

JUDICIAL COUNCIL OF CALIFORNIA

455 Golden Gate Avenue · San Francisco, California 94102-3688 www.courts.ca.gov

REPORT TO THE JUDICIAL COUNCIL

For business meeting on: January 17, 2020

Title

Allocations and Reimbursements to Trial Courts: Extension on Receipt of Children's Waiting Room Funds During Temporary Closure

Rules, Forms, Standards, or Statutes Affected None

Recommended by

Trial Court Budget Advisory Committee Hon. Jonathan B. Conklin, Chair

Agenda Item Type

Action Required

Effective Date

January 17, 2020

Date of Report

December 19, 2019

Contact

Michele Allan, 916-263-1374 michele.allan@jud.ca.gov

Executive Summary

The Trial Court Budget Advisory Committee recommends that the Judicial Council approve an extension for the Superior Court of San Mateo County to continue receiving children's waiting room funds to accumulate sufficient resources in anticipation of two waiting rooms reopening on September 1, 2020. The Superior Court of San Mateo County closed its Hall of Justice facility in Redwood City and the Youth Services Center in San Mateo after the vendor canceled its contract in June 2019.

Recommendation

The Trial Court Budget Advisory Committee unanimously recommends that the Judicial Council, effective January 17, 2020, approve the continuation of the distribution of Children's Waiting Room funds to the Superior Court of San Mateo County to allow the court to accumulate sufficient funding to operate its two waiting rooms full time; the rooms are scheduled to reopen on September 1, 2020.

Relevant Previous Council Action

On June 27, 2014, the Judicial Council adopted a policy and procedure on court requests for children's waiting room (CWR) distributions under Government Code section 70640. On

December 12, 2014, the council amended the policy to specify that courts applying for new CWR distributions can request that distributions begin no more than one year in advance of the planned opening date of the CWR, unless there are extenuating circumstances. The council further amended the policy to specify that once any court's request to decrease its existing CWR distribution is approved by the Judicial Council, the request can be implemented by Judicial Council staff effective either January 1 or July 1.

On June 26, 2015, the Judicial Council revised its CWR distribution policy, placing a cap on the amount of CWR fund balance that courts can accumulate. The cap equals the highest annual distribution within the three most recent fiscal years, and a court wanting a cap adjustment must submit a request explaining the extenuating circumstances and including its CWR expenditure plan for consideration by the Trial Court Budget Advisory Committee (TCBAC) and the Judicial Council.

CWR distributions to trial courts from first paper filing fee revenues deposited into the Trial Court Trust Fund (TCTF) can only be spent on costs associated with operating a CWR. CWR distributions that are not needed by a court would be retained in the TCTF and used to support trial court operations allocations or become unrestricted fund balance that could be allocated by the council for other purposes.

The revised policy requires courts to monitor their CWR distribution amounts per filing to ensure they are adequate to meet CWR needs without accumulating an amount in excess of a cap that is equal to the highest annual CWR distribution within the three most recent fiscal years. As approved, effective July 1, 2015, a court whose CWR fund balance exceeds the cap by the end of a given fiscal year is required to return the amount above the cap to the TCTF, unless the council approves a court's request for a cap adjustment.

The Judicial Council's CWR Distribution and Fund Balance Policy was last revised by the council at its business meeting on March 24, 2017, when it extended the review and adjustment of CWR fund balances from an annual to a biennial schedule and required annual reporting for courts that retain excess funding for multiyear contracts (see Attachment A).

Analysis/Rationale

Per Government Code 70640, the statute states that "it is the policy of the state that each court shall endeavor to provide a children's waiting room in each courthouse for children whose parents or guardians are attending a court hearing as a litigant, witness, or for other court purposes as determined by the court."

Further, the statute also states that after January 1, 2006, a court may apply to the Judicial Council for a CWR distribution between \$2 and \$5, inclusive, from applicable filing fees (see Attachment B). CWR distributions to trial courts from first paper filing fee revenues deposited into the TCTF can be spent only on costs associated with operating a CWR.

Standard 10.24 of the California Rules of Court also states that "[e]ach court should endeavor to provide a children's waiting room located in the courthouse for the use of minors under the age of 16 who are present on court premises as participants or who accompany persons who are participants in court proceedings"; that "[t]he waiting room should be supervised and open during normal court hours"; and "if a court does not have sufficient space in the courthouse for a children's waiting room, the court should create the necessary space when court facilities are reorganized or remodeled or when new facilities are constructed."

The approval of this request is consistent with Government Code section 70640 and standard 10.64, and would allow the San Mateo court to accumulate sufficient funding to reopen and operate its CWRs full time.

The Judicial Council's policy requires the TCBAC to make a recommendation to the council on a court's request. At its November 21, 2019, meeting, the TCBAC unanimously recommended that the Judicial Council approve the continued distribution request of the Superior Court of San Mateo County. The San Mateo court's request for a continued CWR distribution is provided in Attachment C.

Attachment D provides the current distribution amount and total distributions for fiscal years 2015–16, 2016–17, 2017–18, and 2018–19 for the 18 courts that currently have a CWR distribution.

Attachment E provides the distribution from the First Paper General Civil Unlimited Uniform Filing Fee (Gov. Code, § 70611) for the Superior Court of San Mateo County. There is no change in the distribution for this request because the court is already receiving a CWR distribution.

Policy implications

None.

Comments

This item was not circulated for public comment.

Alternatives Considered

None.

Fiscal and Operational Impacts

Approving the San Mateo court's request for a continued CWR distribution would reduce by approximately \$76,000 the total amount of uniform, first paper civil filing fee revenues that would otherwise support all courts' TCTF base allocations for court operations.

Attachments and Links

- 1. Attachment A: CWR—Distribution and Fund Balance Policy
- 2. Attachment B: Gov. Code, § 70640
- 3. Attachment C: Superior Court of San Mateo County's Request to Extend CWR Distribution
- 4. Attachment D: CWR—Distribution Amount and Total Distribution
- 5. Attachment E: Distribution from First Paper General Civil Unlimited Uniform Filing Fee (Gov. Code, § 70611) in San Mateo County

Children's Waiting Room (CWR) Distribution and Fund Balance Policy

A. Applying for a New CWR Distribution

- A court's presiding judge or executive officer must submit a request to the director of the Judicial Council Finance Office 45 days prior to the date of the council meeting at which the court is requesting consideration.
- The request must include the following information:
 - o Date of the council meeting at which the court is requesting consideration.
 - Requested effective date of the distribution (July 1 or January 1). If a court wants to begin receiving distributions more than one year in advance of the planned opening date of a CWR, the request should include an explanation of the extenuating circumstance(s).
 - The scheduled opening date of the CWR(s).
 - o Description of the CWR(s).
 - The date when the court intends to make expenditures related to operating its CWR(s).
 - The requested distribution amount between \$2 and \$5. Courts can request the Judicial Council Finance Office to provide an estimate of annual distributions.
- The Trial Court Budget Advisory Committee (TCBAC) will make a recommendation to the council on each court's request.
- If the council approves that distributions begin prior to the operating of a CWR but the court does not operate a CWR six months after their planned opening date, the court must apply for a continued distribution.

B. Requesting a Decreased CWR Distribution Amount

• Any court's request to decrease its existing CWR distribution is approved by the Judicial Council and the request can be implemented by Judicial Council staff, effective either January 1 or July 1.

C. Temporarily or Permanently Ceasing CWR Operations

- Courts that cease operating all CWRs must notify the director of the JC Finance Office
 within 60 days of the cessation date. Unless a court provides notification and submits an
 application to continue receiving distributions while not operating a CWR within 60 days
 of the cessation date, the court's CWR distributions will be stopped either January 1 or
 July 1, whichever is earlier, and the court will be required to return any CWR fund
 balance to the TCTF.
- For courts that are required to return all of their remaining CWR fund balance to the TCTF, the return of the CWR fund balance will occur on the February trial court distribution for those courts that the CWR distribution stopped on January 1, and on the August distribution for those courts that the CWR distributions stopped on July 1.
- If there is a dispute between a court and JC staff over the amount of CWR fund balance that should be returned to the TCTF, the dispute will be brought before the TCBAC and the Judicial Council if the two parties cannot come to a resolution within 90 days of the cessation date.

Revised: March 24, 2017

Children's Waiting Room (CWR) Distribution and Fund Balance Policy

- An application for a continued distribution must include all the information required of courts applying for a new distribution (see section A above) as well as the amount of any CWR fund balance.
- The TCBAC will make a recommendation to the Judicial Council on each court's application.
- For courts that apply and whose application is denied by the Judicial Council, any CWR fund balance shall be returned to the TCTF.

D. Cap on CWR Fund Balance

- Courts shall monitor the CWR distribution amount per filing to ensure it is adequate to meet the CWR needs of the court without accumulating an amount in excess of the cap described below.
- Effective July 1, 2015, there shall be a cap on the amount of CWR fund balance that courts can carry forward from one fiscal year to the next. The cap shall be the amount of the highest annual distribution within the three most recent fiscal years.
- Courts that have a CWR fund balance greater than the cap (as described above) at the end of the every other fiscal year (beginning with fiscal year 2016–2017) will be required to return to the TCTF the amount above the cap in the subsequent fiscal year.
- For courts that are required to return the portion of their CWR fund balance above the cap to the TCTF, the return of the CWR fund balance will occur on the August trial court distribution.
- If there is a dispute between a court and JC staff over the amount of CWR fund balance that should be returned to the TCTF, the dispute will be brought before the TCBAC and the Judicial Council if the two parties cannot come to a resolution within 90 days of the cessation date.
- The cap applies only to courts that have received at least 12 months of distributions in a fiscal year while operating a CWR.
- If a court wants a cap adjustment, it must submit a request explaining the extenuating circumstance and including its CWR expenditure plan to the director of the JC Finance Office for consideration by the TCBAC and the Judicial Council. The request must be received by the Finance Director within 60 days of the end of the fiscal year for which the adjustment is being requested.
- JC staff will report any return of CWR fund balance through the trial court distribution process to the TCBAC and the Judicial Council.
- For courts that have Judicial Council—approved adjustments to their CWR caps, annual reporting will be required 60 days after the end of each fiscal year for courts that have an adjustment to their CWR cap approved by the Judicial Council, using a template provided by Judicial Council staff.

E. Courts that have Received a Distribution but Never Operated a CWR

• Courts that received distributions between January 1, 2006 and June 30, 2014 but did not operate a CWR during that time period must either apply for a continued distribution by

Revised: March 24, 2017

Children's Waiting Room (CWR) Distribution and Fund Balance Policy

September 26, 2015 or have their distributions stopped on January 1, 2016 and return to the TCTF any CWR fund balance.

- For courts that are required to return all of their remaining CWR fund balance to the TCTF, the return will occur on the October 2015 trial court distribution.
- If there is a dispute between a court and JC staff over the amount of CWR fund balance that should be returned to the TCTF, the dispute will be brought before the TCBAC and the Judicial Council if the two parties cannot come to a resolution within 90 days of the cessation date.

Revised: March 24, 2017

Page 3 of 3

Government Code Section 70640

- (a) It is the policy of the state that each court shall endeavor to provide a children's waiting room in each courthouse for children whose parents or guardians are attending a court hearing as a litigant, witness, or for other court purposes as determined by the court. To defray that expense, monthly allocations for children's waiting rooms shall be added to the monthly apportionment under subdivision (a) of Section 68085 for each court where a children's waiting room has been established or where the court has elected to establish that service.
- (b) The amount allocated to each court under this section shall be equal to the following: for each first paper filing fee as provided under Section 70611, 70612, 70613, 70614, or 70670, and each first paper or petition filing fee in a probate matter as provided under Section 70650, 70651, 70652, 70653, 70654, 70655, 70656, or 70658, the same amount as was required to be collected as of December 31, 2005, to the Children's Waiting Room Fund under former Section 26826.3 in the county in which the court is located when a fee was collected for the filing of a first paper in a civil action under former Section 26820.4.
- (c) Notwithstanding any other provision of law, the court may make expenditures from these allocations in payment of any cost, excluding capital outlay, related to the establishment and maintenance of the children's waiting room, including personnel, heat, light, telephone, security, rental of space, furnishings, toys, books, or any other item in connection with the operation of a children's waiting room.
- (d) If, as of January 1, 2006, there is a Children's Waiting Room Fund in the county treasury established under former Section 26826.3, the county immediately shall transfer the moneys in that fund to the court's operations fund as a restricted fund. By February 15, 2006, the county shall provide an accounting of the fund to the Administrative Office of the Courts.
- (e) After January 1, 2006, the court may apply to the Judicial Council for an adjustment of the amount distributed to the fund for each uniform filing fee. A court that wishes to establish a children's waiting room, and does not yet have a distribution under this section, may apply to the Judicial Council for a distribution. Applications under this subdivision shall be made according to trial court financial policies and procedures authorized by the Judicial Council under subdivision (a) of Section 77206. Adjustments and new distributions shall be effective January 1 or July 1 of any year beginning January 1, 2006.
- (f) The distribution to a court under this section per each filing fee shall be not less than two dollars (\$2) and not more than five dollars (\$5).

(Amended by Stats. 2007, Ch. 130, Sec. 135. Effective January 1, 2008.)



SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF SAN MATEO

400 COUNTY CENTER REDWOOD CITY, CA 94063-1655

NEAL I. TANIGUCHI COURT EXECUTIVE OFFICER CLERK & JURY COMMISSIONER Tel: (650)261-5030 Fax: (650)261-5147

October 31, 2019

Zlatko Theodorovic Director, Budget Services Judicial Council of California 2850 Gateway Oaks Drive, Suite 300 Sacramento, CA 95833-4353

RE: Request to Receive Children's Waiting Room (CWR) Distribution During Temporary Closure

Dear Mr. Theodorovic:

The court is requesting that the Judicial Council consider its request to continue receiving CWR distributions (maintain at \$5) during a temporary closure of its CWRs at the Hall of Justice facility in Redwood City and the Youth Services Center in San Mateo at the council's January 17, 2020 meeting. In June 2019, our previous vendor canceled their contract citing an inability to recruit and retain staff at present funding levels. Since their departure, the court has experienced difficulty securing a vendor to operate the facilities after two unsuccessful solicitations.

The first solicitation opened on May 22, 2019. When this solicitation closed with no bids, we revised our Request for Proposals in an effort to make it more attractive to prospective bidders while maintaining quality of services. We opened a new solicitation on August 15, 2019 and again received no bids.

The court is deeply committed to providing a safe and supportive environment for children while their parents attend to court business, and sees the continuation of services at both sites as essential. It is the court's plan to issue another RFP in early 2020 and resume services by September 1, 2020.

In recent years, the annual cost to the court of providing CWR services has exceeded the court's annual CWR distribution. Allowing the court to continue to receive CWR distributions will help defray likely higher operating costs when service is resumed.

If you or your staff have any questions regarding the application, please contact Steven Chang, Finance Director, at stevenchang@sanmateocourt.org or (650) 261-5046.

Sincerely,

Neal Taniguchi

Court Executive Officer

Sanguelie

cc: Michelle Allen, Senior Budget Analyst, Budget Services, Judicial Council of California

	Court	Distribution Amount		2015-16 Total stribution		2016-17 Total stribution		2017-18 Total stribution		2018-19 Total stribution
4	Alamada	B \$5	\$	162 497	\$	D 188,819	\$	160 F70	\$	F 174 451
	Alameda	\$5 \$5	\$	162,487	\$		\$ \$	169,579	\$	174,451
	Butte	\$5 \$5	\$ \$	19,372	\$ \$	27,096	\$ \$	11,227	\$ \$	126 445
	Contra Costa	\$5 \$5	\$ \$	104,333	\$	129,349	\$	116,444	\$	126,445
	Fresno		\$	98,469	÷	121,401		110,504		117,334
	Los Angeles	\$5		830,421		1,295,100		1,480,168		1,603,832
6	Monterey	\$5	\$	32,856	\$	40,826	\$	20,230	\$	-
7	Orange	\$5 ·	\$	369,617	\$	466,843	\$	421,645	\$	447,379
8	Riverside	\$5	\$	253,815	\$	317,869	\$	287,070	\$	328,848
9	Sacramento	\$5	\$	504,807	\$	373,901	\$	348,234	\$	314,540
10	San Bernardino	\$5	\$	-	\$	297,239	\$	288,108	\$	299,668
11	San Diego	\$5	\$	336,581	\$	430,649	\$	380,780	\$	407,575
12	San Francisco	\$5	\$	115,160	\$	140,230	\$	124,923	\$	130,501
13	San Joaquin	\$5	\$	-	\$		\$	91,233	\$	94,255
14	San Luis Obispo	\$5	\$	23,484	\$	29,250	\$	25,681	\$	28,232
15	San Mateo	\$5	\$	64,791	\$	81,204	\$	71,715	\$	76,285
16	Santa Barbara	\$5	\$	39,686	\$	48,354	\$	43,675	\$	45,150
17	Santa Clara	\$5	\$	147,497	\$	174,867	\$	162,279	\$	172,182
18	Solano	\$5	\$	46,724	\$	56,083	\$	54,379	\$	59,359
19	Sonoma	\$5	\$	45,987	\$	55,979	\$	49,926	\$	54,341
20	Stanislaus	\$2	\$	19,924	\$	24,371	\$	-	\$	-
21	Ventura	\$5	\$	84,342	\$	103,657	\$	92,008	\$	97,711
	Total		\$ 3,300,353		\$ 4,403,087		\$ 4,349,806		\$ 4,578,087	

Distribution	State vs. Local	Current
Trial Court Trust Fund Base Allocation	State	\$280.20
Children's Waiting Room	State	\$5.00
Automated Recored-Keeping and Micrographics	State	\$1.00
Judges' Retirement Fund	State	\$32.50
State Court Facilities Construction Fund	State	\$35.00
Immediate & Critical Needs Account	State	\$30.00
Local Courthouse Construction Surcharges		\$0.00
Equal Access Fund	Local	\$4.80
Dispute Resolution	Local	\$0.00
Law Library	Local	\$38.50
Fee Amount		\$427.00