

## JUDICIAL COUNCIL OF CALIFORNIA

455 Golden Gate Avenue • San Francisco, California 94102-3688 www.courts.ca.gov

## CIRCULATING ORDER MEMORANDUM TO THE JUDICIAL COUNCIL

Circulating Order Number: CO-22-04

#### **Title**

Allocations and Reimbursements to Trial Courts: Pretrial Release Funding and Allocation Methodology

Rules, Forms, Standards, or Statutes Affected  $N\!/\!A$ 

#### Recommended by

Judicial Branch Budget Committee Hon. David M. Rubin, Chair Trial Court Budget Advisory Committee Hon. Jonathan B. Conklin, Chair

#### **Action Requested**

VOTING MEMBERS ONLY: Submit votes by responding to the transmittal email.

#### Please Respond By

Noon, July 28, 2022

#### **Date of Report**

July 22, 2022

#### Contact

Deirdre Benedict, Supervising Analyst 415-865-7543 deirdre.benedict@jud.ca.gov

California Rules of Court, rules 10.5(h) and 10.13(d) allow the Judicial Council to act on business between meetings, including urgent matters, by circulating order. This memorandum is not a Judicial Council meeting, circulating orders are conducted via electronic communications. Prior public notice of a proposed circulating order is not required.

#### **Executive Summary**

The Judicial Branch Budget Committee recommends Judicial Council approval of the Trial Court Budget Advisory Committee recommendation for fiscal year 2022–23 pretrial release allocations of \$70 million General Fund for the trial courts in accordance with methodologies outlined in Senate Bill 129 (Stats. 2021, ch. 69) and including minimum funding floors.

CO-22-04

#### Recommendation

The Judicial Branch Budget Committee unanimously recommends approval of the Trial Court Budget Advisory Committee recommendation that the Judicial Council approve fiscal year 2022–23 pretrial release allocations of \$70 million General Fund for the trial courts in accordance with methodologies outlined in SB 129 and including minimum funding floors.

#### **Relevant Previous Council Action**

At the Judicial Council's business meeting on October 1, 2021, the council approved the 2021–22 allocations of \$137.9 million for the trial courts in accordance with methodologies outlined in SB 129 and including minimum funding floors. As required in the legislation, half of the approved 2021-22 funding (\$68.95 million) was distributed to the 41 courts that did not receive Pretrial Pilot Program funding (provided in the Budget Act of 2019). The remaining \$68.95 million was distributed to all courts.

#### Analysis/Rationale

Senate Bill 129 (Link A), which amended the Budget Act of 2021, provides funding for "the implementation and operation of ongoing court programs and practices that promote the safe, efficient, fair, and timely pretrial release of individuals booked into jail" (Sen. Bill 129, § 4, item 0250-101-0001, provision 9).

Each court may retain up to 30 percent of the funding for costs associated with pretrial release programs and practices. Courts are required to contract for pretrial services with their county's probation department or any county department or agency other than those that have primary responsibility for making arrests or prosecuting criminal offenses, and provide those departments with the remainder of the funds.

The Judicial Council is required to distribute funds annually to all courts based on each county's relative proportion of the state population 18 to 25 years of age. The current annual budget available to the courts for the Pretrial Release Program is \$68.95 million.<sup>2</sup> These funds must be encumbered or expended by June 30, 2023. The breakdown of these ongoing allocation recommendations is reflected in Attachment A.

A minimum funding floor of \$200,000 is recommended for ongoing funding in 2022–23. This recommendation is based on analysis of planned budgets versus actual spending by small courts<sup>3</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> SB 129 specifically provides that the Superior Court of Santa Clara County may contract with the Office of Pretrial Services in that county, and that the Superior Court of San Francisco County may contract with the Sheriff's Office and the existing not-for-profit entity that is performing pretrial services in the city and county for pretrial assessment and supervision services.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> SB 129 authorizes the Judicial Council to retain up to 5 percent of the amounts available to the courts for costs associated with implementing, supporting, and evaluating pretrial programs in courts.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> The court-size category is based on the authorized number of judicial positions (AJP) within a county: small (2–5 AJP), small-medium (6–15 AJP), medium (16–47 AJP), and large (48+ AJP).

participating in the Pretrial Pilot Program in 2019–20 and 2020–21. It is also equivalent to the floor used in the funding methodology for the California Community Corrections Performance Incentives Act of 2009 (Sen. Bill 678).<sup>4</sup> Judicial Council Criminal Justice Services staff continue to monitor and evaluate whether the floor provides small and small-medium courts with the resources necessary to meet the mandates of the legislation.

On May 5 and June 1, 2022, Criminal Justice Services staff presented the 2022–23 allocations of the pretrial release funding to the Trial Court Budget Advisory Committee and Judicial Branch Budget Committee for consideration and approval.

#### **Policy implications**

No policy implications are associated with this report.

#### Comments

This proposal was not circulated for public comment.

#### Alternatives considered

The Judicial Branch Budget Committee could have applied a formulaic method of providing proportional funding to all trial courts. The funding floor included in this recommendation is based on budget information from courts participating in the Pretrial Pilot Program to ensure that both small and small-medium courts have the resources necessary to comply with the legislation.

#### Fiscal and Operational Impacts

SB 129 authorizes the Judicial Council to retain up to 5 percent of the amount available to the courts for costs associated with implementing, supporting, and evaluating pretrial programs in courts. Agency staff will assist courts with legal, educational, and technical support to help courts implement their pretrial programs and meet the legislative requirements.

#### **Attachments and Links**

- 1. Attachment A: Recommended 2022–23 Pretrial Release Ongoing Allocations
- 2. Link A: Sen. Bill 129 (Stats. 2021, ch. 69), https://leginfo.legislature.ca.gov/faces/billNavClient.xhtml?bill\_id=202120220SB129
- 3. Voting instructions
- 4. Voting and signature pages

#### **Author**

Deirdre Benedict Supervising Analyst, Criminal Justice Services

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Stats. 2009, ch. 608, www.courts.ca.gov/documents/sb678.pdf.

## Attachment A: Recommended 2022–22 Pretrial Release Ongoing Allocations

| Court          | Total no. of<br>18–24 yr. olds <sup>*</sup> | % of 18–24 yr. olds in CA population | \$ allocation of \$68.95M,<br>based on % of 18–24 yr. olds |
|----------------|---|--------------------------------------|--|
| Alameda        | 136,997                                     | 3.749%                               | \$ 2,412,294   |
| Alpine         | N/A <sup>†</sup>                            | N/A <sup>†</sup>                     | 200,000  |
| Amador         | N/A   | N/A                                  | 200,000  |
| Butte          | 32,344                                      | 0.885%                               | 569,525  |
| Calaveras      | N/A   | N/A                                  | 200,000  |
| Colusa         | N/A   | N/A                                  | 200,000  |
| Contra Costa   | 93,037                                      | 2.546%                               | 1,638,230  |
| Del Norte      | N/A   | N/A                                  | 200,000  |
| El Dorado      | 13,341                                      | 0.365%                               | 234,913  |
| Fresno         | 97,886                                      | 2.678%                               | 1,723,613  |
| Glenn          | N/A   | N/A                                  | 200,000  |
| Humboldt       | 17,036                                      | 0.466%                               | 299,976  |
| Imperial       | 18,338                                      | 0.502%                               | 322,902  |
| Inyo           | N/A   | N/A                                  | 200,000  |
| Kern           | 90,462                                      | 2.475%                               | 1,592,888  |
| Kings          | 16,621                                      | 0.455%                               | 292,669  |
| Lake           | N/A   | N/A                                  | 200,000  |
| Lassen         | N/A   | N/A                                  | 200,000  |
| Los Angeles    | 952,944                                     | 26.076%                              | 16,779,791   |
| Madera         | 15,152                                      | 0.415%                               | 266,802  |
| Marin          | 16,964                                      | 0.464%                               | 298,708  |
| Mariposa       | N/A   | N/A                                  | 200,000  |
| Mendocino      | N/A   | N/A                                  | 200,000  |
| Merced         | 30,639                                      | 0.838%                               | 539,503  |
| Modoc          | N/A   | N/A                                  | 200,000  |
| Mono           | N/A   | N/A                                  | 200,000  |
| Monterey       | 43,083                                      | 1.179%                               | 758,621  |
| Napa           | 12,011                                      | 0.329%                               | 211,494  |
| Nevada         | N/A   | N/A                                  | 200,000  |
| Orange         | 289,774                                     | 7.929%                               | 5,102,448  |
| Placer         | 28,723                                      | 0.786%                               | 505,765  |
| Plumas         | N/A   | N/A                                  | 200,000  |
| Riverside      | 236,238                                     | 6.464%                               | 4,159,766  |
| Sacramento     | 130,962                                     | 3.584%                               | 2,306,027  |
| San Benito     | N/A   | N/A                                  | 200,000  |
| San Bernardino | 223,409                                     | 6.113%                               | 3,933,868  |
| San Diego      | 340,813                                     | 9.326%                               | 6,001,161  |

| Court           | Total no. of<br>18–24 yr. olds <sup>*</sup> | % of 18–24 yr. olds in CA population | \$ allocation of \$68.95M,<br>based on % of 18–24 yr. olds |
|-----------------|---|--------------------------------------|--|
| San Francisco   | 60,846                                      | 1.665%                               | 1,071,399  |
| San Joaquin     | 72,485                                      | 1.983%                               | 1,276,343  |
| San Luis Obispo | 42,626                                      | 1.166%                               | 750,574  |
| San Mateo       | 56,002                                      | 1.532%                               | 986,104  |
| Santa Barbara   | 69,751                                      | 1.909%                               | 1,228,201  |
| Santa Clara     | 161,684                                     | 4.424%                               | 2,846,992  |
| Santa Cruz      | 40,529                                      | 1.109%                               | 713,650  |
| Shasta          | 13,633                                      | 0.373%                               | 240,055  |
| Sierra          | N/A   | N/A                                  | 200,000  |
| Siskiyou        | N/A   | N/A                                  | 200,000  |
| Solano          | 38,611                                      | 1.057%                               | 679,877  |
| Sonoma          | 40,081                                      | 1.097%                               | 705,761  |
| Stanislaus      | 51,695                                      | 1.415%                               | 910,265  |
| Sutter          | N/A   | N/A                                  | 200,000  |
| Tehama          | N/A   | N/A                                  | 200,000  |
| Trinity         | N/A   | N/A                                  | 200,000  |
| Tulare          | 46,977                                      | 1.285%                               | 827,188  |
| Tuolumne        | N/A   | N/A                                  | 200,000  |
| Ventura         | 78,658                                      | 2.152%                               | 1,385,039  |
| Yolo            | 44,160                                      | 1.208%                               | 777,586  |
| Yuba            | N/A   | N/A                                  | 200,000  |
| Total           | 3,654,512                                   |                                      | \$ 68,950,000  |

<sup>\*</sup> Source: U.S. Census Bureau, *American Community Survey*, Table S0101, <a href="https://data.census.gov/cedsci/table?q=age%20by%20county&g=0400000US06.050000&tid=ACSST5Y2019.S0101">https://data.census.gov/cedsci/table?q=age%20by%20county&g=0400000US06.050000&tid=ACSST5Y2019.S0101</a> <a href="https://data.census.gov/cedsci/table?q=age%20by%20county&g=0400000US06.050000&tid=ACSST5Y2019.S0101">https://data.census.gov/cedsci/table?q=age%20by%20county&g=0400000US06.050000&tid=ACSST5Y2019.S0101</a>

The legislation specifies 18- to 25-year-olds; however, census data is only available for 18- to 24-year-olds.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup> For courts that indicate "N/A," a minimal funding floor of \$200,000 has been imposed to ensure adequate funding for small and small-medium courts to meet the legislative mandate.

## Instructions for Review and Action by Circulating Order

## **Voting members**

- Please reply to the email message with "I approve," "I disapprove," or "I abstain," by **noon on July 28, 2022.**
- If you are unable to reply by **noon on July 28, 2022**, please do so as soon as possible thereafter.

### **Advisory members**

The circulating order is being emailed to you for your information only. There is no need to sign or return any documents.

# CIRCULATING ORDER Judicial Council of California Voting and Signature Pages

Effective immediately, the Judicial Council approves the Trial Court Budget Advisory Committee recommendation that the Judicial Council approve fiscal year 2022–23 pretrial release allocations of \$70 million General Fund for the trial courts in accordance with methodologies outlined in SB 129 and including minimum funding floors.

| My vote is as follows:        |                        |  |
|-------------------------------|------------------------|--|
| ☐ Approve                     | ☐ Disapprove ☐ Abstain |  |
|                               |                        |  |
|                               |                        |  |
| Tani G. Cantil-Sakauye, Chair | Marla O. Anderson      |  |
|                               | <u></u>                |  |
| Richard Bloom                 | C. Todd Bottke         |  |
|                               |                        |  |
| Stacy Boulware Eurie          | Kevin C. Brazile       |  |
|                               |                        |  |
| Kyle S. Brodie                | Jonathan B. Conklin    |  |
|                               |                        |  |
| Carol A. Corrigan             | Samuel K. Feng         |  |
|                               |                        |  |
| David D. Fu                   | Carin T. Fujisaki      |  |
|                               |                        |  |
| Brad R. Hill                  | Rachel W. Hill         |  |

| My vote is as follows: |         |                  |                |   |
|------------------------|---------|------------------|----------------|---|
| ☐ Approve              |         | Disapprove       | ☐ Abstain      |   |
|                        |         |                  |                |   |
|                        |         |                  |                |   |
| Harold W. Hopp         |         | Dalila C         | Corral Lyons   | _ |
|                        |         |                  |                |   |
| Gretchen Nelson        |         | Maxwel           | 1 V. Pritt     | _ |
| Greenen Neison         |         | 17142177 61      |                |   |
|                        |         |                  |                | _ |
| David M. Rubin         |         | Marsha           | G. Slough      |   |
|                        |         |                  |                |   |
| Thomas J. Umberg       |         |                  |                |   |
|                        |         |                  |                |   |
|                        |         |                  |                |   |
|                        |         |                  |                |   |
|                        |         |                  |                |   |
|                        |         |                  |                |   |
|                        |         |                  |                |   |
|                        |         |                  |                |   |
|                        |         |                  |                |   |
| Date:                  |         |                  |                |   |
|                        | Attest: |                  |                |   |
|                        |         | Administrativ    | e Director and |   |
|                        |         | Secretary of the |                |   |