funds Under Government Code Section 70403(d) ATTACHMENT 5

	County	Review Status (complete/in progress)	Revenues for Period (as reported by county)	Expenditures for Period (as reported by county)	Repayments Due	Bonded Indebtedness (indicated in report)
1	Alameda <sup>1</sup>	In Progress	2,751,481	2,902,462		X
2	Amador	In Progress	79,419	70,560		X
3	Butte	In Progress	87,484	0		X
4	Contra Costa	In Progress	1,268,123	1,267,676		X
5	El Dorado <sup>2</sup>	In Progress	230,427	74,105		X
6	Glenn <sup>2</sup>	In Progress	183,671	0		X
7	Humboldt	In Progress	273,227	309,720		X
8	Imperial	In Progress	218,597	285,333		X
9	Inyo <sup>2</sup>	In Progress	157,849	0		X
10	Los Angeles	In Progress	23,656,000	28,396,000		X
11	Marin	In Progress	474,805	476,753		X
12	Merced	In Progress	558,140	419,449		X
13	Modoc <sup>3</sup>	In Progress	31,162	918		X
14	Monterey	In Progress	628,824	628,824		X
15	Napa	In Progress	452,943	230,079		X
16	Nevada <sup>4</sup>	In Progress	217,835	281,349		X
17	Orange	In Progress	4,950,165	4,519,492		X
18	Placer	In Progress	585,167	500,000		X
19	Riverside	In Progress	7,159,229	6,756,172		X
20	Sacramento	In Progress	1,918,448	2,100,000		X
21	San Benito <sup>4</sup>	In Progress	116,949	22,480		X
22	San Bernardino	In Progress	2,845,683	0		X
23	San Diego	In Progress	774,706	2,200,000		X
24	San Francisco	In Progress	3,818,576	4,670,026		X
25	San Joaquin	In Progress	931,011	5,198,000		X
26	San Luis Obispo	In Progress	520,719	402,745		X
27	San Mateo	In Progress	1,119,734	1,444,211		X
28	Santa Barbara	In Progress	1,142,134	1,199,361		X
29	Santa Clara	In Progress	2,033,816	2,382,519		X
30	Santa Cruz	In Progress	178,215	210,199		X
31	Shasta	In Progress	667,154	691,021		X
32	Solano	In Progress	574,761	403,512		X
33	Sonoma	In Progress	540,554	486,299		X
34	Stanislaus	In Progress	875,803	1,181,356		X
35	Tulare	In Progress	581,978	850,000		X
36	Ventura	In Progress	1,462,308	1,562,167		X
37	Yuba	In Progress	502,432	129,341		X
	<b>TOTALS</b>		<b>\$ 64,569,528</b>	<b>\$ 72,252,129</b>		<b>37</b>

**Notes:**

1. Pending debt for new approved capital project
2. Bonded indebtedness: approved project under way, pending completion for Glenn, El Dorado, and Inyo
3. Debt retired in FY 2008-2009; pending receipt of county report to confirm
4. Final county report: CCF balance transferred to State Court Facilities Construction Fund per Gov. Code, § 70402(a)

# July 1, 2009, to June 30, 2010

## Overview of County Reporting on Local Courthouse Construction Funds Under Government Code Section 70403(d) ATTACHMENT 6

	County	Review Status (complete/in progress)	Revenues for Period (as reported by county)	Expenditures for Period (as reported by county)	Repayments Due	Bonded Indebtedness (indicated in report)
1	Alameda <sup>1</sup>	In Progress	2,612,121	(2,444,214)		X
2	Amador	In Progress	48,075	48,075		X
3	Butte	In Progress	76,149	0		X
4	Contra Costa	In Progress	1,316,789	1,317,236		X
5	El Dorado <sup>2</sup>	In Progress	193,653	484,855		X
6	Glenn <sup>2</sup>	In Progress	162,380	0		X
7	Humboldt	In Progress	252,781	314,535		X
8	Imperial <sup>3</sup>	In Progress	86,222	285,763		X
9	Inyo <sup>2</sup>	In Progress	168,589	0		X
10	Los Angeles	In Progress	22,144,000	27,810,000		X
11	Marin	In Progress	435,339	507,000		X
12	Merced	In Progress	462,112	1,605,036		X
13	Monterey	In Progress	607,576	607,576		X
14	Napa	In Progress	445,445	220,244		X
15	Orange	In Progress	5,606,919	4,512,691		X
16	Placer	In Progress	531,102	500,000		X
17	Riverside	In Progress	7,751,474	6,968,876		X
18	Sacramento	In Progress	2,011,315	1,977,600		X
19	San Bernardino	In Progress	2,860,071	2,052,703		X
20	San Diego	In Progress	995,110	(7,773,745)		X
21	San Francisco	In Progress	3,588,795	4,635,781		X
22	San Joaquin	In Progress	244,882	187,459		X
23	San Luis Obispo	In Progress	439,924	306,069		X
24	San Mateo	In Progress	1,321,247	1,398,699		X
25	Santa Barbara	In Progress	1,230,460	1,345,019		X
26	Santa Clara	In Progress	1,864,910	1,994,420		X
27	Santa Cruz	In Progress	168,234	211,112		X
28	Shasta	In Progress	572,397	697,373		X
29	Solano	In Progress	568,979	402,768		X
30	Sonoma	In Progress	578,545	735,327		X
31	Stanislaus	In Progress	815,137	388,830		X
32	Tulare	In Progress	689,549	300,000		X
33	Ventura	In Progress	1,338,867	1,555,461		X
34	Yuba	In Progress	702,066	689,975		X
	<b>TOTALS</b>		<b>\$ 62,891,214</b>	<b>\$ 53,842,524</b>		<b>34</b>

### Notes:

1. Pending debt for new approved capital project

2. Bonded indebtedness: approved project under way, pending completion for Glenn, El Dorado, and Inyo

3. Bonded debt retired in February 2010; this will be the final reporting period; CCF balance transferred to State Court Facilities Construction Fund per Gov. Code, § 70402(a)

# July 1, 2010, to June 30, 2011

## Overview of County Reporting on Local Courthouse Construction Funds Under Government Code Section 70403(d) ATTACHMENT 7

	County	Review Status (complete/in progress)	Revenues for Period (as reported by county)	Expenditures for Period (as reported by county)	Repayments Due	Bonded Indebtedness (indicated in report)
1	Alameda <sup>1</sup>	In Progress	2,530,934	550,729		X
2	Amador	In Progress	37,317	36,525		X
3	Butte	In Progress	85,512	249,860		X
4	Contra Costa	In Progress	1,308,510	1,308,510		X
5	El Dorado <sup>2</sup>	In Progress	97,970	1,032,936		X
6	Glenn <sup>2</sup>	In Progress	146,737	0		X
7	Humboldt	In Progress	250,695	310,935		X
8	Inyo <sup>2</sup>	In Progress	153,131	0		X
9	Los Angeles	In Progress	19,513,000	24,769,000		X
10	Marin	In Progress	512,977	398,681		X
11	Merced	In Progress	494,217	494,217		X
12	Monterey	In Progress	601,061	542,313		X
13	Napa	In Progress	452,511	233,979		X
14	Orange	In Progress	5,241,871	4,532,460		X
15	Placer	In Progress	455,630	500,000		X
16	Riverside	In Progress	7,413,489	7,961,871		X
17	Sacramento	In Progress	1,633,444	1,790,000		X
18	San Bernardino	In Progress	2,438,086	2,244,140		X
19	San Diego	In Progress	775,112	775,112		X
20	San Francisco	In Progress	3,228,950	4,573,121		X
21	San Joaquin	In Progress	157,764	209,573		X
22	San Luis Obispo	In Progress	313,710	307,169		X
23	San Mateo	In Progress	1,526,321	3,856,256		X
24	Santa Barbara	In Progress	1,129,184	578,258		X
25	Santa Clara	In Progress	1,800,171	2,187,964		X
26	Santa Cruz	In Progress	150,443	210,762		X
27	Shasta	In Progress	529,828	679,900		X
28	Solano	In Progress	405,350	408,515		X
29	Sonoma	In Progress	523,842	564,544		X
30	Stanislaus	In Progress	822,537	319,944		X
31	Tulare	In Progress	709,482	522,255		X
32	Ventura	In Progress	1,168,925	1,540,047		X
33	Yuba	In Progress	327,598	198,312		X
	<b>TOTALS</b>		<b>\$ 56,936,310</b>	<b>\$ 63,887,888</b>		<b>33</b>

### Notes:

1. Pending debt for new approved capital project

2. Bonded indebtedness: approved project under way, pending completion for Glenn, El Dorado, Inyo, and Siskiyou (report not received)

## July 1, 2011, to June 30, 2012

### Overview of County Reporting on Local Courthouse Construction Funds Under Government Code Section 70403(d) ATTACHMENT 8

	County	Review Status (complete/in progress)	Revenues for Period (as reported by county)	Expenditures for Period (as reported by county)	Repayments Due	Bonded Indebtedness (indicated in report)
1	Alameda <sup>1</sup>	In Progress	2,366,638	2,322,747		X
2	Amador	In Progress	40,387	39,854		X
3	Butte	In Progress	101,211	109,853		X
4	Contra Costa	In Progress	1,046,449	1,046,449		X
5	El Dorado <sup>2</sup>	In Progress	58,363	1,118,967		X
6	Glenn <sup>3</sup>	In Progress	141,838	636,959		X
7	Humboldt	In Progress	241,573	309,720		X
8	Los Angeles	In Progress	17,906,000	27,351,000		X
9	Marin	In Progress	473,298	450,000		X
10	Merced	In Progress	465,336	1,197,798		X
11	Monterey	In Progress	567,526	590,005		X
12	Napa	In Progress	410,556	233,962		X
13	Orange <sup>3</sup>	In Progress	(324,766)	4,533,653		X
14	Placer	In Progress	357,841	500,000		X
15	Riverside	In Progress	6,379,663	6,302,426		X
16	Sacramento	In Progress	1,709,607	1,594,000		X
17	San Bernardino	In Progress	2,056,446	9,007,338		X
18	San Diego	In Progress	1,074,854	880,000		X
19	San Francisco	In Progress	3,031,247	4,570,627		X
20	San Joaquin	In Progress	182,206	210,804		X
21	San Luis Obispo	In Progress	405,532	308,119		X
22	San Mateo	In Progress	1,233,152	1,320,075		X
23	Santa Barbara	In Progress	992,318	714,908		X
24	Santa Clara	In Progress	1,850,633	2,293,536		X
25	Santa Cruz	In Progress	119,332	184,429		X
26	Shasta	In Progress	473,583	418,546		X
27	Solano	In Progress	393,515	407,204		X
28	Sonoma	In Progress	598,381	734,584		X
29	Stanislaus	In Progress	683,157	329,542		X
30	Tulare	In Progress	688,482	352,000		X
31	Ventura	In Progress	1,105,507	1,536,739		X
32	Yuba	In Progress	319,114	42,069		X
	<b>TOTALS</b>		<b>\$ 47,148,979</b>	<b>\$ 71,647,913</b>		<b>32</b>

**Notes:**

1. Pending debt for new approved capital project
2. Bonded indebtedness: approved project under way, pending completion for Inyo (report not received), and Siskiyou (report not received)
3. Report revised to reflect updated county reporting.

## July 1, 2012, to June 30, 2013

### Overview of County Reporting on Local Courthouse Construction Funds Under Government Code Section 70403(d) ATTACHMENT 9

	County	Review Status (complete/in progress)	Revenues for Period (as reported by county)	Expenditures for Period (as reported by county)	Repayments Due	Bonded Indebtedness (indicated in report)
1	Alameda <sup>1</sup>	In Progress	2,485,671	1,137,754		X
2	Amador	In Progress	45,474	45,117		X
3	Butte	In Progress	84,544	88,515		X
4	Contra Costa	In Progress	1,651,301	1,425,736		X
5	El Dorado <sup>2,3</sup>	In Progress	34,540	151,026		X
6	Glenn	In Progress	135,432	0		X
7	Humboldt	In Progress	223,525	309,720		X
8	Los Angeles	In Progress	16,109,000	25,672,000		X
9	Marin	In Progress	409,862	450,000		X
10	Merced	In Progress	435,065	419,379		X
11	Monterey	In Progress	536,676	523,762		X
12	Napa	In Progress	410,822	232,741		X
13	Orange	In Progress	2,731,944	3,926,135		X
14	Placer	In Progress	335,005	316,000		X
15	Riverside	In Progress	6,261,824	7,499,484		X
16	Sacramento	In Progress	1,583,788	1,710,000		X
17	San Bernardino	In Progress	1,877,838	1,893,238		X
18	San Diego	In Progress	935,586	880,000		X
19	San Francisco	In Progress	2,942,727	4,735,166		X
20	San Joaquin	In Progress	128,326	171,979		X
21	San Luis Obispo	In Progress	373,124	308,768		X
22	San Mateo	In Progress	1,184,153	1,327,071		X
23	Santa Barbara	In Progress	895,428	866,791		X
24	Santa Clara	In Progress	2,224,957	2,224,957		X
25	Santa Cruz	In Progress	113,217	113,217		X
26	Shasta	In Progress	469,811	600,347		X
27	Solano	In Progress	338,527	400,250		X
28	Sonoma	In Progress	422,827	563,549		X
29	Stanislaus	In Progress	594,254	339,428		X
30	Tulare	In Progress	677,946	356,000		X
31	Ventura	In Progress	1,039,784	1,528,445		X
32	Yuba	In Progress	288,603	27,778		X
	<b>TOTALS</b>		<b>\$ 47,981,582</b>	<b>\$ 60,244,353</b>		<b>32</b>

**Notes:**

1. Pending debt for new approved capital project
2. Bonded indebtedness: approved project under way, pending completion for Inyo (report not received), and Siskiyou (report not received)
3. Partial CCF balance transferred to State Court Facilities Construction Fund per Gov. Code, § 70402(a)

## July 1, 2013, to June 30, 2014

### Overview of County Reporting on Local Courthouse Construction Funds Under Government Code Section 70403(d) ATTACHMENT 10

	County	Review Status (complete/in progress)	Revenues for Period (as reported by county)	Expenditures for Period (as reported by county)	Repayments Due	Bonded Indebtedness (indicated in report)
1	Alameda <sup>1</sup>	In Progress	2,369,762	1,019,287		X
2	Amador	In Progress	31,178	31,055		X
3	Butte <sup>3</sup>	In Progress	49,854	92,977		X
4	Contra Costa	In Progress	1,151,351	1,376,810		X
5	El Dorado <sup>2</sup>	In Progress	20,391	83,104		X
6	Glenn <sup>2</sup>	In Progress	130,092	0		X
7	Humboldt	In Progress	127,152	309,720		X
8	Los Angeles	In Progress	16,162,000	21,428,000		X
9	Marin	In Progress	429,291	450,000		X
10	Merced	In Progress	389,021	391,577		X
11	Monterey	In Progress	498,101	502,886		X
12	Napa	In Progress	393,937	428,146		X
13	Orange	In Progress	2,526,864	3,931,815		X
14	Placer	In Progress	309,068	200,000		X
15	Riverside	In Progress	5,648,816	7,816,740		X
16	Sacramento	In Progress	1,503,029	1,530,000		X
17	San Bernardino	In Progress	1,858,919	1,856,895		X
18	San Diego	In Progress	973,381	925,000		X
19	San Francisco	In Progress	2,764,314	(1,642,048)		X
20	San Joaquin	In Progress	233,078	176,321		X
21	San Luis Obispo	In Progress	379,180	309,434		X
22	San Mateo	In Progress	1,168,417	1,285,003		X
23	Santa Barbara	In Progress	867,708	967,523		X
24	Santa Clara	In Progress	1,344,302	1,395,270		X
25	Santa Cruz	In Progress	110,760	110,760		X
26	Shasta	In Progress	471,040	338,887		X
27	Solano	In Progress	312,423	398,991		X
28	Sonoma	In Progress	522,716	452,158		X
29	Stanislaus	In Progress	579,712	337,780		X
30	Tulare	In Progress	629,141	325,966		X
31	Ventura	In Progress	993,181	1,531,934		X
32	Yuba <sup>3</sup>	In Progress	192,022	20,507		X
	<b>TOTALS</b>		<b>\$ 45,140,201</b>	<b>\$ 48,382,498</b>		<b>32</b>

**Notes:**

1. Pending debt for new approved capital project, loan from the Immediate and Critical Needs Account (Fund 3138) authorized in FY 2014-2015.

2. Bonded indebtedness: approved project under way, pending completion for Inyo (report not received), and Siskiyou (report not received)

3. Butte and Yuba Debt Service retired in FY 2013-2014. Final county report: CCF balance to be transferred to State Court Facilities Construction Fund per Gov. Code, § 70402(a)

## July 1, 2014, to June 30, 2015

### Overview of County Reporting on Local Courthouse Construction Funds Under Government Code Section 70403(d) ATTACHMENT 11

	County	Review Status (complete/in progress)	Revenues for Period (as reported by county)	Expenditures for Period (as reported by county)	Repayments Due	Bonded Indebtedness (indicated in report)
1	Alameda <sup>1</sup>	In Progress	2,277,226	8,707,581		X
2	Amador	In Progress	34,585	34,302		X
3	Contra Costa	In Progress	1,361,874	1,361,981		X
4	El Dorado <sup>2,3</sup>	In Progress	12,963	73,308		X
5	Glenn	In Progress	129,045	0		X
6	Humboldt	In Progress	200,258	309,720		X
7	Los Angeles	In Progress	15,371,000	25,278,000		X
8	Marin	In Progress	386,669	448,026		X
9	Merced	In Progress	339,788	340,465		X
10	Monterey	In Progress	481,971	456,906		X
11	Napa	In Progress	379,761	7,359		X
12	Orange	In Progress	4,006,524	3,939,167		X
13	Placer	In Progress	303,282	200,000		X
14	Riverside	In Progress	5,267,529	7,829,100		X
15	Sacramento	In Progress	1,473,332	1,480,000		X
16	San Bernardino	In Progress	1,759,535	1,760,364		X
17	San Diego	In Progress	1,240,769	970,000		X
18	San Francisco	In Progress	2,604,373	2,712,322		X
19	San Joaquin	In Progress	778,528	181,196		X
20	San Luis Obispo	In Progress	420,737	353,319		X
21	San Mateo	In Progress	1,112,077	1,135,270		X
22	Santa Barbara	In Progress	892,907	747,046		X
23	Santa Clara	In Progress	1,312,129	1,261,190		X
24	Santa Cruz	In Progress	115,794	115,794		X
25	Shasta	In Progress	472,279	664,625		X
26	Solano	In Progress	265,214	398,671		X
27	Sonoma	In Progress	503,108	461,939		X
28	Stanislaus	In Progress	486,828	360,099		X
29	Tulare	In Progress	658,142	344,724		X
30	Ventura	In Progress	969,253	1,887,572		X
	<b>TOTALS</b>		<b>\$ 45,617,480</b>	<b>\$ 63,820,046</b>		<b>30</b>

**Notes:**

1. Pending debt for new approved capital project

2. Bonded indebtedness: approved project under way, pending completion for Inyo (report not received), and Siskiyou (report not received)

3. Partial CCF balance transferred to State Court Facilities Construction Fund per Gov. Code, § 70402(a)



## July 1, 2015, to June 30, 2016

### Overview of County Reporting on Local Courthouse Construction Funds Under Government Code Section 70403(d) ATTACHMENT 12

	County	Review Status (complete/in progress)	Revenues for Period (as reported by county)	Expenditures for Period (as reported by county)	Repayments Due	Bonded Indebtedness (indicated in report)
1	Alameda <sup>1</sup>	In Progress	2,468,690	2,355,691		X
2	Amador	In Progress	31,360	31,017		X
3	Contra Costa	In Progress	1,266,862	1,266,862		X
4	El Dorado <sup>2,3</sup>	In Progress	9,375	60,249		X
5	Glenn	In Progress	107,892	0		X
6	Humboldt	In Progress	204,354	309,720		X
7	Los Angeles	In Progress	13,285,000	20,803,000		X
8	Marin <sup>5</sup>	In Progress	351,711	353,259		X
9	Merced	In Progress	342,228	361,917		X
10	Monterey <sup>4</sup>	In Progress	491,460	453,019		X
11	Napa	In Progress	329,638	0		X
12	Orange	In Progress	3,684,544	3,687,134		X
13	Placer	In Progress	274,686	330,000		X
14	Riverside	In Progress	4,921,034	4,826,056		X
15	Sacramento	In Progress	1,340,666	1,222,985		X
16	San Bernardino	In Progress	1,355,117	(432,554)		X
17	San Diego	In Progress	1,001,678	1,283,876		X
18	San Francisco	In Progress	2,526,744	2,506,069		X
19	San Joaquin	In Progress	2,989,592	185,757		X
20	San Luis Obispo	In Progress	393,972	447,252		X
21	San Mateo	In Progress	979,591	1,376,103		X
22	Santa Barbara	In Progress	849,818	218,939		X
23	Santa Clara	In Progress	1,247,735	1,247,706		X
24	Santa Cruz	In Progress	115,816	115,816		X
25	Shasta	In Progress	526,709	527,467		X
26	Solano	In Progress	267,615	397,193		X
27	Sonoma	In Progress	453,170	448,488		X
28	Stanislaus	In Progress	442,853	711,511		X
29	Tulare	In Progress	621,212	41,350		X
30	Ventura	In Progress	929,404	1,946,342		X
	<b>TOTALS</b>		<b>\$ 43,810,526</b>	<b>\$ 47,082,224</b>		<b>30</b>

**Notes:**

1. Pending debt for new approved capital project
2. Bonded indebtedness: approved project under way, pending completion for Inyo (report not received), and Siskiyou (report not received)
3. Partial CCF balance transferred to State Court Facilities Construction Fund per Gov. Code, § 70402(a)
4. Report updated to reflect county reporting that was not provided for last years report.
5. Report revised to reflect updated county reporting.

## July 1, 2016, to June 30, 2017

### Overview of County Reporting on Local Courthouse Construction Funds Under Government Code Section 70403(d) ATTACHMENT 13

	County	Review Status (complete/in progress)	Revenues for Period (as reported by county)	Expenditures for Period (as reported by county)	Repayments Due	Bonded Indebtedness (indicated in report)
1	Alameda <sup>1</sup>	In Progress	1,956,197	1,052,193		X
2	Amador	In Progress	28,605	28,148		X
3	Contra Costa	In Progress	972,987	364,230		X
4	El Dorado <sup>2,3</sup>	In Progress	8,522	0		X
5	Glenn	In Progress	94,473	541,830		X
6	Humboldt	In Progress	179,577	309,720		X
7	Los Angeles	In Progress	11,987,000	14,831,000		X
8	Marin	In Progress	307,590	352,756		X
9	Merced	In Progress	342,611	340,452		X
10	Monterey	In Progress	419,649	527,551		X
11	Napa	In Progress	322,805	810,000		X
12	Orange	In Progress	3,743,981	3,647,178		X
13	Placer	In Progress	269,518	255,000		X
14	Riverside	In Progress	4,921,531	4,835,742		X
15	Sacramento	In Progress	1,245,004	1,260,000		X
16	San Bernardino	In Progress	1,570,695	0		X
17	San Diego	In Progress	974,604	1,103,628		X
18	San Francisco	In Progress	2,486,253	2,240,141		X
19	San Joaquin	In Progress	2,744,863	142,858		X
20	San Luis Obispo	In Progress	371,886	309,734		X
21	San Mateo	In Progress	974,165	1,222,981		X
22	Santa Barbara	In Progress	707,398	220,586		X
23	Santa Clara	In Progress	1,195,469	1,132,114		X
24	Santa Cruz	In Progress	106,639	106,639		X
25	Shasta	In Progress	505,271	505,271		X
26	Solano	In Progress	296,464	399,381		X
27	Sonoma	In Progress	443,748	672,145		X
28	Stanislaus	In Progress	466,607	711,588		X
29	Tulare	In Progress	576,543	0		X
30	Ventura	In Progress	915,451	1,228,465		X
	<b>TOTALS</b>		<b>\$ 41,136,106</b>	<b>\$ 39,151,330</b>		<b>30</b>

**Notes:**

1. Pending debt for new approved capital project

2. Bonded indebtedness: approved project under way, pending completion for Inyo (report not received), and Siskiyou (report not received)

3. Partial CCF balance transferred to State Court Facilities Construction Fund per Gov. Code, § 70402(a)